

HISTORY OF MODERN EUROPE 1826-1878

By
C. A. FYFFE, M.A.
Barrister at Law; Fellow of University College, Oxford

With Index



CASSELL AND COMPANY, LTD London, New York, Toronto and Melbourne

Vol I. First printed Assember 1880. Refrinted 1883, 1889 Vol II First printed October 1886. Refrinted 1890 Vol III Printed 1889 Serial Issue October 1890 Uniform Edition 1924

Popular Edition November 1895 Reprinted 1900, 1907, 1905, 1907

CONTENTS

CHAPTER XV

GREECE AND EASTERN AFFAIRS

Condition of Greece: its Races and Institutions-The Greek Church -Communal System-The Ægæan Islands-The Phanariots-Greek Intellectual Revival: Koraes-Beginning of Greek National Movement; Contact of Greece with the French Revolution and Napoleon-The Hetæria Philike-Hypsilanti's Attempt in the Danubian Provinces: its Failure-Revolt of the Morea: Massacres: Execution of Gregorius, and Terrorism at Constantinople -Attitude of Russia, Austria, and England-Extension of the Revolt: Affairs at Hydra-The Greek Leaders-Fall of Tripolitza-The Massacre of Chios-Failure of the Turks in the Campaign of 1822—Dissensions of the Greeks—Mahmud calls upon Mehemet Ali for Aid-Ibrahim conquers Crete and invades the Morea-Siege of Missolonghi-Philhellenism in Europe-Russian proposal for Intervention-Conspiracies in Russia: Death of Alexander: Accession of Nicholas-Military Insurrection at St. Petersburg-Anglo-Russian Protocol-Treaty between England, Russia, and France-Death of Canning-Navarino-War between Russia and Turkey-Campaigns of 1828 and 1829 -Treaty of Adrianople-Capodistrias President of Greece-Leopold accepts and then declines the Greek Crown-Murder of Capodistrias-Otho, King of Greece

CHAPTER XVI

THE MOVEMENTS OF 1830

Ministry of Polignac—The Duke of Orleans—War in Algiers——
The July Ordinances—Revolution of July—Louis Philippe King
—Nature and effects of the July Revolution—Affairs in Belgium
—The Belgian Revolution—The Great Powers—Intervention, and establishment of the Kingdom of Belgium—Affairs of

82

16

Poland—Insurrection at Warsan—War between Russia and Poland—Overthrow of the Poles End of the Polish Constitution —Affairs of Italy—Insurrection in the Papal States—France and Austria—Austrian Intervention—Ancona occupied by the French—Affairs of Germany—Prussia the Zollverein—Brunswick Hanover Saxony—The Palatinate—Reaction in Germany—The Exiles in Switzerland Incursion into Savoy—Dispersion of the Exiles—France under Louis Philippe Successive risings—Period of Parliamentary activity—England after 1830 The Reform Bull

CHAPTER XVII

SPANISH AND EASTERN AFFAIRS

France and Ingland after 1830-Affairs of Portugal-Don Miguel-Don Pedro invades Portugal-Ferdinand of Spain-The Prag matic Sanction-Death of Ferdinand Regency of Christina-The Constitution-Quadruple Allunce-Miguel and Carlos expelled from Portugal-Carlos enters Spain-The Basque Provinces-Carlist War Zumalacarregui-The Spanish Govern ment seeks French assistance which is refused-Constitution of 1837-End of the War-Regency of Espartero-Isabella Queen -Affairs of the Ottoman Empire-Ibrahim invades Syria his victories-Rivalry of France and Russia at Constantinople-Peace of Kutava and Treaty of Unktar Skelessi-Effect of this Treaty-France and Mehemet Alt-Commerce of the Levant-Second War between Mehemet and the Porte-Ottoman disasters-The Policy of the Great Powers-Quadruple Treaty without France-Ibrahim expelled from Syrin-Final Settlement -Turkey after 1840-Attempted reforms of Reschid Pasha

CHAPTER AVIII

FUROPE BEFORE 1848

Furope during the Thirty years Peace—Ituly and Austria—Mazini
—The House of Savoy—Gioberti—Election of Pius IN—Re
forms expected—Revolution at Pilermo—Agirition in Northern
Italy—Lombardy—State of the Austrian I mpire—Growth of
Ilingurian nutional spirit—The Magy us und Slavs—Transyl
vania—Parties among the Magyars—hossuth—The Slavic
national movements in Austria—The Government enters on
reforms in Ilingary—Pobicy of the Opposition—The Rural

system of Austria—Insurrection in Galicia: the Nobles and the Peasants—Agrarian Edict—Public opinion in Vienna—Prussia—Accession and character of King Frederick William IV.—Convocation of the United Diet—Its debates and dissolution—France—The Spanish Marriages—Reform movement—Socialism—Revolution of February—End of the Orleanist Monarchy.

155

CHAPTER XIX

THE MARCH REVOLUTION, 1848

Europe in 1789 and in 1848—Agitation in Western Germany before and after the Revolution at Paris-Austria and Hungary-The March Revolution at Vienna-Flight of Metternich-The Hungarian Diet-Hungary wins its independence-Bohemian movement-Autonomy promised to Bohemia-Insurrection of Lombardy-Of Venice-Piedmont makes war on Austria-A general Italian war against Austria imminent-The March Days at Berlin-Frederick William IV .- A National Assembly promised -Schleswig-Holstein-Insurrection in Holstein-War between Germany and Denmark-The German Ante-Parliament-Republican Rising in Baden-Meeting of the German National Assembly at Frankfort-Europe generally in March, 1848-The French Provisional Government—The National Workshops— The Government and the Red Republicans-French National Assembly-Riot of May 15-Measures against the National Workshops—The Four Days of June—Cavaignac—Louis Napoleon—He is elected to the Assembly—Elected President .

180

CHAPTER XX

THE PERIOD OF CONFLICT, DOWN TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE SECOND FRENCH EMPIRE

Austria and Italy—Vienna from March to May—Flight of the Emperor—Bohemian National Movement—Windischgrätz subdues Prague—Campaign around Verona—Papal Allocution—Naples in May—Negotiations as to Lombardy—Reconquest of Venetia—Battle of Custozza—The Austrians enter Milan—Austrian Court and Hungary—The Serbs in Southern Hungary—Serb Congress at Carlowitz—Jellacic—Affairs of Croatia—Jellacic, the Court and the Hungarian Movement—Murder of Lamberg—Manifesto of October 3—Vienna on October 6—The Emperor at Olmütz—Windischgrätz conquers Vienna—The Parliament at

Kremsier-Schwarzenberg Minister-Ferdinand abdicates-Dissolution of the Kremsier Parliament-Unitary Edict-Hungary-The Roumanians in Transylvania-The Austrian Army occupies Pesth-Hungarian Government at Debreczin-The Austrians driven out of Hungary-Declaration of Hungarian Independence-Russian Intervention-The Hungarian Summer Campaign-Capitulation of Vilagos-Italy-Murder of Rossi-Tuscany-The March Campaignin Lombardy-Novara-Abdication of Charles Albert-Victor Emmanuel-Restoration in Tuscany-French Intervention in Rome-Defeat of Oudinot-Oudinot and Lessens-The French enter Rome-The Restored Pontifical Government-Fall of Venice-Ferdinand reconquers Sicily-Germany-The National Assembly at Frankfort-The Armistice of Malmo-Berlin from April to September-The Prussian Army-Last Days of the Prussian Parliament-Prussian Constitution granted by Edict-The German National Assembly and Austria-Frederick William IV elected Emperor-He refuses the Crown-End of the National Assembly-Prussia attempts to form a separate Union-The Union Parliament at Erfurt-Action of Austria-Hesse Cassel-The Diet of Frankfort restored-Olmutz -Schleswig Holstein-Germany after 1849-Austria after 1851 -- France after 1848-Louis Napoleon-The October Message -Law Limiting the Franchise-Louis Napoleon and the Army -Proposed Revision of the Constitution-The Coup d'Etat-Napoleon III Emperor

CHAPTER XXI

THE CRIMEAN WAR

England and France in 1851-Russia under Nicholas-The Hungarian Refugees-Dispute between France and Russia on the Holy Places-Nicholas and the British Amhassador-Lord Stratford de Redcliffe-Menschikoff's Mission-Russian troops enter the Danuhian Principalities-Lord Aberdeen's Cabinet-Movements of the Fleets-The Vienna Note-The Fleets pass the Dardanelles-Turkish Squadron destroyed at Sinone-Declaration of War-Policy of Aostria-Policy of Prussia-The Western Powers and the Coropean Concert-Siege of Silistria-The Principalities evacuated-Turther objects of the Western Powers-Invision of the Crimer-Battle of the Alma-The Flank March-Balaclava-Inkermann-Winter in the Crimca-Death of Nicholas-Conference of Vienna-Austria-Progress of the Siere-Plans of Napoleon III - Caprobert and Pélissier-Unsuccessful Assault-Battle of the Tchernaya-Capture of the Malakoff-Fall of Sebastopol-Fall of Kars-Negotiations for

Peace—The Conference of Paris—Treaty of Paris—The Danubian Principalities—Continued discord in the Ottoman Empire—Revision of the Treaty of Paris in 1871.

309

CHAPTER XXII

THE CREATION OF THE ITALIAN KINGDOM

Piedmont after 1849-Ministry of Azeglio-Cavour Prime Minister-Designs of Cavour-His Crimean Policy-Cavour at the Conference of Paris-Cavour and Napoleon III.-The Meeting at Plombières-Preparations in Italy-Treaty of January, 1859-Attempts at Mediation-Austrian Ultimatum-Campaign of 1859 -Magenta-Movement in Central Italy-Solferino-Napoleon and Prussia-Interview of Villafranca-Cavour resigns-Peace of Zürich-Central Italy after Villafranca-The Proposed Congress-"The Pope and the Congress"-Cavour resumes office-Cavour and Napoleon-Union of the Duchies and the Romagna with Picdmont-Savoy and Nice added to France-Cavour on this cession-European opinion-Naples-Sicily-Garibaldi lands at Marsala-Capture of Palermo-The Neapolitans evacuate Sicily-Cavour and the Party of Action-Cavour's Policy as to Naples-Garibaldi on the mainland-Persano and Villamarina at Naples-Garibaldi at Naples-The Picdmontese Army enters Umbria and the Marches-Fall of Ancona-Garibaldi and Cavour-The Armies on the Volturno -Fall of Gaeta-Cavour's Policy with regard to Rome and Venice-Death of Cayour-The Free Church in the Free State .

352

CHAPTER XXIII

GERMAN ASCENDENCY WON BY PRUSSIA

Germany after 1858—The Regency in Prussia—Army-reorganisation
—King William I.—Conflict between the Crown and the Parliament—Bismarck—The struggle continued—Austria from 1859—
The October Diploma—Resistance of Hungary—The Reichsrath—Russia under Alexander II.—Liberation of the Serfs—Poland—
The Insurrection of 1863—Agrarian measures in Poland—
Schleswig-Holstein—Death of Frederick VII.—Plans of Bismarck—Campaign in Schleswig—Conference of London—Treaty of Vienna—England and Napoleon III.—Prussia and Austria—
Convention of Gastein—Italy—Alliance of Prussia with Italy—
Proposals for a Congress fail—War between Austria and Prussia—Napoleon III.—Königgrätz—Custozza—Mediation of Napo-

Contents

leon—Treaty of Prague—South Germany—Projects for compensation to France—Austria and Hungary—Deák—Establishment
off the Dual System in Austria-Hungary

CHAPTER XXIV

THE WAR BETWEEN FRANCE AND GERMANY

Napoleon III —The Mexican Expedition—Withdrawal of the French and Death of Maximilian-The Luxembi g Question-Exas peration in France against Prussia-Austria-Italy-Mentana-Germany after 1866-The Spanish Candidature of Leopold of Hohen zollern-French declaration-Benedetti and King William -Withdrawal of Leopold and demand for guarantees.-The telegram from Ems-War-Expected Alliances of France-Austria-Italy-Prussian plans-The French Army-Causes of French inferiority-Weissenburg-Worth-Spicheren-Borny-Mars la Tour-Gravelotte-Sedan-The Republic proclaimed at Paris-Favre and Bismarck-Siege of Paris-Gambetta at Tours-The Army of the Loure-Fall of Metz-Fighting at Orleans-Sortie of Champigny-The Armies of the North of the Lorge, of the East-Bourbake's ruin-Capitulation of Paris and Armistice-Preliminaries of Peace-Germany-Establishment of the German Empire-The Commune of Paris-Second Siege-Effects of the war as to Russia and Italy-Rome .

CHAPTER XXV

EASTERN AFFAIRS

France after 1871—Alliance of the Three Emperors—Revolt of Herzegovina—The Andrássy Note—Murder of the Consuls at Salonika—The Betim Memorandum—Rejected by England—Abdul Aziz deposed—Massacres in Bulgaria—Servia and Montenegro declare War-Opinion in England—Disraelimentation of the Crain—Conference at Construitionple—Its Failure—The London Protocol—Russia declares War—Advance on the Balkans—Osman at Plevna—Second Attack on Plevna—The Shipka Pass—Roumania—Third Attack on Plevna—Toelbedn—Fall of Plevna—Passage of the Balkans—Armstice—England—The Fleet passes the Dardanelles—Treaty of San Stefano—England and Russia—Secret Agreement—Convention with Turkey—Congress of Berlin—Treaty of Berlin—Bulgarin with Turkey—Congress of Berlin—Treaty of Berlin—Bulgarin

HISTORY OF MODERN EUROPE

1.

CHAPTER XV

Condition of Greece: its Races and Institutions-The Greek Church -Communal System-The Ægæan Islands-The Phanariots-Greek Intellectual Revival: Koraes-Beginning National Movement; Contact of Greece with the French Revolution and Napoleon-The Hetæria Philike-Hypsilanti's Attempt in the Danubian Provinces: its Failure-Revolt of the Morea: Massacres: Execution of Gregorius, and Terrorism at Constantinople-Attitude of Russia, Austria, and England-Extension of the Revolt: Affairs at Hydra-The Greek Leaders -Fall of Tripolitza-The Massacre of Chios-Failure of the Turks in the Campaign of 1822-Dissensions of the Greeks-Mahmud calls upon Mehemet Ali for Aid—Ibrahim conquers Crete and invades the Morea—Siege of Missolonghi—Philhellenism in Europe—Russian Proposal for Intervention—Conspiracies in Russia: Death of Alexander: Accession of Nicholas -Military Insurrection at St. Petersburg-Anglo-Russian Protocol-Treaty between England, Russia, and Franceof Canning-Navarino-War between Russia Turkey—Campaigns of 1828 and 1829—Treaty of Adrianople— Capodistrias President of Greece-Leopold accepts and then declines the Greek Crown-Murder of Capodistrias-Otho, King of Greece.

Of the Christian races which at the beginning of the third decade of this century peopled the European provinces of the Ottoman Empire, the Greek was that which had been least visibly affected by political and military events of the Napo-Greece in leonic age. Servia, after a long struggle, the Napohad in the year 1817 gained local autonomy under its own princes, although Turkish troops still garrisoned its fortresses, and the sovereignty of the Sultan was acknowledged by the payment of tribute. Romanic districts, Wallachia and Moldavia, which, in the famous interview of Tilsit, Napoleon had bidden the

History of Modern Europe

Czar to make his own, were restored by Russia to the Porte in the I reaty of Bucharest in 1812, but under conditions which virtually established a Russian protectorate Greece, with the exception of the Ionian Islands, had neither been the scene of any military operations, nor formed the subject of any treaty. Yet the age of the French Revolution and of the Napoleonic wars had silently wrought in the Greek nation the last of a great series of changes which fitted it to take its place among the free peoples of Europe. The signs were there from which those who could read the future might have gathered that the political resurrection of Greece was near at hand. There were some who, with equal insight and patriotism, sought during this period to lay the intellectual foundation for that national independence which they foresaw that their children would win with the sword.

The forward movement of the Greek nation may be said, in general terms, to have become visible during the first half of the eighteenth century. Serfage

Greece in the eighteenth century. Serfage lad then disappeared, the peasant was either a freeholder, or a farmer paying a rent in kind for his land. In the gradual and unobserved emancipation of the labouring class the first condition of national revival had already been fulfilled with the Turk broke out, bore the brunt of the long struggle. In comparison with the Prussian serf, the Greek cultivator at the beginning of the eighteenth century was an independent man in comparison with the English labourer, he was well fed and well housed. The evils.

English labourer, he was well fed and well housed The evils

Gree brut ery Otto

inflicted

by a Mohammedan official or neighbour! If a wealthy Turk murdered a Greek in the fields, burnt down his house, and outraged his family, there was no court where the offender could be brought to justice the term by which the Turk described his Christian neighbour was "our rayah," th

Indowner migh

him, carry off th

Greece

Byzantine.

for no law existed but the Koran, and no Turkish court of justice but that of the Kadi, where the complaint of the Christian passed for nothing.

This was the monstrous relation that existed between the dominant and the subject nationalities, not in Greece only, but in every part of the Ottoman Empire where Mohammedans and Christians inhabited the same districts. The second great and general evil was the extortion practised by the tax-gatherers, and this fell upon the poorer Mohammedans equally with the Christians, except in regard to the poll-tax, or haratsch, the badge of servitude, which was levied on Christians alone. All land paid tithe to the State; and until the tax-gatherer had paid his visit it was not permitted to the peasant to cut the ripe crop. This rule enabled the tax-gatherer, whether a Mohammedan or à Christian, to inflict ruin upon those who did not bribe himself or his masters; for by merely postponing his visit he could destroy the value of the harvest. Round this central institution of tyranny and waste, there gathered, except in the districts protected by municipal privileges, every form of corruption natural to a society where the State heard no appeals, and made no inquiry into the processes employed by those to whom it sold the taxes. What was possible in the way of extortion was best seen in the phenomenon of well-built villages being left tenantless, and the population of rich districts dying out in a time of peace, without pestilence, without insurrection, without any greater wrong on the part of the Sultan's government than that normal indifference which permitted the existence of a community to depend upon the moderation or the caprice of the individual possessors of force.

Such was the framework, or, as it may be said, the common-law of the mixed Turkish and Christian society of the Ottoman Empire. On this background we have now to trace the social and political features which stood out in Greek life, which preserved the race Origin of modern

from losing its separate nationality, and which made the ultimate recovery of its independence possible. In the first outburst of

sympathy and delight with which every not classic generous heart in western Europe hailed the standard of

Hellenic freedom upraised in 1821, the twenty centuries

which separated the Greece of literature from the Greece of to-day were strangely forgotten. The imagination went straight back to Socrates and Leonidas, and pictured in the islander or the hillsman who rose against Mahmud II. the counterpart of those glorious beings who gave to Europe the ideals of intellectual energy, of plastic beauty, and of poetic truth. The illusion was a happy one, if it excited on behalf of a brave people an interest which Servia or Montenegro might have failed to gain; but it led to a reaction when disappointments came; it gave inordinate importance to the question of the physical descent of the Greeks; and it produced a false impression of the causes which had led up to the war of independence. and of the qualities, the habits, the bonds of innon, which exercised the greatest power over the nation. These were, to a great extent, unlike anything existing in the ancient world; they had originated in Byzantine, not in classic Greece; and where the scenes of old Hellenic history appeared to be repeating themselves, it was due more to_ the continuing influence of the same seas and the same mountains than to the survival of any political fragments

Stavonte and Albanian elements

Of the past. The Greek population had received a strong Slavonic infusion many centuries before. More recently, Albanian settlers had expelled the inhabitants from

settlers had expelled the inhabitants from certain districts both in the mainland and in the Morea. Attica, Bœotia, Corinth, and Argolis were at the outbreak of the war of independence peopled in the main by a race of Albanian descent, who still used, along with some Greek, the Albanian language. The sense of a separate nationality was, however, weak among these settlers, who, unlike some small Albanian communities in the west of the Morea, were Christians, not Mohammedans. Neighbouthood, commerce, identity of religion, and similarity of local institutions were turning these Albanians into

About the year 1830 the theory was started by Fallmerayer, a Tryrolese writer, that the modern Greeks were the descendants of Stavonic amaders, with scarcely a drop of Greek blood in their veins. Fallmerayer was believed by some good scholars to have proved that the old Greek rece had utterly perished. More recent inquiries have discretified both Fallmerayer and his authorities, and lend to establish the conclusion that, except in certain limited districts, the Greeks left were always numerous enough to about he foreign incomers. [Hopf, Griechenland; in Frisch and Gruber's Fincklopadde, vol. 8x, p. 100]. The Albanian population of Greece in 1820 as reclaimed at about one sixth

Greeks; and no community of pure Hellenic descent played a greater part in the national war, or exhibited more of the maritime energy and daring which we associate peculiarly with the Hellenic name, than the islanders of Hydra and Spetza, who had crossed from the Albanian parts of the Morea and taken possession of these desert rocks not a hundred years before. The same phenomenon of an assimilation of Greeks and Albanians was seen in southern Epirus, the border-ground between the two races. The Suliotes, Albanian mountaineers, whose military exploits form one of the most extraordinary chapters in history, showed signs of Greek influences before the Greek war of independence began, and in this war they made no distinction between the Greek cause and their own. Even the rule of the ferocious Ali Pasha at Janina had been favourable to the extension of Greek civilisation in Epirus. Under this Mohammedan tyrant Janina contained more schools than Athens. The Greek population of the district increased; and in the sense of a common religious antagonism to the Mohammedan, the Greek and the Albanian Christians in Epirus forgot their difference of race.

The central element in modern Greek life was the religious profession of the Orthodox Eastern Church. Where, as in parts of Crete, the Greek adopted Mohammedanism, all the other elements of his nationality together did not prevent him from amalgamating with the Turk. The sound and popular forces of the Church belonged to the lower clergy, who, unlike the priests of the Roman Church, were married and shared the life of the people. If ignorant and bigoted, they were nevertheless the real guardians of national spirit; and if their creed was a superstition rather than a religion, it at least kept the Greeks in a wholesome antagonism to the superstition of their masters. The higher clergy stood in many respects in a different position. The Patriarch of Constantinople was a great officer of the Porte. His dignities and his civil jurisdiction had been restored and even enlarged by the Mohammedan conquerors of the Greek Empire, with the express object of employing the Church as a means of securing obedience to them-

selves: and it was quite in keeping with the history of this great office that, when the Greek national insurrection at last broke out, the Patriarch Gregorius IV. should have consented, though unwillingly, to launch the curse of the Church against it. The Patriarch gained his office by purchase, or through intrigues at the Divan, he paid an enormous annual backsheesh for it, and he was liable to be murdered or deposed as soon as his Mussulman patrons lost favour with the Sultan, or a higher bid was made for his office by a rival ecclesiastic. To satisfy the claims of the Palace the Patriarch was compelled to be an extortioner himself. The bishopries in their turn were sold in his ante-chambers, and the Bishops made up the purchase-money by fleecing their clergy. But in spite of a deserved reputation for yenality, the

The Bishops of a deserved reputation for venality, the Bishops in Greece evercised very great influence, both as ecclesiastics and as civil

Invasurs between Christians arose from the custom of referring disputes to their arbitration or was expressly granted to them by the Sultan, they virtually displaced in all Greek communities the court of the Kadi, and afforded the merchant or the farmer a tribunal where his own law was administered in his own language. Even a Mohammedan in dispute with a Christian would sometimes consent to bring the mitter before the Bishops' Court rather than enforce his right to obtain the dilatory and capticious decision of an Ottoman judge.

The condition of the Greeks living in the country that now forms the Hellenie Kingdom and in the Eggen Islands exhibited strong local contrasts. It was, however, common to all that, while the Turk held the powers of State in his hand, the details of local administration in each district were left to the inhabitants, the Turk caring nothing about these matters so long as the due amount of

Communat was provided. The apportionment of these among households and villages seems to have been the germ of self-government from which several types of municipal organisation, some of them of great importance in the history of the Greek nation, developed in the Paschalik of the Morea the taxes were usually farmed by the Voivodes, or Bevs. the Turkish governors.

of the twenty-three provinces into which the Morea was divided. But in each village or township the inhabitants elected officers called Proestoi, who, besides The Morea collecting the taxes and managing the affairs of their own communities, met in a district-assembly, and there determined what share of the district-taxation each should bear. One Greek officer, called Primate, and one Mohammedan, called Ayan, were elected to represent the district, and to take part in the council of the Pasha of the Morea, who resided at Tripo-The Primates exercised considerable power. Credited originally by the Porte to expedite the collection of the revenue, they became a Greek aristocracy. They were indeed an aristocracy of no very noble kind. Agents of a tyrannical master, they shared the vices of the tyrant and of the slave. Often farmers of the taxes themselves, obsequious and intriguing in the palace of the Pasha at Tripolitza, grasping and despotic in their native districts, they were described as a species of Christian Turk. But, whatever their vices, they saved the Greeks from being left without leaders. They formed a class accustomed to act in common, conversant with details of administration, and especially with the machinery for collecting and distributing supplies. It was this financial experience of the Primates of the Morea which gave to the rebellion

on the north of the Gulf of Corinth the features of the communal system were less distinct than in the Morea. There was, however, in the mountain-country of Ætolia

of the Greeks what little unity of organisation it exhibited

and Pindus a rough military organisation which had done great service to Greece in keeping alive the national spirit and habits of personal independence. The Turks had found a local militia established in this wild

Northern Greece. The Armatoli and Klephts

region at the time of their conquest, and had not interfered with it for some centuries. The Armatoli, or native soldiery, recruited from peasants, shepherds, and muleteers, kept Mohammedan influences at a distance, until, in the eighteenth century, the Sultans made it a fixed rule of policy to diminish their numbers and to reduce the power of their captains. Before 1820 the Armatoli

¹ Maurer, Das Griechische Volk, i. 64.

had become comparatively few and weak; but as they declined, bands of Klephts, or brigands, grew in importance; and the mountaineer who was no longer allowed to practise arms as a guardian of order, enlisted himself among the robbers. Like the freebooters of our own northern border, these brigands became the heroes of song. Though they plundered the Greek as well as the Mohammedan, the national spirit approved their exploits. It was, no doubt, something, that the physical energy of the marauder and the habit of encountering danger should not be wholly on the side of the Turk and the Albanian. But the influence of the Klephts in sustaining Greek nationality has been overrated. They had but recently become numerous, and the earlier organisation of the northern Armatoli was that to which the sound and vigorous character of the Greek peasantry in these regions, the finest part of the Greek race on the mainland, was really due.1

In the islands of the Ægæan the condition of the Greeks was on the whole happy and prosperous. Some of these islands had no Turkish population, in others the caprice of a Sultana, the goodwill of the Capitan Pasha who governed the Archipelago, or the

The Ægæan judicious offer of a sum of money when Islands

money was wanted by the Porte, had so lightened the burden of Ottoman sovereignty, that the Greek island-community possessed more liberty than was to be found in any part of Europe, except Switzerland. The taxes payable to the central government, including the haratsch or poll-tax levied on all Christians, had often been commuted for a fixed sum, which was raised without the interposition of the Turkish tax-gatherer. In Hydra, Spetza, and Psara, the so-called nautical islands, the supremacy of the Turk was felt only in the obligation

καί φερτε τόν πνειματικόν νά μ' έξομολογήση rà τὸν είτω τὰ κρίματα δυα χω καμωμενα Totarra xpore apparelles, e eleges exe elegent

¹ The Greek songs illustrate the conversion of the Armatole into the klepht in the age preceding the Greek revolution. Thus, in the fine ballad called "The Tomb of Demos," which Goethe has translated, the dying man says-

[&]quot;Bring the priest that he may shrive me, that I may tell him the sins that I have committed, thirty years an Armatole and twenty years a Klepht' -Fauriel, Chants Populaires, 1, 56

to furnish sailors to the Ottoman navy, and in the payment of a tribute of about £100 per annum. The government of these three islands was entirely in the hands of the inhabitants. In Chios, though a considerable Mussulman population existed by the side of the Greek, there was every sign of peace and prosperity. Each island bore its own peculiar social character, and had its municipal institutions of more or less value. The Hydriote was quarrelsome, turbulent, quick to use the knife, but outspoken, honest in dealing, and an excellent sailor. The picture of Chian life, as drawn even by those who have judged the Greeks most severely, is one of singular beauty and interest; the picture of a self-governing society in which the family trained the citizen in its own bosom, and in which, while commerce enriched all, the industry of the poor within their homes and in their gardens was refined by the practice of an art. The skill which gave its value to the embroidery and to the dyes of Chios was exercised by those who also worked the hand-loom and cultivated the mastic and the rose. The taste and the labour of man requited Nature's gifts of sky, soil, and sea; and in the pursuit of occupations which stimulated, not deadened, the faculties of the worker, idleness and intemperance were alike unknown.1 How bright a scene of industry, when compared with the grime and squalor of the Énglish factory-town, where the human and the inanimate machine grind out their yearly mountains of iron-ware and calico, in order that the employer may vie with his neighbours in soulless ostentation; and the workman consume his millions upon millions in drink.

The territory where the Greeks formed the great majority of the population included, beyond the boundaries of the present Hellenic Kingdom, the islands adjacent to the coast of Asia Minor, Crete, and the Chalcidic peninsula in Macedonia. But the activity of the race The Greeks was not confined within these limits. If the have ecclesi-Greek was a subject in his own country, he was master in the lands of some of his neighbours. A Greek might exercise power over other Christian subjects of the Porte either as an ecclesiastic, or as the delegate of the Sultan in certain fixed

¹ Finlay, Greece under Ottoman Domination, p. 284.

branches of the administration. The authority of the Patriarch of Constantinople was recognised over the whole of the European provinces of Turkey, except Servia. The Bishops in all these provinces were Greeks; the services of the Church were conducted in the Greek tongue; the revenues of the greater part of the Church-lands, and the fees of all the ecclesiastical courts, went into Greek pockets, In things religious, and in that wide range of civil affairs which in communities belonging to the Eastern Church appertains to the higher religious office, the Greeks had in and the ascendancy which they had possessed under the Byzantine Empire. The dream of the Churchman was not the creation of an independent kingdom of Greece, but the restoration of the Eastern Empire under Greek supremacy. When it was seen that the Slav and the Roman came to the Greek for law, for commercial training, for religious teaching, and looked to the Patriarch of Constantinople as the ultimate judge of all disputes, it was natural that the belief should arise that, when the Turk passed away, the Greek would step into his place. But the influence of the Greeks, great as it appeared to be, did not in reality reach below the surface, except in Epirus. The bishops were felt to be foreigners and extortioners There was no real process of assimilation at work, either in Bulgaria or in the Danubian Provinces The slow and plodding Bulgarian peasant, too stupid for the Greek to think of him as a rival, preserved his own unchanging tastes and nationality, sang to his children the songs which he had learnt from his parents, and forgot the Greek which he had heard in the Church when he re-entered his home In Roumania, the only feeling towards the Greek intruder was one of intense hatred.

Four great offices of the Ottoman Empire were always held by Greeks These were the offices of Dragoman, or the Secretary, of the Porte, Dragoman of the

The Phanarton of the Porte, Dragoman of the leet, and the governorships, called Hospo-officiats of drintes, of Wallichia and Moldavia The the Porte varied business in the Patriarchite in Constantinople, the administration of its revenues, the constantinople.

¹ Kanitz, Donau Bulgarien, 1 123

² titerally, Interpreter the old theory of the Turks being that in their dealings with foreign nations they had only to receive petitions, which required to be translated into Turks.

duct of its law-courts, had drawn a multitude of pushing and well-educated Greeks to the quarter of Constantinople called the Phanar, in which the palace of the Patriarch is situated. Merchants and professional men inhabited the same district. These Greeks of the capital, the so-called Phanariots, gradually made their way into the Ottoman adminstration as Turkish energy declined, and the conquering race found that it could no longer dispense with the weapons of calculation and diplomacy. The Treaty of Carlowitz, made in 1699, after the unsuccessful war in which the Turks laid siege to Vienna, was negotiated on behalf of the Porte by Alexander Maurokordatos, a Chian by birth, who had become physician to the Sultan and was virtually the Foreign Minister of Turkey. His sons, Nicholas and Constantine, were made Hospodars of Wallachia and Moldavia early in the eighteenth century; and from this time for-Greek ward, until the outbreak of the Greek insur-Hospodars rection, the governorships of the Roumanian provinces were entrusted to Phanariot families. The result was that a troop of Greek adventurers passed to the north of the Danube, and seized upon every office of profit in these unfortunate lands. There were indeed individuals among the Hospodars, especially among the Maurokordati, who rendered good service to their Roumanian subjects; but on the whole the Phanariot rule was grasping, dishonest, and cruel. Its importance in relation to Greece was not that it Hellenised the Danubian countries, for that it signally failed to do; but that it raised the standard of Greek education, and enlarged the range of Greek thought, by opening a political and administrative career to ambitious men. The connection of the Phanariots with education was indeed an exceedingly close one. Alexander Maurokordatos was the ardent and generous founder of schools for the instruction of his countrymen in Constantinople as well as in other cities, and for the improvement of the existing language of Greece. His example was freely followed throughout the eighteenth century. It is, indeed, one of the best features in the Greek character that the owner of wealth has so often been, and still so often is, the promoter of the culture of his race. As in

¹ Zallones, Πραγματέια περί των Φαναριωτών, p. 71. Kogalnitchan, La Walachie, i. 371.

Germany in the last century, and in Hungary and Bohemia at a more recent date, the national residual of Greece was preceded by a striking residual of interest in the national language.

The knowledge of ancient Greek was never wholly lost among the priesthood, but it had become useless. Nothing

Greek intellectual movement in the eighteenth century was read but the ecclesiastic commonplace of a pedantic age; and in the schools kept by the clergy before the eighteenth century the ancient language was taught only as a means of imparting divinity. The educational move-

ment promoted by men like Maurokordatos had a double end, it revived the knowledge of the great age of Greece through its literature, and it taught the Greek to regard the speech which he actually used not as a mere barbarous patois which each district had made for itself, but as a language different indeed from that of the ancient world, yet governed by its own laws, and capable of performing the same functions as any other modern tongue. It was now that the Greek learnt to call himself Hellen, the name of his forefathers, instead of Romaios, a Roman. As the new schools grew up and the old ones were renovated or transformed, education ceased to be merely literary In the second half of the eighteenth century science returned in a liumble form to the land that had given it birth, and the range of instruction was widened by men who had studied law, physics, and moral philosophy at foreign Universities Something of the liberal spirit of the inquirers of Western Europe arose among the best Greek teachers Though no attack was made upon the doctrines of the Church, and no direct attack was made upon the authority of the Sultan, the duty of religious toleration was prochimed in a land where bigotry liad hitherto reigned supreme, and the political freedom of ancient Greece was held up as a glorious ideal to a less happy age. Some of the higher clergy and of the independent spirit of the new learning, and for a while it seemed as if the intellectual as well as the political progress of Greece might be endangered by ecclesiastical illwill But the attachment of the Greek people to the Church was so strong and so universal that, although satire might be directed against the Bishops, a breach

with the Church formed no part of the design of any patriot. The antagonism between episcopal and national feeling, strongest about the end of the eighteenth century, declined during succeeding years, and had almost disappeared before the outbreak of the War of Liberation.

The greatest scholar of modern Greece was also one of its greatest patriots. Koraes, known as the legislator of the Greek language, was born in 1748, of Chian parents settled at Smyrna. The love of learning, combined with an extreme in-

dependence of character, made residence insupportable to him in a land where the Turk was always within sight, and where few opportunities existed for gaining wide knowledge. His parents permitted him to spend some years at Amsterdam, where a branch of their business was established. Recalled to Smyrna at the age of thirty, Koraes almost abandoned human society. The hand of a beautiful heiress could not tempt him from the austere and solitary life of the scholar; and quitting his home, he passed through the medical school of Montpellier, and settled at Paris. He was here when the French Revolution began. The inspiration of that time gave to his vast learning and inborn energy a directly patriotic aim. For forty years Koraes pursued the work of serving Greece by the means open to the scholar. The political writings in which he addressed the Greeks themselves or appealed to foreigners in favour of Greece, admirable as they are, do not form the basis of his fame. The peculiar task of Koraes was to give to the reviving Greek nation the national literature and the form of expression which every civilised people reckons among its most cherished bonds of unity. Master, down to the minutest details, of the entire range of Greek writings, and of the history of the Greek language from classical times down to our own century, Koraes was able to select the Hellenic authors. Christian as well as Pagan, whose works were best suited for his countrymen in their actual condition, and to illustrate them as no one could who had not himself been born and bred among Greeks. This was one side of Koraes' literary task. The other was to direct the language of the future Hellenic kingdom into its true course. Classical writing was still understood by the educated in Greece, but the spoken language of the people was something

widely different. Turkish and Albanian influences had

barbursed the vocabulary; centuries of ignorance had given play to every natural irregularity of local dialect. When the restoration of Greek independence came within view, there were Greece some who proposed to revive artificially each form used in the ancient language, and thus, without any real blending, to add the old to the new: others, seeing this to be mpossible, desired that the common idiom, corrupt as it was, should be accepted as a literary language. Koraes chose the middle and the rational path. Taking the best written Greek of the day as his material, he recommended that the forms of classical Greek, where they were not wholly obsolete, should be fixed in the grammar of the language While ridiculing the attempt to restore modes of expression which, even in the written language, lad wholly passed out of use, he proposed to expunge all words that were in fact not Greek at all, but foreign, and to replace them by terms formed according to the natural laws of the language The Greek, therefore, which Koraes desired to see his countrymen recognise as their language, and which he himself used in his writings, was the written Greek of the most cultivated persons of his time, purged of its foreign elements, and methodised by a constant reference to a classical model, which, however, it was not to imitate pedantically. The correctness of this theory has been proved by its complete success. The patoiswhich, if it had been recognised as the language of the Greek kingdom, would now have made Herodotus and Plato foreign authors in Athens, is indeed still preserved in familiar conversation. but it is little used in writing and not trught in schools A language year by year more closely approximating in its forms to that of classical Greece unites the Greeks both with their past and among themselves, and serves as the instrument of a widening Hellenic exclisation in the Fastern Mediterranean. The political object of Koraes has been completely attained in people in Europe is now prouder of its native tongue, or turns it to better account in education, than his countrymen In literature, the renovated language has still its work before it. The lyric poetry that has been written in Greece since the time of Kornes is not wanting, if a foreigner may express an opinion, in tenderness and grace. The writer who shall

ennoble Greek prose with the energy and directness of the ancient style has yet to arise.1

The intellectual advance of the Greeks in the eighteenth century was closely connected with the development of their commerce, and this in its turn was con-Develop-

nected with events in the greater cycle of European history. A period of comparative peace and order in the Levantine waters,

ment of Greek commerce, 1750-1820

following the final expulsion of the Venetians from the Morea in 1718, gave play to the natural aptitude of the Greek islanders for coasting-trade. Their ships, still small and unfit to venture on long voyages, plied between the harbours in the Ægæan and in the Black Sea, and brought profit to their owners in spite of the imposition of burdens from which not only many of the Mussulman subjects of the Sultan, but foreign nations protected by commercial treaties, were free. It was at this epoch, after Venice had lost its commercial supremacy in the Eastern Mediterranean, that Russia began to exercise a direct influence upon the fortunes of Greece. The

A French translation of the Autobiography of Koraes, along with his portrait, will be found in Lettres Inédites de Coray, Paris, 1877. The vehicle of expression usually chosen by Koraes for addressing his countrymen was the Preface (written in modern Greek) to the edition of an ancient author. The second half of the Preface to the Politics of Aristotle, 1822, is a good specimen of his political spirit and manner. It was separately edited by the Swiss scholar, Orelli, with a translation, for the benefit of the German Philhellenes. Among the principal linguistic prefaces are those to Heliodorus, 1804, and the Prodromos, or introduction, to the series of editions called Bibliotheca Græca, begun in 1805, and published at the expense of the brothers Zosimas of Odessa. Most of the editions published by Koraes bear on their title-page a statement of the patriotic purpose of the work, and indicate the persons who bore the expense. The edition of the Ethics, published immediately after the massacre of Chios, bears the affecting words: "At the expense of those who have so cruelly suffered in Chios." The costly form of these editions, some of which contain fine engravings, seems somewhat inappropriate for works intended for national instruction. Koraes, however, was not in a hurry. He thought, at least towards the close of his life, that the Greeks ought to have gone through thirty years more of commercial and intellectual development before they drew the sword. They would in that case, he believed, have crushed Turkey by themselves, and have prevented the Greek kingdom from becoming the sport of European diplomacy. Much miscellaneous information on Greek affairs before 1820 (rather from the Phanariot point of view) will be found, combined with literary history, in the Cours de Littérature Grecque of Rhizos Neroulos, 1827. The more recent treatise of R. Rhankabes on the same subject (also in French, Paris, 1877) exhibits what appears to be characteristic of the modern Greeks, the inability to distinguish between mere passable performances and really great work,

Empress Catherine had formed the design of conquering Constantinople, and intended, under the title of Protectress of the Christian Church, to use the Greeks as her alhes. In the war which broke out between Russia and Turkey in 1768, a Russian expeditionary force landed in the Morea, and the Greeks were persuaded to take up arms. The Moreotes themselves paid dearly for the trust which they had placed in the orthodox Empress. They were virtually abandoned to the vengeance of their oppressors, but to Greece at large the conditions on which peace was

Treaty of Kainardji, of Kainardji, signed in 1774, gave Russia the express right to make representations at

Constantinople on behalf of the Christian inhabitants of the Danubian provinces, it also bound the Sultan to observe certain conditions in his treatment of the Greek islanders. Out of these clauses, Russian diplomacy constructed n general right of interference on behalf of any Christian subjects of the Porte. The Treaty also opened the Black Sea to Russian ships of commerce, and con-ferred upon Russia the commercial privileges of the most favoured nation.1 The result of this compact was a very remarkable one. The Russian Government permitted hundreds of Greek shipowners to hoist its own flag, and so changed the footing of Greek merchantmen in every port of the Ottoman Empire. The burdens which had placed the Greek trader at a disadvantage, when compared with the Mohammedan, vanished. A host of Russian consular agents, often Greeks themselves, was scattered over the Levant. Eager for opportunities of attaching the Greeks to their Russian patrons, quick to make their newly-won power felt by the Turks, these men extracted a definite meaning from the clauses of the Treaty of Kunardu, by which the Porte had bound itself to observe the rights of its Christian subjects. The sense of security in the course of their business, no less than the emanciontion from commercial fetters, gave an immense impulse to Greek traders. Their ships were enlarged; voyages, hitherto limited to the Levant, were extended to England and even to America; and a considerable armament of cannon was placed on board each ship for defence against the attack of Algerian pirates.

¹⁷inkeisen, Geschichte des Osmanischen Reiches, v 939

Before the end of the eighteenth century another war between Turkey and Russia, resulting in the cession of the district of Oczakoff on the northern shore of the Black Sea, made the Greeks both carriers and vendors of the corn-export of Southern Russia. The city of Foundation Odessa was founded on the ceded territory. of Odessa, The merchants who raised it to its sudden prosperity were not Russians but Greeks; and in the course of a single generation many a Greek trading-house, which had hitherto deemed the sum of £3,000 to be a large capital, rose to an opulence little behind that of the great London firms. Profiting by the neutrality of Turkey or its alliance with England during a great part of the revolutionary war, the Greeks succeeded to much of the Mediterranean trade that was lost by France and its dependencies. The increasing intelligence of the people was shown in the fact that foreigners were no longer employed by Greek merchants as their travelling agents in distant countries; there were countrymen enough of their own who could negotiate with an Englishman or a Dane in his own language. The richest Greeks were no doubt those of Odessa and Salonica, not of Hellas proper; but even the little islands of Hydra and Spetza, the refuge of the Moreotes whom Catherine had forsaken in 1770, now became communities of no small wealth and spirit. Psara, which was purely Greek, formed with these Albanian colonies the nucleus of an Ægæan naval Power. The Ottoman Government, cowed by its recent defeats, and perhaps glad to see the means of increasing its resources, made no attempt to check the growth of the Hellenic armed marine. Under the very eyes of the Sultan, the Hydriote and Psarian captains, men as venturesome as the sea-kings of ancient Greece, accumulated the artillery which was hereafter to hold its own against many an Ottoman man-of-war, and to sweep the Turkish merchantmen from the Ægæan. Eighteen years before the Greek insurrection broke out, Koraes, calling the attention of Western Europe to the progress made by his country, wrote the following significant words:—"If the Ottoman Government could have foreseen that the Greeks would create a merchant-navy, composed of several hundred vessels, most of them regularly armed, it would have crushed the movement at its commencement. It is impossible to calculate the effects which may result from the creation of this marine, or the influence which it may evert both upon the destiny of the oppressed nation and upon that of its oppressors."

Like its classic sisterland in the Mediterranean, Greece was stirred by the far-sounding voices of the French

Influence of the French Revolution on Greece Revolution. The Declaration of the Rights of Man, the revival of a supposed antique Republicanism, the victories of Hoele and Bonaparte, successively kindled the en-

thusiasm of a race already restless under the Turkish voke. France drew to itself some of the hopes that had hitherto been fixed entirely upon Russia. Images and ideas of classic freedom invaded the domain where the Church had lutherto been all in all; the very sailors began to call their boats by the names of Spartan and Athenian heroes, as well as by those of saints and martyrs. In 1707 Venice fell, and Bonaparte serzed its Greek possessions, the Ionian Islands. There was something of the forms of liberation in the establishment of French rule; the inhabitants of Zante were at least permitted in make a bonfire of the stately wigs worn by their Venetian masters. Great changes seemed to be near at hand. It was not yet understood that France fought for empire, not for justice; and the man who, above all others, represented the early spirit of the revolution among the Greeks, the poet Rhegas, looked to Bonaparte to give the signal for the rising of the whole of the Christian populations subject to Moliammedan rule. Rhegas, if he was not a wise politician, was a thoroughly brave man, and he was able to serve his country as a martyr. While engaged in Austria in conspiracies against the Sultan's Govern-

ment, and probably in intrigues with Berndotte, French ambressador at Vienna, he was
arrested by the agents of Thiggit, and
handed over to the Turks. He was put to death at Belgrade, with five of his companions, in May, 1798. The
songs of Rhegas soon passed through every household
in Greece. They were a precious treasure to his countrymen, and they have a monortalised his name as a patriot,

¹ Kotaes Méri sire sur l'est acti el de la civilisation de la Grece re publiched in the l'ettres Inédites, p. 464. This memoir, read by Koraes to a l'erme l'societi un Paris in Juniori 1854 is one of the riest luminous ar l'interesting historical skelches ere penned.

But the work which he had begun languished for a time after his death. The series of events which followed Bonaparte's invasion of Egypt extinguished the hope of the liberation of Greece by the French Republic. Among the higher Greek clergy the alliance with the godless followers of Voltaire was seen with no favourable eye. The Porte was even able to find a Christian Patriarch to set his name to a pastoral, warning the faithful against the sin of rebellion, and reminding them that, while Satan was creating the Lutherans and Calvinists, the infinite mercy of God had raised up the Ottoman Power in order that the Orthodox Church might be preserved pure from the heresies of the West.¹

From the year 1798 down to the Peace of Paris, Greece was more affected by the vicissitudes of the Ionian Islands and by the growth of dominion of Ali Pasha in Albania than by the earlier revolutionary ideas. France was deprived of its spoils by

The Ionian Islands, 1798-1815

the combined Turkish and Russian fleets in the

coalition of 1799, and the Ionian Islands were made into a Republic under the protection of the Czar and the Sultan. It was in the native administration of Corfu that the career of Capodistrias began. At the peace of Tilsit the Czar gave these islands back to Napoleon, and Capodistrias, whose ability had gained general attention, accepted an invitation to enter the Russian service. The islands were then successively beleaguered and conquered by the English, with the exception of Corfu; and after the fall of Napoleon they became a British dependency. Thus the three greatest Powers of Europe were during the first years of this century in constant rivalry on the east of the Adriatic, and a host of Greeks, some fugitives, some adventurers, found employment among their armed forces. The most famous chieftain in 1798–1821 the War of Liberation, Theodore Koloko-

trones, a Klepht of the Morea, was for some years major of a Greek regiment in the pay of England. In the meantime

¹ Διδασκαλία Πατρική, by, or professing to be by, Anthimos, Patriarch of Jerusalem, and printed "at the expense of the Holy Sepulchre," p. 13. This curious work, in which the Patriarch at last breaks out into doggerel, has found its way to the British Museum. It was answered by Koraes. For the effect of Rhegas' songs on the people, see Fauriel, ii. 18. Mr. Finlay seems to be mistaken in calling Anthimos' book an answer to the tract of Eugenios Bulgaris on religious toleration. That was written about thirty years before.

All Pasha, on the neighbouring mainland, neither rested himself nor allowed any of his neighbours to rest. The Suliotes, vanquished after vears of heroic defence, migrated in a body to the Ionian Islands in 1804. Every Klepht and Armatole of the Epirote border had fought at some time either for Alı or against him; for in the extension of his violent and crafty rule Ali was a friend to-day and an enemy to-morrow alike to Greek, Turk, and Albanian. When his power was at its height, Ah's court at Janina was as much Greek as it was Mohammedan; soldiers, merchants, professors, all, as it was said, with a longer or a shorter rope round their necks, played their part in the society of the Epirote capital. Among the officers of Ali's army there were some who were soon to be the military rivals of Kolokotrones in the Greek insurrect or Ali's physician, Dr. Kolettes, was gaining an experience and an influence among these men which and experience and an innuclee anding these first which afterwards placed him at the head of the Government. For good or for evil, it was felt that the establishment of a virtually independent kingdom of Albania must deeply affect the fate of Greece, and when at length Ali openly defied the Sultan, and Turkish armies closed round his castle at Janina, the conflict between the Porte and its most powerful vassal gave the Greeks the signal to strike for their own independence.

The secret society which, under the name of Hetaria Philike, or association of friends, inaugurated the rebellion of Greece, was founded in 1814, after it had become clear that the Congress of Vienna would take no steps on behalf of the Christian subjects of the Porte. The founders of this society were traders of Odessa, and its earliest mem-

this society were traders of Odessa, and its criticist members seem to have been drawn more from the Greeks in Russia and in the Danubian provinces than from those of Greece proper.

The object of the conspirace was the expulsion of the Tark from Furope, and the re-establishment of a Greek Fastern Empire. It was pretended by the council of directors that the Emperor Alexander had secretly joined them, and the ingenious fiction was circulated that a society for the preservation of Greek antiquities, for which Capodistrias had guined the patronage of the Czar and other cinities men at the Congress of Vienna, was in feet this Leake Tracky in Southern Greek, by 16, 18.

political association in disguise. The real chiefs of the conspiracy always spoke of themselves as acting under the instructions of a nameless superior power. They were as little troubled by scruple in thus deceiving their followers as they were in planning a general massacre of the Turks, and in murdering their own agents when they wished to have them out of the way. The ultimate design of the Hetæria was an unsound one, and its operations were based upon an imposture; but in exciting the Greeks against Turkish rule, and in inspiring confidence in its own resources and authority, it was completely successful. In the course of six years every Greek of note, both in Greece itself and in the adjacent countries, had joined the association. The Turkish Government had received warnings of the danger which threatened it, but disregarded them until revolt was on the point of breaking out. The very improvement in the condition of the Christians, the absence of any crying oppression or outrage in Greece during late years, probably lulled the anxieties of Sultan Mahmud, who, terrible as he afterwards proved himself, had not hitherto been without sympathy for the Rayah. But the history of France, no less than the history of Greece, shows that it is not the excess, but the sense, of wrong that produces revolution. A people may be so crushed by oppression as to suffer all conceivable misery with patience. It is when the pulse has again begun to beat strong, when the eye is fixed no longer on the ground, and the knowledge of good and evil again burns in the heart, that the right and the duty of resistance is felt.

again burns in the heart, that the right and the duty of resistance is felt.

Early in 1820 the ferment in Greece had become so general that the chiefs of the Hetæria were compelled to seek at St. Petersburg for the Russian leader who had as yet existed only in their imagination. There was no dispute as to the person to whom the task of restoring the Eastern Empire rightfully belonged. Capodistrias, at once a Greek and Foreign Capodistrias and Hypsi-lanti of European statesmen; he was known to love the Greek cause; he was believed to possess the strong personal affection of the Emperor Alexander. The deputies of the Hetæria besought him to place himself at its head. Capodistrias, however, knew better than any

other man the force of those influences which would dissuade the Czar from assisting Greece He had himself published a pamphlet in the preceding year recommending his countrymen to take no rash step, and, apart from all personal considerations, he probably believed that he could serve Greece better as Minister of Russia than by connecting himself with any dangerous enterprise rejected the offers of the Hetærists, who then turned to a soldier of some distinction in the Russian army, Prince Alexander Hypsilanti, a Greek exile, whose grandfather, after governing Wallachia as Hospodar, had been put to death by the Turks for complicity with the designs of Rhegas It is said that Capodistrias encouraged Hypsilanti to attempt the task which he had himself declined, and that he allowed him to believe that if Greece once rose in arms the assistance of Russia could not long be withheld. Hypsilanti, sacrificing his hopes of the recovery of a great private fortune through the intercession of the Czar at Constantinople, placed himself at the head of the Hetæria, and entered upon a career for which, with the exception of personal courage proved in the campaigns against Napoleon, he seems to have possessed no single qualification.

In October, 1820, the leading Hetlensts met in council at Ismail to decide whether the insurrection against the Turk should begin in Greece itself or in the Danubian

The Hetarist provinces Most of the Greek officers in the service of Sutsos, the Hospodar of Moldavia, were ready to join the revolt With the exception of a few companies serving as police, there were no I tarkish soldiers north of the Danube, the Sultan liaving bound limiself by the Freaty of Bucharest to send no troops into the Principalities without the Czar's consent It does not appear that the Hetarists lind yet formed any calculation as to the probable action of the Rommanian people; they had certainly no raison to behave that this race bore good-will to the Greek, or that it would make any effort to place a Greek upon the Sultan's throne. The conspirators at Ismail were so far on the right track that they decided that the outbreak should begin, not on the

Men lelsechn Bart olds. Ceschichte Criechenlands i 145 from ite pape wit Bypis and which er. Otherwise in Ir weich Other Atfall der Griechen, i 14

Danube, but in Peloponnesus. Hypsilanti, however, full of the belief that Russia would support him, reversed this conclusion, and determined to raise his standard in Moldavia. And now for the first time some account was taken of the Roumanian population. It was known that the mass of the people groaned under the feudal oppression of the Boyards, or landowners, and the Boyards themselves detested the government of the Greek Hospodars. A plan found favour among Hypsilanti's advisers that the Wallachian peasantry should first be called to arms by a native leader for the redress of their own grievances, and that the Greeks should then step in and take control of the insurrectionary movement. Theodor Wladimiresco, a Roumanian who had served in the Russian army, was ready to raise the standard of revolt among his countrymen. It did not occur to the Hetærists that Wladimiresco might have a purpose of his own, or that the Roumanian population might prefer to see the Greek adventure fail. No sovereign by divine right had a firmer belief in his prerogative within his own dominions than Hypsilanti in his power to command or outwit Roumanians, Slavs, and all other Christian subjects of the Sultan.

The feint of a native rising was planned and executed. In February, 1821, while Hypsilanti waited on the Russian frontier, Wladimiresco proclaimed the abolition of feudal services, and marched with a horde of Hypsilanti peasants upon Bucharest. On the 6th of in Rou-March the Hetærists began their own inmania, March, 1821 surrection by a deed of blood that disgraced the Christian cause. Karavias, a conspirator commanding the Greek troops of the Hospodar at Galatz, let loose his soldiers and murdered every Turk who could be hunted down. Hypsilanti crossed the Pruth next day, and appeared at Jassy with a few hundred followers. clamation was published in which the Prince called upon all Christian subjects of the Porte to rise, and declared that a great European Power, meaning Russia, supported him in his enterprise. Sutsos, the Hospodar, at once handed over all the apparatus of government, and supplied the insurgents with a large sum of money. Two thousand armed men, some of them regular troops, gathered round Hypsilanti at Jassy. The roads to the Danube lay open

¹ Gordon, Greek Revolution, i. o6.

before him; the resources of Moldivia were at his disposal, and hid he at once thrown a force into Galatz and Ibraila, he might perhaps have made it difficult for Turkish troops to gain a looting on the north of the Danibe.

But the incapacity of the leader became evident from the moment when he began his enterprise. He lottered for a week at Jassy, holding court and conferring titles, and then, setting out for Buchtrest, whisted three weeks more upon the road. In the monitume the news of the insurrection, and of the translulent use that had been made of his own name, reached the Czar, who was now engaged at the Congress of Laibath. Alexander was

The Crar at the Congress of Labrah Alexander was at this moment abandoning luniself luart and soul to Metternich's reactionary influence,

and ordering his generals to make reads a hundred thousand men to put down the revolution in He received with distring a letter from Hypsilanti invoking his aid in a rising which was first described in the phrases of the Holy Alliance as the result of a divine inspirition, and then exhibited as a misterwork of secret societies and widespread conspiracy. stern answer was sent back. Hypsilanti was dismissed from the Russim service, he was ordered to In down his arms, and a manifesto was published by the Russian Consulat Jassy declaring that the Czar repudated and condefined the enterprise with which his name had been connected. The Patriarch of Constantinople, helpless in the presence of Sultan Walimud, now issued a band of excommunication against the leader and all his followers. Some weeks later the Congress of Laubich officially branded the Greek revolt is a work of the same anarchical spirit which had preduced the revolutions of Iraly and

The disavowal of the Heterist emerprise by the Crat was fatal to its success. Hypsilanti, indeed, put on a bold comingance and pretended that the public interances of the Russian Court wire a more blind, and in contradiction

The enterprise talls coming from Russia, Le'd aloof, or treated the insurguists them to the Mental the insurguists. Turkish troops crossed the Danube, and the last type Papers in [15]. Hypsilanti fell back from Bucharest towards the Austrian frontier. Wladimiresco followed him, not however to assist him in his struggle, but to cut off his retreat and to betray him to the enemy. It was in vain that the bravest of Hypsilanti's followers, Georgakis, a Greek from Olympus, sought the Wallachian at his own headquarters, exposed his treason to the Hetærist officers who surrounded him, and carried him, a doomed man, to the Greek camp. Wladimiresco's death was soon avenged. The Turks advanced. Hypsilanti was defeated in a series of encounters, and fled ignobly from his followers, to seek a refuge, and to find a prison, in Austria. Bands of his soldiers, forsaken by their leader, sold their lives dearly in a hopeless struggle. At Skuleni, on the Pruth, a troop of four hundred men refused to cross to Russian soil until they had given battle to the enemy. Standing at bay, they met the onslaught of ten times their number of pursuers. Georgakis, who had sworn that he would never fall alive into the enemy's hands, kept his word. Surrounded by Turkish troops in the tower of a monastery, he threw open the doors for those of his comrades who could to escape, and then setting fire to a chest of powder, perished in the explosion, together with his assailants.

The Hetærist invasion of the Principalities had ended in total failure, and with it there passed away for ever the dream of re-establishing the Eastern Empire under Greek ascendancy. But while this enterprise, planned in vain reliance upon foreign aid and in blind assumption of leadership over an alien race, collapsed

through the indifference of a people to whom the Greeks were known only as oppressors.

Revolt of the Morea, the Greeks were known only as oppressors, April 2, 1821

that genuine uprising of the Greek nation, which, in spite of the nullity of its leaders, in spite of the crimes, the disunion, the perversity of a race awaking from centuries of servitude, was to add one more to the free peoples of Europe, broke out in the real home of the Hellenes, in the Morea and the islands of the Ægæan. Soon after Hypsilanti's appearance in Moldavia the Turkish governor of the Morea, anticipating a general rebellion of the Greeks, had summoned the Primates of his province to Tripolitza, with the view of seizing them as hostages. The Primates of the northern district set out, but halted on their way, debating whether they should

raise the standard of insurrection or wait for events. While they lingered irresolutely at Kalavry ta the decision passed out of their hands, and the people rose throughout the Morea. The revolt of the Moreot Greeks against their oppressors was from the first, and with set purpose, a war of extermination "The Turk," they sang in their warsongs, "shall live no longer, neither in Morea nor in the whole earth." Insterrible resolution was, during the first weeks of the revolt, carried into literal effect. The Turks who did not fly from their country-houses to the towns where there were garrisons or citadels to defend them, were attacked and murdered with their entire families, men, women and children. This was the first act of the revolution; and within a few weeks after the 2nd of April, on which the first outbreaks occurred, the open country was swept clear of its Ottoman population, which had numbered about 25,000, and the residue of the lately dominant race was collected within the walls of Patras, Tripolitza, and other towns, which the Greeks forthwith began to belearquer's

The news of the revolt of the Morea and of the massacre of Mohammedans reached Constantinople, striking terror into the politicians of the Turkish capital, and rousing the Sultan Mahmud to a vengeance tiger-like

Terrorism in its ferocity, but deliberate and calculated like every bloody deed of this resolute and able sovereign Reprisals had already been made upon the Greeks at Constantinople for the acts of Hypsilanti, and a number of innocent persons lad been put to death by the executioner, but no general attack upon the Christians had been suggested, nor had the work of punishment passed out of the hands of the government useff. Now, however, the fury of the Mohammedan populace was let loose upon the infidel. The Sultan called upon his subjects to arm themselves in defence of their faith. Executions were redoubled; solders and on the most secred day of the Greek Church a blow was struck which sent a thrill over Fastern Furope. The Patriarch of Const intinople had celebrated the struce which inshers in the dawn of Faster Sunday, when he

¹ Finley, 1 18- Gordon, i 203, K. Men leisohn, Geschichte Griechen lan is, 1 193, Prokesch Osten, Malt der Griechen, i 20

was summoned by the Dragoman of the Porte to appear before a Synod hastily assembled. There an order of the Sultan was read declaring Gregorius IV. a Execution traitor, and degrading him from his office. of the The Synod was commanded to elect his suc-Patriarch, April 22 cessor. It did so. While the new Archbishop was receiving his investiture, Gregorius was led out, and was hanged, still wearing his sacred robes, at the gate of his palace. His body remained during Easter Sunday and the two following days at the place of execution. It was then given to the Jews to be insulted, dragged through the streets, and cast into the sea. The Archbishops of Adrianople, Salonica, and Tirnovo suffered death on the same Easter Sunday. The body of Gregorius, floating in the waves, was picked up by a Greek ship and carried to Odessa. Brought, as it was believed, by a miracle to Christian soil, the relics of the Patriarch received at the hands of the Russian government the funeral honours of a martyr. Gregorius had no doubt had dealings with the Hetærists; but he was put to death untried; and whatever may have been the real extent of his offence, he was executed not for this but in order to strike terror into the Sultan's Christian subjects.

During the succeeding months, in Asia Minor as well as in Macedonia and at Constantinople itself, there were wholesale massacres of the Christians, and the churches of the Greeks were pillaged or destroyed by their Massacre of enemies, both Jews and Turks. Smyrna, Christians. Adrianople, and Salonica, in so far as these Apriltowns were Greek, were put to the sack; thousands of the inhabitants were slain by the armed mobs who held command, or were sold into slavery. It was only the fear of a war with Russia which at length forced Sultan Mahmud to stop these deeds of outrage and to restore some of the conditions of civilised life in the part of his dominions which was not in revolt. The Russian aimy and nation would have avenged the execution of the Patriarch by immediate war if popular instincts had governed its ruler. Strogonoff, the ambassador at Constantinople, at once proposed to the envoys of the other Powers to unite in calling up war-ships for the protection of the Christians. Joint action was, however, declined by Lord Strangford, the representative of England, and the Porte was encouraged by the attitude of this politician to treat the threats of Strogonoff with indifference. There was an interval during which the destiny of a great part of Eastern

Europe depended upon the fluctuations of a single infirm will. The Czar had thoroughly identified himself while at Laibach with the principles and the - ' n, and had assented to the placed the Greek rebellion, Îtalian insurrections, under the pan of Lutope Reculining to St Petersburg, Alexander, in spite of the veil that intercepts from every sovereign the real thoughts and utterances of his people, found himself within the range of widely different influences. Russian passions were not roused by what might pass in Italy or Spain. The Russian priest, the soldier, the peasant understood nothing of theories of federal intervention, and of the connection between Neapolitan despotism and the treaties of 1815: but his blood boiled when he heard that the chief priest of his Church had been murdered by the Sulfan, and that a handful of his brethren were fighting for their faith unhelped. Mexander felt to some extent the throb of national spirit. There had been a time in his life when a single hour of strong emotion or of overpowering persuasion had made him renounce every obligation and unite with Napolcon against his own allies, and there were those who in 1821 believed that the Czar would as suddenly break loose from his engagements with Metternich and throw himself, with a funatical army and nation, into a crusade against the Lurk Sultan Mahmud had himself given to the Russian party of action a ground for denouncing him in the name of Russian honour and interests independently of all that related to Greece. In order to prevent the escape of suspected persons, the Porte had ordered Russian vessels to be se irched at Constantinople, and it had forced all corn-ships coming from the Euxine to discharge their cargoes at the Bosphorus, under the apprehension that the corn-supplies of the capital would be cut off by Greek vessels in command of the Agrean. Further, Russia had by treaty the right to insist that the Danubian Principalities should be governed by their civil authorities, the Hospodars, and not by Turkish Pashas. The insurrection in Wallachia had been put down, but the rule of Hospodars had not

been restored; Turkish generals, at the head of their forces, still administered their provinces under military law. On all these points Russia had at least the semblance of grievances of its own. The outrages which shocked all Europe were not the only wrong which Russian pride called upon the Czar to redress. The influence of Capodistrias revived at St. Petersburg. A despatch was sent to Constantinople declaring that the Porte had begun a war for life or death with the Christian religion, and that its continued existence among the Powers of Europe must depend upon its undertaking to restore the churches which had been destroyed, to guarantee the inviolability of Christian worship in the future, and to discriminate in its punishments between the innocent and the guilty. Presenting this ultimatum from his ambassador master, Strogonoff, in accordance with his leaves Coninstructions, demanded a written answer stantinople, July 27 within eight days. No such answer came.

On the 27th of July the ambassador quitted Constantinople. War seemed to be on the point of breaking out.

The capital where these events were watched with the greatest apprehension was Vienna. The fortunes of the Ottoman Empire have always been most intimately connected with those of Austria; and although the long struggle of the House of Hapsburg policy of with Napoleon and its wars in recent times with Prussia and with Italy have made the western aspect of Austrian policy more prominent and more familiar than its eastern one, the eastern interests of the monarchy have always been at least as important in the eyes of its actual rulers. Before the year 1720 Austria, not Russia, was the great enemy of Turkey and the aggressive Power of the east of Europe. After 1780 the Emperor Joseph had united with Catherine of Russia in a plan for dividing the Sultan's dominions in Europe, and actually waged a war for this purpose. In 1795 the alliance, with the same object, had been prospectively revived by Thugut; in 1809, after the Treaty of Tilsit, Metternich had determined in the last resort to combine with Napoleon and Alexander in dismembering Turkey, if all diplomatic means should fail to prevent a joint attack on the Porte by France and Russia. But this resolution had been adopted by Metternich only as a matter of necessity, and in view of a combination which threatened to reduce Austria to the position of a vassal State. Metternich's own definite and consistent policy after 1814 was the maintenance of the Ottoman Timorie. His statesmanship was as a rule, governed

policy after 1814 was the maintenance of the Ottoman Empire. His statesmanship was, as a rule, governed by lear, and his fear of Alexander was second only to his old fear of Napoleon. Times were changed since Joseph and Thugut could hope to enter upon a game of aggression with Russia upon equal terms. The Austrian army had been beaten in every battle that it had fought during nearly twenty years. Province after province had been severed from it, without, except in the Tyrol, raising a hand in its support, and when in 1821 the Minister compared Austria's actual empire and position in Europe, won and maintained in great part by his own diplomacy, with the ruin to which a series of wars had brought it ten years before, he might well thank Heaven that international Congresses were still so much in favour with the Courts, and tremble at the clash of arms which from the remote Morea threatened to call Napoleon's northern conquerors once more into the field.

Lingland was not, like Austria, exposed to actual danger by the advance of Russia towards the Ægæan; but the growth of Russian power had been viewed with alarm by

Eastern for policy of Fingland

English politicians since 1788, when Più had formed a triple alliance with Prussia and Holland for the purpose of defending the Porte against the attacks of Catherine and

Joseph. The interest of Great Britain in the maintenance of the Ottoman Empire had not been laid down as principle before that date, nor was it then acknowledged by the Whig party. It was asserted by Pitt from considerations relating to the European balance of power, not, as in our own times, with a direct reference to England's position in India. The course of events from 1702 to 1807 made England and Russari for awhite natural allies, but this friendship was turned into hostility by the Treaty of Tilsit; and although after a few verts Alexander was again fighting for the same cause as Great Britain, and the public opinion of this country enthististically, linded the issue of the Moscow campaign, English statesmen never forgint the interview upon the

Metternich im fin eine, Prokesch O fen, i nit, 303 B and I State Lagers, vin inge

Niemen, and never, in the hrightest moments of victory, regarded Alexander without some secret misgivings. During the campaign of 1814 in France, Castlereagh's willingness to negotiate with Bonaparte was due in great part to the fear that Alexander's high-wrought resolutions would collapse before Napoleon could be thoroughly crushed, and that reaction would carry him into a worse peace than that which he then disdained. The negotiations at the Congress of Vienna brought Great Britain and Russia, as it has been seen, into an antagonism which threatened to end in the resort to arms; and the tension which then and for some time afterwards existed between the two governments led English Ministers to speak, certainly in exaggerated and misleading language, of the mutual hostility of the English and the Russian nations. From 1815 to 1821 the Czar had been jealously watched. It had been rumoured over and over again that he was preparing to invade the Ottoman Empire; and when the rebellion of the Greeks broke ont, the one thought of Castlereagh and his colleagues was that Russia must be prevented from throwing itself into the fray, and that the interests of Great Britain required that the authority of the Sultan should as soon as possible be restored throughout his dominions.

Both at London therefore and at Vienna the rebellion of Greece was viewed by governments only as an unfor-tunate disturbance which was likely to excite

war between Russia and its neighbours, and to imperil the peace of Europe at large. It may seem strange that the spectacle of a

nation rising to assert its independence should not even have aroused the question whether its claims deserved to be considered. But to do justice at least to the English Ministers of 1821, it must be remembered how terrible, how overpowering, were the memories left by the twenty years of European war that had closed in 1815, and at how vast a cost to mankind the regeneration of Greece would have been effected, if, as then seemed probable, it had ranged the Great Powers again in arms against one another, and re-kindled the spirit of military aggression which for a whole generation had made Europe the prey of rival coalitions. It is impossible to read the letter in

¹ Records, Continent, iii,

which Castlerengh pleaded with the Czar to sacrifice his own glory and popularity to the preservation of European peace, without perceiving in what profound earnestness the English statesmin sought to avert the renewal of an epoch of conflict, and how much the apprehension of coming calamity predominated in his own mind over the mere jealousy of an extension of Russian power. If Castlereagh had no thought for Greece itself, it was because the larger interests of Europe wholly absorbed him, and because he lacked the imagination and the insight to conceive of a better adjustment of European aflairs under the widening recognition of national rights. The Minister of Austria, to whom at this crisis Castlereagh looked as his natural ally, had no doubt the same dread of a renewed convulsion of Europe, but in his case it was mingled with considerations of a much narrower kind. It is not correct to say that Metternich was indifferent to the

Metternich and the Greeks

Greek cause; he actually hated it, because it gave a stimulus to the liberal movement of Germany. In his empty and pedantic philosophy of human action, Metternich linked

sophy of human action, Metternich Insked tagether every form of naturnal aspiration and unrest as something presumptious and wanton. He understood nothing of the debt that mankind owes to the spirit of freedom. He was just as ready to dogmitise upon the wickedness of the English Reform Bill as he was to trace the hand of Capodistrias in every tumult in Servia or the Moren, and even if there had been no fear of Russian aggression in the lankground, he would instinctively lave condemned the Greek resolt when he saw that the lightly haded professors in the German Universities were beginning to agitate in its favour, and that the recalcitant minor Courts regarded it with some degree of sympathy.

The policy of Metternich in the Fastern Question had for its object the maintenance of the existing order of ithings, and as it was certain that some satisfaction or other must be given to Russian pride, Metternich's counsel was that the grievances of the Crar which were specifically Russian should be iteraly distinguished from questions relation to the independence of Greece; and that on the former the Porie should be recommended to agree with its adversary quickly, the good offices of Furope being

employed within given limits on the Czar's behalf; so that, the Russian causes of complaint being removed, Alexander might without loss of honour leave the Greeks to be subdued, and resume the diplomatic relations with Constantinople which had been so perilously severed by Strogonoff's departure. It remained for the Czar to decide whether, as head of Russia adheres to and protector of the Christians of the East, policy of he would solve the Eastern Question by his own sword, or whether, constant to the principle and ideal of international action to which he had devoted himself since 1815, he would commit his cause to the joint mediation of Europe, and accept such solution of the problem as his allies might attain. In the latter case it was clear that no blow would be struck on behalf of For a year or more the balance wavered; at length the note of triumph sounded in the Austrian Cabinet. Capodistrias, the representative of the Greek cause at St. Petersburg, rightly measured the force of the opposing impulses in the Czar's mind. He saw that Alexander, interested as he was in Italy and Spain, would never break with that federation of the Courts which he had himself created, nor shake off the influences of legitimism which had dominated him since the Congress of Aix-la-Chapelle. Submitting when contention had become hopeless, and anticipating his inevitable fall by a voluntary retirement from public affairs, Capodistrias, still high in credit and repu- Capodistrias retires. tation, quitted St. Petersburg under the form Aug., 1822 of leave of absence, and withdrew to Geneva, there to await events, and to enjoy the distinction of a patriot whom love for Greece had constrained to abandon one of the most splendid positions in Europe. Grave, melancholy, and austere, as one who suffered with his country, Capodistrias remained in private life till the vanquished cause had become the victorious one, and the liber-

quished cause had become the victorious one, and the liberated Greek nation called him to place himself at its head. An international diplomatic campaign of vast activity and duration began in the year 1821, but the contest of arms was left, as Metternich desired, to the Greeks and the Turks alone. The first act of the war was the insurrection of the Morea: the second was the extension of this insurrection over parts of Continental Greece and the D-2

Archipelago, and its summary extinction by the Tirk in certain districts, which in consequence remained for the future outside the area of hostilities, and so the Greete revolt Kingdom. Central Greece—that is the country lying immediately north of the Corinthian Gulf—broke into revolt a few weeks later than

the Morea. The rising against the Mohammedans was distinguished by the same merciless spirit; the men were generally massacred; the women, if not killed, were for the most part sold into slavery; and when

Central Greece after an interval of three years, Lord Byron came to Missolonghi, he found that a miserable band of twenty-three captive women formed the sole remnant of the Turkish population of that town. Thessaly, with some exceptions, remained passive, and its maction was of the utmost service to the Turkish cause; for Ali Pasha in Epiriis was now being besieged by the Sultan's armies, and if Thessaly had risen in the rear of these troops they could scarcely have escaped destruction. Khurshid, the Ottoman commander conducting the siege of Janina, held firmly to his task, in spite of the danger which threatened his communications, and in spite of the circumstance that his whole household had fallen into

the hands of the Moreot maurgents. His Fall of Alt tenacity saved the border-provinces for the 1822 of thoman Empire. No combination was effected between Ali and the Greeks, and at

the beginning of 1822 the Albanian chieftain lost both his stronghold and his life. In the remoter district of Chalcidee, on the Macedonian coast, where the prointerory of Athos and the two parallel pennisulas run out that the Legean, and a Greek population, clearly severed from the Stayic inhibitants

of the mainland, maintained its own communal and religious organisation, the national revolt broke out inder Heterist leaders. The monks of Moint Athos, like their neighbours, took up arms. But there was little sympathy between the privileged chiefs of these abbyes and the desperate men who lind come to head the revolt. The struggle was soon aliandioned, and, parth by force of arms, parth by negotiation, the authority of the Sultin was restored without much difficulty throughout this region.

The settlements of the Ægæan which first raised the flag of Greek independence were the so-called Nautical Islands, Hydra, Spetza, and Psara, where the absence of a Turkish population and the enjoyment of a century of self-government had allowed the bold qualities of an energetic maritime race to grow to their full vigour. Hydra and Spetza were close to the Greek coast, Psara was on the farther side of the archipelago, almost within view of Asia Minor; so that in joining the insurrection its inhabitants showed great heroism, for they were exposed to the first attack of any Turkish force that could maintain itself for a few hours at sea, and the whole adjacent mainland was the recruiting-ground of the Sultan. At Hydra the revolt against the Ottoman was connected with the internal struggles of the little community, and these in their turn were connected with the great economical changes of Europe which, at the opposite end of the continent, and in a widely different society, led to the enactment of the English Corn Laws, and to the strife of classes which resulted from them. During Napoleon's wars the carrying trade of most nations had become extinct; little corn reached England, and few besides Greek ships navigated the Euxine and Mediterranean. When peace opened the markets and the ports of all nations, just as the renewed importation of foreign corn threatened to lower the profits of English farmers and the rents of English landlords, so the reviving freedom of navigation made an end of the monopoly of the Hydriote and Psarian mer-The shipowners formed an oligarchy in Hydra; the captains and crews of their ships, though they shared the profits of each voyage, were excluded from any share in the government of the island. Failure of trade, want and inactivity, hence led to a political opposition. The shipowners, wealthy and privileged men, had no inclination to break with the Turk; the captains and sailors, who had now nothing to lose, declared for Greek independence. There was a struggle in which for a while nothing but the commonest impulses of need and rapacity came into play; but the greater cause proved its power: Hydra threw in its lot with Greece; and although private greed and ill-faith, as well as great cruelty, too often disgraced both the Hydriote crews and those of the other islands, the nucleus of a naval force was now formed which made the achievement of Greek independence possible. The three islands which led the way were son followed by the wealthier and more populous Samos and by the greater part of the Archipelago Crete, inhabited by a mived Greek and Turkish population, also took up arms, and was for years to come the scene of a bloody and destructive warfare.

Within the Morea the first shock of the revolt had made the Greeks masters of everything outside the fortified towns. The reduction of these places was at once undertaken by the insurgents. Tripolitza, lately the seat of the Turkish government, was the centre of operations, and in the neighbourhood of this town the first provisional government of the Greeks, called

The Greek leaders the Senate of Kaltesti, was established.
Demetrius Hypsilanti, a brother of the Hetærist leader, whose failure in Roumania was not yet known, landed in the Morea and claimed supreme power. He was tumultuously welcomed by the peasant-soldiers, though the Primates, who had hitherto held undisputed swav, bore him no good will. Two other men became priminent at this time as leaders in the Greek War of Liberation. These were Maurokordatos, a descendant of Interation. These were Matrioterators, a descendant in the Hospodars of Wallnchus—a politician superior to all his rivals in knowledge and breadth of view, but wanting in the faculty of action required by the times, and Kolokotrones, a type of the rough fighting Klepht, a mere savage in attainments, scarcely able to read or write, cunning, grossly avaricious and faithless, incapable in cunning, grossis avaricious and faturiess, incapable in appreciating either militars or moral discipline, but a born soldier in his own irregular way, and a hero among peasants as ignorant as lumeelf. There was yet another, who, if his character had been equal to his station, would have been placed at the head of the government of the Minrer. This was Petribor, chief of the family of Mauromichalis, ruler of the rugged district of Maina, in the south-west of Peloponnesus where the Turk had never established more than nominal sovereignty. A joyral, princels person exercising among his claimmen a mild Homeric way. Petrobei, surrounded by his nine vigorous sons, was the most picturesque figure in Greece—But he had no genuis for great things. A sovereignix, which in

other hands might have expanded to national dominion, remained with Petrobei a mere ornament and curiosity; and the power of the deeply-rooted clan-spirit of the Maina only made itself felt when, at a later period, the organisation of a united Hellenic State demanded its sacrifice.

Anarchy, egotism, and ill-faith disgraced the Greek insurrection from its beginning to its close. There were, indeed, some men of unblemished honour among the leaders, and the peasantry in the Tripolitza, Oct. 5, 1821 courage year after year, but the action of most of those who figured as representatives of the people brought discredit upon the national cause. Their first successes were accompanied by gross treachery and cruelty. Had the Greek leaders been Bourbon kings, nurtured in all the sanctities of divine right, instead of tax-gatherers and cattle-lifters, truants from the wild school of Turkish violence and deceit, they could not have perjured themselves with lighter hearts. On the surrender of Navarino, in August, 1821, after a formal capitulation providing for the safety of its Turkish inhabitants, men, women, and children were indiscriminately massacred. The capture of Tripolitza, which took place two months later, was changed from a peaceful triumph into a scene of frightful slaughter by the avarice of individual chiefs, who, while negotiations were pending, made their way into the town, and bargained with rich inhabitants to give them protection in return for their money and jewels. The soldiery, who had undergone the labours of the siege for six months, saw that their reward was being pilfered from them. Defying all orders, and in the absence of Demetrius Hypsilanti, the commander-in-chief, they rushed upon the fortifications of Tripolitza, and carried them by storm. A general massacre of the inhabitants followed. For three days the

he rode from the gateway to the citadel his horse's hoofs never touched the ground.

work of carnage was continued in the streets and houses, until few out of a population of many thousands remained

living. According to the testimony of Kolokotrones himself, the roads were so choked with the dead, that as

¹ Kolokotrones, Λιήγησις Συμβάντων, p. 82; Tricoupis, ⁶στορίε i. 61, 92.

In the opening scenes of the Greek insurrection the barbarity of Christians and of Ottomans was perhaps on a flevel. The Greek revenged himself with the ferocity of

The Massacre of Chios, resorted to wholesale massacre and extermination as the normal means of government

in troubled times And as experience has shown that the savagery of the European yields in one generation to the influences of civilised rule, while the Turk remains as inhuman to-day as he was under Mahmud II., so the history of 1822 proved that the most devilish passions of the Greek were in the end but a poor match for disciplined Turkish prowess in the work of butchery. It was no easy matter for the Sultan to requite himself for the sack of Iripolitza upon Kolokotrones and his victorious soldiers; but there was a peaceful and inoffensive population elsewhere, which offered all the conditions for free, unstinted, and unimperilled vengeance which the Furk desires A body of Samian troops had landed in Chios, and endeavoured, but with little success, to excite the inhabitants to revolt, the absence of the Greek fleet rendering them an almost certain prey to the Sultan's troops on the mainland. The Samian leader nevertheless refused to ahandon the enterprise, and laid siege to the citadel, in which there was a Turkish garrison. Before this fortress could be reduced, a relieving army of seven thousand Turks, with hosts of familical volunteers, landed on the island. The Samins fled; the miserable population of Chios was given up to massacre. For week after week the soldiery and the roving hordes of Ottomans slew, pilliged, and sold into slavery at their pleasure parts of the island where the inhabitants took refuge in the monasteries, they were sloughtered by thousands together; others, tempted back to their homes by the promulgation of an amnests, perished family by family The lot of those who were spared was almost more pitiable than of those who died. The slave-markets of Egypt and Tunis were gluited with Chian captives. The gentleness, the culture, the moral worth of the Chim community made its fate the more tragical. No district in Europe lind exhibited a civilisation more free from the vices of its type; on no community had there fallen in modern times so terrible a catastrophe. The estimates

of the destruction of life at Chios are loosely framed; among the lowest is that which sets the number of the slain and the enslaved at thirty thousand. The island, lately thronging with life and activity, became a thinly-populated place. After a long period of depression and the slow return of some fraction of its former prosperity, convulsions of nature have in our own day again made Chios a ruin. A new life may arise when the Turk is no longer master of its shores, but the old history of Chios is closed for ever.

The impression made upon public opinion in Europe by the massacre of 1822 was a deep and lasting one, although it caused no immediate change in the action of Governments. The general feeling of sympathy for the Greeks and hatred for the Turks, which ultimately forced the Governments to take up a different policy, was intensified by a brilliant deed of daring by which a Greek captain avenged the Chians upon their devastator, and by the un-

expected success gained by the insurgents on the mainland against powerful armies of the Sultan. The Greek executive, which was now headed by Maurokordatos, had been guilty of gross neglect in not sending over the fleet in time to prevent the Turks from landing in Chios. When once this landing had been effected, the ships which afterwards arrived were powerless to prevent the massacre, and nothing could be attempted except against the Turkish fleet itself. The instrument of destruction employed by the Greeks was the fire-ship, which had been used with success against the Turk in these same waters in the war of 1770. The sacred month of the Ramazan was closing, and on the night of June 18, Kara Ali, the Turkish commander, celebrated the festival of Bairam with above a thousand men on board his flag-ship. The vessel was illuminated with coloured lanterns. In the midst of the festivities, Constantine Kanaris, a Psarian captain, brought his fire-ship unobserved right up to the Turkish man-of-war, and drove his bowsprit firmly into one of her portholes; then, after setting fire to the combustibles, he stepped quietly into a row-boat, and made away. A breeze was blowing, and in a moment the Turkish crew were enveloped in a mass of flames. The powder on board exploded; the boats were sunk; and the verse with its doomed crew, burned to the water-edge, its companions sheering off to save themselves from the shower of blazing fragments that fell all around. Kara Alı was killed by a broken mast; a few of his men saved their lives by swimming or were picked up by rescuers, the rest perished Such was the consternation caused by the deed of Kanaris, that the Ottoman fleet forthwith quitted the Agrean waters, and took refuge under the guns of the Dar-Kanaris, unknown before, became from this exploit a famous man in Europe It was to no stroke of fortune or mere audacity that he owed his success, but to the finest combination of nerve and nautical skill. 11is feat, which others were constantly attempting, but with little success, to imitate, was repeated by him in the same year He was the most brilliant of Greek seamen, a simple and modest hero, and after his splendid achievements in the war of liberation, he served his country well in a political career Down to his death in a hale old age, he was with justice the idol and pride of the Greek nation

The fall of the Albanian rebel Alt Pasha in the spring of 1822 made it possible for Sultan Mahmud, who had hitherto been crippled by the resistance of Janina, to throw

Doubte invasion of Greece, 1822 had as yet had to deal only with scattered for the manufacture of the manufa

detachments or isolated garrisons, now found themselves exposed to the attack of two powerful armies Khurshid, the conqueror of Ali Pasha, took up his head quarters at Larissa in Thessaly, and from this base the two invading armies marched southwards on diverging The first, under Omer Brionis, was ordered to make its was through Southern I pirus to the western entrance of the Corinthian Gulf, and there to cross into the Moren, the second under Drimph, to reduce Central Greece, and enter the Morea by the isthmus of Corintly, the conquest of Tripolitza and the relief of the Turkish coast-fortresses which were still uncaptured being the ultimate end to be accomplished by the two armies in combination with one another and with the Ottoman fleet-No fewer than fifty thousand men were under the orders of the Turkish commanders, the division of Drainah being by far the larger of the two Agunst this formed ble

enemy the Greeks possessed poor means of defence, nor were their prospects improved when Maurokordatos, the President, determined to take a military command, and to place himself at the head of the troops in Western Greece. There were indeed urgent reasons for striking with all possible force in this quarter. The Suliotes, after seventeen years of exile in Corfu, had returned to their mountains, and were now making common cause with Greece. They were both the military outwork of the insurrection, and the political link between the Hellenes and the Christian communities of Albania, whose action might become of decisive importance in the struggle against the Turks. Maurokordatos rightly judged the relief of Suli to be the first and most pressing duty of the Government. Under a capable leader this effort would not have been beyond the power of the Greeks; directed by a politician who knew nothing of military affairs, it was perilous in the highest degree. Maurokordatos, taking the command out of abler hands, pushed his troops forward to the neighbourhood of Arta, mismanaged everything, and after committing a most important post to Botzares, an Albanian chieftain of doubtful fidelity, left two small regiments exposed to the attack of the Turks in mass. One of these regiments, called the corps of Philhellenes, was composed of foreign officers who had volunteered to serve in the Greek cause as

common soldiers. Its discipline was far Destruction superior to anything that existed among the Greeks themselves; and at its head were men Arta, July 16

who had fought in Napoleon's campaigns.

But this corps, which might have become the nucleus of a regular army, was sacrificed to the incapacity of the general and the treachery of his confederate. Betrayed and abandoned by the Albanian, the Philhellenes met the attack of the Turks gallantly, and almost all perished. Maurokordatos and the remnant of the Greek troops now retired to Missolonghi. The Suliotes, left to their own resources, were once more compelled to quit their mountain home, and to take refuge in Corfu. Their resistance, however, delayed the Turks for some months, and it was not until the beginning of November that the army of Omer Brionis, after conquering the intermediate territory, appeared in front of Missolonghi. Here the presence of

Maurokordatos produced a better effect than in the field. He declared that he would never leave the town as long as a man remained to fight the Turks. De-

Unsuccessful siege of Missolonghi, Nov., 1822

as a man remained to light the Turks. Defences were erected, and the hesiegers kept at bay for two months. On the 6th of January, 1823, Brionis ordered an assault. It was beaten back with heavy loss; and the-

Ottoman commander, hopeless of maintaining his position throughout the winter, abandoned his artillery, and retind

into the interior of the country.1

In the meantime Dramah had advanced from Thessah with twenty-four thousand infantry and six thousand cavalry, the most formidable armament that had been seen in Greece since the final struggle between the Turks and Venetians in 1715. At the terror of his approach all lopes of resistance vanished. He marched through Becotia and

Dramali passes the Isthmus of Corinth, July, 1822

e vanished. He marched through Bocotia and Attica, devinstating the country, and reached the isthmus of Corinth in July, 1822. The mountain passes were abandoned by the Greeks; the Government, whose seat was at Argos, dispersed, and Dramah moved on

Argos, dispersed; and Dramali moved on to Nauplin, where the Turkish garrison was on the point, of surrendering to the Greeks. The entrance to the Morea had been won; the very shadow of a Greek government had disappeared, and the definite suppression of the revolt seemed now to be close at hand. But two fatal errors of the enemy saved the Greek cause. Dramali neglected to garrison the passes through which he had advanced; and the commander of the Ottoman fleet, which ought to have met the land-force at Nauplia, disobeyed his instructions and sailed on to Patras. Two Greeks, at this crisis of their country's history, proved themselves equal to the call of events. Demetriis Hypsilanti, now President of the Legislature, refused to fly with his colleagues, and threw himself, with a few hundred men, into the Acropolis of Argos. Kolokotrones, hastening to Tripolitza, called out every man capable of bearing nrms, and hurried back to Argos, where the Turks were still held at bay by the defenders of the citadel. Dramali could no longer think of marching into the interior of the Morea. The gallantry of Demetrins had given time for the assemblage of a considerable force, and the Ottoman general now dis-

covered the ruinous effect of his neglect to garrison the passes in his rear. These were seized by Kolokotrones. The summer-drought threatened the Turkish army with famine; the fleet which would have rendered them independent of land-supplies was a hundred miles away; and Dramali, who had lately seen all Greece at his feet, now found himself compelled to force his His retreat way back through the enemy to the isthmus and destruction. The measures taken by Kolokotrones to intercept his retreat were skilfully planned, and had they been adequately executed not a man of the Ottoman army would have escaped. It was only through the disorder and the cupidity of the Greeks themselves that a portion of Dramali's force succeeded in cutting its way back to Corinth. Baggage was plundered while the retreating enemy ought to have been annihilated, and divisions which ought to have co-operated in the main attack sought trifling successes of their own. But the losses and the demoralisation of the Turkish army were as ruinous to it as total destruction. Dramali himself fell ill and died; and the remnant of his troops which had escaped from the enemy's hands perished in the neighbourhood of Corinth from sickness and want.

The decisive events of 1822 opened the eyes of European Governments to the real character of the Greek national rising, and to the probability of its ultimate Greek Civil -success. The forces of Turkey were ex-Wars, 1824 hausted for the moment, and during the succeeding year no military operations could be under-taken by the Sultan on anything like the same scale. It would perhaps have been better for the Greeks themselves if the struggle had been more continuously sustained. Nothing but foreign pressure could give unity to the efforts of a race distracted by so many local rivalries, and so many personal ambitions and animosities. Scarcely was the extremity of danger passed when civil war began among the Greeks themselves. Kolokotrones set himself up in opposition to the Legislature, and seized on some of the strong places in the Morea. This first outbreak of the so-called military party against the civil authorities was, however, of no great importance. The Primates of the Morea took part with the representatives of the islands and of Central Greece against the disturber of the peace,

and an accommodation was soon arranged. Konduriottes, a rich shipowner of Hydra, was made President, with Kolettes, a politician of great influence in Central Greece, as his Minister. But in place of the earlier antagonism between soldier and civilian, a new and more dangerous antagonism, that of district against district, now threatened the existence of Greece. The tendency of the newgovernment to sacrifice everything to the interest of the islands at once became evident. Konduriottes was a thoroughly incompetent man, and made himself ridiculous by appointing his friends, the Hydriote sea-captains, to the highest military and civil posts. Repellion again broke out, and Kolokotrones was joined by his old antagonists, the Primates of the Morea. A serious struggle ensued, and the government, which was really conducted by Kolettes, displayed an energy that surprised both is friends and its foes. The Morea was invaded by a powerful force from Hydra. No merey was shown to the districts which supported the rebels. Kolokotrones was thoroughly defeated, and compelled to give himself up to the Government. He was carried to Hydra and thrown into prison, where he remained until new peril again rendered his services indispensable to Greece.

After the destruction of Dramali's army and the failure of the Ottoman navy to effect any result whatever, the

Mahmud calls for the help of Feven in fact be a task beyond hus own unaded

net) of in fact be a task beyond his own unauted Fgypi power. Even if the mainland were conquired, it was certain that the Turkish fleet could not reduce the islands, nor present the passage of supplies and reinforcements from these to the ports of the Morea-Stranious as Vafimud find futherto shown fumed in crushing his vassals who, like Ah Pasha, attempted to establish an authority independent of the central government, he now found himself compelled to apply to the most dangerous of them all for assistance. Mehemet Ah, Pasha of Fgypt, lind risen to power in the disturbed time that followed the expulsion of Napoleon's forces from Teypt. His fleet was more powerful than that of Turkets, the had organised an arms composed of Arabs, negroes, and fellahs, and had introduced into it, by means of Trench others, the military system and discipling of

Europe. The same reform had been attempted in Turkey seventeen years before by Mahmud's predecessor, Selim III., but it had been successfully resisted by the soldiery of Constantinople, and Selim had paid for his innovations with his life. Mahmud, silent and tenacious, had long been planning the destruction of the Janissaries, the mutinous and degraded representatives of a once irresistible force, who would now neither fight themselves nor permit their rulers to organise any more effective body of troops in their stead. It is possible that the Sultan may have believed that a victory won over the enemies of Islam by the re-modelled forces of Egypt would facilitate the execution of his own plans of military reform; it is also possible that he may not have been unwilling to see his vassal's resources dissipated by a distant and hazardous enterprise. Not without some profound conviction of the urgency of the present need, not without some sinister calculation as to the means of dealing with an eventual rival in the future, was the offer of aggrandisement—if we may judge from the whole tenor of Sultan Mahmud's career and policy—made to the Pasha of Egypt The Pasha was wited to assume the supreme command of the Ottoman forces by land and sea, and was promised the island of Crete in return for his co-operation against the Hellenic Messages to this effect reached Alexandria at the beginning of 1824. Mehemet, whose ambition had no limits, welcomed the proposals of his sovereign with ardour, and, while declining the command for himself, accepted it on behalf of Ibrahim, his adopted son.

The most vigorous preparations for war were now made at Alexandria. The army was raised to 90,000 men, and new ships were added to the navy from English dock-yards. A scheme was framed for the combined operation of the Egyptian and the Turkish forces which appeared to render the ultimate conquest of Egyptian Greece certain. It was agreed that the island

of Crete, which is not sixty miles distant from the southern extremity of the Morea, should be occupied by Ibrahim, and employed as his place of arms; that simultaneous or joint attacks should then be made upon the principal islands of the Ægæan; and that after the capture of these strongholds and the destruction of the maritime resources

of the Greeks, Ibrahim's troops should pass over the narrow sea between Crete and the Morea, and complete their work by the reduction of the mainland, thus left destitute of all chance of succour from without. Crete,

like Sicily, is a natural stepping-stone be-Egyptians tween Europe and Africa, and when once conquer Crete. the assistance of Egypt was invoked by the Sultan, it was obvious that Crete became the April, 1824 position which above all others it was necessary for the Greeks to watch and to defend. But the wretched Government of Konduriottes was occupied with domestic struggles. The appeal of the Cretans for pro-tection remained unanswered, and in the spring of 1824 a strong Egyptian force landed on this island, captured its fortresses, and suppressed the resistance of the in-habitants with the most frightful cruelty. The hase of operations had been won, and the combined attacks of the Egyptian and Turkish fleets upon the smaller islands followed. Casos, about thirty miles east of Crete, was surprised by the Egyptians, and its population exterminated. Psara was selected for the attack of the Turkish fleet. Since the beginning of the insurrection the Psariotes had been the scourge and terror of the Ottoman coasts. The services that they lind rendered in the Greek nay had been priceless, and if there was one spot of Greek soil which ought to have been pro-

Destruction of Psara,
July, 1824

Description of Psara,
July, 1824

Description of Psara,
July, 1824

Nat. in spite of repeated warnings, the Greek

Yet, in spite of repeated warnings, the Greek Government allowed the Turkish fleet to pass the Dardinellos unobserved, and some climas (tants were tonoigh to blind it to the real object of an expedition whose aim was known to all Turope. There were ample means for succontring the islanders, as subsequent events proved; but when the Turkish admiril, Khosniw, with ro ocomen on board, appeared before Psara, the Greek fleet wis far away. The Psarotes themselves were over-confident. They trusted to their batteries on land, and believed their rocks to be impregnable. They were soon undecrived. While a corps of Albanians scaled the cliffs behind the town, the Turks gained a footing in front, and overwhelmed their gallant enems by weight of numbers. No mercy was asked or given. Fight thousand of the

Psarians were slain or carried away as slaves. Not more than one-third of the population succeeded in escaping to the neighbouring islands.

The first part of the Turko-Egyptian plan had thus been successfully accomplished, and if Khosrew had attacked Samos immediately after his first victory, this island would probably have fallen before help could arrive. But, like other Turkish commanders, Greek suc-Khosrew loved intervals of repose, and he cesses off now sailed off to Mytilene to celebrate the the coast of Asia Minor festival of Bairam. In the meantime the September, catastrophe of Psara had aroused the Hydriote Government to a sense of its danger. A strong fleet was sent across the Ægæan, and adequate measures were taken to defend Samos both by land and sea. The Turkish fleet was attacked with some success, and though Ibrahim with the Egyptian contingent now reached the coast of Asia Minor, the Greeks proved themselves superior to their adversaries combined. The operations of the Mussulman commanders led to no result; they were harassed and terrified by the Greek fire-ships; and when at length all hope of a joint conquest of Samos had been abandoned, and Ibrahim set sail for Crete to carry out his own final enterprise alone, he was met on the high seas by the Greeks, and driven back to the coast of Asia Minor. During the autumn of 1824 the disasters of the preceding months were to some extent retrieved, and the situation of the Egyptian fleet would have become one of some peril if the Greeks had maintained their guard Ibrahim throughout the winter. But they underrated the energy of Ibrahim, and surrendered themselves to the belief that he would not repeat cember, 1824 the attempt to reach Crete until the following spring. Careless, or deluded by false information, they returned to Hydra and left the seas unwatched. Ibrahim

Gordon, ii. 138. The news of this catastrophe reached Metternich at Ischl on July 30th. "Prince Metternich was taking an excursion, in which, unfortunately, I could not accompany him. I at once sent Francis after him with this important letter, which he received at a spot where the name of the Capitan Pasha had probably never been heard before. The prince soon came back to me; and (pianissimo, in order that the friends of Greece might not hear it) we congratulate one another on the event, which may very well prove le commencement de la fin for the Greek insurrection," (Gentz.)

saw his opportunity, and, setting sail for Crete at the beginning of December, he reached it without falling in with the enemy.

The snowy heights of Taygetus are visible on a clear winter's day from the Cretan coast, yet, with their enemy actually in view of them, the Greeks neglected to guard the passage to the Morea On the 22nd of

Ibrahim in the Morea, Feb . 1825

February, 1825, Ibrahim erossed the sea unopposed and landed five thousand men at Modon He was even able to return to Crete

and bring over a second contingent of superior strength before any steps were taken to hinder his movements. The fate of the mainland was now settled I brahim marched from Modon upon Navarino, defeated the Greek forces on the way, and captured the garrison placed in the Island of Sphakteria—the scene of the first famous surrender of the Spartans—before the Greek fleet could arrive to relieve it The forts of Navarino then capitulated, and Ibrahim Morea It was in vain that the old chief Kolokotrones was brought from his prison at Hydra to take supreme con-The conqueror of Dramali was unable to resist the onslaught of Ibrahim's regiments, recruited from the fierce races of the Soudan, and fighting with the same arms and under the same discipline as the best troops in Europe Kolokotrones was driven back through Tripolitza, and retired as the Russians had retired from Moscow, leaving a deserted capital behind him | Ibrahim give his troops no rest, he hurried onwards against Nauplia, and on the 24th of June received the summit of the mountain-pass that looks down upon the Argolic Gulf. "Ah, little island," he era I as he saw the rock of Hydra stretched below him, "how long wilt thou escape me?" At Naupha itself the Egyptian commander rode up to the viry gates and scanned the defences, which he hoped to carry at the first midst of general flight and panic Demetrius Hypsilanti was again the undannied soldier. He threw himself with some few hundreds of men into the mills of Ferna and there best back the dum's sanguard when it attempted to carry this post by storm. The Proping recognised that with men life these in fruit of him Naupha could be reduced only by a regular siege. He retired for a while

upon Tripolitza, and thence sent out his harrying columns, slaughtering and devastating in every direction. seemed to be his design not merely to exhaust the resources of his enemy but to render the Morea a desert, and to exterminate its population. In the very birthplace of - European civilisation, it was said, this savage, who had already been nominated Pasha of the Morea, intended to extinguish the European race and name, and to found for himself upon the ashes of Greece a new barbaric state composed of African negroes and fellaheen. That such design had actually been formed was denied by the Turkish Government in answer to official inquiries, and its existence was not capable of proof. But the brutality of one age is the stupidity of the next, and Ibrahim's violence recoiled upon himself. Nothing in the whole struggle between the Sultan and the Greeks gave so irresistible an argument to the Philhellenes throughout Europe, or so directly overcame the scruples of Governments in regard to an armed intervention in favour of Greece, as Ibrahim's alleged policy of extermination and re-settlement. The days were past when Europe could permit its weakest member to be torn from it and added to the Mohammedan world.

One episode of the deepest tragic interest yet remained in the Turko-Hellenic conflict before the Powers of Europe stepped in and struck with weapons stronger than those

which had fallen from dying hands. The town of Missolonghi was now beleaguered by the Turks, who had invaded Western Greece while Ibrahim was overrunning the Morea.

Missolonghi, April, 1825-April, 1826

Missolonghi had already once been besieged without success; and, as in the case of Saragossa, the first deliverance appears to have inspired the townspeople with the resolution, maintained even more heroically at Missolonghi than at the Spanish city, to die rather than capitulate. From the time when Reschid, the Turkish

commander, opened the second attack by land and sea in the spring of 1825, the garrison and the inhabitants met every movement of the enemy with the most obstinate resistance. It was in vain that Reschid broke through the defences with his artillery, and threw mass after mass

upon the breaches which he made. For month after month the assaults of the Turks were uniformly repelled, until at length the arrival of a Hydriote squadron forced

the Turkish fleet to retire from its position, and made the situation of Reschid himself one of considerable danger And now, as winter approached, and the guerrilla binds in the rear of the besiegers grew more and more active, the Egyptian army with its leader was called from the Morea to carry out the task in which the Turks had failed The Hydriote sea-captains had departed, believing their presence to be no longer needed, and although they subsequently returned for a short time, their services were grudgingly rendered and ineffective lbrahim, settling down to his work at the beginning of 1826, conducted his operations with the utmost vigour, boasting that he would accomplish in fourteen days what the Turks could not effect in nine months. But his veteran soldiers were thoroughly defeated when they met the Greeks hand to hand, and the Fgy ptian, furious with his enemy, his allies, and his own officers, confessed that Missolonghi could only be taken by blockade. He now ordered a fleet of flutbottomed boats to he constructed and launched upon the lagoons that he between Missolonghi and the open ser Missolonghi was thus completely surrounded; and when the Greek admirals appeared for the last time and endeavoured to force an entrance through the shallows, they found the besieger in full command of waters inaccessible to themselves, and after one unsuccessful effort abridoned Missolonghi to its fate. In the third week of April, 1826 exactly a year after the commencement of the siege, the supply of food was extrusted. The resolution, long made, that the entire population, men, women, and children-should fall by the enemy's sword rather than surrenderwas now actually carried out. On the night of the 22nd of April all the Viscolonghiots, with the exception of those whom age, exhaustion, or illness made unable to leave their homes, were drawn up in bands at the city gates, the women armed and dressed as men, the children carrying pistols. Preceded by a body of soldiers, they crossed the most under Turkish fire. The attact of the vanguard carried exerctions before it, and a way was cut through the Turkish lines. But at this moment some ery of con-fusion was mistalen by those who were still on the bridges for an order to retreat. A portion of the non-combatants returned into the town, and with them the rearguerd of the nulttary escont. The leading divisions his years, con-

tinued their march forward, and would have escaped with the loss of some of the women and children, had not treachery already made the Turkish commander acquainted with the routes which they intended to follow. They had cleared the Turkish camp, and were expecting to meet the bands of Greek armatoli, who had promised to fall upon the enemy's rear, when, instead of friends, they encountered troop after troop of Ottoman cavalry and of Albanians placed in ambush along the road between Missolonghi and the mountains. Here, exhausted and surprised, they were cut down without mercy, and out of a body numbering several thousands not more than fifteen hundred men, with a few women and children, ultimately reached places of safety. Missolonghi itself was entered by the Turks during the sortie. The soldiers who had fallen back during the confusion on the bridges proved that they had not acted from cowardice. They fought unflinchingly to the last, and three bands, establishing themselves in the three powder magazines of the town, set fire to them when surrounded by the Turks, and perished in the explosion. Some thousands of women and children were captured around and within the town, or wandering on the mountains; but the Turks had few other prisoners. The men were dead or free.

From Missolonghi the tide of Ottoman conquest rolled eastward, and the Acropolis of Athens was in its turn the object of a long and arduous siege. The Government, which now held scarcely any territory on the mainland except Nauplia, where it was itself

Fall of the Most

Acropolis threatened by Ibrahim, made the most of Athens, vigorous efforts to prevent the Acropolis from June 5, 1827 falling into Reschid's hands. All, however, was in vain. The English officers, Church and Cochrane, who were now placed at the head of the military and naval forces of Greece, failed ignominiously in the attack which they made on Reschid's besieging army; and the garrison capitulated on June 5, 1827. But the time was past when the liberation of Greece could be prevented by any Ottoman , victory. The heroic defence of the Missolonghiots had achieved its end. Greece had fought long enough to enlist the Powers of Europe on its side; and in the same month that Missolonghi fell the policy of non-intervention was definitely abandoned by those Governments which were

52

best able to carry their intentions into effect. If the struggle had ended during the first three years of the insurrection, no hand would have been raised to prevent the restoration of the Sultan's rule. Russia then lay as if spell-bound beneath the diplomacy of the Holy Alliance; and although in the second year of the war the death of Castlereagh and the accession of Canning to power had given Greece a powerful friend instead of a powerful for within the British Ministry, it was long before England stirred from its neutrality. Canning indeed made no secret of his sympathies for Greece, and of his desire to give the weaker belligerent such help as a neutral might afford, but when he took up office the time had not come when intervention would have been useful or possible. Changes in the policy of other great Powers and in the situation of the belligerents themselves were, he considered, necessity before the influence of England could be successfully employed in establishing peace in the East.

A vigorous movement of public opinion in favour of Greece mide itself felt throughout Western Europe as the struggle continued; and the vivid and formatte interest excited over the whole civilised world by the death of Lord Byron in 1824, among the people whom he had come to free, probably served the Greek cause better than all this Byron could have achieved lind his life been prolonged in France and England, where public oninion had great influence on the action of the Government, as well as in Germany, where it had none whatever, societies were formed for assisting the Greeks with arms, stores, and money. The first proposal, however, for a joint inter-

First
Russian proJect of Joint
Intervention

Carris Government to anticinate that England

ts-if might soon assume that channionship

of the Greek cruse which Russia, at the bidding of Metternich and of Canning's preference, had up to if it time declined. If the Greek were to be befriended, it was intolerable that others should nlike the patron. Accordingly, on the 12th of Inventy, 1824, a note was submitted in the Crus's name to all the Courts of Furupe, containing a plan for a settlement of the Greek question, which it was proposed that the great Powers of

Europe should enforce upon Turkey either by means of an armed demonstration or by the threat of breaking off all diplomatic relations. According to this scheme, Greece, apart from the islands, was to be divided into three Principalities, each tributary to the Sultan and garrisoned by Turkish troops, but in other respects autonomous, like the Principalities of Moldavia and Wallachia. The islands were to retain their municipal organisation as before. one respect this scheme was superior to all that have succeeded it, for it included in the territory of the Greeks both Crete and Epirus; in all other respects it was framed in the interest of Russia alone. Its object was simply to create a second group of provinces like those on the Danube, which should afford Russia a constant opportunity for interfering with the Ottoman Empire, and which at the same time should prevent the Greeks from establishing an independent and self-supporting State. The design cannot be called insidious, for its object was so palpable that not a single politician in Europe was deceived by it; and a very simple ruse of Metternich's was enough to draw from the Russian Government an explicit declaration against the independence of Greece, which was described by the Czar as a mere chimera. But of all the parties concerned, the Greeks themselves were loudest in denunciation of the Russian plan. Their Government sent a protest against it to London, and was assured by Canning in reply that the support of this country should never be given to any scheme for disposing of the Greeks without their own consent. Elsewhere the Czar's note was received with expressions of politeness due to a Court which it might be dangerous to contradict; and a series of conferences was opened at St. Petersburg for the purpose of discussing propositions which no one intended to carry into execution. Though Canning ordered the British ambassador at St. Petersburg to dissociate himself from these proceedings, the conferences dragged on, with long adjournments, from the spring of 1824 to the summer of the following year.1

In the meantime a strong spirit of discontent was rising in the Russian army and nation. The religious feeling no

¹ Prokesch-Osten, i. 253, iv. 63. B. and F. State Papers, xii. 902. Stapleton, Canning, p. 496. Metternich, p. 127. Wellington, N.S. ii. 372-396.



of his troops at one of the great reviews intended to be held in the south of Russia in the autumn of 1825. On the death of the monarch a provisional government was at once to be established, and a constitution proclaimed.

Alexander, aware of the rising indignation of his people, and irritated beyond endurance by the failure of his diplomatic efforts, had dissolved the St. Petersburg Conferences in August, 1825, and declared that Russia would henceforth act according to its own discretion. He quitted St. Petersburg and travelled to the Black Sea, accompanied by some of the leaders of the war-party. Here, plunged in a profound the Czar, melancholy, conscious that all his early hopes Death of the Czar, Dec. 1, 1825 had only served to surround him with conspirators, and that his sacrifice of Russia's military interests to international peace had only rendered his country impotent before all Europe, he still hesitated to make the final determination between peace and war. A certain mystery hung over his movements, his acts, and his intentions. Suddenly, while all Europe waited for the signal that should end the interval of suspense, the news was sent out from a lonely port on the Black Sea that the Czar was dead. Alexander, still under fifty years of age, had welcomed the illness which carried him from a world of cares, and closed a career in which anguish and disappointment had succeeded to such intoxicating glory and such unbounded hope. Young as he still was for one who had reigned twenty-four years, Alexander was of all men the most life-weary. Power, pleasure, excitement, had lavished on him hours of such existence as none but Napoleon among all his contemporaries had enjoyed. They had left him nothing but the solace of religious resignation, and the belief that a Power higher than his own might yet fulfil the purposes in which he himself had failed. Ever in the midst of great acts and great events, he had missed greatness himself. Where he had been best was exactly where men inferior to himself considered him to have been worst—in his hopes; and these hopes he had himself abandoned and renounced. Strength, insight, unity of purpose, the qualities which enable men to mould events, appeared in him but momentarily or in semblance. For want of them the large and fair horizon of his earlier years was first obscured and then wholly blotted out from his view, till in the end nothing but his pietism and his generosity distinguished him from the politicians of repression whose

instrument he had become.

The sudden death of Alexander threw the Russian Court into the greatest confusion, for it was not known who was to succeed him. The heir to the throne was his brother Constantine, an ignorant and brutal savage, who had just sufficient sense not to desire to be Czar of Russia, though he considered himself good enough to tyrannise over the Poles. Constantine had renounced his right to the crown some years before, but the renunciation had not been made public, nor had the Grand Duke Nicholas, Constantine's younger brother, been made aware that the succession was irrevocably fixed upon himself. Accordingly, when the news of Alexander's death reached St. Petersburg, and the document embodying Constantine's abdication was brought from the archives by the officials to whose keeping it had been entrusted, Nicholas refused

to acknowledge it as binding, and caused the Mititary troops to take the oath of allegiance to Coninsurrection stantine, who was then at Warsaw. at St. Petersburg, stantine, on the other hand, proclaimed his Dec. 26, 1825 brother emperor. An interregnum of threeweeks followed, during which messages passed between Warsaw and St. Petersburg, Nicholas positively refusing to accept the crown unless by his elder brother's direct command. This at length arrived, and on the 26th of December Nicholas assumed the rank of sovereign, the interval of incertainty ltad been turned to good account by the conspirators at St. Petersburg. already taken by the soldiers to Constantine enabled the officers who were concerned in the plot to denounce Nicholas as a usurper, and to disguise their real designs under the cloak of lovalty to the legitumate Czar. Ignorant of the very meaning of a constitution, the common soldiers mutuned because they were told to do so; and it is said that they shouted the word Constitution, believing it to be the name of Constantine's wife. When summoned to take the oath to Nicholas, the Moscow Regiment refused it, and marched off to the place in front of the Senate House, where it formed square, and repulsed an attack made upon it by the Cavalry of the Guard. Companies

from other regiments now joined the mutineers, and symptoms of insurrection began to show themselves among the civil population. Nicholas himself did not display the energy of character which distinguished him through all his later life; on the contrary, his attitude was for some time rather that of resignation than of selfconfidence. Whether some doubt as to the justice of his cause haunted him, or a trial like that to which he was now exposed was necessary to bring to its full strength the iron quality of his nature, it is certain that the conduct of the new Czar during these critical hours gave to those around him little indication of the indomitable will which was henceforth to govern Russia. Though the great mass of the army remained obedient, it was but slowly brought up to the scene of revolt. Officers of high rank were sent to harangue the insurgents, and one of these, General Miloradovitsch, a veteran of the Napoleonic campaigns, was mortally wounded while endeavouring to make himself heard. It was not until evening that the artillery was ordered into action, and the command given by the Czar to fire grape-shot among the insurgents. The effect was decisive. The mutineers fled before a fire which they were unable to return, and within a few minutes the insurrection was over. It had possessed no chief of any military capacity; its leaders were missing at the moment when a forward march or an attack on the palace of the Czar might have given them the victory; and among the soldiers at large there was not the least desire to take part in any movement against the established system of Russia. The only effect left by the conspiracy within Russia itself was seen in the rigorous and uncompromising severity with which Nicholas henceforward enforced the principle of autocratic rule. The illusions of the previous reign were at an end. A man with the education and the ideas of a drill-sergeant and the religious assurance of a Covenanter was on the throne; rebellion had done its worst against him; and woe to those who in future should deviate a hair's breadth from their duty of implicit obedience to the sovereign's allsufficing power.1

It has been stated, and with some probability of truth,

¹ Korff, Accession of Nicholas, p. 253; Herzen, Russische Verschwörung, p. 106; Mendelssohn, i. 396. Schnitzler, Histoire Intime, i. 195.

that the military insurrection of 1825 disposed the new Czar to a more vigorous policy abroad. The conspirators, when on their trial, declared it to have been their intention to throw the army at once into an attack upon the Turks; and in so doing they would certainly have had the feeling of the nation on their side. Nicholas himself had little or no sympathy for the Greeks. They were a democratic people, and the freedom which they sought to gain was nothing but anarchy. "Do not speak of the

AngloRussian

AngloRussian

AngloRussian

AngloRussian Protocol, April 4, 1826 Serve the Greek democracy, both inclination and policy urged him to make an end of his predecessor's faint-hearted system of negotiation, and to bring the struggle in the East to a summary close. Canning had nlready, in conversation with the Russian ambassador at London, discussed a possible change of policy on the part of the two rival Courts. He now saw that the time had come for establishing new relations between Great Britan and Russin, and for attempting that co-operation in the East which he had held to be impracticable during Alexander's reign. The Duke of Wellington was sent to St. Petershing, nominally to offer the usual congratulations to the new sovereign, in reality in dissuide time from going to war, and to propose either the separate intervention of England or a joint intervention by England and Russia on behalf of Greece. The mission was successful. It was in vain that Metternich endervoured to entangle the new Czar in the diplomatic web that had so long held his predecessor. The spell of the Holy Alliance was broken. Nicholas looked on the past influence of Austria on the Pastern Question only with resentment; he would hear of no more conferences of ambassadors; and on the 4th of April, 1826, a Protocol was signed at St. Petersburg, by which Great Britain and Russia fixed the conditions under which the mediation of the furmer Power was to be tendered to the Porte. Greece was to remain tributary to the Sultan; if was, however, to be governed by its own elected authori-ties, and to be completely independent in its commercial relations. The policy known in our own day as that of bag-sand-baggage expulsion was to be criried out in a furmore extended sense than that in which it has been advocated by more recent champions of the subject races of the East; the Protocol of 1826 stipulating for the removal not only of Turkish officials but of the entire surviving Turkish population of Greece. All property belonging to the Turks, whether on the continent or in the islands, was to be purchased by the Greeks.¹

Thus was the first step taken in the negotiations which ended in the establishment of Hellenic independence. The Protocol, which had been secretly signed, was submitted after some interval to the other Courts of Europe. At Vienna it was received with the utmost disgust. Metternich had at first declared the union of England and Russia to be an impossibility. When this union was actually established, no language was sufficiently strong to express his mortification and his spite. At one moment he declared that Canning was a revolutionist who had entrapped the young and inexperienced Czar into an alliance with European radicalism; at another, that England had made itself the cat's-paw of Russian ambition. Not till now, he protested, could Europe understand what it had lost in Castlereagh. Nor did Metternich confine himself to lamentations. While his representatives at Paris and Berlin spared no effort to excite the suspicion of those Courts against the Anglo-Russian project of intervention, the Austrian ambassador at London worked upon King George's personal hostility to Canning, and conspired against the Minister with that important section of the English aristocracy which was still influenced by the traditional regard for Austria. Berlin, however, was the only field where Metternich's diplomacy still held its own. King Frederick William had not yet had time to acquire the habit of submission to the young Czar Nicholas, and was therefore saved the pain of deciding which of two masters he should obey. In spite of his own sympathy for the Greeks, he declined to connect Prussia with the proposed joint-intervention, and remained passive, justifying this course by the absence of any material interests of Prussia in the East. Being neither a neighbour of the Ottoman Empire nor a maritime Power,

¹ B. and F. State Papers, xiv. 630; Metternich, iv. 161, 212, 320, 372; Wellington, N.S. ii. 85, 148, 244; Gentz, D. I., iii. 315.

Prussia liad, in fact, no direct means of making its influence felt.

France, on whose action much more depended, was now governed wholly in the interests of the Legitimist party. Louis XVIII, had died in 1824, and the Count of Artois had succeeded to the throne, under the title of Charles X. The principles of the Legitimists would logically have made them defenders of the hereditary rights of the Sultan against his rebellious subjects; but the Sultan, unlike Perdinand of Spain, was not a Bourbon

Treaty
between
England,
Russia, and
I rance,
July, 1827

nor even a Christian; and in a case where the legitimate prince was an infidel and the rebels were Christians, the conscience of the most pious Legitimist might well recoil from the perilous task of deciding between the divine rights of the Crown and the divine

rights of the Church, and choose, in so painful an emergency, the simpler course of gratifying the national love uf action. There existed, both among Liberals and among Ultramontanes, a real sympathy for Greece, and this interest was almost the nnly one in which all French political sections felt that they had something in common. Liberals rejoiced in the prospect of making a new free State in Europe; Catholics, like Charles X, himself, remembered Sunt Louis and the Crusades; diplomatists understood the extreme importance of the impending breach between Austria and Russin, and of the opportunity of allying France with the latter Power. Thus the natural and disinterested impulse of the greater part of the public ento-cided exactly with the dictates of a far-seeing policy; and the Government, in spite of its Legitimist principles and of some assurances given to Metternich in person when he visited Paris in 1825, determined to accept the policy of the Anglo-Russian intervention in the East, and to participate in the active nu isures about to be taken by the two Powers. The Protocol of St. Petersburg formed the basis of a definitive treaty which was signed at London in July, 1827. By this act England, Russia, and France undertool, to put an end to the conflict in the Fast, which, through the injury done to the commerce of all nations. had be one a matter of European concern. The contend-ing patter were to be summored to accept the mediation of the Powers and to consent to an armistice. Greece was

to be made autonomous, under the paramount sovereignty of the Sultan; the Mohammedan population of the Greek provinces was, as in the Protocol of St. Petersburg, to be entirely removed; and the Greeks were to enter upon possession of all Turkish property within their limits, paying an indemnity to the former owners. Each of the three contracting Governments pledged itself to seek no increase of territory in the East, and no special commercial advantages. In the secret articles of the Treaty provisions were made for the case of the rejection by the Turks of the proposed offer of mediation. Should the armistice not be granted within one month, the Powers agreed that they would announce to each belligerent their intention to prevent further encounters, and that they would take the necessary steps for enforcing this declaration, without, however, taking part in hostilities themselves. Instructions in conformity with the Treaty were to be sent to the Admirals commanding the Mediterranean squadrons of the three Powers.1

Scarcely was the Treaty of London signed when Canning died. He had definitely broken from the policy of his predecessors, that policy which, for the sake of guarding against Russia's advance, had condemned the Christian races of the August, 1827 East to eternal subjection to the Turk, and bound up Great Britain with the Austrian system of resistance to the very principle and name of national inde-Canning was no blind friend to Russia. keenly as any of his adversaries he appreciated the importance of England's interests in the East; of all English statesmen of that time he would have been the last to submit to any diminution of England's just influence or power. But, unlike his predecessors, he saw that there were great forces at work which, whether with England's concurrence or in spite of it, would accomplish that revolution in the East for which the time was now come; and he was statesman enough not to acquiesce in the belief that the welfare of England was in permanent and necessary antagonism to the moral interests of mankind and the better spirit of the age. Therefore, instead of strempting to maintain the integrity of the Ottoman Empire, or holding

¹ B. and F. State Papers, xiv. 632; xvii. 20: 1155 xg/sp. 115. iv. 57.

aloof and resorting to threats ar 1 accomplished the liberation of the with Russia in thi

Policy of certed action as t Canning

the undue extension of Russia's influence in the East. In committing England to armed intervention Canning no doubt hoped that the settlement of the Gree question arranged by the Powers would be peacefully accepted by the Sultan, and that a separate war between Russia and the Porte, on this or any other issue, would be averted. Neither of these hopes was realised. The joint-intervention had to be enforced by arms, and no sooner had the Allies struck their common blow than a war between Turkey and Russia followed. How far the course of events might have been modified had Canning's life not been cut short it is impossible to say, but whether his statesmanship might or might not have averted war on the Danube, the balance of results proved his policy to have been the right one. Greece was established as an independent State, to stipply in the future a valuable element of resistance to Slavic preponderance in the Levant, and the encounter between Russia and Lurkey, so long dreaded, produced none of those disastrous effects which had been anticipated from it. On the relative value of Canning's statesmanship as land and of Europe has long been made up. He stands among those who have given to this country its claim to the respect of mankind. His monument, as well as his justification, is the existence of national freedom in the Fast, and when half a century later a Bruish Government reserved to the principle of non-intervention, as it had been understood by Castlereigh, and declined to enter into any effective co-operation with Russia for the emancipation of Bulgaria, even then, when the precedent of Canning's action in 1827 stood in threet and glaring contradiction to the policy of the hour, no effective attempt was made by the leaders of the party to which Canning had belonged to impugn his authority, or to explain as is his example. It might indeed be alleged that Canning had not explicitly resolved on the application of fone; but there who could it unitain that Canning would, like Wellington, I we used the language of apolegy and regret

when Turkish obstinacy had made it impossible to effect the object of his intervention by any other means, had indeed read the history of Canning's career in vain.

The death of Canning, which brought his rival, the Duke of Wellington, after a short interval to the head of affairs, caused at the moment no avowed change in the execution of his plans. In accordance with the provisions of the Treaty of London the mediation of the allied Powers was at once tendered to the belligerents, and an armistice demanded. The armistice was accepted by the Greeks; it was contemptuously refused by the Turks. In consequence of this refusal the state of war continued, as it would have been absurd to ask the Greeks to sit still and be massacred because the enemy declined to lay down his arms. The Turk being the party resist- Intervention ing the mediation agreed upon, it became necessary to deprive him of the power of Admirals. continuing hostilities. Heavy reinforcements had just arrived from Egypt, and an expedition was on the point of sailing from Navarino, the gathering-place of Ibrahim's forces, against Hydra, the capture of which would have definitely made an end of the Greek insurrection. Admiral Codrington, the commander of the British fleet, and the French Admiral De Rigny were now off the coast of Greece. They addressed themselves to Ibrahim, and required from him a promise that he would make no movement until further orders should arrive from Constantinople. Ibrahim made this promise verbally on the 25th of September. A few days later, however, Ibrahim learnt that while he himself was compelled to be inactive, the Greeks, continuing hostilities as they were entitled

Parl. Deb., May 11, 1877. Nothing can be more misleading than to say that Canning never contemplated the possibility of armed action because a clause in the Treaty of 1827 made the formal stipulation that the contracting Powers would not "take part in the hostilities between the contending parties." How, except by armed force, could the Allies "prevent, in so far as might be in their power, all collision between the contending parties," which, in the very same clause, they undertook to do? And what was the meaning of the stipulation that they should "transmit instructions to their Admirals conformable to these provisions"? Wellington himself, before the battle of Navarino, condemned the Treaty of London on the very ground that it "specified means of compulsion which were neither more nor less than measures of war"; and he protested against the statement that the Treaty arose directly out of the Protocol of St. Petersburg, which was his own work. Wellington, N.S. iv. 137, 321.

64

to do, had won a brilliant naval victory under Captain Hastings within the Gulf of Corinth. Unable to control his anger, he sailed out from the harbour of Navarino, and made for Patras. Codrington, who had stationed his fleet at Zante, heard of the movement, and at once threw himself across the track of the Egyptian, whom he compelled to turn back by an energetic threat to sink his fleet. Had the French and Russian contingents been at hand, Codrington would have taken advantage of lbrahim's sortie to cut him off from all Greek harbours, and to force him to return direct to Alexandria, thus peaceably accomplishing the object of the intervention. This, however, to the misfortune of Ibrahim's seamen, the English admiral could not do alone. Ibrahim reentered Navarino, and there found the orders of the Sultan for which it had been agreed that he should wait. These orders were dictated by true Turkish infatuation. They hade thrahim continue the subjugation of the Morea with the intmost vigour, and promised him the assistance of Reschid Pasha, his rival in the siege of Missolonghi. Ibrahim, perfectly reckless of the consequences, now sent out his devastating columns again. No life, and nothing out his devastating columns again. No life, and nothing that could support life, was spared. Not only were the crops ravaged, but the fruit-trees, which are the permanent support of the country, were cut down at the roots. Clinids of fire and smoke from burning villages showed the English officers who approached the coast in what spirit the Turk met their proposals for a pacification. "It is supposed that if Ibrahim remained in Greece," wrote Captain Hamilton, "more than a third of its inhabitants would the of absolute starvation."

It became necessary to act quickly, the more so as the season was far advanced, and a winter blockade of lhra-

Batte of lum's fleet was impossible. A message was Nasartno.
Oct. 20th that hostilines should cease, that the Morea should be evacuated, and the Turko-Egyptin fleet return to Constantinople and Alexandria. In answer to this message there came bod, a strement that Ibrahun had left Nasarino for the interior of the country, and that it was not known where to find him. Nothing now remained for the admirals but to make their nessence felt. On the 18th of October it was replied

that the English, French, and Russian fleets, which were now united, should enter the harbour of Navarino in battle order. The movement was called a demonstration, and in so far as the admirals had not actually determined upon making an attack, it was not directly a hostile measure; but every gun was ready to open fire, and it was well understood that any act of resistance on the part of the opposite fleet would result in hostilities. Codrington, as senior officer, took command of the allied squadron, and the instructions which he gave to his colleagues for the event of a general engagement concluded with Nelson's words, that no captain could do very wrong who placed his ship alongside that of an enemy.

Thus, ready to strike hard, the English admiral sailed into the harbour of Navarino at noon on October 20, followed by the French and the Russians. The allied fleet advanced to within pistol-shot of the Ottoman ships and there anchored. A little to the windward of the position assigned to the English corvette Dartmouth there lay a Turkish fire-ship. A request was made that this dangerous vessel might be removed to a safer distance; it was refused, and a boat's crew was then sent to cut its cable. The boat was received with musketry fire. This was answered by the *Dartmouth* and by a French ship, and the battle soon became general. Codrington, still desirous to avoid bloodshed, sent his pilot to Moharem Bey, who commanded in Ibrahim's absence, proposing to withhold fire on both sides. Moharem replied with cannon-shot, killing the pilot and striking Codrington's own vessel. This exhausted the patience of the English admiral, who forthwith made his adversary a mere wreck. The entire fleets on both sides were now engaged. The Turks had a superiority of eight hundred guns, and fought with courage. For four hours the battle raged at close quarters in the land-locked harbour, while twenty thousand of Ibrahim's soldiers watched from the surrounding hills the struggle in which they could take no part. But the result of the combat was never for a moment doubtful. The confusion and bad discipline of the Turkish fleet made it an easy prey. Vessel after vessel was sunk or blown to pieces, and before evening fell the work of the allies was done. When Ibrahim returned from his journey on the following day he found the harbour of Navarino

66

strewed with wrecks and dead bodies. Four thousand of his seamen had fallen; the fleet which was to have accomplished the reduction of Hydra was utterly ruined.

Over all Greece it was at once felt that the nation was saved. The intervention of the Powers had been sudden and decisive beyond the most sanguine hopes; and though this intervention might be intended to establish something less than the complete independence

Inaction of Greece, the violence of the first collision bade England fair to carry the work far beyond the bounds atter Navarino originally assigned to it. The attitude of the Porte after the news of the battle of Navarino reached Constantinople was exactly that which its worst enemies might have desired. So far from abating anything in its resistance to the mediation of the three Powers, it declared the attack made upon its navy to be a crime and an outrage, and claimed satisfaction for it from the ambassadors of the Allied Powers. Arguments proved useless, and the united demand for an armistice with the Greeks having been finally and contemptuously refused, the ambassadors, in accordance with their instructions, quitted the Turkish capital (Dec. 8). Had Canning been still living, it is probable that the first blow of Navarino would have been immediately followed by the measures necessary to make the Sultan submit to the I reaty of London, and that the forces of Great Britain would have been applied with sufficient vigour to render any isolated action on the part of Russia both unnecess iry and impossible.

But at this critical moment a paralysis fell over the Lighish Government. Canning's policy was so much his own, he had dragged his colleagues so forcibly with him in spite of themselves, that when his place was left empty no one had the courage either to fulfil or to reverse his intentions, and the men who succeeded him neted as if they were trespissers in the fortress which Canning had til en by storm. The very ground on which Wellington, no less than Canning, had justified the agreement in d with Russia in 1526 was the necessity of preventing Russ a from acting alone, and when Russ an and Litrkish Ships had actually fought at Nivirino, and war was all, but formally distanced, it become more importance if in ever that Great Britain should keep the most vigorous hold upon its rival, and by steady, consistent pressure let it be known to both Turks and Russians that the terms of the Treaty of London and no others must be enforced. To retire from action immediately after dealing the Sultan one dire, irrevocable blow, without following up this stroke or attaining the end agreed upon—to leave Russia to take up the armed compulsion where England had dropped it, and to win from its crippled adversary the gains of a private and isolated war—was surely the weakest of all possible policies that could have been adopted. Yet this was the policy followed by English Ministers during that interval of transition and incoherence that passed between Canning's death and the introduction of the Reform Bill.

By the Russian Government nothing was more ardently desired than a contest with Turkey, in which England and France, after they had destroyed the Turkish fleet, should be mere on-lookers, debarred by the folly of the Porte itself from prohibiting or controlling hostilities between it and its neighbour. There might indeed be some want of a pretext for war, since all the points of contention between Russia and Turkey other than those relating to Greece had been finally settled in Russia's favour by a Treaty signed at Akerman in October, 1826. But the spirit of infatuation had seized the Sultan, or a secret hope that the Western Powers would in the last resort throw over the Court of St. Petersburg led him to hurry on hostilities by a direct challenge to Russia.

Turkey, April, 1828

A proclamation which reads like the work of some frantic dervish, though said to have been composed by Mahmud himself, called the Mussulman world to arms. Russia was denounced as the instigator of the Greek rebellion, and the arch-enemy of Islam. The Treaty of Akerman was declared to have been extorted by compulsion and to have been signed only for the purpose of gaining time. "Russia has imparted its own madness to the other Powers and persuaded them to make an alliance to free the Rayah

and persuaded them to make an alliance to free the Rayah from his Ottoman master. But the Turk does not count his enemies. The law forbids the people of Islam to permit any injury to be done to their religion; and if all the unbelievers together unite against them, they will

enter on the war as a sacred duty, and trust in God for protection. This proclamation was followed by a levy of troops and the expulsion of most of the Christian residents in Constantinople. Russia needed no other pretext. The fanatical outburst of the Sultan was treated by the Court of St Petersburg as if it had been the deliberate expression of some explicitly Power, and was answered on the 26th of April 1828, by a declaration of war. In order to soften the effect of this step and to reap the full benefit of its subsisting relations with France and England Russia gave a provisional undertaking to confine its operations as a belignment to the mainland and the Black Sea, and within the Mediterranean to act still as one of the allied neutrals under the terms of the Treati of London.

The moment seized by Russia for the decliration of war was one singularly favourable to itself and infortunate for its adversary. Not only had the Turkish fleet licen destroyed by the neutrals, but the old Turl ish force of the Innissaries had been destroyed by its own mister

and the new-modelled regiments which were to replace it had not vet been organised. The Sultan had determined in 1826 to postpone his long-planned military reform no longer and to stake everything on one bold stroke against the Janissaries other side of the Bosphorus to male Vahmud certain of victors. The Janissaries were summaned to contribute a proportion of their number to the regiments about to be formed on the European pattern, and when they proudly refused to do so and raised the standard of open rebellion they were cut to pieces and exterminated by Mahmud's Anatolian solders in the midst of Constinti nople. The principal difficulty in the way of a reform of the Turkish army was thus removed and the work of reorganisation was cornestly taken in hand, but before there was time to complete if the enemy entered the field Mahmud had to meet the attack of Russia with in army greatly diministred in number and confused by the id-trix are of Luropean and Lurkish distiplin. The re urees of the empire vere exhaust d by the line struggle with Greece and Hove all, the destruction of

the Janissaries had left behind it an exasperation which made the Sultan believe that rebellion might at any moment break out in his own capital. Nevertheless, in spite of its inherent weakness and of all the disadvantages under which it entered into war, Turkey succeeded in prolonging its resistance through two campaigns, and might, with better counsels, have tried the fortune of a third.

The actual military resources of Russia were in 1828 much below what they were believed to be by all Europe. The destruction of Napoleon's army in 1812 and the subsequent exploits of Alexander in the campaigns which ended in the capture of Paris had left behind them an impression of Russian energy and power which was far from corresponding with the reality, and which, though disturbed by the events of 1828, had by no means vanished at the time of the Russia Crimean War. The courage and patience of the Russian soldier were certainly not over-rated; but the progress supposed to have been made in Russian military organisation since the campaign of 1799, when it was regarded in England and Austria as little above that of savages, was for the most part imaginary. The proofs of a radically bad system—scanty numbers, failing supplies, immense sickness—were never more conspicuous than in 1828. Though Russia had been preparing for war for at least seven years, scarcely seventy thousand soldiers could be collected on the Pruth. The general was Wittgenstein, one of the heroes of 1812, but now a veteran past effective work. Nicholas came to the camp to make things worse by headstrong interference. The best Russian officer, Paskiewitsch, was put in command of the forces about to operate in Asia Minor, and there, thrown on his own resources and free to create a system of his own, he achieved results in strong contrast to the failure of the Russian arms on the Danube.

In entering on the campaign of 1828, it was necessary for the Czar to avoid giving any unnecessary causes of anxiety to Austria, which had already made unsuccessful attempts to form a coalition against him. The line of operations was therefore removed as far as possible from the Austrian frontier; and after the Roumanian principalities had been peacefully occupied, the Danube was crossed at a short distance above the point where its mouths

divide (June 7) Inc. Lurl's had no intention of meeting the enemy in a pitched battle, they confined themselves to

the defence of fortresses the form of warf ire to which, since the decline of the military art in Turley, the patience and absterniousness of the race best fit them Ibrail and Silistra on the Danube Varna and Shumla in the neighbourhood of the Bulkans, were their principal strongholds, of thes Ibraila was at once besieged by a considerable force while Silistria was watched by a weal contingent, and the vanguard of the Russian army pushed on through the Dobrudscha towards the Black Sea where with the capture of the minor coast towns at expected to enter into communication with the fleet. The first few weeks of the compaign were marked by considerable successes. The ala expitulated on the 18th of June, and the military parts in the Dobrudscha fell one after mother into the hands of the invaders, who met with no effective resistance in this district. But their serious worl was only now bu ginning. The Russian irms in spite of its weakness was divided into three parts occupied severally in front of Silistria Shumia and Varna At Shumia the mass of the Lurl ish army, under Omer Brionis was concen trited. The force brought against it by the invider was in ideal ite to us tisl, and the atempts which were made to lure the Turl ish from its entrenched camp into the open field proved insuccessful. The difficulties of the siege proved so great that Wingenstein after a while proposed to thind in offensive operations at this pant and to leave a mere compactable reation before the enemy until Varna should have fall it. This however was forhidd n by the Cent As the Russians a sted may be for Shumly with sickness and fatigue the larks gone l strength and on the 24th of September Omer brole out

made by the Russians. The season was too far advanced for them either to cross the Balkans or to push forward operations against the uncaptured fortresses. Shumla and Silistria remained in the hands of their defenders, and the Russians, after suffering enormous losses in proportion to the smallness of their numbers, withdrew to Varna and the Danube, to resume the campaign in the spring of the

following year.' The spirits of the Turks and of their European friends were raised by the unexpected failure of the Czar's arms. Metternich resumed his efforts to form a coalition, and tempted French Ministers with the prospect of recovering the Rhenish provinces, but in vain. The Sultan began negotiations, but broke them off when he found that the events of the campaign had made no difference in the enemy's tone. The prestige of Russia was in fact at stake, and Nicholas would probably have faced a war with Austria and Turkey combined rather than have made peace without restoring the much-diminished reputation of his troops. The winter was therefore spent in bringing up distant reserves. Wittgenstein was removed from his command; the Czar withdrew from military operation in which he had done nothing but mischief; and Diebitsch, a Prussian by birth and training, was placed

a Prussian by birth and training, was placed at the head of the army, untrammelled by the sovereign presence or counsels which had hampered his predecessor. The intention of the

Campaign of 1829

hampered his predecessor. The intention of the new commander was to cross the Balkans as soon as Silistria should have fallen, without waiting for the capture of Shumla. In pursuance of this design the fleet was despatched early in the spring of 1829 to seize a port beyond the mountainrange. Diebitsch then placed a corps in front of Silistria, and made his preparations for the southward march; but before any progress had been made in the siege the Turks themselves took the field. Reschid Pasha, now Grand Vizier, moved eastwards from Shumla at the beginning of May against the weak Russian contingent that still lay in winter quarters between that place and Varna. The superiority of his force promised him an easy victory; but after winning some unimportant successes, and advancing to a considerable distance from his stronghold, he allowed himself to be held at bay until Diebitsch, with the

¹ Moltke, Russisch-Turkische Feldzug, p. 226. Rosen i. 67.

army of the Danube, was ready to fall upon his rear. The errors of the Turks had given to the Russian commander, who hastened across Bulgaria on hearing of his colleague's peril, the choice of destroying their army, or of seizing Shumla by a coup-de-main. Diebitsch determined upon attacking his enemy in the open field, and on the toth of lune Reschid's army, attempting to regain the roads to Shumla, was put to total rout at Kulewischa. A fortnight later Silistria surrendered, and Diebitsch, reinforced by the troops that had besieged that fortress, was now able to commence his march across the Balkans.

Rumour magnified into hundreds of thousands the scanty columns which for the first time carried the Russian flag over the Balkar range. Resistance everywhere collapsed. The mountains were crossed without difficulty,

Crossing of

and on the 19th of August the invaders appeared before Adrianople, which immethe Balkans, diately surrendered Putting on the boldest countenance in order to conceal his real

weakness, Diebitsch now struck out right and left, and sent detachments both to the Euxine and the Ægæan coast. The fleet co-operated with him, and the ports of the Black Sea, almost as far south as the Bosphorus, fell into the invaders' hands The centre of the army began to march upon Constantinople. If the Sultan had known the real numbers of the force which threatened his capital, a force not exceeding twenty thousand men, he would probably have recognised that his assailant's position was a more dangerous one than his own Dichetsch had advanced into the heart of the enemy's country with a mere handful of men. Sickness was duly thinning his ranks; his troops were dispersed over a wide area from sea to sea; and the warlike tribes of Albania threatened to fall upon his comnumications from the west. For a moment the Sultan spoke of fighting upon the walls of Constantinople; but the fear of relellion within his own capital, the discovery of conspiracies, and the disasters sustained by his arms in Asia, where Kars and Erzeroum had fallen into the enemy's hands, soon led him to make overrures of peace and to accept the moderate terms which the Russian Coverement, aware of its own difficulties, was willing to It would have been folly for the Can to sumulate the growing a specien of I nel and to court the attack

Charles X. and the French Cabinet, reverting to the ideas of Tilsit, proposed a partition of the Ottoman Empire, and a general re-arrangement of the map of Europe which would have given Belgium and the Palatinate to France, the plan was originated too late to produce any effect. Russia had everything to lose and nothing to gain by a European war. It had reduced Turkey to submission, and might fairly hope to maintain its ascendancy at Constantinople during coming years without making any of those great territorial changes which would have given its rivals a pretext for intervening on the Sultan's behalf. Under the guise of a generous forbearance the Czar extricated himself from a dangerous position with credit and advantage. As much had been won as could be maintained without hazard; and on the 14th of September peace was concluded in Adrianople.

The Treaty of Adrianople gave Russia a slight increase of territory in Asia, incorporating with the Czar's dominions the ports of Anapa and Poti on the eastern coast of the Black Sea; but its most important provisions

were those which confirmed and extended the

Protectorate exercised by the Czar over the Adrianople, Danubian Principalities, and guaranteed the Sept. 14, 1829 commercial rights of Russian subjects throughout the Ottoman Empire both by land and sea. In order more effectively to exclude the Sultan's influence from Wallachia and Moldavia, the office of Hospodar, hitherto tenable for seven years, was now made an appointment for life, and the Sultan specifically engaged to permit no interference on the part of his neighbouring Pashas with the affairs of these provinces. No fortified point was to be retained by the Turks on the left bank of the Danube; no Mussulman was to be permitted to reside within the Principalities and those possessing landed estates there were to sell them within eighteen months. The Porte pledged itself never again to detain Russian ships of commerce coming from the Black Sea, and acknowledged that such an act would amount to an infraction of treaties

¹ Viel-Castel, xx. 16. Russia was to have had the Danubian Provinces; Austria was to have had Bosnia and Servia; Prussia was to have had Saxony and Holland; the King of Holland was to have reigned at Constantinopie.

justifying Russia in having recourse to reprisals Struts of Constintinople and the Dirdnelles were declared free and open to the merchant ships of all Powers at peace with the Porte, upon the same conditions which were stipulated for vessels under the Russian flag same freedom of trade and navigation was recognised within the Black Ser. All treities and conventions hitherto concluded between Inflex and Russia were recognised as in force, except in so fir as modified by the present igreement. The Porte further give its idhision to the I reaty of London relating to Greece, and to an Act entered into by the Allied Powers in March, 1820 for regulating the Greek frontier. In indemnity in mones was declared to be owing to Russia, and as the amount of this remained to be fixed by mutual agreement, the means were still left open to the Russian Government for excreising a gentle pressure at Constantinople or for rewarding the compliance of the conquered

The war between Turley and Russia while it left the Luropean frontier between the belligerents anchanged exercised a two-fold influence upon the settlement of Great. On the one hand, by exetting the

Capodistrias elected for the one hand by exetting the feetered president of Greece April 1827 in a suspicions of Great Briting, it cans diffe Government of our own country, and or the limitation of the Greef State to the narrowest passible ore? on the other hand by reducing limits to the condition of a Rus and pindines at held to the abandonic in the first by maintain the Sultans supremies in any form exercting maintain the Sultans supremies in any form exercting can be harden and resulted in the establishment of in behavior and resulted in the establishment of in behavior and pind in Hellena Limiton. An amportant characteristic built being price within Green self just

if the time she is the illied Powers determined up a inter-

financial support he should be likely to receive from them. His interview with the Czar Nicholas led to a clear statement by that sovereign of the conditions which he expected Capodistrias, in return for Russia's continued friendship, to fulfil. Greece was to be rescued from revolution: in other words, personal was to be substituted for popular government. The State was to remain tributary to the Sultan: that is, in both Greece and Turkey the door was to be kept open for Russia's interference. Whether Capodistrias had any intention of fulfilling the latter condition is doubtful. His love for Greece and his own personal ambition prevented his regard for Russia, strong though this might be, from making him the mere instrument of the Court of St. Petersburg; and while outwardly acquiescing in the Czar's decision that Greece should remain a tributary State, he probably resolved from the first to aim at establishing its complete independence. With regard to the Czar's demand that the system of local self-government should be superseded within Greece itself by one of autocratic rule, Capodistrias was in harmony with his patron. He had been the Minister of a centralized &despotism himself. His experience was wholly that of the Afficial of an absolute sovereign; and although Capodistrias had represented the more liberal tendencies of the Russian Court when it was a question of arguing against Metternich about the complete or the partial restoration of despotic rule in Italy, he had no real acquaintance and no real sympathy with the action of free institutions, and moved in the same circle of ideas at the autocratic reformers of the eighteenth century, of whom Joseph II. was the type.2

The Turks were still masters of the Horee when Capodistrias reached Greece. The battle of Neverino had not caused Ibrahim to relax his hold upon the caused Ibrahim to relax his hold upon the fortresses, and it was deemed necessary by touch of the Allies to send a French army-corbs to Nov. 1808, dislodge him from his position. This ext and March peditionary force under General Malor.

It landed in Greece in the summer of 1828, and Novelle wishing to fight to the bitter and contented himself and burning Trinoling to the provide and contented himself and and ther with from. The market services

r gerherete. Grei Capationia, 1. Se.

76

had now begun. Capodistrias assisted the Russian fleet in blockading the Dardanelles, and thereby gained for him-self the marked ill-will of the British Government. At a conference held in London by the representatives of France, England, and Russia, in November, 1828, it was resolved that the operations of the Allies should be limited to the Morea and the islands. Capodistrias, in consequence of this decision, took the most vigorous measures for continuing the war against Turkey. What the allies refused to guarantee must be won by force of arms; and during the winter of 1829, while Russia pressed upon Turkey from the Danube, Capodistrias succeeded in reconquering Missolonghi and the whole tract of country immediately to the north of the Gulf of Corinth. The Porte, in prolonging its resistance after the November conference, played as usual into its enemy's hands. 'The negotiations at London were resumed in a spirit somewhat more favourable to Greece, and a Protocol was signed on the 22nd of March, 1829, extending the northern frontier of Greece up to a line drawn from the Gulf of Arta to the Gulf of Volo. Greece, according to this Protocol, was still to remain under the Sultan's suzerainty: its ruler was to be a hereditary prince belonging to one of the reigning European families, but not to any of the three alligh Courts.1

The mediation of Great Britain was now offered to the Porte upon the terms thus laid down, and for the fourteenth time its mediation was rejected. But the end was near at hand. Diebitsch crossed the Balkans, and it was in vain that the Sultan then proposed the terms which he lind scouted in November. The Treaty of Adrianopte enforced the decisions of the March Protocol. Greece escaped from

Leorold accepts the Greek

a limitation of its frontier, which would have left both Athens and Missolonghi Turkish territory. The principle of the admission of Crown. the provinces north of the Gulf of Corintle

1 cb., 1530 within the Hellenie State was established. and nothing remained for the friends of the Porte but to cut down to the narrowest possible area the district which had been loosely indicated in the London Proto of. While Russia, satisfied with its own successes against the Ottoman Empire and anxious to play the part of patron of 1 91 ge f 1 Seate Parmen want fo age Pe len ti Chefen, w ar

the conqueror, ceased to interest itself in Greece, the Government of Great Britain contested every inch territory proposed to be ceded to the new State, and finally induced the Powers to agree upon a boundary-line which did not even in letter fulfil the conditions of the treaty. Northern Acarnania and part of Ætolia were severed from Greece, and the frontier was drawn from the mouth of the river Achelous to a spot near Thermopylæ. On the other hand, as Russian influence now appeared to be firmly established and likely to remain paramount at Constantinople, the Western Powers had no motive to maintain the Sultan's supremacy over Greece. This was accordingly by common consent abandoned; and the Hellenic Kingdom, confined within miserably narrow limits on the mainland, and including neither Crete nor Samos among its islands, was ultimately offered in full sovereignty to Prince Leopold of Saxe-Coburg, the widower of Charlotte, daughter of George VI. After some negotiations, in which Leopold vainly asked for a better frontier, he accepted the Greek crown on the 11th of February, 1830.

In the meantime, Capodistrias was struggling hard to govern and to organise according to his own conceptions a and in which every element of anarchy, ruin, Government and confusion appeared to be arrayed against of Capo-he restoration of civilised life. The country distrias was devastated, depopulated, and in some places utterly barbarised. Out of a population of little more than a million, it was reckoned that three hundred thousand had perished during the conflict with the Turk. fabric of political and social order had to be erected anew; and, difficult as this task would have been for the wisest ruler, it was rendered much more difficult by the conflict between Capodistrias' own ideal and the character of the people among whom he had to work. Communal or local self-government lay at the very root of Greek nationality. In many different forms this intense provincialism had maintained itself unimpaired up to the end of the war, in spite of national assemblies and national armaments. The Hydriote ship-owners, the Primates of the Morea, the guerilla leaders of the north, had each a type of life and a body of institutions as distinct as the dialects which they spoke or the saints whom they cherished in their local

sanctuaries. If antagonistic in some respects to pational unity, this vigorous local life had nevertheless been a source of national energy while Greece had still its independence to win, and now that national independence was won, it might well have been made the basis of a popular and effective system of self-government. But to-Capodistrias, as to greater men of that age, the unity of the State meant the uniformity of all its pirts; and shutting his eyes to all the obstacles in his path, he so himself to create an administrative system as rigorousl centralised as that which France had received from Aapo leon Conscious of his own intellectual superiority over his countrymen, conscious of his own integrity and of the sacrifice of all his personal wealth in his country's service be put no measure on his expressions of scorn for the free booters and peculators whom he believed to make up the Greek official world, and he both acted and spoke as the ti the literal sense of the words, all who ever came before lum were thieves and robbers. The peasants of the main land, who had suffered scarcely less from Klephis and Primates than from Turks, welcomed Capodistrits' level ling despotism, and to the end his name was popular among them but among the classes which had supplie the leaders in the long struggle for independence, at a especially among the ship-owners of the Archipelago, who felt the contempt expressed by Capodistrias for their seven years' efforts to be grossly unjust, a spirit of opposition arose which soon made it evident that Capodistrias would

need letter instruments than thos which he had around hum to corry out his tast of remodelling Greece.

It was in the midst of this growing integraism that the news reached Cipodistries that I ropold of Sast-Coburg had been appointed King of Greece. The reselt tion made by the Powers in March, 1520, that the sourcing of Greece should be long to some reagaing home health growing reading the strength of Greece should be long to some reagaing home health growing the strength of croeches.

habitual to him that there was little chance of his taking any overt step for preventing Leopold's accession to the crown; there appears, however, to be evidence that he repressed the indications of assent which the Greeks attempted to offer to Leopold; and a series of letters written by him to that prince was probably intended, though in the most guarded language, to give Leopold the impression that the task which awaited him was a hopeless one. Leopold himself, at the very time when he accepted the crown, was wavering in his purpose. He saw with perfect clearness that the territory granted to the Greek State was too small to secure either its peace or its independence. The severance of Acarnania and Northern Ætolia meant the abandonment of the most energetic part of the Greek inland population, and a probable state of incessant warfare upon the northern frontier; the relinquishment of Crete meant that Greece, bankrupt as it was, must maintain a navy to protect the south coast of the Morea from Turkish attack. These considerations had been urged upon the Powers Leopold before he accepted the crown, and he had been induced for the moment to withdraw them. But he had ever fully acquiesced in the arrangements imposed upon im: he remained irresolute for some months; and at 1st, whether led to this decision by the letters of Capoistrias or by some other influences, he declared the conitions under which he was called upon to rule Greece to e intolerable, and renounced the crown (May, 1830).1

Capodistrias thus found himself delivered from his ival, and again face to face with the task to which duty r ambition called him. The candidature f Leopold had embittered the relations and death of etween Capodistrias and all who confronted Capodistrias im in Greece, for it gave him the means of neasuring their hostility to himself by the fervour of their iddresses to this unknown foreigner. A dark shadow fell over his government. As difficulties thickened and resistance grew everywhere more determined, the President showed himself harsher and less scrupulous in the choice of his means. The men about him were untrustworthy; to crush them, he filled the offices of government with

¹ Stockmar, i. 80; Mendelssohn; Capodistrias, p. 272. B. and F. State Papers, xvii. 453.

relatives and ereatures of his own who were at once tyrannous and incapable. Thwarted and checked, he met opposition by imprisonment and measures of violence, suspended the law-courts, and introduced the espionage and the police-system of St. Petersburg. At length armed rebellion broke out, and while Miaoulis, the Hydriotic admiral, blew up the best ships of the Greek navy to prevent them falling into the President's hands, the wild district of Maina, which had never admitted the Turkish tax-gatherer, refused to pay taxes to the Hellenic State The revolt was summarily quelled by Capodistrias, and several members of the family of Mauromiehalis, including the ehiel Petrobei, formerly feudal ruler of Maina, were arrested Some personal insult, imaginary or real, was moreover offered by Capodistrias to this fallen foe, after the aged mother of Petrobei, who had lost sixty-four kinsmen in the war against the Turks, had begged for his release. The vendetta of the Maina was aroused. A son and a nephew of Petrobei Ind wait for the President, and as he entered the Church of St Spiridion at Naupha on the 9th of October, 1831, a pistol-shot and a blow from a yataghan laid him dead on the ground. He had been warned that his life was sought, but had refused to make any change in his habits or to allow himself to be attended by a guard

The death of Capodistrins excited sympathies and regrets which to a great extent silenced criticism upon his government, and which have made his name one of those most honoured by the Greek nation. His fall threw the country into anarchy. An attempt was made by his brother Augustine to retain autocratic power, but the result was universal discension and the interference of the foreigner. At length the Powers united in finding a second sovereign for Greece, and brought the weary scene.

Other Klog Bayara was sent to reign at Athens, and of Greece Bayara was sent to reign at Athens, and officees with him there came a group of Bayaran officials to whom the Courts of Europe persuaded themselves that the future of Greece might be affely entrusted. A fronter somewhat better than that

which had been offered to Leopold was granted to the new socreegn, but neither Crete. Thessals, nor Epirus was included within his kingdom. Thus hemmed in within

intolerably narrow limits, while burdened with the expenses of an independent state, alike unable to meet the calls upon its national exchequer and to exclude the intrigues of foreign Courts, Greece offered during the next generation little that justified the hopes that had been raised as to its future. But the belief of mankind in the invigorating power of national independence is not wholly vain, nor, even under the most hostile conditions, will the efforts of a liberated people fail to attract the hope and the envy of those branches of its race which still remain in subjection. Poor and inglorious as the Greek kingdom was, it excited the restless longings not only of Greeks under Turkish bondage, but of the prosperous Ionian Islands under English rule; and in 1864 the first step in the expansion of the Hellenic kingdom was accomplished by the transfer of these islands from Great Britain to Greece. Our own day has seen Greece further strengthened and enriched by the annexation of Thessaly. The commercial and educational development of the kingdom is now as vigorous as that of any State in Europe: in agriculture and in manufacturing industry it still lingers far behind. Following the example of Cavour and the Sardinian statesmen who judged no cost too great in preparing for Italian union, the rulers of Greece burden the national finances with the support of an army and navy excessive in comparison both with the resources and with the present requirements of the State. To the ideal of a great political future the material progress of the land has been largely sacrificed. Whether, in the re-adjust-ment of frontiers which must follow upon the gradual extrusion of the Turk from Eastern Europe, Greece will gain from its expenditure advantages proportionate to the undoubted evils which it has involved, the future alone can decide.

CHAPILR XVI

France before 15,0-Reign of Charles \ - Ministry of Martignac-Mini try of Polignac-The Duke of Orleans-War in Algiers-The July Oremance-Revolution of July-Louis Philippe King Viture and Effects of the July Revolution-Affairs in Bei, n m-1 he Belgin Revolution-The Great Powers-Inter vention, and Es ablishment of the Lingdom of Belgium-Affairs of Poland-In urrection at War-au-War between Russia and t olan !- Overthrow of the Poles End of the Polish Constitution - Mairs of Italy-In prrection in the Papal States-France and lustria-lustrian Intersention-Incona occupied by the French-Affairs of Germany-Prussia, the Jollverein-Bruns wick Hanover, Sixons-The Palaunate-Reaction in Germans -Early in Switzerland Incursion into Savoy-Dispersion of the Lyles-France under Louis Philippe Successive Risings-Per od of Parliamentary Activity-England after 18,0 The Reform Bill

WHEN the Congress of Vienna re-arranged the map of Europe after \apolcon's fall, Lord Castlerengh expressed the opinion that no prudent statesman would forecast a duration of more than seven verys for any settlement that might then be made. At the end of a period twice as long the Treaties of 1815 were still the public law of I'mone. The grave had peacefully closed over Napoleon; the revolutionary forces of France had given no sign of returning life. As the Bourbon monarchy struck root, and the elements of opposition grew drift weaker in France, the perils that latch filled all minds appeared to grow obsolete, and the very Power against which the anti-revolutionary treaties of 1815 had been directed took its place, as of natural right, by the side of Austria and Russia in the struggle against revolution. The attack of Louis XVIII upon the Spanish Constitutionalists, marked the complete reconciliation of France with the Continental dynasties which had combined against it in 1815; and from this time the Treaties of Chaumont and Aix-la-Chapelle, though their provisions might be still unchallenged, ceased to represent the actual relations

existing between the Powers. There was no longer a moral union of the Courts against a supposed French revolutionary State; on the contrary, when Eastern affairs reached their crisis, Russia detached itself from its Hapsburg ally, and definitely allied itself with France. after the Peace of Adrianople any one Power stood isolated, it was Austria; and if Europe was threatened by renewed aggression, it was not under revolutionary leaders or with revolutionary watchwords, but as the result of an alliance between Charles X. and the Czar of Russia. After the Bourbon Cabinet had resolved to seek an extension of French territory at whatever sacrifice of the balance of power in the East, Europe could hardly expect that the Court of St. Petersburg would long reject the advantages offered to it. The frontiers of 1815 seemed likely to be obliterated by an enterprise which would bring Russia to the Danube and France to the Rhine, From this danger the settlement of 1815 was saved by the course of events that took place within France itself. The Revolution of 1830, insignificant in its immediate effects upon the French people, largely influenced the governments and the nations of Europe; and while within Certain narrow limits it gave a stimulus to constitutional liberty, its more general result was to revive the union of the three Eastern Courts which had broken down in 1826, and to reunite the principal members of the Holy Alliance by the sense of a common interest against the Liberalism of the West.

In the person of Charles X. reaction and clericalism had ascended the French throne. The minister, Villèle, who had won power in 1820 as the representative of the ultra-Royalists, had indeed ment of learnt wisdom while in office, and down to Charles X., the death of Louis XVIII. in 1824 he had leath of Louis XVIII. in 1824 he had leath of Louis Leave the more violent section of his party. But he now retained his post only at the price of compliance with the Court, and gave the authority of his name to heasures which his own judgment condemned. It was characteristic of Charles X. and of the reactionaries around him that out of trifling matters they provoked more exasperation than a prudent Government would have aroused by changes of infinitely greater importance. Thus in a sacrilege-law which was introduced in 1825 they disgusted

all reasonable men by attempting to revive the barbarous an reasonable men by attempting to fever the barragous medieval punishment of amputation of the hand; and in a measure conferring some fractional rights upon the eldest son in cases of intestacy they alarmed the whole nation by a preamble declaring the French principle of the equal division of inheritances to be incompatible with monarchy. Coming from a Government which had thus already forfeited public confidence, a law granting the emigrants a compensation of £40,000,000 for their estates which had been confiscated during the Revolution excited the strongest opposition, although, apart from questions of equity, it benefited the nation by for ever setting at rest all doubt as to the title of the purchasers of the confiscated lands. The financial operations by which, in order to provide the vast sum allotted to the emigrants, the national debt was converted from a five per cent. In a three per cent stock, alienated all stockholders and especially the pnwerful bankers of Paris. But more than any single legislative act, the alliance of the Government with the priestly order, and the encouragement given by it in monastic corporations, whose existence in France was contrary in law, offended the nation. The Jesuits were indicted before the law-courts by Montlosier, himself Ruyalist and a member of the old noblesse. A vehicment controversy sprang up between the ecclesiastics and their opponents, in which the Court was not spired. The Government, which had lately repealed the law of censorship, now restored it by edict. The climax of its impopularity was reached, its hold upon the Chamber was gone, and the very measure by which Villèle, when at the height of his power, had endeavoured to give permanence to his administration, proceed its run. He had abolished the system of partial renovation, by which one-lifth of the Chamber of Deputies was annually returned, and substituted for it the English system of septennial Parliaments with general elections In 1827 King Charles, thems wan general vaccious in 1027 long connections the behaving his Ministers to be stronger in the country that in the Chamber, exercised his pringative of dissolution. The result was the total defeat of the Government, and that return of an assembly in which the Liberal opposition outmind for all assumer in which the Court by three to ones villele's Ministry now resigned. King Charles, mixiling to choose his successor from the Parliamentary inspirits.

Martignac,

thought for a moment of violent resistance, but subsequently adopted other counsels, and, without sincerely intending to bow to the national will, called to office the Vicomte de Martignac, a member of the right centre, and the representative of a policy of conciliation and moderate reform (January 2, 1828).

It was not the fault of this Minister that the last chance of union between the French nation and the elder Bourbon line was thrown away. Martignac brought forward a measure of decentralisation con-

ferring upon the local authorities powers which, though limited, were larger than they had possessed at any time since the foundation of the Consulate; and he appealed to the Liberal sections of the Chamber to assist him in winning an instalment of selfgovernment which France might well have accepted with satisfaction. But the spirit of opposition within the Assembly was too strong for a coalition of moderate men, and the Liberals made the success of Martignac's plan mpossible by insisting on concessions which the Minister was unable to grant. The reactionists were ready to combine with their opponents. King Charles himself was in secret antagonism to his Minister, and watched with malicious joy his failure to control the majority in the Chamber. Instead of throwing all his influence on to the side of Martignac, and rallying all doubtful forces by the pronounced support of the Crown, he welcomed Martignac's defeat as a proof of the uselessness of all concessions, and dismissed the Minister from office, declaring that the course of events had fulfilled his own belief in the impossibility of governing in accord with a Parliament. The names of the Ministers who were now called to power excited anxiety and alarm not only in France but throughout the political circles of Europe: They were the names of men known as the most violent and embittered partisans of reaction; men whose presence in the councils of the King could mean nothing but a direct attack upon the existing Parliamentary wstem of France. At the head was Jules Polignac Polignac, then French ambassador at Lon-

don, a man half-crazed with religious delusions, who had suffered a long imprisonment for his share in Cadoudal's attempt to kill Napoleon, and on his return to France in 1814 had refused to swear to the Charta because it granted religious freedom to non-Catholics. Among the subordinate members of the Ministry were General Bourmont, who had deserted to the English at Waterloo, and La Bourdonnaye, the champion of the reactionary Terrorists in 1816.

The Ministry having been appointed immediately after the close of the session of 1829, an interval of severa months passed before they were brought face to face with

months passed before they were brought face to face with the Chambers During this interval the Prospects in 1830. The prospect of a conflict with the Crown became Orleanist familiar to the public mind, though no general

impression existed that an actual change of dynasty was close at hand. The Bonapartists were without a leader, Napoleon's son, their natural head, being in the power of the Austrian Court, the Republicans were neither numerous nor well organised, and the fatal memories of 1793 still weighed upon the nation; the great body of those who contemplated resistance to King Charles & looked only to a Parliamentary struggle, or, in the last resort, to the refusal of payment of taxes in case of a breach of the Constitution. There was, however, a small and dexterous group of politicians which, at distance from all the old parties, schemed for the dethronement of the reigning branch of the House of Bourbon, and for the clevation of Louis Philippe, Duke of Orleans, to the thron. The chief of this intrigue was l'alleyrand. Slighted and thwarted by the Court, the old diplomatist watched for the signs of a falling Government, and when the family of omens met his view he turned to the quarter from which its successor was most likely to arise. Louis Philippe stood high in credit with all circles of Parlinmentary Liberals. His history had been a strange and eventful one. He was the son of that Orleans who, after exention one. He was the son of that Oftens who, after calling himself I galite, and voting for the death of his cousin. I ones NVI, had himself perished during the Reign of Terror Young Louis Philippe had been a member of the Jacobin Club, and had fought for the Republic at Jemppes. Hen, exiled and reduced his penuis, he had carned his bread by te tehing mathematics. in Switze find and had been a wanderer in the new as well as in the old world. After while his fortunes

the Carl vir era Direction de Ramanne, v. Cr.

brightened. A marriage with the daughter of Ferdinand of Sicily restored him to those relations with the reigning houses of Europe which had been forfeited by his lather, and inspired him with the hope of gaining a crown. During Napoleon's invasion of Spain he had caballed with politicians in that country who were inclined to accept a substitute for their absent sovereign; at another time he had entertained hopes of being made king of the Ionian Islands. After the peace of Paris, when the allied sovereigns and their ministers visited England, Louis Philippe was sent over by his father-in-law to intrigue among them against Murat, and in pursuance of this object he made himself acquainted not only with every foreign statesman then in London but with every leading English politician. He afterwards settled in France, and was reinstated in the vast possessions of the House of Orleans, which, though confiscated, had not for the most part been sold during the Revolution. His position at Paris under Louis XVIII. and Charles X. was a peculiar one. Without taking any direct part in politics or entering into any avowed opposition to the Court, he made his home, the Palais Royale, a gathering-place for all that was most distinguished in the new political and literary society of the capital; and while the Tuileries affected the pomp and the ceremoniousness of the old régime, the Duke of Orleans moved with the familiarity of a citizen among citizens. He was a clever, ready, sensible man, equal, as it seemed, to any practical task likely to come in his way, but in reality void of any deep insight, of any far-reaching aspiration, of any profound conviction. His affectation of a straightforward middle-class geniality covered a decided tendency towards intrigue and a strong love of personal power. Later events indeed gave rise to the belief that, while professing the utmost loyalty to Charles X., Louis Philippe had been scheming to oust him from his throne; but the evidence really points the other way, and indicates that, whatever secret hopes may have suggested themselves to the Duke, his strongest sentiment during the Revolution of 1830 was the fear of being driven into exile himself, and of losing his possessions. He was not indeed of a chivalrous nature; but when the Crown came in his way, he was guilty of no worse offence than some shabby evasions of promises.

Early in March, 1830, the French Chambers assembled after their recess. The speech of King Charles at the opening of the session was resolute and even Meeting and threatening. It was answered by an address Prorogation

from the Lower House, requesting him to of the Chambers, March, 1830

dismiss his Ministers The deputation which presented this address was received by the King in a style that left no doubt as to his intentions, and on the following day the Chambers were prorogued for six months It was known that they would not be permitted to meet again, and preparations for a renewed general election were at once made with the atmost vigour by both parties throughout France. The Court ansparingly applied all the means of pressure familiar to French governments, it moreover expected to influence public opinion by some striking success in nrins or in diplomacy abroad-The negotiations with Russia for the acquisition of Belgium were still before the Cabinet, and a quarrel with the Dey of Algiers gave Polignac the opportunity of beginning a war of conquest in Africa General Bourmont left the War Office, to wipe out the infamy still attaching to his name by a campaign against the Aralis; and the Government trusted that, even in the event of defeat at the elections, the nation at large would at the most critical' moment be rallied to its side hy an announcement of the capture of Algiers,

While the dissolution of Parliament was impending, Polignae laid before the King a memorial expressing his

own views on the courses open to Government Polignac's in case of the elections proving adverse. The

Charta contained a clause which, in loose and ill-chosen language, declared it to be the function of the King "to make the regulations and ordinances necessary for the execution of the laws and for the security of the State " These words, which no doubt referred to the exercise of the King's normal and constitutional powers were interpreted by Polignic as authorising the King to suspend the Constitution itself, if the Representative, Assembly should be at virtue with the King's Ministers. Poligna in fact entertained the same view of the relation between executive and deliberative bodies as those Licolin directors who made the coup-d'etat of l'incidor, 1707; and the measures which he ultimately adopted were, though

in a softened form, those adopted by Barras and Laréveillère after the Royalist elections in the sixth year of the Republic. To suspend the Constitution was not, he suggested, to violate the Charta, for the Charta empowered the sovereign to issue the ordinances necessary for the security of the State; and who but the sovereign and his advisers could be the judges of this necessity? This was simple enough; there was nevertheless among Polignac's colleagues some doubt both as to the wisdom and as to the legality of his plans. King Charles who, with all his bigotry, was anxious not to violate the letter of the Charta, brooded long over the clause which defined the sovereign's powers. At length he persuaded himself that his Minister's interpretation was the correct one, accepted the resignation of the dissentients within the Cabinet, and gave his sanction to the course which Polignac recommended.1

The result of the general election, which took place in June, surpassed all the hopes of the Opposition and all the fears of the Court. The entire body of Deputies which had voted the obnoxious

Con Place In Plac

address to the Crown in March was returned,

and the partisans of Government lost in addition fifty seats. The Cabinet, which had not up to this time resolved upon the details of its action, now deliberated upon several projects submitted to it, and, after rejecting all plans that might have led to a compromise, determined to declare the election null and void, to silence the press, and to supersede the existing electoral system by one that should secure the mastery of the Government both at the polling-booths and in the Chamber itself. All this was to be done by Royal Edict, and before the meeting of the new Parliament. The date fixed for the opening of the Chambers had been placed as late as possible in order to give time to General Bourmont to win the victory in Africa from which the Court expected to reap so rich a harvest of prestige. On the 9th of July news arrived that Algiers had fallen. The announcement, which was everywhere made with the utmost pomp, fell flat on the country. The conflict between the Court and the nation absorbed all minds, and the rapturous congratulations of Bishops and Prefects scarcely misled even the blind *côterie* of the Tuileries. Public opinion was no doubt with the Opposi-

¹ Procès des ex-Ministres, i. 180.

90

tion; King Charles, however, had no belief that the populace of Paris, which alone was to be dreaded as a fighting body, would take up arms on behalf of the middleclass voters and journalists against whom his Ordinances were to be directed. The populace neither read nor voted: why should it concern itself with constitutional law? Or why, in a matter that related only to the King and the Bourgeoisie, should it not take part with the King against this new and bastard aristoeracy which lived on others' labour? Politicians who could not fight were troublesome only when they were permitted to speak and to write There was force enough at the King's command to elose the gates of the Chamber of Deputies, and to break up the printing-presses of the journals, and if King Louis XVI had at last fallen by the hands of men of violence, it was only because he find made concessions at first to orators and politicians Therefore, without dreaming that an armed struggle would be the immediate result of their action, King Charles and Polignac determined to prevent the meeting of the Chamber, and to publish, a week before the date fixed for its opening, the Edicts which were to silence the brawl of faction and to vindicate monarchical government in France

Accordingly, on the 26th of July, a series of Ordinances appeared in the Monitcur, signed by the King and countersigned by the Ministers. The first Ordinance

The Ordiforbade the publication of any journal with-July 26 1830 out royal permission, the second dissolved the Chamber of Deputies; the third raised the property-qualification of voters, established a system of double-election, altered the duration of Parliaments, and re-enacted the obsolete clause of the Charta confining the initiative in all legislation to the Government Ordinances convoked a Chamber to be elected under the new rules, and called to the Council of State a number of the most notorious ultra-Royalists and fanatics in France. Taken together, the Ordinances left scarcely anything standing of the Constitutional and Parliamentary system of the day The blow fell first on the press, and the first step in resistance was taken by the journalists of Paris, who, under the leadership of the young Thiers, editor of the National, published a protest declaring that they would treat the Ordinances as illegal, and calling upon the

Chambers and nation to join in this resistance. For a while the journalists seemed likely to stand alone. Paris at large remained quiet, and a body of the recently elected Deputies, to whom the journalists appealed as representatives of the nation, proved themselves incapable of any action or decision whatsoever. It was not from these timid politicians, but from a body of obscure Republicans, that the impulse proceeded which overthrew the Bourbon Unrepresented in Parliament and unrepresented in the press, there were a few active men who had handed down the traditions of 1792, and who, in sympathy with the Carbonari and other conspirators abroad, had during recent years founded secret societies in Paris, and enlisted in the Republican cause a certain number of workmen, of students, and of youths of the middle classes. While the journalists discussed legal means of resistance, and the Deputies awaited events, the Republican leaders met and determined upon armed revolt. They were assisted, probably without direct concert, by the printing firms and other employers of labour, who, in view of the general suspension of the newspapers, closed their establishments on the morning of July 27, and turned their workmen into the streets.

Thus on the day after the appearance of the Edicts the aspect of Paris changed. Crowds gathered, and revolutionary cries were raised. Marmont, who was suddenly ordered to take command of the troops, placed them around the Tuileries, and captured two barricades which were erected in the neighbourhood; but the populace was not yet armed, and no serious conflict took place. In the evening Lafayette reached Paris, and the revolution had now a real, though not an avowed, leader. A body of his adherents met during the night at the office of the National, and, in spite of Thiers' resistance, decided upon a general insurrection. Thiers himself, who desired nothing but a legal and Parliamentary attack upon Charles X., quitted Paris to await events. The men who had out-voted him placed themselves in communication with all the district committees of Paris, and began the actual work of revolt by distributing arms. July 28 On the morning of Wednesday, July 28th, the first armed bands attacked and captured the arsenals and several private depôts of weapons and ammunition.

Barricades were erected everywhere. The insurgents swelled from hundreds to thousands, and, converging on the old rallying point of the Commune of Paris, they seized the Hôtel de Ville, and hoisted the tricolor flag on its roof. Marmont wrote to the King, declaring the posi-tion to be most serious, and advising concession, he then put his troops in motion, and succeeded, after a severe conflict, in capturing several points of vantage, and in expelling the rebels from the Hôtel de Ville

In the meantime the Deputies, who were assembled at the house of one of their number in pursuance of an agreement made on the previous day, gained sufficient courage to adopt a protest declaring that in spite of the Ordinances they were still the legal representatives of the nation. They moreover sent a deputation to Marmont, begging him to put a stop to the fighting, and offering their assistance in restoring order if the King would withdraw his Edicts. Marmont replied that he could do nothing without the King's command, but he despatched a second letter to St. Cloud, urging compliance. The only answer which he received was a command to concentrate his troops and to act in masses. The result of this was that the positions which had been won by hard fighting were abundoned before exeming, and that the troops, famished and exhausted, were murched back through the streets of Paris to the Tuileries. On the march some fraternised with the people, others were surrounded and disarmed. All eastern Paris now fell into the hands of the insurgents, the middle class, as in 1789 and 1792, temained mactive, and allowed the contest to be decided by the populace and the soldiers. Messages from the capital constantly reached St. Cloud, but the King so little understood his danger and so confidently reckoned on the victory of the troops in the Tuileries that he placed whist as usual during the evening, and when the Duc de Morte-mart, French Ambassador at St. Petersburg, arrived at nightfall, and pressed for an indience, the King refused to receive him until the next morning. When morning time, the much of the insurgents against the Linkeries begin. Position after position fell into their hands The regiments stationed in

Place Vendome abandoned their commander, and marched off to place themselves at the disposal of the Deputies. Marmont ordered the Swiss Guard, which had hitherto defended the Louvie, to replace them; and in doing so he left the Louvie for a moment without any garrison. The insurgents saw the huilding empty, and rushed into it. From the windows they commanded the Court of the Tuileries, where the troops in reserve were posted; and soon after midday all was over. A few isolated battalions fought and perished, but the mass of the soldiery with their commander fell back upon the Place de la Concorde, and then evacuated Paris.³

The Duke of Orleans was all this time in hiding. He had been warned that the Court intended to arrest him, and, whether from fear of the Court or of the populace, he had secreted himself at a hunting-lodge in his woods, allowing none but his wife and his sister to know where he was concealed. His partisans, of whom the rich and popular banker, Laslitte, was the most influential among the Deputies, were watching for an opportunity to bring forward his name; but their chances of success seemed slight. The Deputies at large wished only for the withdrawal of the Ordinances, and were wholly averse from a change of dynasty. It was only through the obstinacy of King Charles himself, and as the result of a series of accidents, that the Crown passed from the elder Bourbon line. King Charles would not hear of withdrawing the Ordinances until the Tuileries had actually fallen; he then gave way and charged the Duc de Mortemart to form a new Ministry, drawn from the ranks of the Opposition. But instead of formally repealing the Edicts by a public Decree, he sent two messengers to Paris to communicate his change of purpose to the Deputies by word of mouth. The messengers betook themselves to the Hôtel de Ville, where a municipal committee under Lafavette had been installed; and, when they could produce no written authority for their statements, they were referred by this committee to the general body of Deputies, which was now sitting at Laffitte's house. The Deputies also demanded a written guarantee. Laffitte and Thiers spoke in favour of the Duke of Orleans, but the Assembly at large was still willing to negotiate with Charles X., and

¹ Lafayette, vi. 383. Marmont, viii. 238. Dupin, Révolution de Juillet, p. 7. Odilon Barrot, i. 105. Sarrans, Lafayette, i. 217. Berard, Révolution de 1830, p. 60. Hillebrand, Die Juli-Revolution, p. 87.

only required the presence of the Duc de Mortemart himself, and a copy of the Decree repealing the Ordinness. It was now near indingit. The messengers returned to St. Cloud, and were not permitted to deliver their intelligence until the King awoke next morning. Chirles July 30 then signed the necessary document, and Mortemart set out for Paris, but the night's

delay had given the Orleanists time to act, and before the King was up Thiers had placarded the streets of Paris with a proclamation extolling Orleans as the prince devoted to the cause of the Revolution, as the soldier of Jemappes, and the only constitutional King now possible Some liours after this manifesto had appeared the Deputies again assembled at Laflitte's house, and waited for the appearance of Mortemart. But they waited in vain-Mortemart's carringe was stopped on the road from St Cloud, and he was compelled to make his way on foot by a long circuit and across a score of harricades. When he approached Lafitte's house, half dead with heat and Palais Bourbon, and, instead of following them, he ended his journey at the Luxemburg, where the Peers were assembled. His absence was turned to good account by the Orleanists. At the morning session the proposition was openly made to call Louis Philippe to power, and when the Deputies reassembled in the afternoon and the Minister still failed to present limiself, it was resolved to send a body of Peers and Deputies to Louis Philippe to invite him to come to Paris and to assume the office of Lieutenant-General of the Lingdom No opposition was deputation accordingly set out to search for Louis Philippe nt his country house at Neudly. The prince was not to be found, but his sister, who received the deputation, undertook that he should duly appear in Paris. She that communicated with her brother in his hiding-place, and induced him, in spite of the resistance of his wife, to set out for the capital. He arrived at the Palais Royale late on the night of the 30th. I arly the next morning he received a deputation from the Assembly, and accepted

the powers which they offered him. A proclamation was then published, announcing to the Parisans that in order to save the country from anarchy and civil war the Dule of Orleans had assumed the office of Lieutenant-General of the kingdom.

But there existed another authority in Paris beside the Assembly of Representatives, and one that was not altogether disposed to permit Louis Philippe and The Hôtel his satellites to reap the fruits of the people's de Ville victory. Lafayette and the Municipal Committee, which occupied the Hôtel de Ville, had transformed themselves into a provisional government, and sat surrounded by the armed mob which had captured the Tuileries two days before. No single person who had fought in the streets had risked his life for the sake of making Louis Philippe king; in so far as the Parisians had fought for any definite political idea, they had fought for the Republic. It was necessary to reconcile both the populace and the provisional government to the assumption of power by the new Regent; and with this object Louis Philippe himself proceeded to the Hôtel de Ville, accompanied by an escort of Deputies and Peers. It was a hazardous moment when he entered the crowd on the Place de Grève; but Louis Philippe's readiness of speech stood him in good stead, and he made his way unhurt through the throng into the building, where Lafayette received nim. Compliments and promises were showered upon this veteran of 1789, who presently appeared on a balcony and embraced Louis Philippe, while the Prince grasped the tricolor flag, the flag which had not waved in Paris since 1815. The spectacle was successful. The multitude shouted applause; and the few determined men who still doubted the sincerity of a Bourbon and demanded the proclamation of the Kepublic were put off with the promise of an ultimate appeal to the French people.

In the meantime Charles X. had withdrawn to Rambouillet, accompanied by the members of his family and by a considerable body of troops. Here the news reached him that Orleans had accepted from the Chambers the office of Lieutenant-General. It was a severe blow to the old king, who, while others doubted of Charles X. Louis Philippe's loyalty, had still maintained

his trust in this prince's fidelity. For a moment he thought of retiring beyond the Loire and risking a civil war; but the troops now began to disperse, and Charles, recognising that his cause was hopeless, abdicated together with the

Druphin in favour of his grands on the young Chambord, then called Duc de Bordsaux. He wro'e to Louis Philippe, appointing him, as it on his own initiative, Lieutenant-General of the kingdom, and required him to proclaim Henry V. king, and to undertake the government darms the new sovereign's nunority. It is doubtful whether Loui Philippe had at this time formed any distinct resolve, and whether his answer to Charles X, was inspired by men good nature or by conscious falschood; for while replying otherally that he would by the king's letter before th Chamber, he privately wrote to Charles X, that he would retain his new office only until he could sately place th Dec de Borde us upon the throne. Having thus soother the old min's price, Louis Philippe requested him to histen his departure from the peighbourhood of Paris and when Charles ignored the message, he sent out som hands of the National Guard to terrify line into flight This drive succeeded, and the royal tarnis, still pre serving the melapoloh commental of a court, moved slowly through I make towards the western coast. A Cherbo irg they took ship and crossed to England, where they were necroid as privite persons. Among the Britis' nation at large the exited Bourbors excited but hale ser paths. They were, however, permitted to take up they abods in the palace of Holyrook, and here Charles N resided for two term. By realler the climate nor the so iet of the South's cap tal of ered are attraction to the old and thing that of a filler dinner. He sought ? more concentral states in Justin, and died at Garitz in Sum .

that the legitimate heir would have been peaceably accepted both by the Chamber and by Paris. Louis Philippe himself had up to this time done nothing that was inconsistent with the assumption of a mere Regency; the Chamber had not desired a change of dynasty; and, with the exception of Lafayette, the men who had actually made the Revolution bore as little goodwill to an Orleanist as to a Bourbon monarchy. But from the time when Louis Philippe passed over in silence the claims of the grandson of Charles X., his own accession to the throne became inevitable. It was left to an obscure Deputy to propose that the crown should be offered to Louis Philippe, accompanied by certain conditions couched in the form of modifications of the Charta. The proposal was carried in the Chamber on the 7th of August, and the whole body of representatives marched to the Palais Royale to acquaint the prince with its resolution. Louis Philippe, after some conventional expressions of regret, declared that he could not resist the call of his When the Lower Chamber had thus disposed of the crown, the House of Peers, which had proved itself a nullity throughout the crisis, adopted the same resolution, and tendered its congratulations in a similar fashion. Two days later Louis Philippe took the oath to the Charta as modified by the Assembly, and was proclaimed King of the French.

Thus ended a revolution, which, though greeted with enthusiasm at the time, has lost much of its splendour and importance in the later judgment of mankind. In comparison with the Revolution of 1789, the movement which overthrew the Bourbons in 1830 was a mere flutter on the surface. It was unconnected with any great change in men's ideas, and it left no great social

or legislative changes behind it. Occasioned by a breach of the constitution on the part of the Executive Government, it resulted

Nature of the Revolution of 1830

mainly in the transfer of administrative power from one set of politicians to another: the alterations which it introduced into the constitution itself were of no great importance. France neither had an absolute Government before 1830, nor had it a popular Government afterwards. Instead of a representative of divine right, attended by guards of nobles and counselled by Jesuit confessors, there was now a citizen-king, who walked about the streets of

Paris with an umbrella under his arm and sent his sons to the public schools, but who had at heart as keen a devotion to dynastic interests as either of his predecessors, and a much greater capacity for personal rule. The bonds which kept the entire local administration of France in dependence upon the central authority were not loosened. officialism remained as strong as ever, the franchise was still limited to a mere fraction of the nation. On the other hand, within the administration itself the change wrought by the July Revolution was real and lasting extinguished the political power of the clerical interest Not only were the Bishops removed from the House of Peers, but throughout all departments of Government the influence of the clergy, which had been so strong under Charles A. vanished away. The State took a distinctly secular colour The system of public education was regulated with such police-like exclusiveness that priests who insisted upon opening schools of their own for Catholic terelling were enabled to figure as champions of civil liberty and of freedom of opinion against despotie power The noblesse lost whatever political influence it lind regained during the Restoration. The few surviving Regicides who had been banished in 1815 were recalled to France among them the terrorist Barrers, who was once more returned to the Assembly But the real winners in the Revolution of 1830 were not the men of extremes, but the middle class of I rance. This was the class which Louis Philippe truly represented and the force which for eighteen years lept Louis Philippe on the throne was the middle-class force of the National Guard of Paris Against this soler, prosaic, unimaginalise power there struggled the hot and restless spirit which had been let loose by the overthrow of the Bourbon dynasty and which fired at once with the political id it of a Republic with dreams of the resenctation of Lurope by Lrench armies and with the growing integouism between the labouring class and the owners of property threatened for swink to exertires, the newly-constituted monarchy in Lance and to plunge I prope into war. The return of the trucker flag, the long silenced strons of the Republic and the I more the sense of victors with which menen the popular side witnessed the expulsion of the denies which had been forced upon I runer after Waterley revived that half

romantic military ardour which had undertaken the liberation of Europe in 1792. France appeared once more in the eyes of enthusiasts as the deliverer of nations. realities of the past epoch of French military aggression, its robberies, its corruption, the execrations of its victims, were forgotten; and when one people after another took up the shout of liberty that was raised in Paris, and insurrections broke out in every quarter of Europe, it was with difficulty that Louis Philippe and the few men of caution about him could prevent the French nation from rushing into war.

The State first affected by the events of July was the kingdom of the Netherlands. The creation of this kingdom, in which the Belgian provinces formerly subject to Austria were united with Holland to serve as an effective

barrier against French aggression on the north, had been one of Pitt's most cherished schemes, and it had been carried into effect

Affairs of Belgium

ten years after his death by the Congress of Vienna. National and religious incongruities had been little considered by the statesmen of that day, and at the very moment of union the Catholic bishops of Belgium had protested against a constitution which gave equal toleration to all religions under the rule of a Protestant King. The Belgians had been uninterruptedly united with France for the twenty years preceding 1814; the French language was not only the language of their literature. but the spoken language of the upper classes; and though the Flemish portion of the population was nearly related to the Dutch, this element had not then asserted itself with the distinctness and energy which it has since developed. The antagonism between the northern and the southern Netherlands, though not insuperable, was sufficiently great to make a harmonious union between the two countries a work of difficulty, and the Government of The Hague had not taken the right course to conciliate its The Belgians, though more numerous, were represented by fewer members in the National Assembly than the Dutch. Offices were filled by strangers from Holland; finance was governed by a regard for Dutch interests; and the Dutch language was made the official language for the whole kingdom. But the chief grievances were undoubtedly connected with the claims of the

clerical party in Belgium to a monopoly of spiritual power and the exclusive control of education. The one really irreconcilable enemy of the Protestant House of Orange was the Church; and the governing impulse in the conflicts which preceded the dissolution of the kingdom of the Netherlands in 1830 sprang from the same clerical interest which had thrown Belgium into revolt against the Emperor Joseph forty years before. There was again seen the same strange phenomenon of a combination between the Church and a popular or even revolutionary party. For the sake of an alliance against a constitution distasteful to both, the clergy of Belgium accepted the democratic principles of the political Opposition, and the Opposition consented for a while to desist from their attacks upon the Papacy. The contract was faithfully observed on both sides until the object for which it was made was attained 3

For some months before the Revolution of July, 1830, the antagonism between the Belgians and their Government had been so violent that no great shock frim outside was necessary to produce an outbreak. The convilsions of Paris were at once felt at Brussels, and in the 25th of

August the performance of a revolutionary. Betetan opera in that city gave the signal for the Revolution. commencement of insurrection. capital the rebellion spread from town to town throughout the southern Netherlands. summoned the Ustates General, and agreed to the establishment of an administration for Belgium separate from that of Holland, but the storm was not allayed; and the appearance of a hody of Dutch troops at Brussels was sufficient to dispel the expectation of a peaceful settle-Barricades were erected; a conflict took place in the streets, and the troops, unable to carry the city by assault, retired to the outslirts and kept up a desultory attack for several days. They then withdrew, and a provisional government, which was immediately established, declared the independence of Belgium. For a moment there appeared some possibility that the Crown Prince of Holland, who had from the first assumed the part of mediator, might be accepted as sorthigh of the newlyformed State, but the growing violence of the insurrection,

the activity of French emissaries and volunteers, and the bombardment of Antwerp by the Dutch soldiers who garrisoned its citadel, made an end of all such hopes. Belgium had won its independence, and its connection with the House of Orange could be re-established only by force of arms.

The accomplishment of this revolution in one of the smallest Continental States threatened to involve all Europe in war. Though not actually effected under the auspices of a French army, it was undoubtedly to some extent effected in alliance with the French revolutionary party. It broke up a kingdom established by the Euro-pean Treaties of 1814; and it was so closely

connected with the overthrow of the Bourbon

the Belgian

monarchy as to be scarcely distinguishable Revolution from those cases in which the European Powers had pledged themselves to call their armies into the field. Louis Philippe, however, had been recognised by most of the European Courts as the only possible alternative to a French Republic; and a general disposiion existed to second any sincere effort that should be nade by him to prevent the French nation from rushing into war. This was especially the case with England; and it was to England that Louis Philippe turned for co-operation in the settlement of the Belgian question. Louis Philippe himself had every possible reason for desiring to keep the peace. If war broke out, France would be opposed to all the Continental Powers together. Success was in the last degree improbable; it could only be hoped for by a revival of the revolutionary methods and propaganda of 1793; and failure, even for a moment, would certainly cost him his throne, and possibly his life. His interest no less than his temperament made him the strenuous, though concealed, opponent of the war-party in the Assembly; and he found in the old diplomatist who had served alike under the Bourbons, the Republic, and the Empire, an ally thoroughly capable of pursuing his own wise though unpopular policy of friendship and co-operation with England. Talleyrand, while others were crying for a revenge for Waterloo, saw that the first necessity for France was to rescue it from its isolation; and as at the Congress of Vienna he had detached Austria and England from the two northern Courts, so now,

before attempting to gain any extension of territory, he sought to make France safe against the hostility of the Continent by allying it with at least one great Power. Russia had become an enemy instead of a friend. The expulsion of the Bourbons had given mortal offence to the Czar Nicholas, and neither Austria nor Prussia was likely to enter into close relations with a Government founded upon revolution. England alone seemed a possible ally, and it was to England that the French statesman of peace turned in the Belgian crisis. Talley rand, now nearly eighty years old, came as ambassador to London, where he had served in 1792. He addressed himself to Wellington and to the new King, William IV., assuring them that, under the Government of Louis Philippe, France would not seek to use the Belgian revolution for its own aggrandisement; and, with his old aptiess in the invention of general prinspires.

Prance and ciples to suit a priticular case, he laid down the principle of non-intervention is one that ought for the future to govern the policy of Europe. His

ought for the future to govern the policy of Europe. Hisefforts were successful. So complete an understanding was established between France and England on the Belgian question, that all fear of an armed intervention of the Lastern Courts on behalf of the King of Holland, which would have rendered a war with France inevitable, prissed away. The regulation of Belgian affairs was submitted to a Conference at London. Hostilities were stopped, and the independence of the new kingdom was recognised in principle by the Conference before the end of the year. A Protocol defining the frontiers of Belgian and Holland, and apportioning to each State its slare in the national debt, was signed by the inpreventatives of

the Powers in January, 1841.

Thus far, a crisis which this itemed the peace of Furope had been surmounted with inexpected case. But the first stage of the difficulty alone was passed; it still remained for the Powers to provide a long for Belgium, and to goin the consent of the Dutch and Belgium Governments to the territorial arrangements drivin up for them. The Belgiums times these with whom a conjection with France.

We limit n N S eri you H and I State Papers, xxiii ef; Mette ni h v ay Hireleand, Cerli bir Frankrei N i rvi Nochrint, I tay I arts Pa meration is S Herly Mayoffit; pe, ni S;

was popular, were disposed to elect as their sovereign the Duc de Nemours, second son of Louis Philippe; and although Louis Philippe officially refused his sanction to this scheme, which in the elected King, eyes of all Europe would have turned June 4 Belgium into a French dependency, he privately encouraged its prosecution after a Bonapartist candidate, the son of Eugène Beauharnais, had appeared in the field. The result was that the Duc de Nemour's was elected king on the 3rd of February, 1831. Against this appointment the Conference of the Powers at London had already pronounced its veto, and the British Government let it be understood that it would resist any such extension of French influence by force. Louis Philippe now finally refused the crown for his son, and, the Bonapartist candidate being withdrawn, the two rival Powers agreed in recommending Prince Leopold of Saxe-Coburg, on the understanding that, if elected King of Belgium, he should marry a daughter of Louis Philippe. The Belgians fell in with the advice given them, and elected Leopold on the 4th of June. He accepted the crown, subject to the condition that the London Conference should modify in Savour of Belgium some of the provisions relating to the Frontiers and to the finances of the new State which had

The difficulty of arranging the Belgian frontier arose principally from the position of the Grand Duchy of Luxemburg. This territory, though subject to Austria before the French Revolution, had always been treated as distinct from the body of the Austrian Netherlands. When, at the peace of 1814, it was given to the King of Holland in substitution for the ancient possessions of his family at Nassau, its old character as a member of the German federal union was restored to it, so that the King of Holland in respect of this portion of his dominions became a German Belgian prince, and the fortress of Luxemburg, the strongest in Europe after Gibraltar, was liable to occu-

been laid down by the Conference, and which the Belgian

Government had hitherto refused to accept.

strongest in Europe after Gibraltar, was liable to occupation by German troops. The population of the Duchy had, however, joined the Belgians in their revolt, and, with the exception of the fortress itself, the territory had passed into possession of the Belgian Government. In spite of this actual overthrow of Dutch rule, the Conference of London had attached such preponderating importance to the military and international relations of Luxemburg that it had excluded the whole of the Duchy from the new Belgian State, and declared it still to form part of the dominions of the King of Holland. The first demand of Leopold was for the reversal or modification of this decision, and the Powers so far gave way as to substitute for the declaration of January a series of articles, in which the question of Luxemburg was reserved for future settlement. The King of Holland had assented to the January declaration; on hearing of its abandonment, he took up arms, and threw fifty thousand men into Belgium. Leopold appealed to France for assistance, and a I rench army immediately crossed the frontier. The Dutch now withdrew, and the French in their turn were recalled, after Leopold had signed a treaty undertaking to raze the fortifications of five towns on his southern border. The Conference again took up its work, and produced a third scheme, in which the territory of Luxemburg was divided between Holland and Belgium This was accepted by Belgium, and rejected by Holland The consequent was that a treaty was made between Leopold and the Powers; and at the beginning of 1832 the kingdom (Belgium, as defined by the third award of the Conference was recognised by all the Courts, Lord Palmerston o behalf of England resolutely refusing to France even the slightest addition of territory, on the ground that, if ar nevations once began, all security for the continuance (peace would be at an end. On this wise and firm polic the concert of Europe in the establishment of the Belgia kingdom was successfully maintained, and it only re mrined for the Western Powers to overcome the resistance of the King of Holland, who still held the citadel of Antwerp and declined to listen either to reason of authority. A French army corps was charged with the task of besieging the citadel, an English fleet blockade the river Scheldt After a severe hombardment the citade surrendered. Hostilities ceased, and negotiations for definitive settlement recommenced As, however, the Belgians were in actual occupation of all Luxemburg with the exception of the fortress, they had no motive to accelerate a settlement which would deprive them of par

of their existing possessions; on the other hand, the King of Holland field back through mere obstinacy. Thus the provisional state of affairs was prolonged for year after year, and it was not until April, 1839, that the final Treaty of Peace between Belgium and Holland was executed.

The consent of the Eastern Powers to the overthrow of the kingdom of the United Netherlands, and to the establishment of a State based upon a revolutionary movement, would probably have been harder to gain if in the autumn of 1830 Russia had been free to act Affairs of with all its strength. But at this moment an Poland outbreak took place in Poland, which required the concentration of all the Czar's forces within his own border. The conflict was rather a war of one armed nation against another than the insurrection of a people against its government. Poland—that is to say, the territory which had formerly constituted the Grand Duchy of War-saw—had, by the treaties of 1814, been established as a separate kingdom, subject to the Czar of Russia, but not forming part of the Russian Empire. It possessed an administration and an army of its own, and the meeting of its Diet gave to it a species of parliamentary government to which there was nothing analogous within Russia proper. During the reign of Alexander the constitutional system of Poland had, on the whole, been respected; and although the real supremacy of an absolute monarch at St. Petersburg had caused the Diet to act as a body in opposition to the Russian Government, the personal connection existing between Alexander and the Poles had prevented any overt rebellion during his own life-time. But with the accession of Nicholas all such individual sympathy passed away, and the hard realities of the actual relation between Poland and the Court of Russia came into full view. In the conspiracies of 1825 a great number of Poles were implicated. Eight of these persons, after a preliminary inquiry, were placed on trial before the Senate at Warsaw, which, in spite of strong evidence of their guilt, acquitted them. Pending the decision, Nicholas declined to convoke the Diet: he also stationed Russian troops in Poland, and violated the constitution by placing Russians in all branches of the administration. Even without these grievances the hostility of the mass of the Polish noblesse to Russia would probably have led

sooner or later to insurrection. The peasantry, ignorant and degraded, were but instruments in the hands of their territorial masters. In so far as Poland had rights of selfgovernment, these rights belonged almost exclusively to the nobles, or landed proprietors, a class so numerous that they have usually been mistaken in Western Europe for the Polish nation itself. The so-called emancipation of the serfs, effected by Napoleon after wresting the Grand Duchy of Warsaw from Prussia in 1807, had done little for the mass of the population; for, while abolishing the legal condition of servitude, Napoleon had given the peasant no vestige of proprietorship in his holding, and had consequently left him as much at the mercy of his landlord as he was before. The name of freedom appears in fact to have worked actual injury to the pensant; for in the enjoy-ment of a pretended power of free contract he was left without that protection of the officers of State which, under the Prussian régime from 1795 to 1807, had shielded him from the tyranny of his lord. It has been the fatal, the irremediable bane of Poland that its noblesse, until too late, saw no country, no right, no law, outside itself. The very measures of interference on the part of the Czar which this caste resented as unconstitutional were in part directed against the abuse of its own privileges; and although in 1830 n section of the nobles had learnt the secret of their country's fall, and were prepared to give the serf the real enancipation of proprietorship, no universal impulse worked in this direction, nor could the wrong of ages be undone in the tumult of war and revolution.

A sharp distinction existed between the narrow circle of the highest aristocracy of Poland and the mass of the poor and warlike noblesse. The former, represented by men like Czartoryski, the friend of Alexander I, and ex-Minister of Russia, understood the hopelessness of any immediate struggle with the superior power, and advocated the politic

Insurrection at Warsaw, Nov. 29

1855. institutions which were certainly sufficient to preserve Poland from absorption by

Russia, and to keep alive the idea of the ultimate establishment of its independence. It was among the lesser nobility, among the subordinate officers of the army and the population of Warsaw itself, who jointly formed the

so-called democratic party, that the spirit of revolt was strongest. Plans for an outbreak had been made during the Turkish war of 1828; but unhappily this opportunity, which might have been used with fatal effect against Russia, was neglected, and it was left for the French Revolution of 1830 to kindle an untimely and ineffective flame. The memory of Napoleon's campaigns and the wild voices of French democracy filled the patriots at Warsaw with vain hopes of a military union with western Liberalism, and overpowered the counsels of men who understood the state of Europe better. Revolt broke out on the 29th of November, 1830. The Polish regiments in Warsaw joined the insurrection, and the Russian troops, under the Grand Duke Constantine, withdrew from the capital, where their leader had narrowly escaped with his life.

The Government of Poland had up to this time been in the hands of a Council nominated by the Czar as King of Poland, and controlled by instructions from a secretary at St. Petersburg. The chief of negotiation the Council was Lubecki, a Pole devoted to with the the Emperor Nicholas. On the victory of

the Emperor Nicholas. On the victory of Czar the insurrection at Warsaw, the Council was dissolved and a provisional Government installed. Though the revolt was the work of the so-called democratic party, the influence of the old governing families of the highest aristocracy was still so great that power was by common consent placed in their hands. Czartoryski became president, and the policy adopted by himself and his colleagues was that of friendly negotiation with Russia. The insurrection of November was treated not as the beginning of a national revolt, but as a mere disturbance occasioned by unconstitutional acts of the Government. So little did the committee understand the character of the Emperor Nicholas, as to imagine that after the expulsion of his soldiers and the overthrow of his Ministers at Warsaw he would peaceably make the concessions required of him, and undertake for the future faithfully to observe the Polish constitution. Lubecki and a second official were sent to St. Petersburg to present these demands, and further (though this was not seriously intended) to ask

¹ Smitt, Geschichte des Polnischen Aufstandes, i. 112. Spazier, Geschichte des Aufstandes, i. 177. Lelewel, Histoire de Pologne, i. 300.

that the constitution should be introduced into all the Russian provinces which had once formed part of the Polish State. The reception given to the envoys at the frontier was of an ominous character They were required to describe themselves as officers about to present a report to the Czar, masmuch as no representatives of rebels in arms could be received in Russia Lubecki appears now to have shaken the dust of Poland off his feet; his colleague pursued his mission, and was admitted to the Czar's presence Nicholas, while expressing himself in language of injured tenderness, and disclaiming all desire to punish the innocent with the guilty, let it be understood that Poland had but two alternatives, unconditional submission or annihilation The messenger who in the meanwhile carried back to Warsaw the first despatches of the envoy reported that the roads were already filled with Russian regiments moving on their prey

Six weeks of precious time were lost through the illusion of the Polish Government that an accommodation with the Emperor Nicholas was possible. Had the insurrection at Warshw been instantly followed by a general levy and the invasion of Lithuania, the resources of this

large province might possibly have been thrown into the scale against Russia invades Though the mass of the Lithurnian popula-Poland. Feb. 183t tion, in spite of several centuries of union with Poland, had never been assimilated to the dominant race, and remained in language and creed more nearly allied to the Russians than the Poles, the nobles formed an integral part of the Polish nation, and possessed sufficient power over their serfs to drive them into the field to fight for they knew not what The Russian garrisons in Lithurnin were not strong, and might easily have been overpowered by a sudden attack. When once the population of Warsaw and risen in arms against Nicholas, the only possibility of success by in the extension of the revolt over the whole of the semi-Polish provinces, and in a general call to arms. But beside other considerations which disinclined the higher aristocracy at Warsaw extreme measures, they were influenced by a belief that the Powers of Europe might intervene on behalf of the con-stitution of the Polish kingdom as established by the treats of Vienna; while, if the struggle passed beyond the borders

of that kingdom, it would become a revolutionary movement to which no Court could lend its support. It was not until the envoy returned from St. Petersburg bearing the answer of the Emperor Nicholas that the democratic party carried all before it, and all hopes of a peaceful compromise vanished away. The Diet then passed a resolution declaring that the House of Romanoff had forfeited the Polish crown, and preparations began for a struggle for life or death with Russia. But the first moments when Russia stood unguarded and unready had been lost beyond recall. Troops had thronged westwards into Lithuania; the garrisons in the fortresses had been raised to their full strength; and in February, 1831, Diebitsch took up the offensive, and crossed the Polish frontier with a hundred and twenty thousand men.

The Polish army, though far inferior in numbers to the enemy which it had to meet, was no contemptible foe. Among its officers there were many who had campaign served in Napoleon's campaigns; it possessed in Poland, however, no general habituated to in-

dependent command; and the spirit of insubordination and self-will, which had wrought so much ruin in Poland, was still ready to break out when defeat had impaired the authority of the nominal chiefs. In the first encounters the advancing Russian army was gallantly met; and, although the Poles were forced to fall back upon Warsaw, the losses sustained by Diebitsch were so serious that he had to stay his operations and to wait for reinforcements. In March the Poles took up the offensive and surprised several isolated divisions of the enemy; their general, however, failed to push his advantages with the necessary energy and swiftness; the junction of the Russians was at length effected, and on the 26th of May the Poles were defeated after obstinate resistance in a pitched battle at Ostrolenka. Cholera now broke out in the Russian camp. Both Diebitsch and the Grand Duke Constantine were carried off in the midst of the campaign, and some months more were added to the struggle of Poland, hopeless as this had now become. Incursions were made into Lithuania and Podolia, but without results. Paskiewitch, the conqueror of Kars, was called up to take the post left vacant by the death of his rival. New masses of Russian troops came in place of those who had peri had - battle and in the hospitals; and while the Governments of Western Europe lifted no hand on behalf of Polish independence, Prussia, alarmed lest the revolt should spread into its own Polish provinces, assisted the operations of the Russian general by supplying stores and munition of war. Blow after blow fell upon the Polish cause. War-saw itself became the prey of disorder, intrigue, and

treachery; and at length the Russian army Capture of made its entrance into the capital, and the Warsaw, Sept. 8, 1831 last soldiers of Poland laid down their arms, or crossed into Prussian or Austrian territory.

The revolt had been rashly and unwisely begun: its results were fatal and lamentable. The constitution of Poland was abolished, it ceased to be a separate kingdom, and became a province of the Russian Empire. Its defenders were exiles over the face of Europe or forgotten in Siberia. All that might have been won by the gradual development of its constitutional liberties without breach with the Czar's sovereignty was sacrificed. The future of Poland, like that of Russia itself, now depended on the enlightenment and courage of the Imperial Government, and on that, The very existence of a Polish nationality and language seemed for a while to be threatened by the measures of repression that followed the victory of 1831: and if it be true that Russian autocracy has at length done for the Polish peasants what their native masters during centuries of ascendancy refused to do, this emancipation would probably not have come the later for the preservation of some relics of political independence, nor would it have had the less value if unaccompanied by the proscription of so great a part of that class which had once been held to constitute the Polish nation.

During the conflict on the banks of the Vistula, the attitude of the Austrian Government had been one of watchful neutrality. Its own Polish territory was not seriously menaced with disturbance, for in a great part of Gilicia the population, being of Ruthenian stock and belonging to the Greek Church, had nothing in common. with the Polish and Catholic noblesse of their province, and looked back upon the days of Polish dominion as a time of suffering and wrong. Austria's danger in any

there les les Milatine p. 199, l'Impire des Tiars, l. 384 Le'enel Consil rations p are

period of European convulsion lay as yet rather on the side of Italy than on the East, and the vigour of its policy in that quarter contrasted with the equanimity

with which it watched the struggle of its Insurrection Slavic neighbours. Since the suppression of in the Papal the Neapolitan constitutional movement in 1831

1821, the Carbonari and other secret societies of Italy had lost nothing of their activity. Their headquarters had been removed from Southern Italy to the Papal States, and the numerous Italian exiles in France and elsewhere kept up a busy communication at once with French revolutionary leaders like Lafayette and with the enemies of the established governments in Italy itself. The death of Pope Pius VIII., on November 30, 1830, and the consequent paralysis of authority within the Ecclesiastical States, came at an opportune moment; assurances of support arrived from Paris and the Italian leaders resolved upon a general insurrection throughout the minor Principalities on the 5th of February, 1831. Anticipating the signal, Menotti, chief of a band of patriots at Modena, who appears to have been lured on by the Grand Duke himself, assembled his partisans Tebruary 3. He was overpowered and imprisoned; but the outbreak of the insurrection in Bologna, and its rapid extension over the northern part of the Papal States, soon caused the Grand Duke to fly to Austrian territory, carrying his prisoner Menotti with him, whom he subsequently put to death. The new Pope, Gregory XVI., had scarcely been elected when the report reached him that Bologna had declared the temporal power of the Papacy to be at an end. Uncertain of the character of the revolt, he despatched Cardinal Benvenuti northwards to employ conciliation or force as occasion might require. The Legate fell into the hands of the insurgents; the revolt spread southwards; and Gregory, now hopeless of subduing it by the forces at his own command, called upon Austria for assistance.1

The principle which, since the Revolution of July, the government of France had repeatedly laid down as the future basis of European politics was that of non-intervention. It had disclaimed any purpose of interfering with the affairs of its neighbours, and had required in return

¹ Bianchi, Ducati Estensi, i. 54. La Farina, v. 241. Farini, i. 34.

that no foreign intervention should take place in districts which, like Belgium and Savoy, adjoined its own frontier.

But there existed no real unity of purpose in the councils of Louis Philippe. The

Ministry had one voice for the representatives of foreign powers, another for the Chamber of Deputies, and another for Lafayette and the bands of exiles and conspirators who were under his protection. The head of the government at the beginning of 1831 was Lassitte, a weak politician, dominated by revolutionary sympathics and phrases, but incapable of any sustained or resolute action, and equally incapable of resisting Louis Philippe after the King had concluded his performance of popular leader, and assumed his real character as the war, and self-seeking chief of a reigning house. Whether the actual course of French policy would be governed by the passions of the streets or by the timorousness of Louis Philippe was from day to day a matter of consecture The official answer given to the inquiries of the Austrian ambassador as to the intentions of France in ease of an Austrian intervention in Italy was, that such intervention might be tolerated in Parma and Modena, which belonged to sovereigns immediately connected with the Hapsburgs, but that if it was extended to the Papil States war with France would be probable, and if extended to Piedmont, certain On this reply Metternich, who saw Austria's own dominion in Italy once more menaced by the success of an insurrectionary movement, had to form his decision. He could count on the support of Russin in case of war, he knew well the fears of Louis Philippe, and knew that he could work on these fears both by pointing to the presence of the young Louis Bonaparte and his brother with the Italian insurgents as evidence of the Bonapartist character of the movement, and by hinting that in the last resort he might hunself let loose upon France Napoleon's son, the Duke of Reichstadt, now growing to manhood at Vienna, before whom Louis Philippe's throne would have collapsed as speedily as that of I ours XVIII. in 1814 Where weakness existed.) Metiernich was quick to divine it and to take advantage of it He rightly gauged Louis Philippe. Taking at their true value the threats of the I rench Government, he declared that it was better for Austria to fall, if necessary,

by war than by revolution; and, resolving at all hazards to suppress the Roman insurrection, he gave orders to the Austrian troops to enter the Papal States.

The military resistance which the insurgents could offer to the advance of the Pope's Austrian deliverers was insignificant, and order was soon restored.

But all Europe expected the outbreak of war between Austria and France. The French ambassador at Constantinople had gone so far as to offer the Sultan an offensive and

Austrians) suppress Roman revolt. March, 1831

defensive alliance, and to urge him to make preparations for an attack upon both Austria and Russia on their southern frontiers. A despatch from the ambassador reached Paris describing the warlike overtures he had made to the Porte. Louis Philippe saw that if this despatch reached the hands of Laffitte and the war party in the Council of Ministers the preservation of peace would be almost impossible. In concert with Sebastiani, the Foreign Minister, he concealed the despatch from Laffitte. The Premier discovered the trick that had been played upon him, and tendered his resignation. It was gladly accepted by Louis Philippe. Laffitte quitted office, begging pardon of God and man for the part that he had taken in raising Louis Philippe to the throne. His successor was Casimir Perier, a man of very different mould; resolute, clear-headed, and immov-Casimir

ably true to his word; a constitutional statesman of the strictest type, intolerant of

Perier,

any species of disorder, and a despiser of popular movements, but equally proof against royal intrigues, and as keen to maintain the constitutional system of France against the Court on one side and the populace on the other as he was to earn for France the respect of foreign powers by the abandonment of a policy of adventure, and the steady adherence to the principles of international obligation which he had laid down. Under his firm hand the intrigues of the French Government with foreign revolutionists ceased; it was felt throughout Europe that peace was still possible, and that if war was undertaken by France it would be undertaken only under conditions which would make any moral union of all the great Powers against France impossible. The Austrian

expedition into the Papal States had already begun, and

the revolutionary Government had been suppressed; the most therefore that Casimir Perier could demand was that the evacuation of the occupied territory should take place as soon as possible, and that Austria should add its voice to that of the other Powers in urging the Papal Government to reform its abuses. Both demands were granted For the first time Austria appeared as the advocate o something like a constitutional system. A Conference held at Rome agreed upon a scheme of reforms to be recommended to the Pope, the prospects of peace grew daily fairer, and in July, 1831, the last Austrian soldiers quitted the Ecclesiastical States.

It now remained to be seen whether Pope Gregory and his cardinals find the intelligence and good-will necessity Second for carrying out the reforms on the promise

of which France had abstained from active Austrian intervention If any such hopes existed they intervention. were doomed to speedy disappointment. The apparatus of priestly maladministrations was restored in all its ancient deformity. An amnesty which had been promised by the Legate Benvenuti was disregarded, and the Pope set himself to strengthen his authority enlisting new bands of ruffians and adventurers under the standard of St. Peter. Again insurrection broke out, and again at the Pope's request the Austrians crossed the frontier (January, 1832) Though their appearance was fatal to the cause of liberty, they were actually welcomed as protectors in towns which had been exposed to the tender mercies of the Papul condottiers. There was no disorder, no severity, where the Austrian commandants

Trench occupy

Ancona, leb-ruary, ISS2
angle to face in Italy, but the trust of the country of t

held sway; but their mere presence in central Italy was a threat to European peace, and Casimir Perier was not

^{*} Bianchi Diplomaria, iti 48 Metternich iv 121 Hildran ! Geschichte I rantrei hi i 20 Haustonville i 32 B an 1 1 512 7 Papers, xix 142) Gellert, Memeires, il 200.

attempt to expel the French from the position they had seized. Casimir Perier, now on his death-bed, defended the step that he had taken against the remonstrances of ambassadors and against the protests of the Pope, and declared the presence of the French at Ancona to be no rincentive to rebellion, but the mere assertion of the rights of a Power which had as good a claim to be in central Italy as Austria itself. Had his life been prolonged, he would probably have insisted upon the execution of the reforms which the Powers had urged upon the Papal government, and have made the occupation of Ancona an effectual means for reaching this end. But with his death the wrongs of the Italians themselves and the question of a reformed government in the Papal States gradually passed out of sight. France and Austria jealously watched one another on the debatable land; the occupation became a mere incident of the balance of power, and was prolonged for year after year, until, in 1838, the Austrians having finally withdrawn all their troops, the French peacefully handed over the citadel of Ancona to the Holy See.

The arena in which we have next to follow the effects 'b! the July Revolution, in action and counter-action, is Germany. It has been seen that in the southern German States an element of representative govern-Prussia in

ment, if weak, yet not wholly ineffective, had come into being soon after 1815, and

had survived the reactionary measures initiated by the conference of Ministers at Carlsbad. In Prussia the promises of King Frederick William to his people had never been fulfilled. Years had passed since exaggerated rumours of conspiracy had served as an excuse for with-holding the Constitution. Hardenberg had long been dead; the foreign policy of the country had taken a freer tone; the rigours of the police-system had departed; but the nation remained as completely excluded from any share in the government as it had been before Napoleon's fall. It had in fact become clear that during the lifetime of King Frederick William things must be allowed to remain in their existing condition; and the affection of the people for their sovereign, who had been so long and so closely united with Prussia in its sufferings and

in its glories, caused a general willingness to rostrone

the demand for constitutional reform until the succeeding reign. The substantial merits of the administration might moreover have reconciled a less submissive people than the Prussians to the absolute government under which they lived. Under a wise and enlightened financial policy the country was becoming visibly richer. Obstacles to commercial development were removed, communications opened, and finally, by a series of treaties with the neighbouring German States, the foundations were laid for that

Customs-Union which, under the name of Zoltverein, the Zollverein, ultimately embraced almost the whole of non-Austrian Germany. one Principality after another attached itself to the Prussian system, the products of the various regions of Germany, lutherto blocked by the frontier dues of each petty State, moved freely through the land, while the costs attending the taxation of foreign imports, now con-centrated upon the external line of frontier, were enor-mously diminished. Patient, sagacious, and even liberal in its negotiations with its weaker neighbours, Prussia silently connected with itself through the ties of financial minon States which had bitherto looked to Austria as the natural head. The semblance of political minon was called the control of the semblance of political minon was called the control of the semblance of political minon was called the control of the semblance of political minon was called the control of the semblance of political minon was called the control of the semblance of political minon was called the control of the fully avoided, but the germs of political union were nevertheless present in the growing community of miterial interests. The reputation of the Prussian Government, nn less than the welfare of the Prussian people, was advanced by each successive step in the extension of the Zollverein, and although the earlier stages alone had been passed in the vears before 1830, enough had already been done to affect public opinion; and the general sense of material progress combined with other influences to close Prussia to the revolutionary tendencies of that year-

There were, however, other States in northern Germany which had all the defects of Prinsian autogracy without any of its redeeming qualities. In Brinswick and in Hesse Cassel disposism existed in its most coninsurrections tempuble form; the violence of a half-crary,

In Brune wick and Casset subject to it. Here accordingly revolution broke only. The threatened princes had raide themselves too generally

Saxony,

obnoxious or ridiculous for any hand to be raised in their defence. Their disappearance excited no more than the inevitable lament from Metternich; and in both States systems of representative government were introduced by their successors. In Hanover and in Saxony agitation also began in favour of Parliamentary rule. The disturbance that arose was not of a serious character, and it was met by the Courts in a conciliatory spirit. Constitutions were tions in Hangranted, the liberty of the Press extended, over and

and trial by jury established. On the whole, the movement of 1830, as it affected northern

Germany, was rationally directed and salutary in its results. Changes of real value were accomplished with a sparing employment of revolutionary means, and, in the more important cases, through the friendly co-operation of the sovereigns with their subjects. It was not the fault of those who had asked for the same degree of liberty in northern Germany which the south already possessed, that Germany at large again experienced the miseries of reaction and repression which had afflicted it ten years before.

Like Belgium and the Rhenish Provinces, the Bavarian Palatinate had for twenty years been incorporated with France. Its inhabitants had grown accustomed to the French law and French institutions, and had Movement caught something of the political animation in the which returned to France after Napoleon's Palatinate fall. Accordingly when the government of Munich, alarmed by the July Revolution, showed an inclination towards repressive measures, the Palatinate, severed from the rest of the Bavarian monarchy and in immediate contact with France, became the focus of a revolutionary agitation. The Press had already attained some activity and some influence in this province; and although the leaders of the party of progress were still to a great extent Professors, they had so far advanced upon the patriots of 1818 as to understand that the liberation of the German people was not to be effected by the lecturers and the scholars of the Universities. The design had been formed of enlisting all classes of the public on the side of reform, both by the dissemination of political literature and by the establishment of societies not limited, as in 1818, to

academic circles, but embracing traders as well as soldiers and professional men. Even the peasant was to be reached and instructed in his interests as a citizen. It was thought that much might be effected by associating together all the Oppositions in the numerous German Parliaments: but a more striking feature of the revolutionary movemen which began in the Palatinate, and one strongly distinguishing it from the earlier agitation of Jena and Erfurt was its cosmopolitan character. France in its triumph and Poland in its death-stringgle excited equal interest and sympathy. In each the cause of European liberty appeared to be at stake. The Polish binner was saluted_in_the Palatinate by the side of that of united Germany, and from that time forward in almost every revolutionary movement of Europe, down to the insurrection of the Committee organisation of revolt and in the field.

the organisation of revolt and in the field.

Until the fall of Warsaw, in September, 1831, the German governments, uncertain of the course which events might take in Europe, had shown a certain

Reaction in Germany might take in Europe, had shown n certain of Germany might take in Europe, had shown n certain subjects, and had in especial relaxed by supervision exercised over the press. The fall of Wars f which quieted so many alarms, and made the Emperor Nicholas once more a power outside his own dominions, inaugurated a period of reaction in Germany. The Diet began the campaign against democracy by suppressing various liberal newspapers, and amongst them the principal journal of the Palatinate. It was against this movement of repression that the agitation in the Palatinate and elsewhere was now directed. A festival, or demonstration, was held at the Castle of Hambich, near Zweibrucken, at which a body of enthusiasts called upon the German people to inite against their oppressors, and some even urged an immediate appeal to arms (May 27, 1832). Similar meetings, though on a smiller scale, were held in other parts of German revolutionists with that body of opponents of all established governments which had its connection from a Paris and its bit of he Lafavette was open had and the connection of the Stablished governments which had its connection of the Stablished governments which had the Stabl

ary measures of 1819. Once more the subordination of all representative bodies to the sovereign's authority was laid down by the Diet as a binding principle for every German tate. The refusal of taxes by any legislature was delared to be an act of rebellion which would be met by he armed intervention of the central Powers. All political neetings and associations were forbidden; the Press was ilenced; the introduction of German books printed abroad was prohibited, and the Universities were again placed inder the watch of the police (July, 1832). If among the minor sovereigns of Germany there were

If among the minor sovereigns of Germany there were some who, as in Baden, sincerely desired the development of free institutions, the authority exercised by Metternich and his adherents in reaction bore down all the resistance that these courts could offer, and the hand of despot-

sm fell everywhere heavily upon the party of political progress. The majority of Gernan Liberals, not yet prepared for recourse

Attempt at Frankfort, April, 1833

to revolutionary measures, submitted to the pressure of the times, and disclaimed all sympathy with ilegal acts; a minority, recognising that nothing was now to be gained by constitutional means, entered into conspiracies, and determined to liberate Germany by force. One insignificant group, relying upon the armed co-operation of Polish bands in France, and deceived by promises of support from some Würtemberg soldiers, actually rose in insurrection at Frankfort. A guard-house was seized, and a few soldiers captured; but the citizens of Frankfort stood aloof, and order was soon restored (April, 1833). It was not to be expected that the reactionary courts should fail to draw full advantage from this ill-timed outbreak of their enemies. Prussian troops marched into Frankfort, and Metternich had no difficulty in carrying through the Diet a decree establishing a commission to super-intend and to report upon the proceedings instituted against political offenders throughout Germany. For several years these investigations continued, and the campaign against the opponents of government was carried on with various degrees of rigour in the different states. About two thousand persons altogether were brought to trial: in Prussia thirty-nine sentences of death were pro-

¹ Ilse, Untersuchungen, p. 262. Metternich, v. 347. Biedermann, Dreissig Jahre, i. 6.

nounced, but not executed. In tion the forces of monarchy had Germany again experienced, a

federal institutions which were to have given it unity existed only for the purposes of repression. The breach between the nation and its rulers, in spite of the apparent failure of the democratic party, remained far deeper and wider than it had heen before, and although Metternich, victor once more over the growing resilessness of the age, slumbered on for another decade in fancied security, the last of his triumphs had now been won, and the next uprising proved how blind was that boasted statesmanship which deemed the sources of danger exhausted when once its symptoms had been driven beneath the surface.

In half the states of Europe there were now bodies of

In half the states of Europe there were now bodies of evasperated, uncompromising men, who devoted their lives to plotting against governments, and who

Conspirators and exiles formed, in their community of interest and purpose, a sort of obverse of the Iloly Alliance, a federation of king's enemies, a league of

Alliance, a federation of king's enemies, a league of principle and creed, in which liberty and human right stood towards established rule as light to darkness. As the grasp of authority closed everywhere more tightly upon its baffled foes, more and more of these men passed into evile. Among them was the Genoese Mazzini, who, after suffering imprisonment in 1831, withdrew to Marseilles, and there, in combination with various secret societies, planned an incursion into the Italian province of Savoy. It was at first intended that this enterprise should be executed simultaneously with the German rising at Frankfort. Delays, however, arose, and it was not until the beginning of the following year that the little army, which numbered more Poles than Italians, was ready for its task. The incursion was made from Geneva in February, 1834, and ended dishistrously. Mazzini returned to Switzerland, where lundreds of exiles, secure under the shelter of the Republic, devised schemes of attack upon the despots of Europe, and even noted in honour of freedom in the streets of the Swiss cities which protected them. The effect of the revolutionary movement of the time in consolidating the alliance of the three Fistern Powers, so rudely broken

¹ Mazzini Scritti ili 310 Simoni, Conspirations Mazziniennes, p 53 Metternich, v 526 B and F State Papers, xxiv 9°9

by the Greek War of Liberation, now came clearly into view. The sovereigns of Russia and Austria had met at Münchengrätz in Bohemia in the previous autumn, and, in concert with Prussia, had resolved upon common principles of action if their intervention should be required against disturbers of order. Notes were now addressed from every quarter to the Swiss Government, requiring the expulsion of all persons concerned in enterprises against the peace of neighbouring States. Some resistance to this demand was made by individual cantons; but the extravagance of many of the refugees themselves alienated popular sympathy, and the greater part of them were forced to quit Switzerland and to seek shelter in England or in America. With the dispersion of the Swiss of the central band of exiles the open alliance which had existed between the revolutionists of Europe gradually passed away. The brotherhood of the kings had proved a stern reality, the brotherhood of the peoples a delusive vision. Mazzini indeed, who up to this time had scarcely emerged from the rabble of revolutionary leaders, was yet to prove how deeply the genius, the elevation, the fervour of one man struggling against the powers of the world may influence the history of his age; but the fire that purified the fine gold charred and consumed the baser elements; and of those who had hoped the most after 1830, many now sank into despair, or gave

It was in France that the revolutionary movement was longest maintained. During the first year of Louis Philippe's rule the opposition to his government was inspired not so much by the Republicanism as by a wild and inconsiderate sympathy with the peoples who were fighting for liberty elsewhere, and by a headstrong impulse to take up arms on their behalf. The famous decree of the Convention in 1792, which promised the assistance of France to every nation in revolt against its rulers, was in fact the true expression of what was felt by a great part of the French nation in 1831; and in the eyes of these enthusiasts it was the unpardonable offence Difficulties of Louis Philippe against the honour of of Louis France that he allowed Poland and Italy to Philippe succumb without drawing his sword against their conquerors. That France would have had to fight the three

up their lives to mere restless agitation and intrigue.

122

Eastern Powers combined, if it had allied itself with those in revolt against any one of the three, passed for nothing among the clamorous minority in the Chamber and among the orators of Paris. The pacific policy of Casimir Perier was misunderstood. It passed for mere politroonery, when in fact it was the only policy that could save France from a recurrence of the calamities of 1815. There were other causes for the growing impopularity of the King and of his Ministers, but the first was their policy of peace. As the attacks of his opponents became more and more bitter, the government of Casimir Perier took more and more of a repressive character. Disappointment at the small results produced in France itself by the Revolution of July worked powerfully in men's minds. The forces that had been set in motion against Charles X, were not to be laid at rest at the bidding of those who had profited by them, and a Republican party gradually took definite shape and organisation. Tumult succeeded tumult. In the summer of 1832 the funeral of General Lamarque, Insurrections, a popular soldier, gave the signal for insur-

in the streets; the National Guard, however, proved true to the king, and shared with the rimy in the honours of its victory. Repressive measures and an unbroken series of prosecutions against seditious writers followed this first armed attack upon the established government. The bitterness of the Opposition, the discontent of the working classes, far surpassed anything that had been known under Charles X. The whole country was agitated by revolutionary societies and revolutionary propagands. Disputes between masters and workmen, which, in consequence of the growth of French manufacturing industry, now became both frequent and important, began to take a political colour. Polish and Italian exiles connected their own designs with attacks to be made upon the French Government from within; and at length, in April, 1834, after the passing of a law against tradesunions, the working classes of Lyons, who were on strike against their employers, were induced to rise in revolv.

After several days' fighting the insurrection was suppressed. Simultaneous outbreaks took place at St. Etienne, Grenoble, and many other places in the south and centre of France; and on a report of the success of the insurgents reaching Paris, the Republic was proclaimed and barricades were erected. Again civil war raged in the streets, and again the forces of Government gained the victory. A year more passed, during which the investigations into the late revolt and the trial of a host of prisoners served rather to agitate than to reassure the public mind; and in the summer of 1835 an attempt was made upon the life of the King so terrible and destructive in its effects as to amount to a public calamity. An infernal machine composed of a hundred gun-barrels was fired by a Corsican named Fieschi, as the King with a large suite was riding through the streets of Paris on the anniversary of the Revolution of July. Fourteen persons were killed on the spot, among whom was Mortier, one of the oldest of the marshals of France; many others were fatally or severely injured. The King, however, with his three sons, escaped unhurt, and the repressive laws that followed this outrage marked the close of open revolutionary agitation in France. Whether in consequence of the Repressive

France. Whether in consequence of the Repressive stringency of the new laws, or of the ex-

haustion of a party discredited in public estimation by the crimes of a few of its members and the recklessness of many more, the constitutional monarchy of Louis Philippe now seemed to have finally vanquished its opponents. Repeated attempts were made on the life of the King, but they possessed for the most part little political significance. Order was welcome to the nation at large; and though in the growth of a socialistic theory and creed of life which dates from this epoch there lay a danger to Governments greater than any purely political, Socialism was as yet the affair of thinkers rather than of active workers either in the industrial or in the Parliamentary world. The Government had beaten its enemies outside the Chamber. Within the Chamber, the parties of extremes ceased to exercise any real influence. Groups were formed, and rival leaders played against one another for office; but they were separated by no far-reaching differences of aim, and by no real antagonism of constitutional principle. During the succeeding years of Louis Philippe's reign there was little visible on the surface but the normal rivalry of parties under a constitutional monarchy. The middle-class retained its monopoly of power: authority, centralised as before, maintained its old prestige in France, and softened opposition by judicious gifts of office and emolument. Revolutionary passion seemed to have died away: and the triumphs or reverses of party-leaders in the Chamber of Deputies succeeded to the harassing and doubtful conflict between Government and insurrection.

124

The near coincidence in time between the French Revolution of 1830 and the passing of the English Reform Bill

The English
Reform
movement
that the English Reform movement
that the English Reform movement should be
viewed as a part of the great current of

political change which then traversed the continent of Europe. But on a closer examination this view is scarcely borne out by facts, and the coincidence of the two epochs of change appears to be little more than accidental. The general unity that runs through the history of the more advanced continental states is indeed stronger than appears to a superficial reader of history; but this correspondence of tendency does not always embrace England; on the contrary, the conditions occuliar to England usually preponderate over those common to Lugland and other countries, exhibiting at times more of contrast than of similarity, as in the case of the Napolconic cpoch, when the causes which drew together the western half of the continent operated powerfully to exclude our own country from the current influences of the time, and made the England of 1815, in opinion, in religion, and in taste much more insular than the England of 1780. The revolution which overthrew Charles X. did no doubt encourage and stimulate the party of Reform in Great Britain; but, unlike the Belgian, the German, and the Italian movements, the English Reform movement would unquestionably have run the same course and achieved the same results even if the revolt against the ordinances of Charles X. land been successfully repressed, and the Bourbon monarchy had maintained itself in increased strength and reputation. 'A Reform of Parliament had been acknowledged to be necessary forty years before Put had actually proposed it in 1785, and but for the outbreak of the French Revolution would probably have carried it into effect before the close of the last century. The development of English manufacturing industry which took place between 1790

and 1830, accompanied by the rapid growth of towns and the enrichment of the urban middle class, rendered the design of Pitt, which would have transferred the representation of the decayed boroughs to the countles alone, obsolete, and made the claims of the new centres of popular tion too strong to be resisted. In theory the representative system of the country was completely transformed; but never was a measure which seemed to open the way to such boundless possibilities of change so thoroughly safe and so thoroughly conservative. In spite of the increased influence won by the wealthy part of the commercial classes, the House of Commons continued to be drawn mainly from the territorial aristocracy. Cabinet after Cabinet was formed with scarcely a single member included in it who was not himself a man of title, or closely connected with the nobility: the social influence of rank vas not diminished; and although such measures as the Reform of Municipal Corporations attested the increased energy of the Legislature, no party in the House of Commons was weaker than that which supported the democratic demands for the Ballot and for Trimmial Parliaments, nor was the repeal of the Corn Laws perjouchy lonsidered until famine had made it inevitable. That the widespread misery which existed in England after 1832, ac. the result of the excessive increase of our population and the failure alike of law and of philanthropy to keep pace with the exigencies of a vast industrial growth, chould have been so quietly borne, proves how great was the suggest of the Reform Bill as a measure of conciliation between Government and people. But the crowning judification of the changes made in 1832, and the complete and final answer to those who had opposed them at revolutionary, was not afforded until 1848, when, in the midd of European convulsion, the monarchy and the convulsion of England remained unshaken. Bold at the legislation of Lord Grey appeared to men who had hear breacht an amidst the reactionary influences comment in hardard since 1793, the Reform Bill belongs to the class of Streat creative measures which have transported from periods in the life of nations, but to the life of sections. which, while less affecting the percent of the have most contributed to political and an armine and the political and armine and the political and the polit avoidance of terrolutionary change.

CHAPTER XVII

France and England after 1830-Affairs of Portugal-Don Miguel-Don Pedro invades Portugal—Ferdinand of Spain—The Prag-matic Sanction—Death of Ferdinand: Regency of Christina— The Constitution-Quadruple Alliance-Miguel and Carlos expelled from Portugal-Carlos enters Spain-The Basque Provinces—Carlist War: Zumalacarregui-The Spanish Government seeks French assistance, which is refused-Con-stitution of 1837-End of the War-Regency of Espartero-Isabella Queen-Affairs of the Ottoman Empire-Ibrahim invades Syria; his victories-Rivalry of France and Russia at Constantinople—Peace of Kutaya and Treaty of Unkiar Skelessi
—Effect of this Treaty—France and Mehemet Ali—Commerce of the Levant-Second War between Mehemet and the Porte-Ottoman disasters-The Po' Treaty without France-1

Settlement-Turkey after Pasha.

both sides of some definite interest; and to this rule the alliance which appeared to be springing up France and between France and England after the England changes of 1830 was no exception. In the after IS30 popular view, the bond of union between the two States was a common attachment to principles of liberty; and on the part of the Whig statesmen who now governed England this sympathy with free constitutional systems abroad was certainly a powerful force; but other motives than mere community of sentiment combined to draw the two Governments together, and in the case of France these immediate interests greatly outweighed any abstract preference for a constitutional ally. Louis Philippe had an avowed and obstinate enemy in the Czar of Russia, who had been his predecessor's friend: the Court of Vienna tolerated usurpers only where worse mischief would follow from attacking them; Prussia had no

ALLIANCES of opinion usually cover the pursuit on one or

stitutional Regency of Portugal against the foreign supporters of Don Miguel, the head of the clerical and resupporters or boll angues, the head of the constitution, and, after betrothing himself to the infant sovereign, Donna Maria, who was still with her father Pedro, in Brazil, entered upon the Regency which his elder brother had promised to him. But his actions soon disproved the professions of loyalty to the constitution which he had made; and after dissolving the Cortes, and re-assembling the medieval Estates, he caused himself to be proclaimed King (June, 1828). A reign of terror followed. The constitutionalists were completely crushed. Miguel's own brutal violence gave an example to all the fanatics and ruffians who surrounded him; and after an unsuccessful appeal to arms, those of the adherents of Donna Maria and the constitution who escaped from imprisonment or execution took refuge in England or in the Azore islands, where Miguel had not been able to establish his authority. Though Miguel was not officially recognised as Sovereign by most of the foreign Courts, his victory was everywhere seen with satisfaction by the partisans of absolutism; and in Great Britain, where the Duke of Wellington was still in power, the precedent of Canning's intervention was condemned, and a strict neutrality maintained. Not only was all assistance refused to Donna Maria, but her ndherents who had taken refuge in England were prevented from making this country the basis of any operations against the usurper.

Such was the situation of Portuguese affairs when the events of 1830 brought an entirely new spirit in the foreign policy of both England and France. Miguel, however, had no inclination to adapt his own policy to the

Invasion of Portugal by Pedro.
Int. 1832

Invasion of Challenged the hostility of both governments by persisting in a series of wanton attack.

Int. 1832

Inv. 1832

Lisbon. Satisfaction was demanded, and exacted by force. English and French squadrons successively appeared in the Tagus. Lord Palmerston, now Foreign Secretary in the Ministry of Earl Grey, was content with obtaining a pecuniary indemnity for his countrymen, accompanied by a public apology from the

Portuguese Government: the French admiral, finding some difficulty in obtaining redress, carried off the best ships of Don Miguel's navy. A weightier blow was, however, soon to fall upon the usurper. His brother, the Emperor Pedro, threatened with revolution in Brazil, resolved to return to Europe and to enforce the rights of his daughter to the throne of Portugal. Pedro arrived in London in July, 1831, and was permitted by the Government to raise troops and to secure the services of some of the best naval officers of this country. The gathering place of his forces was Terceira, one of the Azore islands, and in the summer of 1832 a sufficiently strong body of troops was collected to undertake the reconquest of Portugal. A landing was made at Oporto, and this city fell into the hands of Don Pedro without resistance. Miguel, however, now marched against his brother, and laid siege to Oporto. For nearly a year no progress was made by either side; at length the arrival of volunteers from various countries, among whom was Captain Charles Napier, enabled Pedro to divide his forces and to make a new attack on Portugal from the south. Napier, in command of the fleet, annihilated the navy of Don Miguel off St. Vincent; his colleague, Villa Flor, landed and marched on Lisbon. The resistance of the enemy was overcome, and on the 28th of July, 1833, Don Pedro entered the capital. But the war was not yet at an end, for Miguel's cause was as closely identified with the interests of European absolutism as that of his brother was with constitutional right, and assistance both in troops and money continued to arrive at his camp. The struggle threatened to prove a long and obstinate one, when a new turn was given to events in the Peninsula by the death of Ferdinand, King of Spain.

Since the restoration of absolute Government in Spain in 1823, Ferdinand, in spite of his own abject weakness

and ignorance, had not given complete satisfaction to the fanatics of the clerical party. Some vestiges of statesmanship, some sense

Death of Ferdinand, Sept., 1833

of political necessity, as well as the influence

of foreign counsellors, had prevented the Government of Madrid from completely identifying itself with the monks and zealots who had first risen against the constitution

B. and F. State Papers, xviii. 196. P

of 1820, and who now sought to establish the absolute supremacy of the Church. The Inquisition had not been restored, and this alone was enough to stamp the King as a renegade in the eyes of the ferocious and implacable champions of medicial bigotry. Under the name of Apostolicals, these reactionaries had at times broken into open rebellion. Their impatience had, however, on the whole been restrained by the knowledge that in the King's brother and heir, Don Carlos, they had an adherent whose devotion to the priestly cause was beyond suspicion, and who might be expected soon to ascend the throne. Ferdinand had been thrice married, he was childless, his state of health miserable, and his life likely to be a short one. The succession to the throne of Spain had moreover, since 1713, been governed by the Salic Law, so that even in the event of Ferdinand leaving female issue Don Carlos would nevertheless inherit the erown. These confident hopes were rudely disturbed by the marriage of the King with his cousin Maria Christina of Naples, Tolloved by an edict, known as the Pragmatic Sanction, repealing the Salie Law which had been introduced with the first Bourbon, and restoring the ancient Castillan eustom under which women were capable of succeeding! to the erown. A daughter, Isabella, was shortly afterwards born to the new Queen. On the legality of the Pragmatic Sanction the opinions of publicists differed, it was judged, however, by Europe at large not from the point of view of antiquarian theory, but with direct reference to its immediate effect. The three Eastern Courts emphatically condemned it, as an interference with established monarchical right, and as a blow to the cause of European absolutism through the alliance which it would almost certainly produce between the supplanters of Don Carlos and the Liberals of the Spanish Peninsula 1 To the clerical and reactionary party at Madrid, it amounted to nothing less than a sentence of destruction, and the utmost pressure was brought to bear upon the weak and dying King with the object of inducing him to undo the alleged wrong which he had done to his brother. In a

^{1 *}La Reine Isabelle est la Révolution incarnée durs sa forme la plut dangereuse, Don Carlos représente le principe Monarchique aux prises axec la Révolution pure * Metternich v 615 B and F State Papers, xviii 13/5, xxii 13/1 Baurigarlea, iii € 5

moment of prostration Ferdinand revoked the Pragmatic Sanction; but, subsequently, regaining some degree of strength, he re-enacted it, and appointed Christina Regent during the continuance of his illness. Don Carlos, protesting against the violation of his rights, had betaken himself to Portugal, where he made common cause with Miguel. His adherents had no intention of submitting to the change of succession. Their resentment was convergent to the change of succession. Their resentment was scarcely restrained during Ferdinand's life-time, and when, in September, 1833, his long-expected death took place, and the child Isabella was declared Queen under the Regency of her mother, open rebellion broke out, and Carlos was proclaimed King in several of the northern

For the moment the forces of the Regency seemed to be far superior to those of the insurgents, and Don Carlos failed to take advantage of the first outburst of enthusiasm

and to place himself at the head of his followers. He remained in Portugal, while The Regency and the Christina, as had been expected, drew nearer Carlists to the Spanish Liberals, and ultimately

called to power a Liberal minister, Martinez de la Rosa, ander whom a constitution was given to Spain by Royal

Statute (April 10, 1834). At the same time negotiations were opened with Portugal and Quadruple with the Western Powers, in the hope of forming an alliance which should drive both

Treaty, April 22, 1834

Miguel and Carlos from the Peninsula. On the 22nd of April, 1834, a Quadruple Treaty was signed at London, in which the Spanish Government undertook to send an army into Portugal against Miguel, the Court of Lisbon pledging itself in return to use all the means in its power to expel Don Carlos from Portuguese territory. England engaged to co-operate by means of its flèet. The assistance of France, if it should be deemed necessary for the attainment of the objects of the Treaty, was to be rendered in such manner as should be settled by common consent. In pursuance of the policy of the

Treaty, and even before the formal engagement was signed, a Spanish division under General Rodil crossed the frontier and marched against Miguel. The forces of the usurper were defeated. The appearance of the English

Miguel and Carlos removed, May, 1834

fleet and the publication of the Treaty of Quadruple Alli-ance rendered further resistance hopeless, and on the 22nd of May Miguel made his submission, and in return for a lirge pension renounced all rights to the crown, and undertook to quit the Peninsula for ever. Don Carlos

refusing similar conditions, went on board an English, and was conducted to London. With respect to Portugal, the Quadruple Alliance has completely attained its object, and in so far as the Carliscause was strengthened by the continuance of civil war in the neighbouring country, this source of strength was no doubt withdrawn from it. But in its effect upon Doi Carlos himself the action of the Quadruple Alliance was worse than useless. While fulfilling the letter of the

Treaty, which stipulated for the expulsion of the two
Carlos pretenders from the Peninsula, the English
appears in Admiral had removed Carlos from Portugal
Sprin where he was comparatively harmless, and appears in Admiral had removed Carlos from Fortugain Sprin where he was comparatively harmless, and had taken no effective guarantee that he should not reappear in Spain itself and enforce his claim by arms Carlos had not been made a prisoner of war, he had made no promises and incurred no obligations; nor could no British Government, after his arrival in this country, keep short residence, he travelled in disguise through France, crossed the Pyrenees, and appeared on the 10th of July, 1834, at the headquarters of the Carlist insurgents in Navarre

In the country immediately below the western Pyrenees, the so-called Basque Provinces, lay the chief the Basque Strength of the Carlist rebellion. These pro-The Basque

vinces, which were among the most thriving by their very superiority an unlikely home for a movement which was directed against everything favourable to liberty, tolerance, and progress in the Spanish kingdom-But the identification of the Basques with the Carlist cause was due in fact to local, not to general, causes. significant and the state of th

1 Hertslet Map el Furope il 641 Miraflores, Memorias, i 39-Guirot, iv 36. Palmerston, il 180

to the year 1812, the Basque provinces had preserved more than half of the essentials of independence. Owing to their position on the French frontier, the Spanish monarchy, while destroying all local independence in the interior of Spain, had uniformly treated the Basques with the same indulgence which the Government of Great Britain has shown to the Channel Islands, and which the French monarchy, though in a less degree, showed to the frontier province of Alsace in the seventeenth eighteenth centuries. The customs-frontier of the north of Spain was drawn to the south of these districts. The inhabitants imported what they pleased from France without paying any duties; while the heavy import-dues levied at the border of the neighbouring Spanish provinces gave them the opportunity of carrying on an easy and lucrative system of smuggling. The local administration remained to a great extent in the hands of the people themselves; each village preserved its active corporate life; and the effect of this survival of a vigorous local freedom was seen in the remarkable contrast described by travellers between the aspect of the Basque districts and that of Spain at large. The Fueros, or local rights, as the Basques considered them, were in reality, when viewed as part of the order of the Spanish State, a series of exceptional privileges; and it was inevitable that the framers of the Constitution of 1812, in their attempt to create a modern administrative and political system doing justice to the whole of the nation, should sweep away the distinctions which had hitherto marked off one group of provinces from the rest of the community. The continuance of war until the return of Ferdinand, and the overthrow of the Constitution, prevented the plans of the Cortes from being at that time carried into effect; but the revolution of 1820 brought them into actual operation, and the Basques found themselves, as a result of the victory of Liberal principles, compelled to pay duties on their imports, robbed of the profits of their smuggling, and supplanted in the management of their local affairs by an army of officials from Madrid. They had gained by the Constitution little that they had not possessed before, and their losses were immediate, tangible, and substantial. Bilbao remained true to modern ideas, the country districts, led chiefly by priests, took up arms on behalf of '

absolute monarchy, assisted the French in the restoration of despotism in 1823, and remained the permanent enemies of the constitutional cause. On the death of Ferdinand they declared at once for Don Carlos, and rose in rebellion against the Government of Queen Christina, by which they considered the privileges of the Basque Province and the interests of Catholic orthodoxy to be alike threatened.

There was little in the character of Don Carlos to stimulate the loyalty even of his most benighted partizans Of military and political capacity he was totally destitute and his continued absence in Portugal when the conflic

Carlist Victories. 1834-5

had actually begun proved him to be want-ing in the natural impulses of a brave man It was, however, his fortune to be served by

a soldier of extraordinary energy and skill; and the first reverses of the Carlists were speedily repaired, and a system of warfare organised which made an end of the hopes of easy conquest with which the Government of Christina had met the insurrection. Fighting in a worthless cause, and commanding resources scarcely superior to those of a brigand chief, the Ca 14 leader, Zumalacarregui, inflicted defeat after defeat up5 the generals who were sent to destroy him. The mountainous character of the country and the universal hostility of the inhabitants made the exertions of a regular soldiery useless against the alternate flights and surprises of men who knew every mountain track, and who gained information of the enemy's movements from every cottager-Terror was added by Zumalacarregui to all his other methods for demoralising his adversary. In the exercise of reprisals he repeatedly murdered all his prisoners in cold blood, and gave to the war so savage a character that foreign Governments at last felt compelled to urge upon the belligerents some regard for the usages of the civilised world The appearance of Don Carlos himself in the summer of 1834 raised still higher the confidence already inspired by the victories of his general. It was in varia that the old constitutionalist soldier, Mina, who had won so great a name in these provinces in 1823, returned after long exile to the scene of his exploits. Enfeebled and

Pesas historique sur les Provinces Basques p (\$ \\ Humboldt, Werke, in ara

suffering, he was no longer able to place himself at the head of his troops, and he soon sought to be relieved from a hopeless task. His successor, the War Minister Valdes, took the field announcing his determination to act upon a new system, and to operate with his troops in mass instead of pursuing the enemy's bands with detachments. The result of this change of tactics was a defeat more ruinous and complete than had befallen any of Valdes' predecessors. He with difficulty withdrew the remainder of his army from the insurgent provinces; and the Carlist leader, master of the open country up to the borders of Castile, prepared to cross the Ebro and to march upon Madrid.'

The Ministers of Queen Christina, who had up till this time professed themselves confident in their power to deal

with the insurrection, could now no longer conceal the real state of affairs. Valdes himself declared that the rebellion could not be subdued without foreign aid; and after prolonged discussion in the Cabinet it was deter-

Request to France for assistance, May, 1835

mined to appeal to France for armed assistance. The flight of Don Carlos from England had already caused an additional article to be added to the Treaty of the Quadruple Alliance, in which France undertook so to watch the frontier of the Pyrenees that no reinforcements or munition of war should reach the Carlists from that side, while England promised to supply the troops of Queen Christina with arms and stores, and, if necessary, to render assistance with a naval force (18th August, 1834). The foreign supplies sent to the Carlists had thus been cut off both by land and sea; but more active assistance seemed indispensable if Madrid was to be saved from falling into the enemy's hands. The request was made to Louis Philippe's Government to occupy the Basque Provinces with a corps of twelve thousand men. Reasons of weight might be addressed to the French Court in favour of direct intervention. The victory of Don Carlos would place upon the throne of Spain a representative of all those reactionary influences throughout Europe which were in secret or in open hostility to the House of Orleans, and definitely mark the failure of that policy which had

¹ Henningsen, Campaign with Zumalacarregui, i. 93. Burgos, Anales, ii. 110. Baumgarten, iii. 257.

led France to combine with England in expelling Don Miguel from Portugal. On the other hand, the experience gained from earlier military enterprises in Spain might well deter even bolder politicians than those about Louis Philippe from venturing upon a task whose ultimate issues no man could confidently forecast. Napoleon had wrecked his empire in the struggle beyond the Pyrenees not less than in the march to Moscow and the expedition of 1823, though free from military difficulties, had exposed France to the humiliating responsibility for every brutal act of a despotism which, in the very moment of its restoration, had scorned the advice of its restorers. The constitutional Government which invoked French assistance might, moreover, at any moment give place to a democratic faction which already harassed it within the Cortes, and which, in its alliance with the populace in many of the great cities, threatened to throw Spain into anarchy, or to restore the ill-omened constitution of 1812. But above all, the attitude of the three Castern Powers bade the ruler of France hesitate before committing himself to a military occupation of Spanish territory. Their sympathies were with Don Carlos, and the active participation of France in the quarrel might possibly call their opposing forces into the field and provoke a general war. In view of the evident dangers arising out of the proposed intervention, the French Government, taking its stand on that clause of the Quadruple Treaty which provided that the asistance of France should be rendered in such manner as might be agreed upon by all the parties to the Treaty, addressed itself to Great Britain, inquiring whether this country would undertake a joint responsibility in the enterprise and share with France the consequences to which it might give birth. Lord Palmerston in reply declined to give the assurance required. He stated that no objection would be raised by the British Government to the entry of French troops into Spain, but that such intervention must be regarded as the work of France alone, and be undertaken by France at its own peril. This, answer sufficed for Louis Philippe and his Ministers. The Spanish Government was informed that the grant of military assistance was impossible, and that the entire public opinion of France would condemn so dangerous an undertaking As a proof of goodwill, permission was given

to Queen Christina to enrol volunteers both in England and France. Arms were supplied; and some thousands of needy or adventurous men ultimately made their way from our own country as well as from France, to earn under Colonel De Lacy Evans and other leaders a scanty harvest of profit or renown.

The first result of the rejection of the Spanish demand for the direct intervention of France was the downfall of the Minister by whom this demand had been made. His successor, Toreno, though a well- ance of the known patriot, proved unable to stem the tide of revolution that was breaking over the country. City after city set up its own Junta, and acted as if the central government had ceased to exist. Again the appeal for help was made to Louis Philippe, and now, not so much to avert the victory of Don Carlos as to save Spain from anarchy and from the constitution of 1812. an answer could arrive, Toreno in his turn had passed Mendizabal, a banker who had been entrusted with financial business at London, and who had entered 'nto friendly relations with Lord Palmerston, was called o office, as a politician acceptable to the democratic party, ind the advocate of a close connection with England rather han with France. In spite of the confident professions of he Minister, and in spite of some assistance actually endered by the English fleet, no real progress was made n subduing the Carlists, or in restoring administrative and financial order. The death of Zumalacarregui, who vas forced by Don Carlos to turn northwards and besiege 3ilbao instead of marching upon Madrid immediately after his victories, had checked the progress of the repellion at a critical moment; but the Government, disracted and bankrupt, could not use the opportunity which thus offered itself, and the war soon blazed out inew not only in the Basque Provinces but throughout he north of Spain. For year after year the monotonous struggle continued, while Cortes succeeded Cortes and faction supplanted faction, until there remained scarcely an officer who had not lost his reputation or a politician who was not useless and discredited.

The Queen Regent, who from the necessities of her situation had for awhile been the representative of the popular cause, gradually identified herself with

interests opposed to democratic change, and although her name was still treated with some respect, and her policy was habitually attributed to the misleading

Constituadvice of courtiers, her real position was well tion of 1837 understood at Madrid, and her own resistance was known to be the principal obstacle to the restoration of the Constitution of 1812. It was therefore determined to overcome this resistance by force, and on the 13th of August, 1836, a regiment of the garrison of Madrid, won over by the Evaltados, marched upon the palace of La Granja, invaded the Queen's apartments, and compelled her to sign an edict restoring the Constitution of 1812 until the Cortes should establish that or some other. Scenes of riot and murder followed in the capital-Men of moderate opinions, alarmed at the approach of anarchy, prepared to unite with Don Carlos King Louis Philippe, who had just consented to strengthen the French legion by the addition of some thousands of trained soldiers, now broke entirely from the Spanish connection, and dismissed his Ministers who refused to acquiesce in this change of policy Meanwhile the Eastern Powers and all rational partisans of absolutism besought Dom Carlos to give those assurances which would satisfy the wavering mass among his opponents, and place him on the throne without the sacrifice of any right that was worth preserving. It seemed as if the opportunity was too clear to be misunderstood, but the obstinacy and narrowness of Don Carlos were proof against every call of fortune Refusing to enter into any sort of engagement, he ren-dered it impossible for men to submit to him who were not willing to accept absolutism pure and simple. the other hand, a majority of the Cortes, whose eyes were now opened to the dangers around them, accepted such modifications of the Constitution of 1812 that political stability again appeared possible (June, 1837). The in the State to the side of Don Carlos was averted, and, nithough the Carlist armies took up the offensive, menacedthe capital, and made incursions into every part of Spain, the darkest period of the war was now over, and when, after undertaking in person the march upon Madrid, Don Carlos swerved aside and ultimately fell back in confusion in the Fbrn, the suppression of the rebelling became a

certainty. General Espartero, with whom such distinction remained as was to be gathered in this miserable war, forced back the adversary step by step, and carried fire and sword into the Basque Provinces, employing a system of devastation which alone seemed capable of exhausting the endurance of the people. Reduced to the last extremity, the Carlist leaders turned their arms against one another. The priests excommunicated the generals, and the generals shot the priests; and finally, on End of the 14th September, 1839, after the surrender war, Sept., of almost all his troops to Espartero, Don Carlos crossed the French frontier, and the conflict which during six years had barbarised and disgraced the Spanish nation, reached its close.

The triumph of Queen Christina over her rivals was not of long duration. Confronted by a strong democratic party both in the Cortes and in the country, she endeavoured in vain to govern by the aid of Ministers of her own choice. Her popularity had vanished away. The

scandals of her private life gave just offence to the nation, and fatally weakened her political authority. Forced by insurrection to bestow office on Espartero, as the chief of the Progressist party she found that

End of the Regency, Isabella, Queen, Nov., 1843

of the Progressist party, she found that the concessions demanded by this general were more than she could grant, and in preference to submitting to them she resigned the Regency, and quitted Spain (Oct., 1840). Espartero, after some interval, was himself appointed Regent by the Cortes. For two years he maintained himself in power, then in his turn he fell before the combined attack of his political opponents and the extreme men of his own party, and passed into exile. There remained in Spain no single person qualified to fill the vacant Regency, and in default of all other expedients the young princess Isabella, who was now in her fourteenth year, was declared of full age, and placed on the throne (Nov., 1843). Christina returned to Madrid. After - some rapid changes of Ministry, a more durable Government was formed from the Moderado party under General Narvaez; and in comparison with the period that had just ended, the first few years of the new reign were years of recovery and order.

The withdrawal of Louis Philippe from his engage-

ments after the capitulation of Maria Christina to the soldiery at La Granja in 1836 had diminished the confidence placed in the King by the British Ministry; but it had not destroyed the relations of friendship existing between the two Governments. Far more serious cause of difference arose out of the course of events in the East

War and the extension of the power of Meheme Ali, Viceroy of Egypt. The struggle be tween Mehemet and his sovereign, long fore seen, broke out in the year 1832. After the

seen, broke out in the year 1832. After the establishment of the Hellenic Kingdom, the Porte, 1832 island of Crete had been given to Mehemet in return for his services to the Ottoman cause by land and sea. concession, however, was far from satisfying the ambition of the Viceroy, and a quarrel with Abdallah, Pasha_of Acre, gave him the opportunity of throwing an army into Phlestine without directly rebelling against his sovereign (Nov., 1831). Ibrahim, in command of his father's forces, laid siege to Acre; and had this fortress at once fallen, it would probably have been allowed by the Sultan to remain in its conqueror's hands as an addition to his own province, since the Turkish army was not ready for war, and it was no uncommon thing in the Ottoman Empire for one provincial governor to possess himself of territory at the expense of another. So obstinate, how-Porte to make preparations for war; and in the spring of 1812, after the issue of a proclamation declaring Mehemet and his son to be rebels, a Turkish army led by Hussein Pasha entered Syria.

Ibrahim, while the siege of Acre was proceeding, had overrun the surrounding country. He was now in possession of all the interior of Palestine, and the tribes of Lebanon had joined him in the expectation of gaining relief from the burdens of Turkish misgovernment. The fall of Acre, while the relieving army was still near Antioch, enabled him to throw his full strength against his opponent in the valley of the Orontes. It was the intention of the Turkish general, whose forces, though superior in number, had not the European training of Ibrahim's regiments, to meet the assault of the Egyptians in an entrenched camp near Hama. The commander of the vanguard, however, pushed forward beyond this point,

and when far in advance of the main body of the army was suddenly attacked by Ibrahim at Homs. moment of complete disorder, the Turks were put to the rout. Their overthrow and flight so alarmed the general-in-chief that he deter-

Ibrahim conquers Syria

Taken at a

mined to fall back upon Aleppo, leaving Minor Antioch and all the valley of the Orontes to the enemy. Aleppo was reached, but the governor, won over by Ibrahim, closed the gates of the city against the famishing army, and forced Hussein to continue his retreat to the mountains which form the barrier between Syria and Cilicia. Here, at the pass of Beilan, he was attacked by Ibrahim, outmanœuvred, and forced to retreat with heavy loss (July 29). The pursuit was continued through the province of Cilicia. Hussein's army, now completely demoralised, made its escape to the centre of Asia Minor; the Egyptian, after advancing as far as Mount Taurus and occupying the passes in this range, took up his quarters in the con-quered country in order to refresh his army and to await reinforcements. After two months' halt he renewed his march, crossed Mount Taurus and occupied Konieh, the capital of this district. Here the last and decisive blow was struck. A new Turkish army, led by Reschid Pasha, Ibrahim's colleague in the siege of Missolonghi, advanced from the north. Against his own advice, Reschid was compelled by orders from Constantinople to risk everything in an engagement. He attacked Ibrahim at Konieh on the 21st of December, and was completely defeated. Reschid himself was made a prisoner; his army dispersed; the last forces of the Sultan were exhausted, and the road to the Bosphorus lay open before the Egyptian invader:

In this extremity the Sultan looked around for help; nor were offers of assistance wanting. The Emperor

Nicholas had since the Treaty of Adrianople assumed the part of the magnanimous friend; Russian aid offered to the Sultan by judicious management and without further

the Sultan

conquest be brought into a state of habitual dependence upon Russia; and before the result of the battle of Konieh was known General Muravieff had arrived at Constantinople bringing the offer of Russian help both by land and sea, and tendering his own personal services in the

restoration of peace. Mahmud had to some extent been won over by the Czar's politic forbearance in the execution of the Treaty of Adrianople. His hatred of Mehemet Ali was a consuming passion; and in spite of the general conviction both of his people and of his advisers that no possible concession to a rebellious vassal could be so fatal as the protection of the hereditary enemy of Islam, he was disposed to accept the Russian tender of assistance. As a preliminary, Muraviell was sent to Alexandria with permission to cede Acre to Mehemet Ali, if in return the Vicerov would make over his fleet to the Sultan. These were conditions on which no reasonable man could have expected that Mehemet would make peace, and the intention of the Russian Court probably was that Muraviell's mission should fail. The envoy soon returned to Constantinople announcing that his terms were rejected. Mahmud now requested that Russian ships might be sent to the Bosphorus, and to the dismay of the French and English embassies a Russian squadron appeared before the enpital. Admiral Roussin, the French ambassador, addressed a protest to the Sultan and threatened to leave Constantinople His remonstrances induced Mahmud to consent to some more serious negotiation being opened with Mehemet Ali. A French envoy was nuthorised to promise the Viceroy the governorship of Tripoli in Syria as well as Aere, his overtures, however, were not more acceptable than those of Muravieff, and Mehemet openly declared that if peace were not concluded on his own terms within six weeks, he should order Ibrahim, who had halted at Kutava, to continue his march on the Bosphorus. Thoroughly alarmed at this threat, and believing that no Turkish force could keep Ibrahim out of the capital, Malimud applied to Russia for more slups and also for troops. Again Admiral Roussin urged upon the Sultan that if Syria could be recongnered only by Russian forces it was more than lost to the Porte. His arguments were supported by the Divan, and with such effect that a French diplomatist was sent to Ibrahim with power

Peace of Kulaya.

to negotiate for peace on any terms Preliminaries were signed at Kutava under French mediation on the 10th of April, 1833, by which the Sultan inside over to his vassal not only

the whole of Syria but the province of Adana which hes

between Mount Taurus and the Mediterranean. After some delay these Preliminaries were ratified by Mahmud; and Ibrahim, after his dazzling success both in war and in diplomacy, commenced the evacuation of northern Anatolia.

For the moment it appeared that French influence had decisively prevailed at Constantinople, and that the troops of the Czar had been summoned from Sebastopol only to be dismissed with the ironical compliments Treaty of of those who were most anxious to get rid of Unkiar them. But this was not really the case. Skelessi. July, 1833 Whether the fluctuations in the Sultan's policy had been due to mere fear and irresolution, or whether they had to some extent proceeded from the desire to play off one Power against another, it was to Russia, not France, that his final confidence was given. The soldiers of the Czar were encamped by the side of the Turks on the eastern shore of the Bosphorus; his ships lay below Constantinople. Here on the 8th of July a Treaty was signed at the palace of Unkiar Skelessi, in which Russia and Turkey entered into a defensive alliance of the most intimate character, each Power pledging itself not only against the other, not only against the attack of an external enemy, but in every event where its peace and security might be endangered. Russia undertook, in cases where its support should be required, to provide whatever amount of troops the Sultan should consider necessary both by sea and land, the Porte being charged with no part of the expense beyond that of the provisioning of the troops. The duration of the Treaty was fixed in the first instance for eight years. A secret article, which, however, was soon afterwards published, declared that, in order to diminish the burdens of the Porte, the Czar would not demand the material help to which the Treaty entitled him; while, in substitution for such assistance, the Porte undertook, when Russia should be at war, to close the Dardanelles to the war-ships of all nations.

By the Treaty of Unkiar Skelessi, Russia came nearer than it has at any time before or since to that complete

¹ Rosen, i. 158. Prokesch von Osten, Kleine Schriften, vii. 56. Mehmed Ali, p. 17. Hillebrand, i. 514. Metternich, v. 481. B. and F. State Papers, xx. 1176; xxii. 140.

ascendency at Constantinople which has been the modern object of its policy. The success of its diplomatists had in fact been too great; for, if the abstract right of the Sultan to choose his own allies had not yet been disputed by Europe at large, the clause in the Treaty which related to the Dardanelles touched the interests of every Power which possessed a naval station in the Mediterranean., By

the public law of Europe the Black Sea, which until the eighteenth century was encompassed entirely by the Sultan's territory, formed no part of the open waters of the world, but a Turkish lake to which access was given through the Dardanelles only at the pleasure of the Porte. When, in the eighteenth century, Russia gained a footing on the northern shore of the Euxine, this carried with it no right to send warships through the straits into the Mediterranean, nor had any Power at war with Russia the right to send a fleet into the Black Sea otherwise than by the Sultan's consent. The Treaty of Unkiar Skelessi, in making Turkey the ally of Russia against all its enemies, converted the entrance to the Black Sea into a Russian fortified post, from behind which Russia could freely send forth its ships of war into the Mediterranean, while its own ports and arsenals remained secure against attack. England and France, which were the States whose interests were principally affected, protested against the Treaty, and stated they reserved to themselves the right of taking such action in regard to it as occasion might demand. Nor did the opposition rest with the protests of diplomatists The attention both of the English nation and of its Government was drawn far more than hitherto to the future of the Ottoman Empire. Political writers exposed with unwearied vigour, and not without evaggeration, the designs of the Court of St. Petersburg in Asia as well as in Europe; and to this time, rather than to any earlier period, belongs the first growth of that strong national antagonism to Russia which found its satisfac-tion in the Crimean War, and which has by no me ins lost its power at the present day.

In desiring to check the extension of Russia's influence

in the Levant, Great Britain and France were at one. The lines of policy, however, followed by these two States were widely divergent. Great Britain sought to maintain the Sultan's power in its integrity; France became in an increasing degree the patron and the friend of Mehemet Ali.

Since the expedition of Napoleon to Egypt in 1798, which was itself the execution of a

Mehemet Ali

design formed in the reign of Louis XVI.,

Egypt had largely retained its hold on the imagination of the leading classes in France. Its monuments, its relics of a mighty past, touched a livelier chord among French men of letters and science than India has at any time found among ourselves; and although the hope of national conquest vanished with Napoleon's overthrow, Egypt continued to afford a field of enterprise to many a civil and military adventurer. Mehemet's army and navy were organised by French officers; he surrounded by French agents and men of business; and after the conquest of Algiers had brought France on to the southern shore of the Mediterranean, the advantages of a close political relation with Egypt did not escape the notice of statesmen who saw in Gibraltar and Malta the most striking evidences of English maritime power. Moreover the personal fame of Mehemet strongly affected French opinion. His brilliant military reforms, his vigorous administration, and his specious achievements in finance created in the minds of those who were too far off to know the effects of his tyranny the belief that at the hands of this man the East might yet awaken to new life. Thus, from a real conviction of the superiority of Mehemet's rule over that of the House of Osman no less than from considerations of purely national policy, the French Government, without any public or official bond of union, gradually became the acknowledged supporters of the Egyptian conqueror, and connected his interests with their own.

Sultan Mahmud had ratified the Preliminaries of Kutaya with wrath in his heart; and from this time all his energies were bent upon the creation of a force which should wrest back the lost provinces and take revenge upon his rebellious vassal. As eager eas Mehemet himself to reconstruct his form and Ibrahim

of government upon the models of the West,

though far less capable of impressing upon his work the stamp of a single guiding will, thwarted moreover by the jealous interference of Russia whenever his reforms seemed

likely to produce any important result, he nevertheless succeeded in introducing something of European system and discipline into his army under the guidance of forcign soldiers, among whom was a man then little known, but destined long afterwards to fill Europe with his fame, the Prussian staff-officer Moltke On the other side Mehemet and Ibrahim knew well that the peace was no more than an armed truce, and that what had been won by arms could only be maintained by constant readiness to meet attack Under pressure of this military necessity, Ibrahim sacrificed whatever sources of strength were open to him in the hatred borne by his new subjects to the Turkish yoke, and in their hopes of relief from oppression under his own rule Welcomed at first as a deliverer, he soon proved a heavier task-master than any who had gone before him The conscription was rigorously enforced, taxation became more burdensome, the tribes who had enjoyed a wild independence in the mountains were disarmed and reduced to the level of their fellow-subjects Thus the discontent which had so greatly facilitated the Thus the discontent which had so greatly facilitated the conquest of the border-provinces soon turned against the conqueror himself, and one uprising after another slicky. Ibrahm's hold upon Mount Lebanon and the Synthese. The Sultan watched each outbreak against his adversary with grim joy, impatient for the moment when the re-organisation of his own forces should enable him to re-enter the field and to strike an overwhelming blow

With all its characteristics of superior intelligence in the choice of means, the system of Mehemet Ali was in its end that of the genuine Oriental despot final object was to convert as many as merce of

the Levant possible of his subjects into soldiers, and to draw into his treasury the profits of the labour With this aim he gradually ousted from of all the rest their rights of proprietorship the greater part of the land-owners of Fgypt, and finally proclaimed the entire soil to be State-domain, appropriating at prices fixed by himself the whole of its produce. The natural commercial intercourse of his doinnions gave place to a sistem of monopolies carried on by the Government itself. Rapidh as this sistem, which was introduced into the newly-conquered provinces, filled the coffers of Mehamet Mi, it

offered to the Sultan, whose paramount authority was still acknowledged, the means of inflicting a deadly injury upon him by a series of commercial treaties with the European Powers, granting to western traders a free market throughout the Ottoman Empire. Resistance to such a measure would expose Mehemet to the hostility of the whole mercantile interest of Europe; submission to it would involve he loss of a great part of that revenue on which his miliary power depended. It was probably with this result in view, rather than from any more obvious motive, that in the year 1838 the Sultan concluded a new commercial Treaty with England, which was soon followed by similar agreements with other States.

The import of the Sultan's commercial policy was not lost upon Mehemet, who had already determined to declare himself independent. He saw that war was inevitable, and bade Ibrahim collect his forces in the neighbourhood of

Aleppo, while the generals of the Sultan nassed on the upper Euphrates the troops that had been successfully employed in subduing the wild tribes of Kurdistan. The

Campaign of Nissib, June, 1839

storm was seen to be gathering, and the representatives of Toreign Powers urged the Sultan, but in vain, to refrain from an enterprise which might shatter his empire. Mahmud was now a dying man. Exhausted by physical excess and by the stress and passion of his long reign, he bore in his heart the same unquenchable hatreds as of old; and while assuring the ambassadors of his intention to maintained the peace, he despatched a letter to his commander-in-chief, without the knowledge of any single person, ordering him to commence hostilities. The Turkish army crossed the frontier on the 23rd of May, 1839. In the operations which followed, the advice and protests of Moltke and the other European officers at headquarters were persistently disregarded. The Turks were outmanœuvred and cut off from their communications, and on the 24th of June the onslaught of Ibrahim swept them from their position at Nissib in utter rout. The whole of their artillery and stores fell into the hands of the enemy: the army dispersed. Mahmud did not live to hear of the catastrophe. Six days after the battle of Nissib was fought, and while the messenger who bore the news was still in Anatolia, he expired, leaving the throne to his son,

F1830

Abdul Medud, a youth of sixteen Scarcely had the new Sultan been proclaimed when it became known that the Admiral. Achmet Fewzi, who had been instructed to attack the Syrian coast, had sailed into the port of Alexandria, and handed over the Turkish fleet to Mehemet Alı himself

The very suddenness of these disasters, which left the Ottoman Empire rulerless and without defence by land or

sea, contributed ultimately to its preservation, masmuch as it impelled the Powers to the Powers to Mehemet combined action, which, under less urgent pressure, would probably not have been

On the announcement of the exorbitant condiattamable tions of peace demanded by Mehemet, the ambassadors addressed a collective note to the Divan, requesting that no answer might be made until the Courts had arrived at some common resolution. Soon afterwards the French and English fleets appeared at the Dardanelles, nominally to protect Constantinople against the attack of the Viceroy, in reality to guard against any sudden movement on the part of Russia. This display of force was, however, not necessary, for the Czar, in spite of some expressions to the contrary, had already convinced himself that it was impossible to act upon the Treaty of Univer Skelessi and to make the protectorate of Turkey the affair of Russia alone The tone which had been taken by the English Government during the list preceding years proved that any attempt to evercise evelusive power at Constantinople would have been followed by war with Great Britain, in which most, if not all, of the European Powers would have stood on the side of the latter Abandoning therefore the hope of attrining sole control, the Russian Government addressed itself to the task of widening as far as possible the existing divergence between England and France Nor was this difficult. The Cabinet of the Tinleries desired to see Mehemet Mi issue with increased strength from the conflict, or even to establish his dynasty at Constantinople in place of the House of Osman. Lord Palmerston, always jealous and suspicious of Louis Philippe, refused to believe that the growth of Russian power could be checked by dividing the Ottoman Empire, or that any system of Pastern policy could be safely based on the personal qualities of a ruler now past his seventicility.

restoring this province to the Porte; and at the beginning of the tollowing year it was determined on Metternich's proposition that a Conference should forthwith be held in London for the settlement of Eastern affairs. The irreconcilable difference between the intentions of France and those of the other Powers at once became evident. Franc' proposed that all Syria and Egypt should be given in hereditary dominion to Mehemet Ali, with no further obligation towards the Porte than the payment of a yearly The counter-proposal of England was that Mehemet, recognising the Sultan's authority, should have the hereditary government of Egypt alone, that he should entirely withdraw from all Northern Syria, and hold Palestine only as an ordinary governor appointed by the Porte for his lifetime. To this proposition all the Powers with the exception of F negotiation only broug obstinacy of Lord Palmerston, and proved the impossibility of attaining complete agreement. At length, when it liad been discovered that the French Cabinet was attempting to conduct a separate mediation, the Four Powers, without going through the form of asking for French sanction, signed on the 15th of July a Treaty with the Sultan pledging themselves to enforce upon Mehemet Ali the terms arranged The Sultan undertook in the first instance to offer Mehemet Egypt in perpetuity and southern Syria for his lifetime. If this offer was not accepted within ten days, Egypt alone was to be offered. If at the end of twenty days Mchemet still remained obstinate, that offer in its turn was to be willidrawn, and the Sulian and the Allies were to take such measures as the interests of the Ottoman Empire might require.

The publication of this Treaty, excluding France as it did from the concert of Europe, produced a storm of indignation at Paris. Thiers, who more than any man had by his writings stimulated the spirit of aggressive warfare among the French people and revived the worship of Napoleon, was now at the head of the Government. He justicely for the prestige of France, his comparative indifference to other matters when once the national honour

Hertslet, Map of Lutope, 11 1008 Rosen, 11 3 Gulzot, v 158 Prokesch Otten, Mehmed All p 89 Palmerston it 356 Hillebrand, fi 357 Greville Memoirs, 2nd part, vol 1 297

appeared to be committed, his sanguine estimate of the power of his country, rendered him a peculiarly dangerous Minister at the existing crisis. It was not the Warlike wrongs or the danger of Mehemet Ali, but spirit in the slight offered to France, and the revived League of the Powers which had humbled it France, 1840 in 1814, that excited the passion of the Minister and the nation. Syria was forgotten; the cry was for the recovery of the frontier of the Rhine, and for revenge for Waterloo. New regiments were enrolled, the fleet strengthened, and the long-delayed fortification of Paris begun. Thiers himself probably looked forward to a campaign in Italy, anticipating that successfully conducted by Napoleon III. in 1859, rather than to an attack upon Prussia; but the general opinion both in France itself and in other states was that, if war should break out, an invasion of Germany was inevitable. The prospect of this invasion roused in a manner little expected the spirit of the German people. Even in the smaller states, and in the Rhenish provinces themselves, which for twenty years had shared the fortunes of France, and in which the introduction of Prussian rule in 1814 had been decidedly unpopular, a strong national movement carried everything before it; and the year 1840 added to the patriotic minstrelsy of Germany a war-song, written by a Rhenish citizen, not less famous than those of 1813 and 1870.1 That there were revolutionary forces smouldering throughout Europe, from which France might in a general war have gained some assistance, the events of 1848 sufficiently proved; but to no single Government would a revolutionary war have been fraught with more imminent peril than to that of France itself, and to no one was this conviction more habitually present than to King Louis Philippe. Relying upon his influence within the Chamber of Deputies, itself a body representing the wealth and the caution rather than the hot spirit of France, the King refused to read at the opening of the session in October the speech drawn up for him by Thiers, and accepted the consequent resignation of the Ministry.

> 1 "Sie sollen ihn nicht haben Den freien Deutschen Rhein."

By Becker; answered by De Musset's "Nous avons eu votre Rhin Allemand." The words of the much finer song "Die Wacht am Rhein" were also written at this time—by Schneckenburger, a Würtemberg man; but the music by which they are known was not composed till 1854.

11810

Guizot, who was ambassador in London, and an advocate for submission to the will of Europe, was called to office. and succeeded after long debate in gaining a vote of confidence from the Chamber. Though preparations for war continued, a policy of peace was now assured. Mehemet All was left to his fate, and the stubborn assurance of Lord Palmerston, which had caused so much annoyance to the English Ministry itself, received a striking justification in the face of all Europe.

The operations of the Allies against Mehemet Ali had now begun While Prussia kept guard on the Rhine, and Russia undertook to protect Constantinople against any forward movement of Ibrahim, an Anglo-Austrian naval

Ibrahim expelled from Syria, Sept.-Nov.

squadron combined with a Turkish land-force in attacking the Syrian coast-towns mountain-tribes of the interior were ugain in revolt. Arms were supplied to them by the

Allies, and the insurrection soon spread over the greater part of Syria. Ibrahim prepared for nn obstinate defence, but his dispositions were frustrated by the extension of the area of conflict, and he was unable to . prevent the coast-towns from falling one after another intothe hands of the Allies. On the capture of Acre by Str Charles Napier he abandoned all hope of maintaining himself any longer in Syrin, and made his way with the wreck of his army towards the Egyptian frontier. Napier had already arrived before Alexandria, and there executed a convention with the Viceroy, by which the latter, abandoning all claim upon his other provinces, and undertaking to restore the Turkish fleet, was assured of the hereditary possession of Tgypt The convention was one which the English admiral had no authority to conclude, but it contained substantially the terms which the Allies intended to enforce; and after Mehemet had made a formal act of submission to the Sultan, the hereditary government of Egypt was conferred upon himself and his family by a decree published by the Sultan and sanctioned by the

Powers. This compromise had been pro-Final posed by the French Government after the selltement expire of the twenty days named in the 1 cb . 1911 Treats of July, and immediately before the fall of M Thiers, but Palmerston would not then listen to

any demand made under open or implied threats of war-

Since that time a new and pacific Ministry had come into office; it was no part of Palmerston's policy to keep alive the antagonism between England and France; and he readily accepted an arrangement which, while it saved France from witnessing the total destruction of an ally, left Egypt to a ruler who, whatever his faults, had certainly hown a greater capacity for government than any Driental of that age. It remained for the Powers to place ipon record some authoritative statement of the law recognised by Europe with regard to the Bosphorus and Dardanelles. Russia had already virtually consented to he abrogation of the Treaty of Unkiar Skelessi. It now oined with all the other Powers, including France, in declaration that the ancient rule of the Ottoman Empire which forbade the passage of these straits to the war-ships of all nations, except when the Porte itself The Dardashould be at war, was accepted by Europe at large. Russia thus surrendered its chance of gaining by any separate arrangement with Turkey the permanent right of sending its fleets from the Black Sea into the Mediterranean, and so becoming a Mediterranean Power. On the other hand, Sebastopol and the arsenals of the Euxine remained safe against the attack of any maritime Power, unless Turkey itself should take up arms against the Czar. Having regard to the great superiority of England over Russia at sea, and to the accessibility and importance of the Euxine coast towns, it is an open question whether the removal of all international restrictions upon the passage of the Bosphorus and Dardanelles would not be more to the advantage of England than of its rival. This opinion, however, had not been urged before the Crimean War, nor has it yet been accepted in our own country.

The conclusion of the struggle of 1840 marked with great definiteness the real position which the Ottoman Empire was henceforth to occupy in after 1840 its relations to the western world. Rescued by Europe at large from the alternatives of destruction at the hands of Ibrahim or complete vassalage under Russia, the Porte entered upon the condition nominally of an independent European State, really of a State existing under the protection of Europe, and responsible to Europe as well for its domestic government as for its allian

[1S41

for the conduct of its foreign policy. The necessity of conciliating the public opinion of the West was well understood by the Turkish statesman who had taken the leading part in the negotiations which freed the Porte from dependence upon Russia. Reschid Pasha, the younger, Foreign Minister at the accession of the new Sultan, had gained in an unusual degree the regard and the confidence of the European Ministers with whom, as a diplomatist, he had been brought into contact. As the author of a wide system of reforms, it was his ambition so to purify and renovate the internal administration of the Ottoman Empire that the contrasts which it presented to the civilised order of the West should gradually disappear, and that Turkey should become not only in name but in reality a member of the European world Stimulated no doubt by the achievements of Mehemet Ali, and anxious to win over to the side of the Porte the interest which Mehemet's partial adoption of European methods and ideas had excited on his behalf, Reschid in his scheme of reform paid an ostentatious homage to the principles of western ad-

Legislation ministration and law, proclaiming

security of person and property, prohibiting the irregular infliction of punishment, recognising to civil rights of Christians and Jews, and transferring the collection of taxes from the provincial governors to the officers of the central authority. The friends of the Ottoman State, less experienced then than now in the value of laws made in a society where there exists no power that can enforce them, and where the agents of government are themselves the most lawless of all the public enemics, hailed in Reschid's enlightened legislation the opening of a new epoch in the life of the Christian and Oriental races subject to the Sultan. But the fall of the Minister before a palace-intrigue soon proved on how slight a foundation these hopes were built fake other Turkish reformers. Resclud had entered upon a hopeless task; and the name of the man who was once honoured as the regenerator of a great Empire is now almost forgotten.

CHAPTER XVIII

Europe during the Thirty-years' Peace—Italy and Austria—Mazzini
—The House of Savoy—Gioberti—Election of Pius IX.—Reforms expected—Revolution at Palermo—Agitation in Northern Italy—Lombardy—State of the Austrian Empire—Growth of Hungarian National Spirit—The Magyars and Slavs—Transylvania—Parties among the Magyars—Kossuth—The Slavic National Movements in Austria—The Government enters on Reform in Hungary—Policy of the Opposition—The Rural System of Austria—Insurrection in Galicia: the Nobles and the Peasants—Agrarian Edict—Public Opinion in Vienna—Prussia—Accession and Character of King Frederick William IV.—Convocation of the United Diet—Its Debates and Dissolution—France—The Spanish Marriages—Reform Movement—Socialism—Revolution of February—End of the Orleanist Monarchy.

THE characteristic of Continental history during the second quarter of this century is the sense of unrest. The long period of European peace which began in 1815 was not one of internal repose; the very absence of those engrossing and imperious interests which belong to a time of warfare gave freer play to the feelings of discontent and the vague longings for a better political order which remained behind after the convulsions of the revolutionary epoch and the military rule of Napoleon had passed away. During thirty years of peace the breach had been widening between those Governments which still represented the system of 1815, and the peoples over whom they ruled. Ideas of liberty, awakenings of national sense, were far more widely diffused in Europe than at the time of the revolutionary war. The seed then prematurely forced into an atmosphere of storm and reaction had borne its fruit: other growths, fertilised or accelerated by Western Liberalism, but not belonging to the same family, were springing up in unexpected strength, and in regions which had hitherto lain outside the movement of the modern world. New forces antagonistic to Government had come into being, penetrating an area unaffected by the constitutional struggles of the Mediterranean States,

or by the weaker political efforts of Germany. In the liomes of the Magyar and the Slavic subjects of Austria, so torpid throughout the agitation of an earlier time, the passion of nationality was every hour gaining new might. The older popular causes, vanquished for the moment by one reaction after another, had silently established a far stronger hold on men's minds. Working, some in exile and conspiracy, others through such form of political literature as the jealousy of Governments permitted, the leaders of the democratic movement upon the Continent created a power before which the established order at length succumbed. They had not created, nor was it possible under the circumstances that they should create, an order which was capable of taking its place.

Italy, rather than France, forms the central figure in

Italy, rather than France, forms the central figure in any retrospect of Europe immediately before 1848 in which the larger forces at work are not obscured by those for the moment more prominent

The failure of the insurrection of 1831 had left Austria more visibly than before master over the Italian people even in those provinces in which Austria was not nominally sovereign. It had become clear that no effort after reform could be successful either in the Papal States or in the kingdom of Naples so long as Austria held Lombardy and Vence. The expulsion of the foreigner was therefore not merely the task of those who sought to give the Italian race its separate and in-dependent national existence, it was the task of all who would extinguish oppression and misgovernment in any part of the Italian peninsula. Until the power of Austra was broken, it was vain to take up arms against the tyranny of the Duke of Modena or any other contemptible oppressor Austria itself lind twice thight this lesson, and if the restoration of Neapolitan despotism in 1821 could be justified by the disorderly character of the Government then suppressed, the circumstances attending the restoration of the Pope's authority in 1831 had extinguished Austra's claim to any sort of moral respect, for Metternich himself had united with the other Puropean Courts in declaring the necessita for reforms in the Papal Government, and of these reforms, though a single carnest word from Austria would have enforced their execution, not one had been carried into effect. Gradually,

but with increasing force as each unhappy year passed by, the conviction gained weight among all men of serious thought that the problem to be faced was nothing less than the destruction of the Austrian yoke. Whether proclaimed as an article of faith or veiled in diplomatic reserve, this belief formed the common ground among men whose views on the immediate future of Italy differed in almost every other particular.

Three main currents of opinion are to be traced in the ferment of ideas which preceded the Italian revolution of 1848. At a time not rich in intellectual or Mazzini in moral power, the most striking figure among those who are justly honoured as the founders of Italian independence is perhaps that of Mazzini. Exiled during nearly the whole of his mature life, a conspirator in the eyes of all Governments, a dreamer in the eyes of the world, Mazzini was a prophet or an evangelist among those whom his influence led to devote themselves to the one cause of their country's regeneration. (No firmer faith, no nobler disinterestedness, ever animated the saint or the patriot; and if in Mazzini there was also something of the visionary and the fanatic, the force with which he grasped the two vital conditions of Italian revival -the expulsion of the foreigner and the establishment of a single national Government-proves him to have been a thinker of genuine political insight. Laying the foundation of his creed deep in the moral nature of man, and constructing upon this basis a fabric not of rights but of duties, he invested the political union with the immediateness, the sanctity, and the beauty of family life. With him, to live, to think, to hope, was to live, to think, to hope for Italy; and the Italy of his ideal was a Republic embracing every member of the race, purged of the priestcraft and the superstition which had degraded the man to the slave, indebted to itself alone for its independence, and consolidated by the reign of equal law. The rigidity with which Mazzini adhered to his own great project in its completeness, and his impatience with any bargaining away of national rights, excluded him from the work of those practical politicians and men of expedients who in 1859 effected with foreign aid the first step towards Italian union; but the influence of his teaching and his organisation in preparing his countrymen for independence was

immense, and the dynasty which has rendered to United Italy services which Mazzini thought impossible, owes to this great Republican scarcely less than to its ablest

friends. Widely separated from the school of Mazzini in temper and intention was the group of politicians and military. men, belonging mostly to Piedmont, who looked to the sovereign and the army of this State as the Hopes of Piedmont foreign and the army of missiance as the one, hope of Italy in its struggle against foreign in its origin, was, and had been for centuries, a really intional dynasty. It was, moreouser, by interest and traditional policy, the rival rather than the friend /Hopes of of Austria in Northern Italy. If the fear of revolution had at times brought the Court of Turin into close allinnee with Vienna, the connection had but thinly sciled the lasting antigonism of two States which, as neighbours, had habitually sought expansion each at the other's cost. Lombard, according to the expression of an older time, was the artichoke which the Kings of Piedmont were destined to devour leaf by leaf. Austria, on the other hand, sought extension towards the Alps at had in 1762 clearly shown its intention of excluding the House Savoy altogether from the Italian mainland, and the remembrance of this epoch had led the restored dynasty in t815 to resist the plans of Metternich for establishing a league of all the princes of Italy under Austria's protic-The sovereign, moreover, who after the failure of the constitutional movement of 1821 had mounted the throne surrounded by Austrian bayonets, was no longer alive. Charles Albert of Carignano, who had at that time played so ambiguous a part, and whom Metternich had subsequently endeavoured to exclude from the succession, was on the throne. He had made his peace with absolutism by fighting in Spain against the Cortes in 1823, and since his accession to the throne he had rigorously suppressed the agitation of Mazzini's partisans within his own dominions. But in spite of strong chrical and reactionary influences around him, he had lately shown an independence of spirit in his dealings with Austria which rused him in the estimation of his subjects; and it was beheved that his opinions had been deeply

affected by the predominance which the idea of national

independence was now gaining over that of merely democratic change. If the earlier career of Charles Albert himself cast some doubt upon his personal sincerity, and much more upon his constancy of purpose, there was at least in Piedmont an army thoroughly national in its sentiment, and capable of taking the lead whenever the opportunity should arise for uniting Italy against the foreigner. In no other Italian State was there an effective military force, or one so little adulterated with foreign elements.

A third current of opinion in these years of hope and of illusion was that represented in the writings of Gioberti, the depicter of a new and glorious Italy, regenerated not by philosophic republicanism or the sword of a temporal monarch, but by the moral the Papacy force of a reformed and reforming Papacy

force of a reformed and reforming Papacy.

The conception of the Catholic Church as a great Liberal power, strange and fantastic as it now appears, was no dream of an isolated Italian enthusiast; it was an idea which, after the French Revolution of 1830, and the establishment of a government at once anti-clerical and anti-democratic, powerfully influenced some of the best iminds in France, and found in Montalembert and Lamennais exponents who commanded the ear of Europe. the corruption of the Papacy had been at once the spiritual and the political death of Italy, its renovation in purity and in strength would be also the resurrection of the Italian people. Other lands had sought, and sought in vain, to work out their problems under the guidance of leaders antagonistic to the Church, and of popular doctrines divorced from religious faith. To Italy belonged the prerogative of spiritual power. By this power, aroused from the torpor of ages, and speaking, as it had once spoken, to the very conscience of mankind, the gates of a glorious future would be thrown open. Conspirators might fret, and politicians scheme, but the day on which the new life of Italy would begin would be that day when the head of the Church, taking his place as chief of a federation of Italian States, should raise the banner of freedom and national right, and princes and people alike should follow the all-inspiring voice.

A monk, ignorant of everything but cloister lore, benighted, tyrannical, the companion in his private life

of a few jolly priests and a gossiping barber, was not an alluring emblem of the Church of the future. But in 1840 Fleeting of Pope Gregory XVI., who for the last five Election of years had been engaged in one incessant

Pius IX., struggle against insurgents, conspirators, June, 1846

and reformers, and whose prisons were crowded with the best of his subjects, passed away.' His successor, Mastai Ferretti, Bishop of Imola, was electer under the title of Pius IX., after the candidate favourer by Austria had failed to secure the requisite number of votes (June 17). The choice of this kindly and popular prelate was to some extent a tribute to Italian feeling; and for the next eighteen months it appeared as if Gobern had really divined the secret of the age. The first act of the new Pope was the publication of a universal amnests for political offences. The prison doors throughout his dominions were thrown open, and men who had been sentenced to confinement for life returned in exultation to their homes. The act created a profound impression throughout Italy, and each good-humoured utterance of Pius confirmed the belief that great changes were at hand. A wild enthusiasm seized upon Rome. The population abandoned uself to festivals in honour of the Pontill and of the approaching restoration of Roman liberty, was done; not much was actually promised; everything was believed. The principle of representative government

expected from Pins

was discerned in the new Council of State now placed by the side of the College of Cardinals: a more serious concession was made to popular feeling in the permission

given to the citizens of Rome, and afterwards to those of the provinces, to enrol themselves in a civic guard. But the climax of excitement was reached when, in answer to a threatening movement of Austria, occasioned by the growing agitation throughout Central Italy, the Papal Court protested against the action of its lite projector. By the Treaties of Vienna Austria laid gained the right to gar-rison the citadel of Ferrari, though this town lay within the Feeles astical States. Placing a new interpretation on the expression used in the Treaties, the Austrian Government occupied the town of Ferrary used (June 17th,

Arry's Corresp Pellinge, p. 14 1 FA1177, 1 153 Rymagna, p. 47

1847). The movement was universally understood to be the preliminary to a new occupation of the Papal States, like that of 1831; and the protests of the Pope against the violation of his territory gave to the controversy a European importance.

Ferrara, June, 1847

the controversy a European importance. The English and French fleets appeared at Naples; the King of Sardinia openly announced his intention to take the field against Austria if war should break out. By the efforts of neutral Powers a compromise on the occupation of Ferrara was at length arranged; but the passions which had been excited were not appeased, and the Pope remained in popular imagination the champion of Italian independence against Austria, as well as the apostle of constitutional Government and the rights of the people.

In the meantime the agitation begun in Rome was spreading through the north and the south of the peninsula, and beyond the Sicilian Straits. The centenary of the expulsion of the Austrians from Genoa in December, 1746, was cele-

orated throughout central Italy with popular demonstrations which gave Austria warning of the storm bout to burst upon it. In the south, however, impatience under domestic tyranny was a far more powerful force than the distant hope of national independence. had never forgotten the separate rights which it had once enjoyed, and the constitution given to it under the auspices of England in 1812. Communications passed between the Sicilian leaders and the opponents of the Bourbon Government on the mainland, and in the autumn of 1847 simultaneous risings took place in Calabria and at These were repressed without difficulty; but the fire smouldered far and wide, and on the 13th of January, 1848, the population of Palermo rose in revolt. For fourteen days the conflict between the people and the Neapolitan troops continued. The city was bombarded. but in the end the people were victorious, and a provisional government was formed by the leaders of the insurrection. One Sicilian town after another followed the example of the capital, and expelled its Neapolitan garrison. Threatened by revolution in Naples itself, Ferdinand II., grandson of the despot of 1821, now imitated the policy of his predecessor, and proclaimed a constitution.

A Liberal Ministry was formed, but no word was said as to the autonomy claimed by Sicily, and promised, as it would seem, by the leaders of the popular party on the mainland. After the first excitement of success was past, it became clear that the Sicilians were as widely at variance with the newly-formed Government at Naples as with that which they had overthrown.

The insurrection of Palermo gave a new stimulus and imparted more of revolutionary colour to the popular movement throughout Italy. Constitutions were granted in Piedmont and Tuscany. In the Austrian provinces

Agutation and exasperation against the rule of the foreigner grew daily more menacing. Radetally the Austrian Commander-in-chief, had long foreseen the impending struggle, and

had endeavoured, but not with complete success, to impress his own views upon the imperial Government. Verona had been made the centre of a great system of fortifications, and the strength of the army under Radetzky's command had been considerably increased, but it was not until the eleventh hour that Metternick abandoned the hope of tiding over difficulties by his eleventh hour that Metternick abandoned the hope of tiding over difficulties by his eleventh hour that Metternick abandoned the hope of tiding over difficulties by his eleventh hour that Metternick abandoned the hope of tiding over difficulties by his eleventh hour that Metternick abandoned the hope of tiding over difficulties by his eleventh hour that Metternick abandoned the hope of tiding over difficulties by his eleventh hour that Metternick abandoned the hope of tiding over difficulties by his eleventh hour that Metternick abandoned the hope of tiding over difficulties by his eleventh hour that Metternick abandoned the hope of tiding over difficulties by his eleventh hope of tiding over difficulties about the hope of tiding over difficulties have been difficulties about the hope of tiding over difficulties about the hope of tiding ove system of police and spies, and permitted the establid ment of undisguised military rule. In order to injure the finances of Austria, a general resolution had been made by the patriotic societies of Upper Italy to abstain from the use of tobacco, from which the Government drew a large part of its revenue. On the first Sunday in 1848 Austrian officers, smoking in the streets of Milan, were attacked by the people. The troops were called to arms: a conflict took place, and enough blood was shed to give to the tumult the importance of an actual revolt. Padus and elsewhere similar outbreaks followed-Radetzky issued a general order to his troops, declaring that the Imperor was determined to defend his Italian dominion whether against an external or domestic for-Martial law was proclaimed; and for a moment, although Piedmont gave signs of throwing itself into the Italian movement, the awe of Austria's military power hushed the rising tempest. A few weeks more revealed to an astonished world the secret that the Austrian State, so great and so formidable in the eyes of friend and foe, was itself on the verge of dissolution.

It was to the absence of all stirring public life, not to any real assimilative power or any high intelligence in administration, that the House of Hapsburg owed, during the eighteenth century, the continued union of that motley of nations or races which sucressive conquests, marriages, and treaties had brought under its dominion. The violence of the attack made by he Emperor Joseph upon all provincial rights first reawakened the slumbering spirit of Hungary; but the national movement of that time, which excited such strong hopes and alarms, had been succeeded by a long period of stagnation, and during the Napoleonic wars the repression of everything that appealed to any distinctively national spirit had become more avowedly than before the settled principle of the Austrian Court. In 1812 the Hungarian Diet had resisted the financial measures of Affairs in the Government. The consequence was that, Hungary in spite of the law requiring its convocation

every three years, the Diet was not again summoned till During the intermediate period, the Emperor raised taxes and levies by edict alone. Deprived of its constitu-Wonal representation, the Hungarian nobility pursued its Apposition to the encroachments of the Crown in the Sessions of each county. At these assemblies, to which there existed no parallel in the western and more advanced States of the Continent, each resident land-owner who belonged to the very numerous caste of the noblesse was entitled to speak and to vote. Retaining, in addition to the right of free discussion and petition, the appointment of local officials, as well as a considerable share in the actual administration, the Hungarian county-assemblies, handing down a spirit of rough independence from an immemorial past, were probably the hardiest relic of selfgovernment existing in any of the great monarchical States of Europe. Ignorant, often uncouth in their habits, oppressive to their peasantry, and dominated by the spirit of race and caste, the mass of the Magyar nobility had indeed proved as impervious to the humanising influences of the reighteenth century as they had to the solicitations of despotism. The Magnates, or highest order of noblesse, who formed a separate chamber in the Diet, had been to some extent denationalised; they were at once more European in their culture, and more submissive to the Austrian Court. In banishing political discussion from the

Diet to the County Sessions, the Emperor's Government had intensified the provincial spirit which it sought to extinguish. Too numerous to be won over by personal inducements, and remote from the imperial agencies which had worked so effectively through the Chamber of Magnates, the lesser nobility of Hungary during these years of absolutism carried the habit of political discussion to their homes, and learnt to baffle the imperial Government by withholding all help and all information from its subordinate agents. Each county-assembly became a little Parliament, and a centre of resistance to the usurpation of the Crown. The stimulus given to the national spirit by this struggle against unconstitutional rule was seen not less in the vigorous attacks made upon the Government on the re-assembling of the Diet in 1825, than in the demand that Magyar, and not Latin as heretofore, should be the language used in recording the proceedings of the Diet, and in which communications should pass between the Upper and the Lower House.

There lay in this demand for the recognition of the national language the germ of a conflict of race against race which was least of all suspected by those

Magyars by whom the demand was made. Hungary and Slavs as a political unity, comprised, besides the Slavic kingdom of Croatia, wide regions in which the inhabitants were of Slavic or Roumanian race, and where the Magyar was known only as a feudal lord. The district in which the population at large belonged to the Magyar stock did not exceed one-half of the kingdom. For the other races of Hungary, who were probably twice as numerous as themselves, the Magyars entertained the utmost contempt, attributing to them the moral qualities of the savage, and denying to them the possession of any antionality whatever. In a country combining so many elements ill-blended with one another, and all alike subject to a German Court at Vienna, Latin, as the language of the Church and formerly the language of international communication, had served well as a neutral means of expression in public affairs. There might be Croatian deputies in the Diet who could not speak Magyar, the Magyars could not understand Croatian, both could understand and could without much effort express themselves in the species of Latin which passed muster at Presburg

and at Vienna. Yet no freedom of handling could convert a dead language into a living one; and when the love of country and of ancient right became once more among the Magyars an inspiring passion, it naturally sought a nobler and more spontaneous utterance than dog-latin. Though no , law was passed upon the subject in the Parliament in which it was first mooted, speakers in the Diet of 1832 used their mother-tongue; and when the Viennese Government forbade the publication of the debates, reports were circulated in manuscript through the country by Kossuth, a young deputy, who after the dissolution of the Diet in 1836 paid for

his defiance of the Emperor by three years' imprisonment.

Hungary now seemed to be entering upon an epoch of varied and rapid national development. The barriers which separated it from the Western world were disappearing. The literature, the ideas, the inventions Hungary

of Western Europe were penetrating its archaic society, and transforming a movement which in its origin had been conservative and aristocratic into one of far-reaching progress and reform. Alone among the opponents of absolute power on the Continent, the Magyars had based their resistance on positive constitutional right, on prescription, and the settled usage of the past; and throughout the conflict with the Crown between 1812 and 1825 legal right was on the side not of the Emperor but of those whom he attempted to coerce. With excellent judgment the Hungarian leaders had 'during these years abstained from raising any demand for during these years abstained from raising any demand for reforms, appreciating the advantage of a purely defensive position in a combat with a Court pledged in the eyes of all Europe, as Austria was, to the defence of legitimate rights. This policy had gained its end; the Emperor, after thirteen years of conflict, had been forced to re-convoke the Diet, and to abandon the hope of effecting a work in which his uncle, Joseph II., had failed. But, the constitution once saved, that narrow and exclusive body of rights for which the nobility had contended no longer satisfied the needs or the conscience of the time. Opinion was

¹ Down to 1827 not only was all land inherited by nobles free from taxation, but any taxable land purchased by a noble thereupon became tax-free. The attempt of the Government to abolish this latter injustice evoked a storm of anger in the Diet of 1825, and still more in the country assemblies, some of the latter even resolving that such law, if passed by the Diet, would be null and void.

moving fast; the claims of the towns and of the rural population were making the

Hungary too, not so much through the Polish war o' Magyars saw a struggle n

their warmest sympatines for the Polish armies so long as they kept the field, and for the exiles who came among them when the conflict was over. By the side of the old defenders of class-privilege there arose men imbued with the spirit of modern Liberalism. The laws governing the relation of the peasant to his lord, which remained nearly as they had been left by Maria Theresa, were dealt with

the Diet of 1832 in so liberal a spirit that of 1832-36 the Austrian Government, formerly far in advance of Hungarian opinion on this subject, refused its assent to many of the measures passed. Great schemes of social and material improvement also aroused the public hopes in these years. The better minds became conscious of the real aspect of Hungarian life in comparison with that of civilised Europe—of its poverty, its inertia, its boorishness. Extraordinary energy.

thrown into the work of advance by Cou'l Szechenyi, a nobleman whose imagination had been fired by the contrast which the busy industry of Great Britain and the practical interests of its higher classes presented to the torpor of his own country. It is to him that Hungary owes the bridge uniting its double capital at Pesth, and that Europe owes the unimpeded navigation of the Danube, which he first rendered nossible by the destruction of the rocks known as the Iron Gates at Orsova. Sanguine, lavishly generous, an ardent patriot, Sections and endemonted to arouse men of his own rank. the great and the powerful in Hungary, to the sense of what was due from them to their country as leaders in its industrial development. He was no revolutionist, nor was he an enemy to Austria. A peaceful political future would best have accorded with his own designs for raising Hungary to its due place among nations.

That the Hungarian movement of this time was converted from one of fruitful progress into an embittered political conflict ending in civil war was due, among other causes, to the action of the Austrian Cabinet itself-

Wherever constitutional right existed, there Austria saw a natural enemy. The province of Transylvania, containing a mixed population of Magyars, Germans, and Roumanians, had, like Hungary, a Diet of its own, which Diet ought to have

been summoned every year. It was, however, not once assembled between 1811 and 1834. In the agitation at length provoked in Transylvania by this disregard of constitutional right, the Magyar element naturally took the lead, and so gained complete ascendancy in the province. When the Diet met in 1834, its language and conduct were defiant in the highest degree. It was speedily dissolved, and the scandal occasioned by its proceedings disturbed the last days of the Emperor Francis, who died in 1835, leaving the throne to his son Ferdinand, an invalid incapable of any serious exertion. It soon appeared that nothing was changed in the principles of the Imperial Government, and that whatever hopes had been formed of the establishment of a freer system under the new reign were delusive. The leader of the Transylvanian Opposition was Count Wesselényi, himself a Magnate in Hungary, who, after the dissolution of the Diet, betook himself to the Sessions of the Hungarian counties, and there delivered speeches against the Court which led to his being arrested and brought to trial for high treason. His cause was taken up by the Hungarian Diet, as one in which the rights of the local assemblies were involved. The plea of privilege was, however, urged in vain, and the sentence of exile which was passed upon Count Wesselényi became a new source of contention between the Crown and the Magyar Estates.1

The enmity of Government was now a sufficient passport to popular favour. On emerging from his prison under a general amnesty in 1840, Kossuth Parties undertook the direction of a Magyar journal among the at Pesth, which at once gained an immense Magyars influence throughout the country. The spokesman of a new generation, Kossuth represented an entirely different order of ideas from those of the orthodox defenders of the Hungarian Constitution. They had been conservative

¹ Horváth, Fünfundzwangig Jahre, i. 408. Springer, i. 466. Gerando, Esprit Public, 173. Kossuth, Gessammelte Werke, i. 29. Beschwerden und Klagen der Slaven in Ungarn, 39.

and aristocratic, he was revolutionary their weapons had been drawn from the storehouse of Hungarian positive law, his inspiration was from the Liberalism of western Lurope Thus within the national party itself there grew up sections in more or less pronounced an trigonism to one another, though all were united by a regiment to the another, though an were annear by a passionate devotion to Hungary and by an unbounded faith in its future Széchenyi, and those who with him subordinated political to material ends, regarded Kossuth as a dangerous theorist. Between the more impetuous and the more cautious reformers stood the recognised and the more cautious reformers stood the recognised Parliamentary leaders of the Liberals, among whom Deák had already given proof of political capacity of no common order. In Kossuth's journal the national problems of the time were discussed both by his opponents and by his friends. Publicity gave greater range as well as greater animation to the conflict of ideas, and the rapid develop

ment of opinion during these years was seen in the large

The Diet of Diet of 1843 Electoral and municipal re

form, the creation of a code of criminal law, the introduction of trial by jury, the abolition of the immunity of the nobles from taxation, all these and similar legislative projects, displayed at once the energy of the time and the influence of western Europe in transforming the political conceptions of the Hungarian nation Hitherto the forty-three Free Cities had possessed but a sungle vote in the Diet, as against the sixty-three votes possessed by the Counties—It was now generally admitted that this anomaly could not continue, but masmuch as civic rights were themselves monopolised by small privileged orders among the townsmen, the problem of con-stitutional reform carried with it that of a reform of the municipalities Hungary in short was now face to face with the task of converting its ancient system of the representation of the privileged orders into the modern system of a representation of the nation at large. Arduous at of a representation of the fration at large. Addition we every epoch and in every country this work was one of almost insuperable difficulty in Hungary, through the close connection with the absolute monarchy of Austra, through the existence of a body in poor noblesse, numbered at two hundred thousand who, though strong in patriotic sentiment, bitterly resented any attack upon their

own freedom from taxation; and above all through the variety of races in Hungary, and the attitude assumed by the Magyars, as the dominant nationality, towards the Slavs around them. In proportion as the energy of the Magyars and their confidence in the victory of the national cause mounted high, so rose their disdain of all claims beside their own within the Haracoine him. beside their own within the Hungarian kingdom. It was resolved by the Lower Chamber of the Diet of 1843 that no language but Magyar should be permitted in debate, and that at the end of ten years every person not capable of speaking the Magyar language should be excluded from all public employment. The Magnates softened the latter provision by excepting from it the holders of merely local offices in Slavic districts; against the prohibition of Latin in the Diet the Croatians appealed to the Emperor. rescript arrived from Vienna placing a veto upon the resolution. So violent was the storm excited in the Diet itself by this rescript, and so threatening the language of the national leaders outside, that the Cabinet, after a short interval, revoked its decision, and accepted a compromise which, while establishing Magyar as the official language of the kingdom, and requiring that it should be taught even in Croatian schools, permitted the use of Latin in the Diet for the next six years. In the meantime the Diet had shouted down every speaker who began with the usual Latin formula, and fighting had taken place in Agram, the Croatian capital, between the national and the Magyar factions.

It was in vain that the effort was made at Presburg to resist all claims but those of one race. The same quickening breath which had stirred the Magyar nation to new life had also passed over the branches of the Slavic family within the Austrian dominions far and near. In Bohemia a revival of interest in the Czech language and literature, which began about mational 1820, had in the following decade gained a distinction of the second distinctly political character. Societies of professedly founded for literary objects had become the centres of a popular movement directed towards is emancipation of the Czech elements in Bohemia from German ascerdancy, and the restoration of sometime in national character to the institutions of the institution of the i

concerned, the national movement first became visible rather later. Its earliest manifestations took, just as in Bohemia, a literary or linguistic form Projects for the formation of a common language which, under the name of Illyrian, should draw together all the Slavic populations between the Adriatic and the Black Sea, occupied for a while the fancy of the learned, but the more ambitious part of this design, which had given some umbrage to the Turkish Government, was abandoned in obedience to instructions from Vienna, and the movement first gained political importance when its scope was limited to the Croatian and Slavonic districts of Hungary, and it was endowed with the distinct task of resisting the imposition of Magyar as an official language. In addition to their representation in the Diet of the Kingdom of Presburg, the Croatian landowners had their own Provincial Dict at Agram In this they possessed not only a common centre of action, but an organ of communication with the Imperial Government at Vienna, which rendered them some support in their resistance to Magyar pretensions Later events gave currency to the belief that a conflict of races in Hungary was deliberately stimulated by the Austrian Court in its own interest. But the whole temper and principle of Metternich's rule was opposed to the development of national spirit, whether in one race or another, and the patronage which the Croats appeared at this time to receive at Vienna was probably no more than an instinctive act of conversatism, intended to maintain the balance of interests, and to reduce within the narrowest possible limits

such changes as might prove inevitable
Of all the important measures of reform which were
brought before the Hungarian Diet of 1843, one alone had
become law The rest were either rejected by the Chamber
of Magnates after passing the Lower House, or were

Agitation after 1813 the approval of the majority, in consequence of peremptory instructions sent to Presburg by the county-assemblies. The representative of a Hungarian constituency was not free to vote at his discretion, he was the delegate of the body of nobles which sent him, and was legally bound to give his vote in accordance with the instructions which he might from time to time receive. However evaluate the terrishiture itself, it was therefore

liable to be paralysed by external pressure as soon as any question was raised which touched the privileges of the noble caste. This was especially the case with all projects involving the expenditure of public revenue. Until the nobles bore their share of taxation it was impossible that Hungary should emerge from a condition of beggarly need; yet, be the inclination of the Diet what it might, it was controlled by bodies of stubborn squires or yeomen in each county, who fully understood their own power, and stoutly forbade the passing of any measure which imposed a share of the public burdens upon themselves. The impossibility of carrying out reforms under existing conditions had been demonstrated by the failures of 1843. In order to overcome the obstruction as well of the Magnates as of the county-assemblies, it was necessary that an appeal should be made to the country at large, and that a force of public sentiment should be aroused which should both overmaster the existing array of special interests, and give birth to legislation merging them for the future in a comprehensive system of really national institutions. To this task the Liberal Opposition addressed itself; and although large differences existed within the party, and the action of Kossuth, who now exchanged the career of the journalist for that of the orator, was little fettered by the opinions of his colleagues, the general result did not disappoint the hopes that had been formed. Political associations and clubs took vigorous root in the country. The magic of Kossuth's oratory left every hearer a more patriotic, if not a wise man; and an awakening passion for the public good seemed for a while to throw all private interests into the shade.

It now became plain to all but the blindest that great changes were inevitable; and at the instance of the more intelligent among the Conservative party in Hungary the Imperial Government resolved to enter the lists with a policy of reform, and, if possible, to wrest the helm from the men who were becoming masters of the nation. In order to secure a reform reform

by the Government first to gain a predominant influence in the county-assemblies. As a preliminary step, most of the Lieutenants of counties, to whose high dignity no practical functions attached, were removed from their posts, and superseded by paid administrators, appointed from Vienna Count Apponyi, one of the most vigorous of the conservative and aristocratic reformers, was placed at the head of the Ministry In due time the proposals of the Govern ment were made public. They comprised the taxation of the nobles, a reform of the municipalities, modifications in the land-system, and a variety of economic_measures_intended directly to promote the material development of the country The latter were framed to some extent on the lines laid down by Szechenyi, who now, in bitter antagonism to Kossuth, accepted office under the Government, and gave to it the prestige of his great name. It remained for the Opposition to place their own counter-proposals before Differences within the party were smoothed over, and a manifesto, drawn up by Deal,

Programme gave statesmanlike expression to the aims of of the Opposition

the national leaders Embracing every reform included in the policy of the Govern ment, it added to them others which the Government had not ventured to face, and gave to the whole the character of a vindication of its own rights by the nation, in contrast to a scheme of administrative reform worked out by this officers of the Croy n Thus while it enforced the taxation of the nobles, it claimed for the Diet the right of control over every branch of the national expenditure It demanded increased liberty for the Press, and an unfettered right of political association, and finally, while doing homage to the unity of the Crown, it required that the Government of Hungary should be one in direct accord with the national representation in the Diet, and that the habitual effort of the Court of Vienna to place this kingdom on the same footing as the Emperor's non-constitutional provinces should be abandoned. With the rival programmes of the Government and the Opposition before it, the country proceeded to the elections of 1847 ness and enthusiasm abounded on every side, and at the close of the year the Diet assembled from which so great a work was expected, and which was destined within so short a time to witness, in storm and revolution, the passing away of the ancient order of Hungarian life

The directly constitutional problems with which the Diet of Presburg had to deal were peculiar to Hungary itself, and did not exist in the other parts of the Austrian

System of Austria

Empire. There were, however, social problems which were not less urgently forcing themselves upon public attention alike in Hungary and in those provinces which enjoyed no constitutional rights. The chief of these was the condition of the peasant-population. In the greater Spart of the Austrian dominions, though The Rural;

serfage had long been abolished, society was still based upon the manorial system. The peasant held his land subject to the obligation

of labouring on his lord's domain for a certain number of days in the year, and of rendering him other customary services: the manor-court, though checked by the neighbourhood of crown-officers, retained its jurisdiction, and its. agents frequently performed duties of police. Hence the proposed extinction of the so-called feudal tie, and the conversion of the semi-dependent cultivator into a freeholder bound only to the payment of a fixed money-charge, or rendered free of all obligation by the surrender of a part of his holding, involved in many districts the institution of new public authorities and a general reorganisation of the minor local powers. From this task the Austrian Covernment had shrunk in mere lethargy, even when, as in 1835, proposals for change had come from the landowners themselves. The work begun by Maria Theresa and Joseph remained untouched, though thirty years of peace had given abundant opportunity for its completion, and the legislation of Hardenberg in 1810 afforded precedents covering at least part of the field.

At length events occurred which roused the drowsiest heads in Vienna from their slumbers. The party of action among the Polish refugees at Paris had determined to strike another blow for the independence of their country. Instead, however, of repeating the insurrection of Warsaw, it was arranged that the revolt should commence in Prussian and Austrian Poland, and the beginning of the year 1846 was fixed for the uprising. In Prussia the Government crushed the conspirators before a blow could be struck. In Austria, though ample warning was given, the precautions taken were insufficient. General Collin occupied the Free City of Cracow, where the revolutionary committee had its headquarters; but the troops under his command were so weak that he was soon compelled to retreat, and to await the arrival of reinforcements. Meanwhile the landowners in the district of Tarnow in northern Galicia raised the standard of insurrection, and sought to arm the country. The Ruthenian peasantry, however, among whom they lived, owed all that was tolerable in their condition to the protection of the

Insurrection Austrian crown-officers, and detested the memory of an independent Poland. Instead of following their lords into the field, they

gave information of their movements, and asked instructions from the nearest Austrian authorities bidden to seize upon any persons who instigated them to rebellion, and to bring them into the towns. A war of the peasants against the nobles forthwith broke out. Murder, pillage, and incendiary fires brought both the Polish insurrection and its leaders to a miserable end. The Polish nobles, unwilling to acknowledge the humiliating truth that their own peasants were their bitterest enemies, charged the Austrian Government with having set a price on their heads, and with having instigated the peasants to a communistic revolt. Metternich, disgraced by the spectacle of a Jacquerie raging apparently under his own auspices, insisted, in a circular to the European Courts, that the attack of the peasantry upon the nobles had beed purely spontaneous, and occasioned by attempts to prescertain villagers into the ranks of the rebellion by brute force. But whatever may have been the measure of responsibility incurred by the agents of the Government, an agrarian revolution was undoubtedly in full course in Galicia, and its effects were soon felt in the rest of the Austrian monarchy. The Arcadian contentment of the rural population, which had been the boast, and in some degrees the real strength, of Austria, was at an end Conscious that the problem which it had so long evaded must at length be faced, the Government of Vienna prepared to deal with the conditions of land-tenure by legislation extending over the whole of the Empire. the courage which was necessary for an adequate solution of the difficulty nowhere existed within the official world, and the Edict which conveyed the last words Rural Edict, of the Imperial Government on this vital

question contained nothing more than a series of provisions for facilitating voluntary settlements between the peasants and their lords. In the quality of this enactment the Court of Vienna gave the measure of its own weakness. The opportunity of breaking with traditions of impotence had presented itself and had been lost. Revolution was at the gates; and in the unsatisfied claim of the rural population the Government had handed over to its adversaries a weapon of the greatest power.

In the purely German provinces of Austria there lingered whatever of the spirit of tranquillity was still to be found within the Empire. This, however, was not the case in the districts into which the influence of the capital extended. Vienna had of late grown out of its old careless spirit. The home in past years of a population notoriously pleasure-loving, good-humoured, and indifferent to public affairs, it had now taken something of a more serious character. The death of the Emperor Francis, who to the last generation of Viennese had been as fixed a part of the order of things as the river Danube, was not unconnected with this change in the public tone. So long as the old Emperor lived, all thought that was given to political affairs was energy

members of a well-regulated State In poetry, the works of Lord Byron were excluded from circulation, where custom-house officers and market-inspectors chose to enforce the law, in history and political literature, the leading writers of modern times lay under the same ban. Native production was much more effectively controlled, Whoever wrote in a newspaper, or lectured at a University, or published a work of imagination, was expected to deliver himself of something agreeable to the constituted authorities, or was reduced to silence Far as Vienna fell short of Northern Germany in intellectual activity, the humiliation inflicted on its best elements by this lifedestroying surveillance was keenly felt and bitterly resented More perhaps by its senile warfare against mental freedom than by any acts of direct political repression, the Government ranged against itself the unanimous opinion of the educated classes. Its hold on the affection of the capital was gone. Still quiescent, but ready to unite against the Government when opportunity should arrive, there stood, in addition to the unorganised mass of the middle ranks, certain political associations and students' societies, a vigorous Jewish element, and the usual contingent furnished by poverty and discontent in every great city from among the labouring population Military force sufficient to keep the capital in subjection was not wanting, but the foresight and the vigour necessary to cope with the first onset of revolution were nowhere to be found among the holders of power.

At Berlin the solid order of Prussian absolutism already shook to its foundation. With King Frederick

Prussia William III., whose long reign ended in 1840, there departed the half-final, half-spirit-less acquiescence of the nation in the denial of the liberties which had been so solemnly promised to it at the epoch of Napoleon's fall. The new Sovereign, Frederick

Frederick, mational hopes. The very contrast which his mational hopes. The very contrast which his mational hopes. The very contrast which his warm, exiberant nature offered to the silent, reserved disposition of his father impressed the public for awhile in his favour. In the more shining personal qualities he far excelled all his immediate kindred. His artistic and literary sympathies, his aptitude of mind and readiness of speech, appeared to mark the

man of a new age, and encouraged the belief that, in spite of the mediæval dreams and reactionary theories to which, as prince, he had surrendered himself, he would, as King, appreciate the needs of the time, and give to Prussia the free institutions which the nation demanded. The first Lacts of the new reign were generously conceived. Political roffenders were freely pardoned. Men who had suffered for their opinions were restored to their posts in the Universities and the public service, or selected for promotion. But when the King approached the constitutional question, his utterances were unsatisfactory. Though undoubtedly in favour of some reform, he gave no sanction to the idea of a really national representation, but seemed rather to seek occasions to condemn it. Other omens of ill import were not wanting. Allying his Government with a narrow school of theologians, the King offended men of independent mind, and transgressed against the best traditions of Prussian administration. The prestige of the new reign was soon exhausted. Those who had believed Frederick William to be a man of genius now denounced him as a vaporous, inflated dilettante; his enthusiasm was seen to indicate nothing in particular; his sonorous Emmonplaces fell flat on second delivery. Not only in his own kingdom, but in the minor German States, which looked to Prussia as the future leader of a free Germany, the opinion rapidly gained ground that Frederick William IV. was to be numbered among the enemies rather than the friends of the good cause.

In the Edicts by which the last King of Prussia had promised his people a Constitution, it had been laid down that the representative body was to spring from the Provincial Estates, and that it was to possess, in addition to its purely consultative functions in legislation, a real power of control over all State loans and over all proposed additions to taxation. The interdependence of the

promised Parliament and the Provincial

Estates had been seen at the time to endanger United Diet the success of Hardenberg's scheme; never-Theless, it was this conception which King Frederick William IV. made the very centre

of his Constitutional policy. A devotee to the distant past, he spoke of the Provincial Estates, which in their present form had existed only since 1823, as if they were a

Berlin, Feb.

great national and historic institution which had come down unchanged through centuries. His first experiment was the summoning of a Committee from these bodies to consider certain financial projects with which the Government was occupied (1842). The labours of the Committee were insignificant, nor was its treatment at the hands of the Crown Ministers of a serious character. Frederick William, however, continued to meditate over his plans, and appointed a Commission to examine the project drawn up at his desire by the Cabinet. The agitation in favour of Pathamentary Government became more and more pressing among the educated classes, and at length, in spite of some opposition from his brother, the Prince of Prussia, afterwards Emperor of Germany, the King determined to fulfil his father's promise and to convoke a General Assembly at Berlin. On the 3rd of February, 1847, there appeared a Royal Patent, which summoned all the Provincial Estates to the capital to meet as a United Diet of the Kingdom I he Diet was to be divided into two Chimbers, the Upper Chamber including the Royal Princes and highest nobles, the Lower the representative of the kingdist, towns, and peasants I he include it legislation was not granted to the Diet; it had, however, the right of presenting petitions on internal affairs Stateloans and new taxes were not, in time of peace, to be raised without its consent. No regular interval was fixed for the future meetings of the Diet, and its financial rights were moreover reduced by other provisions, which enacted that a United Committee from the Provincial Estates was to meet every four years for certain definite objects, and that a special Delegation was to sit each year for the transaction of business relating to the National Debt.

The nature of the General Assembly convoked by this Edict, the functions conferred upon it, and the guarantees offered for Representative Government in the future, 50

little corresponded with the requirements of Prederick the nation, that the question was at once William and raised in Liberal eircles whether the concession the Diet sions thus tendered by the King ought to be accepted or rejected. The doubt which existed as to the disposition of the monarch himself was increased by the

¹ Reden des Kænigs Friedrich Wilhelm IV, p. 17 Ranke's F. W. IV in Allg Deutsche Biog. Biedermann, Dreising Jahre 1, 186

speech from the throne at the opening of the Diet (April 11). In a vigorous harangue extending over half an hour, King Frederick William, while he said much that was appropriate to the occasion, denounced the spirit of revolution that was working in the Prussian Press, warned the Deputies that they had been summoned not to advocate political theories, but to protect each the rights of his own order, and declared that no power on earth should induce nim to change his natural relation to his people into a constitutional one, or to permit a written sheet of paper o intervene like a second Providence between Prussia and the Almighty. So vehement was the language of the King, and so uncompromising his tone, that the proposal was forthwith made at a private conference that the Deputies should quit Berlin in a body. This extreme course was not adopted; it was determined instead to present an address to the King, laying before him in respectful language the shortcomings in the Patent of February 3rd. In the debate on this address began the Parliamentary history of Prussia. The Liberal majority in the Lower Chamber, anxious to base their cause on some foundation of positive law, treated the Edicts of Frederick William III. Jefining the rights of the future Representative Body as actual statutes of the realm, although the late King had never called a Representative Body into existence. From this point of view the functions now given to Committees and Delegations were so much illegally withdrawn from the rights of the Diet. The Government, on the other hand, denied that the Diet possessed any rights or claims whatever beyond those assigned to it by the Patent of February 3rd, to which it owed its origin. In receiving the address of the Chambers, the King, while expressing a desire to see the Constitution further developed, repeated the principle already laid down by his Ministers, and refused to acknowledge any obligation outside those which he had himself created.

When, after a series of debates on the political questions at issue, the actual business of the Session began, the relations between the Government and the Assembly grew worse rather than better. The principal measures submitted were the grant of a State-guarantee to certain land-banks established for the purpose of extinguishing the rent-charges on peasants' holdings, and the issue of a

public loan for the construction of railways by the State. Alleging that the former measure was not directly one of taxation, the Government, in laying it before Proceedings the Diet, declared that they asked only for and Disso. an opinion, and denied that the Diet poslution of the Diet sessed any right of decision. Thus challenged, as it were, to make good its claims, the Diet not only declined to assent to this guarantee, but set its veto on the proposed railway-loan. Both projects were in themselves admitted to be to the advantage of the State, their rejection by the Diet was an emphatic vindication of constitutional rights which the Government seemed indisposed to acknowledge Opposition grew more and more embittered, and when, as a preliminary to the dissolution of the Diet, the King ordered its members to proceed to the election of the Committees and Delegation named in the Edict of February 3rd, an important group declined to take part in the elections, or consented to do so only under reservations, on the ground that the Diet, and that alone, possessed the constitutional control over finance which the King was about to commit to other bodies Indignant at this protest, the King absented himself from the ceremony which brought the Diet to a close (June 26th). general irritation and resentment the Assembly broke up Nothing had resulted from its convocation but a_direct exhibition of the antagonism of purpose existing between the Sovereign and the national representatives men were alienated by the doctrines promulgated from the Throne, and an experiment which, if more wisely conducted, might possibly at the eleventh hour have saved all Germany from revolution, left the Monarchy discredited and exposed to the attack of the most violent of its foes

The train was now laid throughout central Europe, it needed but a flash from Paris to kindle the fire far and wide. That the Crown which Louis Philippe

Louis Philippe oved to one popular outbrenk might be wrested from him by another, had been a thought constraintly present not only to the King himself but to foreign observers during the earlier vers of his reign. The period of comparative peace by which the birst Republican movements after 1830 had been succeeded, the birst working of the Parliamentary system, the keen and successful pursuit of wealth which seemed to have

mastered all other impulses in France, had made these fears a thing of the past. The Orleanist Monarchy had taken its place among the accredited institutions of Europe; its chief, aged, but vigorous in mind, looked forward to the future of his dynasty, and occupied himself with plans for extending its influence or its sway beyond the limits of France itself. At one time Louis Philippe had hoped to connect his family by marriage with the Courts of Vienna or Berlin; this project had not met with encouragement; so much the more eagerly did the King watch for opportunities in another direction, and devise plans for restoring the family-union between France and Spain which had been established by Louis XIV. and which had so largely influenced the history of Europe down to the overthrow of the Bourbon Monarchy. The Crown of Spain was now held by a young girl; her sister was the next in succession; to make the House of Orleans as powerful at Madrid as it was at Paris seemed under these circumstances no impossible task to a King and a Minister who, in the interests of the dynasty, were prepared to make some sacrifice of honour and good faith.

Palmerston had convinced himself that Louis Philippo intended to marry the young Queen Isabella, if possible, to one of his sons. Some years later this project was unofficially mentioned by Guizot to the English statesman, who at once caused it to be understood that England would not permit the union. The Spanish Abandoning this scheme, Louis Philippe October, 1846 then demanded, by a misconstruction of the Treaty of Utrecht, that the Queen's choice of a husband should be limited to the Bourbons of the Spanish or Neapolitan line. To this claim Lord Aberdeen, who had become Foreign Secretary in 1841, declined to give his assent; he stated, however, that no step would be taken by England in antagonism to such marriage, if it should be deemed desirable at Madrid. Louis Philippe now suggested that his youngest son, the Duke of Montpensier, should wed the Infanta Fernanda, sister of the Queen of Spain. On the express understanding that this marriage should not take place until the Queen should herself have been married and have had children, the English

Cabinet assented to the proposal. That the marriages should not be simultaneous was treated by both Governments as the very heart and substance of the arrangement, masmuch as the failure of children by the Queen's marriage would make her sister, or her sister's heir, inheritor of the Ihrone, This was repeatedly acknowledged by Louis Philippe and his Minister, Guizot, in the course of communications with the British Court which extended over some years. Nevertheless, in 1846, the French Ambassador at Madrid, in conjunction with the Queen's mother, Maria Christina, succeeded in carrying out a plan by which the conditions laid down at London and accepted at Paris were utterly frustrated. Of the Queen's Spanish cousins, there was one, Don Francisco, who was known to be physically unfit for marriage. To this person it was determined by Maria Christina and the French Am-bassador that the young Isabella should be united, her sister being simultaneously married to the Duke of Montpensier. So flagrantly was this arrangement in contradiction to the promises made at the Tuileries, that, when intelligence of it arrived at Paris, Louis Philippe declared for a moment that the Ambassador must be disavowed and disgraced Guizot, however, was of better heart than hymaster, and asked for delay. In the very crisis of the King's perplexity the return of Lord Palmerston to office, and the mention by him of a Prince of Saxe-Coburg as one of the candidates for the Spanish Queen's hand, afforded Guizot a prefect for declaring that Great Britain Is the House of Bourhad vio' a Coburg. In reality bon by the Bri assessing the candidature of the Coburg Prince, but had directly opposed it. This, however, was urged in vain at the Juderies. Whatever may have been the original intentions of Louis Philippe or of Guiznt, the temptation of securing the probable succession to the Spanish Crown was too strong to be resisted Preliminaries were pushed forward with the utmost haste, and on the 10th of October, 1846, the marringes of Queen Isabella and her sister, as arranged by the French Ambassador and the Queen-Mother, were simultaneously solemnised at Madrid 1

¹ Guizol, viii tot Palmersion iii 194 Parl Papers, 181 Marlin's Prince Consort, 1 341

Few intrigues have been more disgraceful than that of the Spanish Marriages; none more futile. The course of history mocked its ulterior purposes; its Louis immediate results were wholly to the injury Philippe of the House of Orleans. The cordial under- and Guizot, standing between France and Great Britain, which had been revived after the differences of 1840, was now finally shattered, Louis Philippe stood convicted before his people of sacrificing a valuable alliance to purely dynastic ends; his Minister, the austere and sanctimonious Guizot, had to defend himself against charges which would have covered with shame the most hardened man of the world. Thus stripped of its garb of moral superiority, condemned as at once unscrupulous and unpatriotic, the Orleanist Monarchy had to meet the storm of popular discontent which was gathering over France as well as over neighbouring lands. For the lost friendship of England it was necessary to seek a substitute in the support of some Continental Power. Throwing himself into the reactionary policy of the Court of Vienna, Guizot endeavoured to establish a diplomatic concert from which England should be excluded, as France had been in 1840. There were circumstances which gave some countenance to the design. The uncompromising vigour with which Lord Palmerston supported the Liberal movement now becoming so formidable in Italy made every absolute Government in Europe his enemy; and had time been granted, the despotic Courts would possibly have united with France in some more or less open combination against the English Minister. But the moments were now numbered; and ere the projected league could take substance, the whirlwind descended before which Louis Philippe and his Minister were the first to fall.

A demand for the reform of the French Parliamentary system had been made when Guizot was entering upon office in the midst of the Oriental crisis of Demand for It had then been silenced and repressed by all the means at the disposal of tary Reform the Executive; King Louis Philippe being convinced that with a more democratic Chamber the maintenance of his own policy of peace would be impossible: The demand was now raised again with far greater energy.

Although the franchise had been lowered after the Revoluanimous the natural and bear lowered and the revolu-tion of July, it was still so high that not one person in a hundred and fifty possessed a vote, while the property-qualification which was imposed upon the Deputies then-selves excluded from the Chamber all but men of substantial wealth. Moreover, there existed no law prohibiting the holders of administrative posts under the Government from sitting in the Assembly. The con-sequence was that more than one-third of the Deputies were either officials who had secured election, or representatives who since their election had accepted from Sentatives who since their election had accepted non-Government appointments of greater or less value. Though Parliamentary talent abounded, it was impossible that a Chamber so composed could be the representative of the nation at large. The narrowness of the franchise, the wealth of the Deputies themselves, made them, in all questions affecting the social condition of the people, a mere club of capitalists, the influence which the Crown exercised through the bestowal of offices converted those who ought to have been its controllers into its dependents, the more so as its patronage was lavished on nominal opponents even more freely than on avowed friends. Against King Louis Philippe the majority in the Chamber had in fact ceased to possess a will of its own. It represented wealth, it represented to some extent the commonsense of France, but on all current matters of dispute it only represented the executive government in another form So thoroughly had the nation lost all hope in the Assembly during the last years of Louis Philippe, that even the elections had ceased to excite interest. On the other hand, the belief in the general prevalence of corruption was every day receiving new warrant. A series of State-trials disclosed the grossest friuds in every branch of the administration, and proved that political influence was habitually used for purposes of pecuniary gain. Taxed with his tolerance of a system scarcely distinguishable from its abuses, the Minister could only turn to his own nominees in the Chamber and ask them whether they felt themselves corrupted; invited to consider some measure of Parliamentary reform, he scornfully asserted his policy of resistance. Thus, hopeless of obtaining satisfaction either from the Government or from the Climber itself, the leaders of the Opposition resolved in

1847 to appeal to the country at large; and an agitation for Parliamentary reform, based on the methods employed by O'Connell in Ireland, soon spread through the

principal towns of France.

But there were other ideas and other forces active among the labouring population of Paris than those familiar to the politicians of the Assembly. Theories of Socialism, the property of a few thinkers and readers during the earlier years of Louis Philippe's reign, had now sunk deep among the masses, and become, in a rough and easily apprehended form, the creed of the poor. From the time when Napoleon's fall had restored to France its faculty of thought, and, as it were, turned the soldier's eyes again upon his home, those questionings as to the basis of the social union which had occupied men's minds at an earlier epoch were once more felt and uttered. The problem was still what it had been in the eighteenth century; the answer was that of a later age. Kings, priests, and nobles had been overthrown, but misery still covered the world. In the teaching of Saint-Simon, under the Restoration, religious conceptions blended with a great industrial scheme; inthe Utopia of Fourier, produced at the same fruitful period, whatever was valuable belonged to its suggestions in co-operative production. But whether the doctrine propounded was that of philosopher, or sage, or charlatan, in every case the same leading ideas were visible;—the insufficiency of the individual in isolation, the industrial basis of all social life, the concern of the community, or of its supreme authority, in the organisation of labour. It was naturally in no remote or complex form that the idea of a new social order took possession of the mind of the workman in the faubourgs of Paris. He read in Louis Blanc, the latest and most intelligible of his teachers, of the right to labour, of the duty of the State to provide This was something actual and work for its citizens. tangible. For this he was ready upon occasion to take up arms; not for the purpose of extending the franchise to another handful of the Bourgeoisie, or of shifting the profits of government from one set of place-hunters to another. In antagonism to the ruling Minister the Reformers in the Chamber and the Socialists in the streets might for a moment unite their forces: but their ends

were irreconcilable, and the allies of to-day were neces-

sarily the foes of to-morrow.

At the close of the year 1847 the last Parliament of the Orleanist Monarchy assembled. The speech from the

Throne, delivered by Louis Philippe himself, denounced in strong terms the agitation for The Feb-Revolution, Reform which had been carried on during the preceding months, though this agitation

had, on the whole, been the work of the so-called Dynastic Opposition, which, while demanding electoral reform, was sincerely loyal to the Monarchy. The King's words were a challenge, and in the debate on the Address, the challenge was taken up by all ranks of Monarchical Liberals as well as by the small Republican section in the Assembly. The Government, however, was still secure of its majority. Defeated in the votes on the Address, the Opposition determined, by way of protest, to attend n banquet to be held in the Champs Elysées on the 22nd of February by the Reform-party in Western Paris It was at first desired that by some friendly arrangement with the Government, which had declared the banqui, illegal, the possibility of recourse to violence should be avoided Misunderstandings, however, arose, and Government finally prohibited the banquet, and made preparations for meeting any disturbance with force of arms. The Deputies, anxious to employ none but legal means of resistance, now resolved not to attend the banquet, on the other hand, the Democratic and Socialist leaders welcomed a possible opportunity for revolt. On

Feb. 22nd the morning of the 22nd masses of men
poured westwards from the workmen's

quarter The city was in confusion all day, and the erection of barricades began. Troops were posted in the streets, no serious attack, however, was made by either

side, and at nightfall quiet returned.

On the next morning the National Guard of Paris was called to arms Throughout the struggle hetween Louis Philippe and the populace of Paris in the earlier years of his reign, the National Guard, which was drawn principally from the trading classes, had fought steadily for the King Now, however, it was at one with the Liberal Opposition in the Assembly, and loudly demanded the dismissal of the Ministers. While some of the buttalions interposed between the regular troops and the populace and averted a conflict, others proceeded to the Chamber with petitions for Reform. Obstinately as Louis Philippe had hitherto refused all concession, the announcement of the threatened defection of the National Guard at length convinced him that resistance was impossible. He accepted Guizot's resignation, and the Chamber heard from the fallen Minister himself that he had ceased to hold office. Although the King declined for awhile to commit the formation of a Ministry to Thiers, the recognised chief of the Opposition, and endeavoured to place a politician more acceptable to himself in office, it was felt that with the fall of Guizot all real resistance to Reform was broken. Nothing more was asked by the Parliamentary Opposition or by the middle-class of Paris. The victory seemed to be won, the crisis at an end. In the western part of the capital congratulation and goodhumour succeeded to the fear of conflict. The troops fraternised with the citizens and the National Guard; and when darkness came on, the boulevards were illuminated as if for a national festival.

In the midst, however, of this rejoicing, and while the chiefs of the revolutionary societies, fearing that the opportunity had been lost for striking a blow at the Monarchy, exhorted the defenders of the barricades to maintain their positions, a band of workmen came into conflict, accidentally or of set purpose, with the troops in front of the Foreign Office. A volley was fired, which killed or wounded eighty persons. Placing the dead bodies on a waggon, and carrying them by torchlight through the streets in the workmen's quarter, the insurrectionary leaders called the people to arms. The tocsin sounded throughout the night; on the next morning Feb. 24th the populace marched against the Tuileries. In consequence of the fall of the Ministry and the supposed reconciliation of the King with the People, whatever military dispositions had been begun had since been abandoned. At isolated points the troops fought bravely; but there was no systematic defence. Shattered by the strain of the previous days, and dismayed by the indifference of the National Guard when he rode out among them, the King, who at every epoch of his long life had shown such conspicuous courage in the presence of danger, now

lost all nerve and all faculty of action. He signed an act of abdication in favour of his grandson, the Count of Paris, and fled. Behind him the victorious mob burst into the Tuileries and devastated it from cellar to roof. The Legislative Chamber, where an attempt was made to proclaim the Count of Paris King, was in its turn invaded. uproar and tumult a Provisional Government was installed at the Hôtel de Ville; and ere the day closed the news went out to Europe that the House of Orleans had ceased to reign, and that the Republic had been proclaimed. It was not over France alone, it was over the Continent at

large, that the tide of revolution was breaking.

CHAPTER XIX

Europe in 1789 and in 1848—Agitation in Western Germany before and after the Revolution at Paris-Austria and Hungary-The March Revolution at Vienna-Flight of Metternich-The Hungarian Diet-Hungary wins its independence-Bohemian movement-Autonomy promised to Bohemia-Insurrection of Lombardy—Of Venice—Piedmont makes war on Austria—A general Italian war against Austria imminent-The March Days at William IV.—A National Berlin—Frederick promised—Schleswig-Holstein—Insurrection in Holstein—War between Germany and Denmark—The German Ante-Parlia-ment—Republican Rising in Baden—Meeting of the German National Assembly at Frankfort—Europe generally in March, 1848—The French Provisional Government—The National Workshops-The Government and the Red Republicans-French National Assembly-Riot of May 15-Measures against the National Workshops-The Four Days of June-Cavaignac Louis Napoleon-He is elected to the Assembly-Elected President.

THERE were few statesmen living in 1848 who, like Metternich and like Louis Philippe, could remember the outbreak of the French Revolution. To those who could so look back across the space of sixty years, a comparison of the European movements that followed the successive onslaughts upon authority in France afforded some measure of the change that had passed over the political atmosphere of the Continent within a single lifetime. (The Revolution of 1789, deeply as it stirred men's minds in neighbouring countries, had occasioned no popular outbreak on a large scale outside 1789 and 1848 Europe in France. The expulsion of Charles X. in 1830 had been followed by national uprisings in Italy, Poland, and Belgium, and by a struggle for constitutional government in the smaller States of Northern Germany. The Clownfall of Louis Philippe in 1848 at once convulsed the whole of central Europe. From the Rhenish Provinces to the Ottoman frontier there was no government but the Swiss Republic that was not menaced; there was no race which did not assert its claim to a more or less complete

independence. Communities whose long slumber had been undisturbed by the shocks of the Napoleonic period now vibrated with those same impulses which, since 1815, no pressure of absolute power had been able wholly to extinguish in Italy and Germany. The borders of the region of political discontent had been enlarged, where apathy or immemorial loyalty to some distant crown had long closed the ear to the voices of the new age, now all was restlessness, all eager expectation of the dawning epoch of national life. This was especially the case with the Slavic races included in the Austrian Empire, races which during the earlier years of this century had been wholly mute. These in their turn now felt the breath of patriotism and claimed the right of self-government. Distinct as the ideas of national independence and of constitutional liberty are in themselves, they were not distinct in their operation over a great part of Europe in 1848, and this epoch will be wrongly conceived if it is viewed as no more than a repetition on a large scale of the democratic outbreak of Paris with which it opened. More was sought in Europe in 1848 than the substitution of popular for monarchical or aristocratic rule. The effort to make the State on with the nation excited wider interests than the effort to enlarge and equalise citizen rights; and it is in the action of this principle of nationality that we find the explanation of tendencies of the epoch which appear at first view to be in direct conflict with one another. In Germany a single race was divided under many Governments, here the national instinct impelled to unity. In Austria a variety of races was held together by one crown . here the national instinct impelled to separation In both these States, as in Italy, where the predominance of the foreigner and the manner connected with one another, the efforts of 1848 failed, but the problems which then agitated Europe could not long be set aside, and the solution of them, complete in the case of Germany and Italy, partial and tentative in the case of Austria, renders the succeeding twenty-five years a memorable period in European history.

The sudden disappearance of the Orleanist monarchy and the proclamation of the Republic at Paris struck with dismay the Governments beyond the Rhine Difficulties were already gathering round then, opposition among their own subjects was daily becoming more formidable and more outspoken. In Western Germany a meeting of Liberal deputies had been held in the autumn of 1847, in which the reform of the Federal Agitation in Constitution and the establishment of a Germany Germany

Republican or revolutionary party, small but virulent, had also its own avowed policy and its recognised had the news organs in the Press. No sooner of the Revolution at Paris passed the frontier than in all the minor German States the cry for reform became irresistible. Ministers everywhere resigned; the popular demands were granted; and men were called to office whose names were identified with the struggle for the freedom of the Press, for trial by jury, and for the reform of the Federal Constitution. The Federal Diet itself, so long the instrument of absolutism, bowed beneath the stress of the time, abolished the laws of censorship, and invited the Governments to send Commissioners to Frankfort to discuss the reorganisation of Germany. It was not, however, at Frankfort or at the minor capitals that the conflict between authority and its antagonists was to be decided. Wienna, the stronghold of absolutism, the sanctuary from which so many interdicts had gone forth against freedom in every part of Europe, was itself invaded by the revolutionary spirit. The clear sky darkened, and Metternich found himself powerless before the storm.

There had been until 1848 so complete an absence of political life in the Austrian capital, that, when the conviction suddenly burst upon all minds that Austria the ancient order was doomed, there were neither party-leaders to confront the Government, nor plans of reform upon which any considerable body of men were agreed. The first utterances of public discontent were petitions drawn up by the Chamber of Commerce and by literary associations. These were vague in purport and far from aggressive in their tone. A sterner note sounded when intelligence reached the capital of the resolu-Tions that had been passed by the Hungarian Lower House on the 3rd of March, and of the language in which these had been enforced by Kossuth. Casting aside all reserve. the Magyar leader had declared that the reigning dynasty could only be saved by granting to Hungary a responsible

Ministry drawn from the Diet itself, and by establishing constitutional government throughout the Austrian dominions. "From the charnel-house of the Viennese system," he cried, "a poison-laden atmosphere steals over us, which paralyses our nerves and bows us when we would soar The future of Hungary can never be secure while in the other provinces there exists a system of government on direct antagonism to every constitutional principle Our task it is to found a happier future on the brotherhood of all the Austrian races, and to substitute for the union enforced by bayonets and police the enduring bond of a free constitution." When the Hungarian Assembly had thus taken into its own hands the cause of the rest of the monarchy, it was not for the citizens of Vienna to fall short in the extent of their demands. The idea of a Constitution for the Empire at large was generally accepted, and it was proposed that an address embodying this demand should be sent in to the Emperor by the Provincial Estates of Lower Austria, whose meeting happened to be fixed for the 13th of March. In the meantime the students made themselves the heroes of the hour The agitation of the city increased, rumours of State bankruptcy and of the impending repudation of the paper currency filled all, classes with the belief that some catastrophe was near no hand 1

The Provincial Estates of Lower Austria had long fallen into such insignificance that in ordinary times their proceedings were hardly noticed by the capital. The accident that they were now to The March

Revolution assemble in the midst of a great crisis elevated them to a sudden importance. It was believed that the decisive words would be spoken in the

course of their debates; and on the morning of the 13th of March masses of the populace, led by a procession of students, assembled round the Hall of the Diet. While the debut proceeded within, street-orators inflamed the passions of the crowd outside. The turnilt deepened; and when at length a note was let down from one of the windows of the Hall stating that the Diet were inclining

¹ Metternich vii 638 fog Nilethum Berlin und Mien, 1845 62, p. -6, Kossuth Werke (1850), ii 78 Pülersdorff, Rücklücke, p. 21, Reschwer, Dus Jahr 1848 i int, Springer, Geschichte Gestereicht, ii 185, Iranji et Chauin, Résolution de Hongrie, i 128

to half-measures, the mob broke into uproar, and an attack was made upon the Diet Hall itself. The leading members of the Estates were compelled to place themselves at the head of a deputation, which proceeded to the Emperor's palace in order to enforce the demands of the people. Emperor himself, who at no time was capable of paying serious attention to business, remained invisible during this and the two following days; the deputation was received by Metternich and the principal officers of State, who were assembled in council. Meanwhile the crowds in the streets became denser and more excited; soldiers approached, to protect the Diet Hall and to guard the environs of the palace; there was an interval of confusion; and on the advance of a new regiment, which was mistaken for an attack, the mob who had stormed the Diet Hall hurled the shattered furniture from the windows upon the soldiers' heads. A volley was now fired, which cost several lives. At the sound of the firing still deeper agitation seized the city. Barricades were erected, and the people and soldiers fought hand to hand. As evening came on, deputation after deputation pressed into the palace to urge concession upon the Government. Metternich, who, almost alone in the Council, had made light of the popular uprising, now at length consented to certain definite measures of reform. He retired into an adjoining room to draft an order abolishing the censorship of the Press. During his absence the cry was raised among the deputations that thronged the Council-chamber, "Down with Metternich!" The old man returned, and found himself abandoned by his colleagues. There were some among them, members of the Imperial family, who had long been his opponents; others who had in vain urged him to make concessions before it was too late. Metternich saw that the end of his career was come; he spoke a few words, marked by all the dignity and self-possession of his greatest days, and withdrew, to place his resignation in the Emperor's hands.

For thirty-nine years Metternich had been so completely identified with the Austrian system of government that in his fall that entire system seemed to have vanished away. The tumult of the capital subsided on the mere announcement of his resignation, though the hatred which he had excited rendered it unsafe for him to remain within reach of hostile

hands. He was conveyed from Vienna by a faithful secretary on the night of the 14th of March, and, after remaining for a few days in concealment, crossed the Saxon frontier. His exile was destined to be of some duration, but no exile was ever more cheerfully borne, or sweetened by a profounder satisfaction at the evils which a mad world had brought upon itself by driving from it its one thoroughly wise and just statesman. Betaking himself in the general crash of the Continental Courts to Great Britain, which was still as safe as when he had visited it fity-five years before, Metternich received a kindly welcome from the Duke of Wellington and the leaders of English society, and when the London season was over he sought and found at Brighton something of the livelness and sunshine of his own southern home.

The action of the Hungarian Diet under Kossuth's leadership had powerfully influenced the course of events at Vienna The Viennese outbreak in its

The Haus are Veinne turn gave irresistible force to the Hungarian Diet national movement. Up to the 13th of March the Chamber of Magnates had withheld their assent from the resolution passed by the Lower House in favour of national executive, they now accepted it without a single hostile vote, and on the 15th a deputation was sent to Vienna to lay before the Emperor an address demanding not only the establishment of a responsible Ministry but the freedom of the Press, trial by jury, equality of religion, and a system of national education. At the moment when this deputation reached Vienna the Government was formally announcing its compliance with the popular demand for a Constitution for the whole of the Empter The Hungarians were escorted in triumph through the

³ Metternich vin i8r. The animation of his remarks on vill sorts of points in Injish hie is wonderful After a bith at Brus els and it his johannishurg estate Metternich returned to Nemna in 18,2 and though not restored to office resumed his great position in societ. He laved through the Crimean War, on which he wrote numero is memoranda for whose use it does not appear. Even on the outlines of war with Francin 1839 he was still busy with his pen. He survived long enough to bear of the battle of Magenta but was spared the sorrow of winessing the creation of the kingdom of Italy. He died on the 11th of June 1859 in his eighty swenth year. Metternich was not the only stateman present at the Congress of Arenas who lived to see the eccord. Supoleonic Empire Newselrode the Russian Chancellor lived till 1852, Certicis who was Foreign. Minister of Russia at the time of the battle of Austerlitz till 1852.

streets, and were received on the following day by the Emperor himself, who expressed a general concurrence with the terms of the address. The deputation returned to Presburg, and the Palatine, or representative of the overeign in Hungary, the Archduke Stephen, forthwith harged Count Batthyány, one of the most popular of the Magyar nobles, with the formation of a national Ministry. Thus far the Diet had been in the van of the Hungarian novement; it now sank almost into insignificance by the side of the revolutionary organisation at Pesth, where all he ardour and all the patriotism of the Magyar race glowed in their native force untempered by the political experience of the statesmen who were collected at Presburg, and unchecked by any of those influences which belong to the neighbourhood of an Imperial Court. At Pesth there proke out an agitation at once so democratic and so intensely national that all considerations of policy and of regard for the Austrian Government which might have affected the action of the Diet were swept away before it. Kossuth, himself the genuine representative of the capital, became supreme. At his bidding the Diet passed a law abolishing the departments of the Central Government by which the control of the Court over the Hungarian body politic had been exercised. A list of Ministers was submitted and approved, including not only those who were needed for the transaction of domestic business, but Ministers of War, Finance, and Foreign Affairs; and in order that the entire nation might rally round its Government, the peasantry were at one stroke emancipated from all services attaching to the land, and converted into free proprietors. Of the compensation to be paid to the lords for the loss of these services, no more was said than that it was a debt of honour to be discharged by the nation.

Within the next few days the measures thus carried through the Diet by Kossuth were presented for the Emperor's ratification at Vienna. The fall of

Metternich, important as it was, had not in reality produced that effect upon the Austrian Government which was expected from it by Hungary wins independence

popular opinion. The new Cabinet at Vienna was drawn from the ranks of the official hierarchy; and although some of its members were more liberally disposed than their late chief, they had all alike passed their lives in the traditions

of the ancient system, and were far from intending to make themselves the willing agents of revolution. These men saw clearly enough that the action of the Diet of Presburg amounted to nothing less than the separation of Hungary from the Austrian Empire With the Ministries of War. Finance, and Foreign Affairs established in independence of the Central Government, there would remain no link between Hungary and the Hereditary States but the person of a titular and, for the present time, an imbecile sovereign Powerless and distracted, Metternich's successors looked in all directions for counsel. The Palatine argued that three courses were open to the Austrian Government. It might endeavour to erush the Hungarian movement by force of arms, for this purpose, however, the troops available were insufficient: or it might withdraw from the country altogether, leaving the peasants to attack the nobles, as they had done in Galieia, this was a dislionourable policy, and the action of the Diet had, more over, secured to the peasant everything that he could gain by a social insurrection: or finally, the Government might yield for the moment to the inevitable, make terms with Batthyany's Ministry, and quietly prepare for vigorous resistance when opportunity should arrive. The last method was that which the Palatine recommended; the Court inclined in the same direction, but it was unwilling to submit without making some further trial of the temper of its antagonists. A rescript was accordingly sent to Presburg, announcing that the Ministry formed by Count Batthy any was accepted by the Emperor, but that the central offices which the Diet had abolished must be preserved, and the functions of the Ministers of War and Finance be reduced to those of chiefs of departments, dependent on the orders of a higher authority at Vienna From the delay that had taken place in the despatch of this answer the nationalist leaders at Pestli and at Presburg had augured no good result. Its publication brought the country to the verge of armed revolt. Batthyany refused to accept office under the conditions named, the Palating himself declared that he could remain in Hungary no longer Terrified at the result of its own challenge, the Court now withdrew from the position that it had taken up, and accepted the scheme of the Diet in its integrity, stipulating only that the disposal of the army outside Hungary in time of war, and the appointment to the higher commands, should remain with the Imperial Government.

Hungary had thus made good its position as an independent State connected with Austria only through the person of its monarch. Vast and momentous as was the change, fatal as it might well appear to those who could conceive of no unity but the unity of a central government, the victory of the Magyars appears to have excited no feeling among the German Liberals.

excited no feeling among the German Liberals at Vienna but one of satisfaction. So odious, so detested, was the fallen system of despotism, that every victory won by its adversaries was hailed as a triumph of

the good cause, be the remoter issues what they might. Even where a powerful German element, such as did not exist in Hungary itself, was threatened by the assertion of provincial claims, the Government could not hope for the support of the capital if it should offer resistance. The example of the Magyars was speedily followed by the Czechs in Bohemia. Forgotten and obliterated among the nationalities of Europe, the Czechs had preserved in their language, and in that almost alone, the emblem of their national independence. Within the borders of Bohemia there was so large a German population that the ultimate absorption of the Slavic element by this wealthier and privileged body had at an earlier time seemed not unlikely. Since 1830, however, the Czech national movement had been gradually gaining ground. In the first days of the agitation of 1848 an effort had been made to impress a purely constitutional form upon the demands made in the name of the people of Prague, and so to render the union of all classes possible. This policy, however, received its death-blow from the Revolution in Vienna and from the victory of the Magyars. The leadership at Prague passed from men of position and experience, representing rather the intelligence of the German element in Bohemia than the patriotism of the Czechs, to nationalist orators who commanded the streets. attempt made by the Cabinet at Vienna to evade the demands drawn up under the influence of the more moderate politicians resulted only in the downfall of this

¹ Adlerstein, Archiv des Ungarischen Ministeriums, i. 27; Irányi et Chassin, i. 184; Springer, ii. 219.

party, and in the tender of a new series of demands of far more revolutionary character. The population of Prague were beginning to organise a national guard; arms were being distributed, authority had collapsed.

Autonomy The Government was now forced to consent promised to everything that was asked of it, and a legislative Assembly with an independent local adminis-tration was promised to Bohemia. To this Assembly, as soon as it should meet, the new institutions of the kingdom were to be submitted

Thus far, if the authority of the Court of Vienna had been virtually shaken off by a great part of its subjects, the Emperor had at least not seen these subjects in avowed rebellion against the House of Hapsburg, nor supported in their resistance by the arms of a foreign Power. South of the Alps the dynastic connection was openly severed, and the rule of Austria declared for ever at an end Lombardy had since the beginning of the year 1848 been held in check only by the display of great military force. The Revolution at Paris had excited both. hopes and fears, the Revolution at Vienna was instantly followed by revolt in Milan. Radetzky, the Austria commander, a veteran who had served with honour in

Insurrec. every campaign since that against the Turks in 1788, had long foreseen the approach of tlon of Lombardy, an armed conflict, yet when the actual crisis March 18 arrived his dispositions had not been made

for meeting it. The troops in Milan were ill placed; the offices of Government were, moreover, separated by half the breadth of the city from the military headquarters. Thus when on the 18th of March the insurrection broke out, it carried everything before it - The Vice-Governor, O'Donell, was captured, and compelled to sign his name to decrees handing over the government of the city to the Municipal Council. Radetzky now threw his soldiers upon the barricades, and penetrated to the centre of the city; but he was unable to maintain himself there under the reaseless fire from the windows and the housetops, and withdrew on the night of the 19th to the line of fortifications. Fighting continued during the next two days in the outskirts and at the gates of the city. The garrisons of all the neighbouring towns were summoned to the assistance of their general, but the Italians broke up the

bridges and roads, and one detachment alone out of all the troops in Lombardy succeeded in reaching Milan. A report now arrived at Radetzky's camp that the King of Piedmont was on the march against him. Preferring the loss of Milan to the possible capture of his army, he determined to evacuate the city. On the night of the 22nd of March the retreat was begun, and Radetzky fell back upon the Mincio and Verona, which he himself had made the centre of the Austrian system of defence in Upper Italy.1

Venice had already followed the example of the Lombard capital. The tidings received from Vienna after the 13th of March appear to have completely bewildered both the military and the civil authorities on the Adriatic coast. They released their Insurrection political prisoners, among whom was Daniel

Manin, an able and determined foe of Austria; they entered into constitutional discussions with the popular leaders; they permitted the formation of a national guard, and finally handed over to this guard the arsenals and the dockyards with all their stores. From this time all was over. Manin proclaimed the Republic of St. Mark, and became the chief of a Provisional Government. The Italian regiments in garrison joined the national cause; the ships of war at Pola, manned chiefly by Italian sailors, were only prevented from sailing to the assistance of the rebels by batteries that were levelled against them from the shore. Thus without a blow being struck Venice was lost to Austria. The insurrection spread westwards and northwards through city and village in the interior, till there remained to Austria nothing but the fortresses on the Adige and the Mincio, where Radetzky, deaf to the counsels of timidity, held his ground unshaken. The national rising carried Pied-Piedmont makes war mont with it. It was in vain that the British

envoy at Turin urged the King to enter into no conflict with Austria. On the 24th of March Charles Albert published a proclamation promising his help to the Lombards. Two days later his troops entered Milan.²

 ¹ Casati, Nuove Rivelazioni, ii. 72. Schohals, Campagnes d'Italie de 1848 et 1849, p. 72. Cattaneo, Insurrezione di Milano, p. 29. Parl. Papers, 1849, lvii. (2) 210, 333. Schneidawind, Feldrug in 1848, i. 30.
 ² Manin, Documents laissés, i. 106. Perlbach, Manin p. 14, Con-

Austria had for thirty_years_consistently_laid_down the principle that its own sovereignty in_Upper_Italy common vested it_with the right to_control_the General political system of every other State in the pennisula. It had twice enforced this prinwar against Austria. beginning ciple by arms: hrst_in_its intervention_in in Italy in Itab Naples in 1820, afterwards in its occupation of the Roman States in 1831. The Government of Vienna had, as it were with fixed intention, made it impossible that its presence in any part of Italy should be regarded as the presence of an ordinary neighbour, entitled to quiet possession until some new provocation should be given. The Italians would have proved themselves the simplest of minkind if, having any reasonable hope of nulitary success, they had listened to the counsels of Palmerston and other statesmen who arged them not to take advantage of the difficulties in which Austria was now placed. The parily sis of the Austrian State was indeed the one unanswerable argument for immediate war. So long as the Emperor retained his ascendancy in any part of Italy, his interests could not permanently suffer the independ-ence of the rest. If the Italians should chivalrously was until the Calinet of Vienna had recovered its strength, it was quite certain that their next efforts in the cause of internal liberty would be as ruthlessly crushed as their last. Every clear-sighted partial understood that the time for a great national effort had arrived. In some respects the political condition of Italy seemed favourable to such united action. Since the insurrection of Palermo in January, 1848, absolutism had everywhere fallen. Ministres had come into existence containing at least a fair proportion of men who were in real sympath, with the national feeling. Above all, the Pope seemed disposed to place himself at the fleed of a patriotic union against the foreigner. Thus, whatever might be the secret inclinations of the reigning Houses, they were unable for the moment to resist the call to arms. Without an actual decliration of war troops were sent northwards from Naples, from Florence, and from Rome, to take part, as runs supposed, in the national struggle by the side of the Ising of Piedmont. Volunteers thronged to the staniarini Memeriale Vereto p. 10. Rovani Manin p. 25. Parliamentary Papers 1840, Ivii. (2) 265

dards. The Papal benediction seemed for once to rest on the cause of manhood and independence. On the other hand, the very impetus which had brought Liberal Ministries into power threatened to pass into a phase of violence and disorder. The concessions already made were mocked by men who expected to win all the victories of democracy in an hour. It remained to be seen whether there existed in Italy the political sagacity which, triumphing over all local jealousies, could bend to one great aim the passions of the multitude and the fears of the courts, or whether the cause of the whole nation would be wrecked in an ignoble strife between demagogues and reactionists, between the rabble of the street and the camarilla round the

Austria had with one hand held down Italy, with the other it had weighed on Germany. Though the Revolutionary movement was in full course on the east of the Rhine before Metternich's fall, it received, The March especially at Berlin, a great impetus from this Since the beginning of March the at Berlin Prussian capital had worn an unwonted aspect. In this bity of military discipline public meetings had been held day after day, and the streets had been blocked by excited crowds. Deputations which laid before the King demands similar to those now made in every German town received halting and evasive answers. Excitement increased, and on the 13th of March encounters began between the citizens and the troops, which, though insignificant, exasperate the people served to its leaders.

The King appeared to be wavering between resistance and concession until the Revolution at Vienna, which became known at Berlin on the 15th of March, brought affairs to their crisis. On the 17th the tumult in the streets suddenly ceased; it was understood that the following day would see the Government either reconciled with the people or forced to deal with an insurrection on a great scale. Accordingly on the morning of the 18th crowds made their way towards the palace, which was surrounded by troops. About midday there appeared a Royal edict

¹ Bianchi, Diplomazia Europea, v. 183. Farini, Stato Romano, ii. 16. Parl. Papers, 1849, lvii. 285, 297, 319. Pasolini, Memorie, p. 91.

summoning the Prussian United Diet for the 2nd of April. and announcing that the king had determined to promote the creation of a Parliament for all Germany and the establishment of Constitutional Government in every German State. This manifesto drew fresh masses towards the palace, desirous, it would seem, to express their satisfaction, its contents, however, were imperfectly understoo by the assembly already in front of the palace, whith the King vainly attempted to address. When calle upon to disperse, the multitude refused to do so, ar answered by cries for the withdrawal of the soldiery. It the midst of the confusion two shots were fired from the ranks without orders, a panic followed, in which, for r known reason, the cavalry and infantry threw themselve upon the people. The crowd was immediately put flight, but the combat was taken up by the population Berlin. Barricades appeared in the streets; fighting con tinued during the evening and night. Meanwhile th King, who was shocked and distressed at the course the events had taken, received deputations begging that th troops might be withdrawn from the city. Frederic William endeavoured for awhile to make the surrende of the barricades the condition for an armistice; but a night went on the troops became exhausted, and althoug they had gained ground, the resistance of the people wa not overcome. Whether doubtful of the ultimate issu of the conflict or unwilling to permit further bloodshed the King gave way, and at daybreak on the 19th ordere the troops to be withdrawn. His intention was that the should continue to garrison the palace, but the order wa misunderstood, and the troops were removed to the out side of Berlin. The palace was thus left improtected and, although no injury was inflicted upon its inmates the King was made to feel that the people could now command his homage. The bodies of the dead were brought into the court of the palace; their wounds were laid bare, and the King, who appeared in a bilcony, was compelled to descend into the court, and to stand before them with incovered head. Definite political expression was given to the changed state of affairs by the appointment of a new Ministry.

Die Berliner Marz Peseluti n. p. 25. Ausführliche Beschreibung, p. 3. Amtliche Berichte, p. 16. Stahr, Lreussische Revolution, 1. 91. S.

18481

The conflict between the troops and the people at Berlin was described, and with truth, as the result of a misunderstanding. Frederick William had already determined to yield to the principal demands of his subjects; nor on the part of the inhabitants of Berlin had there existed any general hostility towards the sovereign, although a small group of agitators, in part foreign, had probably sought to bring about an armed attack on the Accordingly, when once the combat was broken off, there seemed to be no important obstacle to a reconciliation between the King and the people. Frederick William chose a course which spared and even gratified his own self-love. In the political faith of all German Liberals the establishment of German unity was now an even more important article than the introduction of free institutions into each particular State. The Revolution at Berlin had indeed been occasioned by the King's delay in granting internal reform; but these domestic disputes might well be forgotten if in the great cause of German unity the Prussians saw their King rising to the needs of the hour. Accordingly the first resolution of Frederick William, after quiet had returned to the capital, was to appear in public state as the champion of the Fatherland. A proclamation announced on the morning of the 21st of March that the King had placed himself at the head of the German nation, and that he would on that day appear on horseback wearing the old German colours. In due time Frederick William came forth at the head of a procession, wearing the tricolour of gold, white, and black, which since 1815 had been so dear to the patriots and so odious to the Governments of Germany. As he passed through the streets he was saluted as Emperor, but he repudiated the title, asserting with oaths and imprecations that he intended to rob no German prince of his sovereignty. At each stage of his theatrical progress he repeated to appropriate auditors his sounding but ambiguous allusions to the duties imposed upon him by the common danger. A manifesto, published at the close of the day, summed up the utterances of the monarch in a somewhat less rhetorical form. "Germany is in ferment within, and exposed from without to danger from more Stern, Geschichte des Deutschen Volkes, p. 58. Stern was an eye-witness at Berlin, though not generally a good authority.

than one side. Deliverance from this danger can come only from the most intimate union of the German princes and people under a single leadership. I take this leadership upon me for the hour of peril. I have to-day assumed the old German colours, and placed myself and my people under the venerable banner of the German Empire. Prussia henceforth is merged in Germany."

The ride of the King through Berlin, and his assumption of the character of German leader, however little it pleased the minor socretiens, or gratified the

National Assembly promised pleased the minor sovereigns, or gratified the Liberals of the smaller States, who considered that such authority ought to be conferred by the nation, not assumed by a

prince, was successful for the moment in restoring to the King some popularity among his own subjects. could now without humiliation proceed with the concessions which had been interrupted by the tragical events of the 18th of March. In answer to a deputation from Breslau, which urged that the Chamber formed by the union of the Proxincial Diets should be replaced by a Constituent Assembly, the King promised that a national Representative Assembly should be convoked as soon as the United Diet had passed the necessary electoral laws To this National Assembly the Government would submit measures securing the liberty of the individual, the right of public meeting and of associations, trial by jury, the responsibility of Ministers, and the independence of the judicature A civic militia was to be formed, with the right of choosing its own officers, and the standing army was to take the oath of allegiance to the Constitution Hereditary purisdictions and manorial rights of police were to be abolished, equality before the law was to be universally enforced, in short, the entire scheme of reforms demanded by the Constitutional Liberals of Prussia was to be carried into effect. In Berlin, as in every other capital in Germany, the victory of the party of progress now seemed to be assured. The Government no longer represented a power hostile to popular rights, and when, on the 22nd of March, the King spontaneously

^{1 &}quot;Preissen geht fortan in Deuts blind out" Reden Friedrich Wilhelms p. q. In conversation with Daswimann I rederick Wilham at a blief time described his ride through Berlin as "as comedy which he load been made to play." The bombast at any rate was all his own.

paid the last honours to those who had fallen in combat with his troops, as the long funeral procession passed his palace, it was generally believed that his expression of

iceling was sincere.

In the passage of his address in which King Frederick

William spoke of the external dangers threatening Germany, he referred to apprehensions which had for a while been current that the second French Republic would revive the aggressive energy of the first. This fear proved baseless; nevertheless, for a sovereign who really intended to act as the champion of the German nation at large, the probability of war with a neighbouring Power was far from remote. The cause of the Duchies of Schleswig-Holstein, which were in rebellion against the Danish Crown, excited the utmost interest Holstein and sympathy in Germany. The population of these provinces, with the exception of certain districts in Schleswig, was German; Holstein was actually a member of the German Federation. The legal relation of the Duchies to Denmark was, according to the popular view, very nearly that of Hanover to England before 1837. The King of Denmark was also Duke of Schleswig and of Holstein, but these were no more an integral portion of the Danish State than Hanover was of the British Empire; and the laws of succession were, moreover, different in Schleswig-Holstein, the Crown being transmitted by males, while in Denmark females were capable of succession. On the part of the Danes it was admitted that in certain districts in Holstein the Salic law held good; it was, however, maintained that in the remainder of Holstein and in all Schleswig the rules of succession were the same as in Denmark. The Danish Government denied that Schleswig-Holstein formed a unity in itself. as alleged by the Germans, and that it possessed separate national rights as against the authority of the King's Government at Copenhagen. The real heart of the difficulty lay in the fact that the population of the Duchies was So long as the Germans as a race possessed no national feeling, the union of the Duchies with the Danish Monarchy had not been felt as a grievance. It happened, however, that the great revival of German patriotism resulting from the War of Liberatio i 1813 was almost simultaneous with the severar

[1848

from the Danish Crown, which compelled the Government of Copenhagen to increase very heavily the burdens imposed on its German subjects in the Duchies. From this time discontent gained ground, especially in Altona and Kiel, where society was as thoroughly German as in the

3. After 1830, when Proin Schleswig and Holstein formidable. The reaction

however, which marked the succeeding period generally in Europe prevailed in Denmark too, and it was not until 1844, when a posthumous work of Lornsen, the calce leader of the German party, vindicated the historical rights of the Duchies, that the claims of German nationality in these provinces were again vigorously urged. From this time the separation of Schleswig-Holstein from Denmark became a question of practical politics. The King of Denmark, Christian VIII., had but one son, who, though long married, was childless, and with whom the male line of the reigning House would expire. In answer to an address of the Danish Provincial Estates calling upon the King to declare the unity of the Monarchy and the validity of the Danish law of succession for all its parts. the Holstein Estates passed a resolution in November; 1844, that the Duchies were an independent body, governed by the rule of male descent, and indivisible After an interval of two years, during which a Commission examined the succession-laws. King Christian published a declaration that the succession was the same in Schleswig as in Denmark proper, and that, as regarded those parts of Holstein where a different rule of succession existed, he would spare no effort to maintain the unity of the Monarchy On this the Provincial Estates both of Schleswig and of Holstein addressed protests to the King, who refused to accept them. The deputies now resigned in a mass, whilst on behalf of Holstein an appeal was made to the German Federal Diet. The Diet merely replied by a declaration of rights, but in Germany at large the keenest interest was aroused on hehalf of these severed members of the race who were so resolutely struggling against incorporation with a foreign Power. The depitites themselves, passing from village to village, escited a strennous spirit of resistance throughout the Duclues, which was met by the Danish Government with

measures of repression more severe than any which it had

hitherto employed.1

Such was the situation of affairs when, on the 20th of January, 1848, King Christian VIII. died, leaving the throne to Frederick VII., the last of the male line of his House. Frederick's first act was in Holstein,

to publish the draft of a Constitution, in March 24 which all parts of the Monarchy were treated

as on the same footing. Before the delegates could assemble to whom the completion of this work was referred, the shock of the Paris Revolution reached the North Sea ports. A public meeting at Altona demanded the establishment of a separate constitution for Schleswig-Holstein, and the admission of Schleswig into the German Federation. The Provincial Estates accepted this resolution, and sent a deputation to Copenhagen to present this and other demands to the King. But in the course of the next few days a popular movement at Copenhagen brought into power a thoroughly Danish Ministry, pledged to the incorporation of Schleswig with Denmark as an integral part of the Kingdom. Without waiting to learn the answer made by the King to the deputation, the Holsteiners now took affairs into their own hands. visional Government was formed at Kiel (March 24), the troops joined the people, and the insurrection instantly spread over the whole province. As the proposal to change the law of succession to the throne had originated with the King of Denmark, the cause of the Holsteiners was from one point of view that of established right. The King of Prussia, accepting the positions laid down by the Holstein Estates in 1844, declared that he would defend the claims of the legitimate heir by force of arms, and ordered his troops to enter Holstein. The Diet of Frankfort, now forced to express the universal will of Germany, demanded that Schleswig, as the sister State of Holstein, should enter the tween Ger-Federation. On the passing of this resolumany and tion, the envoy who represented the King, Denmark of Denmark at the Diet, as Duke of Holstein, quitted Frankfort, and a state of war ensued between Denmark

¹ Droysen und Samwer, Schleswig-Holstein, p. 220. Bunsen, Memoir on Schleswig-Holstein, p. 25. Schleswig-Holstein, Uebersichtliche Darstellung, p. 51. On the other side, Noten zur Beleuchtung, p. 12.

on the one side and Prussia with the German Federation

on the other.

The passionate impulse of the German people towards unity had already called into being an organ for the expression of national sentiment, which, if without any legal

The German Ante-Parliament, March 30-April 4

or constitutional authority, was yet strong enough to impose its will upon the old and discredited Federal Diet and upon most of the surviving Governments. At the invitation of

a Committee, about five hundred Liberals who had in one form or another taken part in public affairs assembled at Frankfort on the 30th of March-to-make the necessary preparations for the meeting of a German National Parliament. This Assembly, which is known as the Ante-Parliament, sat but for five days. Its resolutions, so far as regarded the method of electing the new Parliament, and the inclusion of new districts in the German Federation, were accepted by the Diet, and in the main carried into effect. Its denunciation of persons concerned in the repressive measures of 1819 and subsequent reactionary epochs was followed by the immediate retirement of all members of the Diet whose careers dated back to those detested days. But in the most important work that was expected from the Ante-Parliament, the settlement of a draft-Constitution to be laid before the future National Assembly as a basis for its deliberations, nothing whatever was accomplished. The debates that took place from the 31st of March to the 4th of April were little more than a frial of strength between the Monarchical and Republican The Republicans, far outnumbered when they submitted a constitutional scheme of their own, proposed, after this repulse, that the existing Assembly should con-tinue in session until the National Parliament met; in other words, that it should take upon itself the functions and character of a National Convention. Defeated also on this proposal, the leaders of the extreme section of the party, strangely miscalculating their real Republican

Republican rising in Baden

strength, determined on armed insurrection. Uniting with a body of German refugees beyand the Rhine, who were themselves assisted by French and Polish soldiers of revolution, they raised the Republican standard in Baden, and for

a few days maintained a hopeless and inglorious struggle

n Baden, which had long been in advance of all other German States in democratic sentiment, and which was beculiarly open to Republican influences from France and Switzerland, the movement was not seriously supported by the population, and in the remainder of Germany it received no countenance whatever. The leaders found hemselves ruined men. The best of them fled to the United States, where, in the great struggle against slavery thirteen years later, they rendered better service to their adopted than they had ever rendered to their natural Fatherland.

On breaking up on the 4th of April, the Ante-Parliament left behind it a Committee of Fifty, whose task it was to continue the work of preparation for the National Assembly to which it had itself contributed so little. One thing alone had been clearly established, that the future Constitution of Germany was not to be Republican. That the existing Governments could not be safely ignored by the National Assembly in its work of founding the new Federal Constitution for Germany was clear to those who were not blinded by the enthusiasm of the moment. the Committee of Fifty and elsewhere plans were suggested for giving to the Governments a representation within the Constituent Assembly, or for uniting their representatives in a Chamber co-ordinate with this, so that each step in the construction of the new Federal order should be at once the work of the nation and of the Governments. Such plans were suggested and discussed; but in the haste and inexperience of the time they were brought to no conclusion. The opening of the National Assembly had been fixed for the 18th of May, and this brief interval had expired before the few sagacious men Meeting of who understood the necessity of co-operation the German between the Governments and the Parlia-National ment had decided upon any common course Assembly, May 18 of action. To the mass of patriots it was enough that Germany, after thirty years of disappointment, had at last won its national representation. Before this imposing image of the united race, Kings, Courts, and armies, it was fondly thought, must bow. Thus, in the midst of universal hope, the elections were held through-

out Germany in its utmost federal extent, from the Baltic

to the Italian border, Bohemia alone, where the Czech to send representatives to Frankfort In the body of deputies elected there were to be found almost all the foremost Liberal politicians of every German community; i few still vigorous champions of the time of the War of Liberation, chief among them the poet Arndt, patriots who in the evil days that followed had suffered imprisonment and exile, historians, professors, critics, who in the sacred cause of liberty have, like Gervinus, inflicted upon their readers worse miseries than ever they themselves endured at the hands of unregenerate kings, theologians, journalists, in short, the whole group of leaders under whom Germany expected to enter into the promised land of national unity and freedom. No Imperial coronation ever brought to Frankfort so many honoured guests, or attracted to the same degree the sympathy of the German Greeted with the cheers of the citizens of Frankfort, whose civic militia lined the streets, the members of the Assembly marched in procession on the afternoon of the 18th of May from the ancient banqueting-hall of the Kaisers, where they had gathered, to the Church of Sty-Paul, which had been chosen as their Senate House Their President and officers were elected on the following day. Arndt, who in the frantic confusion of the first meeting had been unrecognised and shouted down, was called into the Tribune, but could speak only a few words for The Assembly voted him its thanks for his famous song, "What is the German's Fatherland?" and requested that he would add to it another stanza commemorating the union of the race at length visibly realised in that great Parliament. Four days after the opening of the General Assembly of Frankfort, the Prussian national Parliament began its sessions at Berlin.

At this point the first act in the Revolutionary drama of 1848 in Germany, as in Europe generally, may be con-

sidered to have reached its close. A certain Furone unity marks the memorable epoch known generally in generally as the March Days and the events succeeding. Revolution immediately

universal; it searcely meets with resistance;

Verhandlungen der National versammlung, i Biederriann Dreitsig Jahre, i 278 Radonitz, Werke, it 36.

seem on the point of being achieved; the baffled aspirations of the last half-century seem on the point of being fulfilled. There exists no longer in Central Europe such a thing as an autocratic Government; and, while the French Republic maintains an unexpected attitude of peace, Germany and Italy, under the leadership of old dynasties now penetrated with a new spirit, appear to be on the point of achieving each its own work of Federal union and of the expulsion of the foreigner from its national soil. All Italy prepares to move under Charles Albert to force the Austrians from their last strongholds on the Mincio and the Adige; all Germany is with the troops of Frederick William of Prussia as they enter Holstein to rescue this and the neighbouring German province from the Dane. In Radetzky's camp alone, and at the Court of St. Petersburg, the old monarchical order of Europe still survives. How powerful were these two isolated centres of anti-popular energy the world was soon Yet they would not have turned back the tide of European affairs and given one more victory to reaction had they not had their allies in the hatred of race to race, in the incapacity and the errors of peoples and those who Epresented them; above all, in the enormous difficulties which, even had the generation been one of sages and martyrs, the political circumstances of the time would in themselves have opposed to the accomplishment of the ends desired.

France had given to Central Europe the signal for the Revolution of 1848, and it was in France, where the conflict was not one for national independence but for political and social interests, that the Revolution most rapidly ran its course and first exhausted its powers. On the flight of Louis Philippe authority had been entrusted by the Chamber of Deputies to a Provisional Government, whose most prominent member was the orator and poet Lamartine. Înstalled at the Hôtel de Ville,

this Government had with difficulty prevented the mob from substituting the Red Flag for Government with Tricolor, and from proceeding at once to realise the plans of its own leaders. The majority of

the Provisional Government were Republicans of moderate type, representing the ideas of the urban middle classes rather than those of the workmen; but by their side were Ledru Rollin, a rhetorician dominated by the phrases of 1793, and Louis Blanc, who considered all political change as but an instrument for advancing the organisation of labour and for the emancipation of the artisan from servitude, by the establishment of State-directed industries affording appropriate employment and adequate remuneration to all. Among the first proclamations of the Provisional Government was one in which, in answer to a petition demanding the recognition of the Right to Labour, they undertook to guarantee employment to every citizen. This engagement, the heaviest perhaps that was ever voluntarily assumed by any Government, was followed in a few days by the opening of national workshops That in the midst of a Revolution which took all parties by surprise plans for the conduct of a series of industrial enterprises by the State should have been seriously examined was impossible. The Government had paid homage to an abstract idea, they were without a conception of the mode in which it was to be realised. What articles were to be made, what works were to be executed, no one knew. The mere direction of destitute workmen to the centres where they were to be employed was a task for which a new branch of the administration had to be created. When this was achieved, the men collected

The National Proved useless for all purposes of industry. Their numbers increased enormously, rising in the course of four weeks from fourteen to staty-five thousand. The Revolution had itself caused a financial and commercial prince, interrupting all the ordinary occupations of business, and depriving masses of men of the means of earning a livelihood. These, with others who had no intention of working, thronged to the State workshops, while the certaint of obtaining wages from the public purse occasioned a series of strikes of private factories. The checks which had been intended to confine enrolment at the public works to persons already domicifed in Paris completely failed; from all the neighbouring departments the idle and the hungry streamed into the capital. Every abuse incidental to a system of public relief was present in Paris in its most evaggerated form, every clement of experience, of wisdom, of pre-caution, was absent. It, instead of a group of benevolent

theorists, the experiment of 1848 had had for its authors a company of millionaires anxious to dispel all hope that mankind might ever rise to a higher order than that of unrestricted competition of man against man, it could not have been conducted under more fatal conditions.¹

The leaders of the democracy in Paris had from the first considered that the decision upon the form of Government to be established in France in place of The Prothe Orleanist monarchy belonged rather to visional themselves than to the nation at large. They Government and the Red distrusted, and with good reason, the results Republicans of the General Election which, by a decree of the Provisional Government, was to be held in the course of April. A circular issued by Ledru Rollin, Minister of the Interior, without the knowledge of his colleagues, to the Commissioners by whom he had replaced the Prefects of the Monarchy gave the first open indication of this alarm, and of the means of violence and intimidation by which the party which Ledru Rollin represented hoped to impose its will upon the country. The Commissioners were informed in plain language that, as agents of a revolutionary authority, their powers were unlimited, and that their task was to exclude from election all persons who were not animated by revolutionary spirit, and pure from any taint of association with the past. If the circular had been the work of the Government, and not of a single member of it who was at variance with most of his colleagues and whose words were far more formidable than his actions, it would have clearly foreshadowed a return to the system of 1793. But the isolation of Ledru Rollin was well understood. The attitude of the Government generally was so little in accordance with the views of the Red Republicans that on the 16th of April a demonstration was organised with the object of compelling them to postpone the elections. The prompt appearance in arms of the National Guard, which still represented Elections. the middle classes of Paris, baffled the design of the leaders of the mob, and gave to Lamar-tine and the majority in the Government a decisive victory over their revolutionary colleague. The elections were April 23

¹ Actes du Gouvernement Provisoire, p. 12. Louis Blanc, Révélations Historiques, i. 135. Garnier Pagès, Révolution de 1848, vi. 108, viii. 148. Émile Thomas, Histoire des Ateliers Nationaux, p. 93.

held at the time appointed; and, in spite of the institu-tion of universal suffrage, they resulted in the return of a body of Deputies not widely different from those who had hitherto appeared in French Parliaments. The great majority were indeed Republicans by profession but of a moderate type, and the session had no soone opened than it became clear that the relation between the Socialist democracy of Paris and the National Representa-

The first act of the Assembly, which met on the 4th of May, was to declare that the Provisional Government had

deserved well of the country, and to reinstate most of its members in office under the title National Assembly. of an Executive Commission. Ledru Rollin's offences were condoned, as those of a mar popular with the democracy, and likely on the whole to yield to the influence of his colleagues. Louis Blanc and his confederate, Albert, as really dangerous persons, were excluded The Jacobin leaders now proceeded to organise

an attack on the Assembly by main force. On the 15th of Riot of May the attempt was made. Under pretence

May 15 of tendering a petition on behalf of Polans, mob invaded the Legislative Chamber, declared Assembly dissolved, and put the Deputies to flight. the triumph was of short duration. The National Guard, whose commander alone was responsible for the failure of measures of defence, soon rallied in force; the leaders of the insurgents, some of whom had installed themselves as a Provisional Government at the Hôtel de Ville, were made captive, and after an interval of a few hours the Assembly resumed possession of the Palus Bourbon. The dishonout done to the national representation by the scandulous scenes of the 15th of May, as well as the decisively proved superiority of the National Guard over the half-armed mobencouraged the Assembly to declare open war against the so-called social democracy, and to decree the abolition of

Measures against the National

the national workshops growth of these establishments, which now included over a hundred thousand men Workshops threatened to rum the public finances; the demoralisation which they engendered seemed likely to destroy whatever was sound in the life of the

working classes of Paris Of honest industry there was

scarcely a trace to be found among the masses who were receiving their daily wages from the State. Whatever the sincerity of those who had founded the national workshops; whatever the anxiety for employment on the part of those who first resorted to them, they had now become mere hives of disorder, where the resources of the State were lavished in accumulating a force for its own overthrow. It was necessary, at whatever risk, to extinguish the evil. Plans for the gradual dispersion of the army of workmen were drawn up by Committees and discussed by the Assembly. If put in force with no more than the necessary delay, these plans might perhaps have rendered a peaceful solution of the difficulty possible. But the Government hesitated, and finally, when a decision could no longer be avoided, determined upon measures more violent and more sudden than those which the Committees had recommended. On the 21st of June an order was published that all occupants of the public workshops between the ages of seventeen and twenty-five must enlist in the army or cease to receive support from the State, and that the removal of the workmen who had come into Paris from the provinces, for which preparations had already been made, must be at once effected.

The publication of this order was the signal for an appeal to arms. The legions of the national workshops were in themselves a half-organised force equal in number to several army-corps, and now animated by something like the spirit of military union. The revolt, The Four which began on the morning of the 23rd of Days of June, was conducted as no revolt in Paris had June, 23-26 ever been conducted before. The eastern part of the city was turned into a maze of barricades. Though the insurgents had not artillery, they were in other respects fairly armed. The terrible nature of the conflict impending now became evident to the Assembly. General Cavaignac, Minister of War, was placed in command, and subsequently invested with supreme authority, the Executive Commission resigning its powers. All the troops in the neighbourhood of Paris were at once sum-

¹ Barrot, Mémoires, ii. 103. Caussidière, Mémoires, p. 117. Garnier Pagès, x. 419. Normanby, Year of Revolution, i. 389. Granier de Cassagnac, Chute de Louis Philippe, i. 359. De la Gorce, Seconde République, i. 273. Falloux, Mémoires, i. 328.

moned to the capital Cavaignac well understood that any attempt to hold the insurrection in check by means of scattered posts would only end, as in 1830, by the capture or the demoralisation of the troops. He treated Pans as one great battle-field in which the enemy must be attacked in mass and driven by main force from all his positions. At times the effort appeared almost beyond the power of the forces engaged, and the insurgents, sheltered by huge barricades and hring from the windows of houses, seemed likely to remain masters of the field The struggle continued for four days, but Cavaignac's artillery and the discipline of his troops at last crushed resistance, and after the Archbishop of Paris had been mortally wounded in a heroic effort to stop further bloodshed, the last bands of the insurgents, driven back into the north-eastern quarter of the city, and there attacked with artillery in front and

flank, were forced to lay down their arms

Such was the conflict of the Four Days of June, a conflict memorable as one in which the combatants fought not for a political principle or form of Government, but for the preservation of the overthrow of society based on the in-stitution of private property. The National Guard, with some exceptions, fought side by side with the regiment of the line, braved the same perils, and sustained an equal loss. The workmen threw themselves the more passionately into the struggle, masmuch as defeat threatened them with deprivation of the very means of life. On both sides acts of savagery were committed which the fury of the conflict could not excuse. The vengennee of the conquerors in the moment of success appears, however, to have been less unrelenting than that which followed the overthrow of the Commune in 1871, though, after the struggle was over, the Assembly had no scruple in transporting without trial the whole mass of prisoners taker with arms in their hands. Cavaignac's victory left the classes for whom he had fought terror-stricken at the peri from which they had escaped, and almost hopeless of then own security under any popular form of

Lears left by Government in the future. Against the rish the events and weak concessions to popular demands that had been made by the administration since February, especially in the matter of taxation and finance, there was now a deep, if not loudly proclaimed reaction. The national workshops disappeared; grants were made by the Legislature for the assistance of the masses who were left without resource, but the money was bestowed in charitable relief or in the form of loans to associations, not as wages from the State. On every side among the holders of property the cry was for a return to sound principles of finance in the economy of the State, and for the establishment of a strong central power.

General Cavaignac after the restoration of order had laid down the supreme authority which had been conferred on him, but at the desire of the Assembly he continued to

exercise it until the new Constitution should be drawn up and an Executive appointed in accordance with its provisions. Events had suddenly raised Cavaignac from obscurity to

Cavaignae and Louis Napoleon

suddenly raised Cavaignac from obscurity to eminence, and seemed to mark him out as the future ruler But he displayed during the six months following the suppression of the revolt no great capacity for government, and his virtues as well as his defects made against his personal success. A sincere Republican, while at the same time a rigid upholder of the law, he refused to lend himself to those who were, except in name, enemies - M Republicanism; and in his official acts and utterances - he spared the feelings of the reactionary classes as little as he would have spared those of rioters and Socialists. As the influence of Cavaignac declined, another name began to fill men's thoughts. Louis Napoleon, son of the Emperor's brother Louis, King of Holland, had while still in exile been elected to the National Assembly by four Departments. He was as yet almost unknown except by name to his fellow-countrymen. Born in the Tuileries in 1808, he had been involved as a child in the ruin of the Empire, and had passed into banishment with his mother Hortense, under the law that expelled from France all members of Napoleon's family. He had been brought up at Augsburg and on the shores of the Lake of Constance, and as a volunteer in a Swiss camp of artillery he had gained some little acquaintance with military life. In 1831 he had joined the insurgents in the Romagna who were in arms against the Papal Government. The death of his own elder brother, followed in 1832 by that of Napoleon's son, the Duke of Reichstadt, made him chief of the house of Bonaparte. Though far more of a realise

than a man of action, though so little of his own nation that he could not pronounce a sentence of French without a marked German accent, and had never even seen a French play performed, he now became possessed by the fixed idea that he was one day to wear the French Crown, A few obscure adventurers attached themselves to his fortunes, and in 1836 he appeared at Strasburg and presented himself to the troops as Emperor The enterprise ended in failure and ridicule. Louis Napoleon was shipped to America by the Orleanist Government, which supplied him with money, and thought it unnecessary even to bring him to trial. He recrossed the Atlantic, made his home in England, and in 1840 repeated at Boulogne the attempt that had failed at Strasburg. The result was again disastrous. He was now sentenced to perpetual imprisonment, and passed the next six years in captivity at Ham, where he produced a treatise on the Napoleonic Ideas, and certain fragments on political and social questions. The enthusiasm for Napoleon, of which there had been little trace in France since 1815, was now reviving, the sufferings of the epoch of conquest were forgotten, the steady maintenance of peace by Louis Philippe seemed humiliating to young and ardent spiritum who had not known the actual presence of the foreigner In literature two men of eminence worked powerfully upon the national imagination. The history of Thiers gave the nation a great stage-picture of Napoleon's exploits, Béranger's lyrics invested his exile at St. Helena with an irresistible, though spurious, pathos. Thus, little as the world concerned itself with the prisoner at Ham, the tendencies of the time were working in his fayour, and his confinement, which lasted six years and was terminated by his escape and return to England, appears to have deepened his brooding nature, and to have strengthened rather than diminished his confidence in himself. On the overthrow of Louis Philippe he visited Paris, but was requested by the Provisional Government, on the ground of the unrepealed law banishing the Bonaparte family, to quit the country He obeyed, probably foreseeing that the difficulties of the Republic would create better opportunities for his reappearance. Meanwhile the group of unl nown men who sought their fortunes in a Napoleonic restortion busily canvassed and wrote on behalf of the

Prince, and with such success that, in the supplementary elections that were held at the beginning of June, he obtained a fourfold triumph. The Assembly, in spite of the efforts of the Government, Louis Napopronounced his return valid. Yet with rare leon elected Deputy self-command the Prince still adhered to his but resigns, policy of reserve, resigning his seat on the June 14 ground that his election had been made a pretext for movements of which he disapproved, while at the same time he declared in his letter to the President of the Assembly that if duties should be imposed upon

him by the people he should know how to fulfil them. From this time Louis Napoleon was a recognised aspirant to power. The Constitution of the Republic was now being drawn up by the Assembly. The Executive Commission had disappeared in the convulsion of June; Cavaignac was holding the balance between parties rather than governing himself. In the midst of the

debates on the Constitution Louis Napoleon Louis Napowas again returned to the Assembly by the votes of five Departments. He saw that he ought to remain no longer in the background,

leon again elected, Sept. 17

and, accepting the call of the electors, he took his seat in the Chamber. It was clear that he would become a candidate for the Presidency of the Republic, and that the popularity of his name among the masses was enormous. He had twice presented himself to France as the heir to Napoleon's throne; he had never directly abandoned his dynastic claim; he had but recently declared, in almost threatening language, that he should know how to fulfil the duties that the people might impose upon him. Yet with all these facts before it the Assembly, misled by the puerile rhetoric of Lamartine, decided that in the new Constitution the President of the Republic, in whom was vested the executive power, should be chosen by the direct vote of all Frenchmen, and rejected the amendment of M. Grévy, who, with real insight into the future, declared that such direct election by the people could only give France a dictator, and demanded that the President should be appointed not by the masses but by the Chamber. Thus was the way paved for Louis Napoleon's march to

¹ Œuvres de Napoleon III., iii. 13, 24. Granier de Cassagnac, ii. 16. Jerold, Napoléon III., ii. 393.

power. The events of June had dispelled any attraction that he had intherto felt towards Socialistic theories. He saw that France required an upholder of order and of property. In his address to the nation announcing his candidature for the Presidency he declared that he would shrink from no sacrifice in defending society, andreionsly attacked; that he would devote himself without reserve to the maintenance of the Republic, and make it his pride to leave to his successor at the end of four years authority strengthened, liberty unumpaired, and real progress accomplished. Belund these generalities the address desterously touched on the special wants of classes and parties, and promised something to each. The French nation in the election which followed showed that it believed in Louis Napoleon even more than he did it

against real or supposed schemes of spoliation, it was Louis Napoleon elected

reverence for Napoleon's memory. Out o seven millions of votes given, Louis Napo leon received above five, Cavaignie, who President, alone entered into serious competition with Dec. 10

himself. If there existed in the opinion of the great max any element beyond the mere instinct of self-defence

him, receiving about a fourth part of tha number. Lamirtine and the men who ten months befor had represented all the hopes of the nation now found bu a handful of supporters. Though none yet openly spoke of Monarchy, on all sides there was the desire for the restoration of power. The dividrenms of the second Republic had fled. France had shown that its choice la only between a soldier who had emislied rebellion and ; stranger who brought no title to its confidence but at Imperi, manie.

CHAPTER XX

Austria and Italy-Vienna from March to May-Flight of the Emperor-Bohemian National Movement-Windischgrätz subdues Prague—Campaign around Verona—Papal Allocution—Naples in May-Negotiations as to Lombardy-Reconquest of Venetia —Battle of Custozza—The Austrians enter Milan—Austrian Court and Hungary—The Serbs in Southern Hungary—Serb Congress at Carlowitz-Jellacic-Affairs of Croatia-Jellacic, the Court and the Hungarian Movement-Murder of Lamberg-Manifesto of October 3-Vienna on October 6-The Emperor at Olmütz-Windischgrätz conquers Vienna-The Parliament at Kremsier—Schwarzenberg Minister—Ferdinand Dissolution of the Kremsier Parliament-Unitary Edict-Hungary-The Roumanians in Transylvania-The Austrian Army occupies Pesth-Hungarian Government at Debreczin-The Austrians driven out of Hungary-Declaration of Hungarian Independence—Russian Intervention—The Hungarian Summer Campaign—Capitulation of Vilagos—Italy—Murder of Rossi— Tuscany-The March Campaign in Lombardy-Novara-Abdication of Charles Albert-Victor Emmanuel-Restoration in Tuscany—French Intervention in Rome—Defeat of Oudinot -Oudinot and Lesseps-The French enter Rome-The Restored Pontifical Government-Fall of Venice-Ferdinand reconquers Sicily—Germany—The National Assembly at Frankfort—The Armistice of Malmö—Berlin from April to September—The Prussian Army—Last Days of the Prussian Parliament— Prussian Constitution granted by Edict—The German National Assembly and Austria—Frederick William IV. elected Emperor —He refuses the Crown—End of the National Assembly— Prussia attempts to form a separate Union-The Union Parliament at Erfurt-Action of Austria-Hesse-Cassel-The Diet of Frankfort restored — Olmütz — Schleswig-Holstein — Germany after 1849—Austria after 1851—France after 1848—Louis Napoleon-The October Message-Law Limiting the Franchise -Louis Napoleon and the Army-Proposed Revision of the Constitution-The Coup d'État-Napoleon III. Emperor.

The plain of Northern Italy has ever been an arena on which the contest between interests greater than those of taly itself has been brought to an issue; and it may perhaps be truly said that in the truggle between established Governments and Revolution throughout Central Europe in 1848 the eal turning-point, if it can anywhere be fixed, lay rather

in the fortunes of a campaign in Lombardy than in any single combination of events at Vienna or Berlin. The very existence of the Austrian Monarchy depended on the victory of Radetzky's forces over the national movement at the head of which Piedmont had now placed itself If Italian independence should be established upon the ruin of the Austrian arms, and the influence and example of the victorious Italian people be thrown into the scale against the Imperial Government in its struggle with the separatist forces that convulsed every part of the Austrian dominions, it was scarcely possible that any stroke of fortune or policy could save the Empire of the Hapsburgs from dissolution. But on the prostration or recovery of Austria, as represented by its central power at Vienna, the future of Germany in great part depended. Whatever compromise might be effected between popular and monarchical forces in the other German States if left free from Austria's interference, the whole influence of a_resurgent Austrian power could not but be directed against the principles of popular sovereignty and national union. The Parliament of Frankfort might then in vain affect to fulfil its mandate without reckoning with the Court of Vienna. All this was indeed obscured in the thirt for a while shut out the political horizon. The Liberals of Northern Germany and little sympathy with Vienna. All this was indeed obscured in the tempests the Italian cause in the decisive days of 1848. Their inclinations went rather with the combatant who, though bent on maintaining an oppressive dominion, was never-theless a member of the German race and paid homige for the moment to Constitutional rights Yet, as later events were to prove, the fetters which crushed liberty beyond the Alps could fit as closely on to German limbs; and in the warfare of Upper Italy for its own freedom the battle of German Liberalism was in no small measure fought and lost.

Metternich once bruished from Vienna, the first popular demand was for a Constitution. His successors Vienna in office, with a certain characteristic from March, pedantry, devoted their studies to the Belgran to May. Constitution of 1831, and after some weeks a Constitution was published by edict for the non-Hungarian part of the Empire, including a Parlament of two Chambers, the Lower to be chasen by indirect election.

the Upper consisting of nominees of the Crown and representatives of the great landowners. The provisions of this Constitution in favour of the Crown and the Aristocracy, as well as the arbitrary mode of its promulgation, displeased the Viennese. Agitation recommenced in the city; unpopular officials were roughly handled; the Press grew ever more violent and more scurrilous. One strange result of the tutelage in which Austrian society had been held was that the students of the University became, and for some time continued to be, the most important political body of the capital. Their principal rivals in influence were the National Guard drawn from citizens of the middle class, the workmen as yet remaining in the background. Neither in the Hall of the University nor at the taverns where the civic militia discussed the events of the hour did the office-drawn Constitution find favour. On the 13th of May it was determined, with the view of exercising stronger pressure upon the Government, that the existing committees of the National Guard and of the students should be superseded by one central committee representing both bodies. The elections to this comshittee had been held, and its sittings had begun, when the commander of the National Guard declared such proceedings to be inconsistent with military discipline, and ordered the dissolution of the committee. Riots followed, during which the students and the mob made their way into the Emperor's palace and demanded from his Ministers not only the re-establishment of the central committee but the abolition of the Upper Chamber in the projected Constitution, and the removal of the checks imposed on popular sovereignty by a limited franchise and the system of indirect elections. On point after point the Ministry gave way; and, in spite of the resistance and reproaches of the Imperial household, they obtained the Emperor's signature to a document promising that for the future all the important military posts in the city should troops, that the latter should never be called out except on the requisition of the National Constitution be held by the National Guard jointly with the regular on the requisition of the National Guard, and that the projected Constitution should remain without force until it should have been submitted for confirmation to a single Constituent Assembly elected by universal suffrage.

The weakness of the Emperor's intelligence rendered

him a mere puppet in the hands of those who for the moment exercised control over his actions. During the riot of the 15th of May he obeyed his Min-

Flight of the Emperor, May 17

Sters, a few hours afterwards he fell under the sway of the Court party, and consented to fly from Vienna. On the 18th the Vienness

learnt to their astonishment that Ferdinand was far of the road to the Tyrol. Soon afterwards a manifesto was published, stating that the violence and anarchy of the capital had compelled the Emperor to transfer his residence to Innsbruck, that he remained true, however, to the promises made in March and to their legitimate consequences, and that proof must be given of the return of the Viennese to their old sentiments of lovalty before he could again appear among them A certain revulsion of feeling in the Lmperor's favour now became manifest in the capital, and emboldened the Ministers to take the first step necessary towards obtaining his return, namely the dissolution of the Students' Legion. They could count with some confidence on the support of the wealthier part of the middle class, who were now becoming wearied of the students' extravaganees and alarmed at the interruption of business caused by the Revolution, moreover, the ordinary termination of the academic year was near at hand. The order was accordingly given for the dissolution of the Legion and the closing of the University.

Tunuit of May 26

But the students met the order with the stoutest resistance. The workmen poured in from the suburbs to join in their defence seemed on the point of being renewed. Once more the Government gave way, and not only revoked its order, but declared uself incaptable of preserving tranquillity in the capital innless it should receive the assistance of the kiders of the people. With the full concurrence of the Ministers a Committee of Public Safety was formed, representing at once the students, the middle class, and the workmen, and it entered upon its duties with an authority exceeding, within the limits of the capital, that of the shadow functionaries of State.

13 itthum Wien p 168 Springer is 293 Pillersdorff Rücklicke p (8 Nachtiss p 118 Peschauer, 11 156 Dun fer, October Revolution p 5 I requelment, sulklarungen, p (6

In the meantime the antagonism between the Czechs and the Germans in Bohemia was daily becoming more bitter. The influence of the party of com-Bohemian promise, which had been dominant in the

early days of March, had disappeared before movement the ill-timed attempt of the German national leaders at Frankfort to include Bohemia within the territory sending representatives to the German national Parliament. By consenting to this incorporation the Czech population would have definitely renounced its newly asserted claim to nationality. If the growth of democratic spirit at Vienna was accompanied by a more intense German national feeling in the capital, the popular movements at Vienna and at Prague must necessarily pass into a relation of conflict with one another. On the flight of the Emperor becoming known at Prague, Count Thun, the governor, who was also the chief of the moderate Bohemian party, invited Ferdinand to make Prague the seat of his Government. This invitation, which would have directly connected the Crown with Czech national interests, was not accepted. The rasher soliticians, chiefly students and workmen, continued to hold their meetings and to patrol the streets; and a Congress of Slavs from all parts of the Empire, which was opened on the 2nd of June, excited national passions still further. So threatening grew the attitude of the students and workmen that Count Windischgrätz, commander of the troops at Prague, prepared to act with artillery. On the 12th of June, the day on which the Congress of Slavs broke up, fighting began. Windischgrätz, whose wife was June 12-17

killed by a bullet, appears to have acted with

calmness, and to have sought to arrive at some peaceful settlement. He withdrew his troops, and desisted from a bombardment that he had begun, on the understanding that the barricades which had been erected should be removed. This condition was not fulfilled. New acts of violence occurred in the city, and on the 17th Windischgrätz reopened fire. On the following day Prague surrendered, and Windischgrätz re-entered the city as Dictator. The autonomy of Bohemia was at an end. The army had for the first time acted with effect against a popular rising; the first blow had been struck on behalf of the central

power against the revolution which till now had seemed about to dissolve the Austrian State into its fragments

At this point the dominant interest in Austrian affairs passes from the capital and the northern provinces to Campaign Radetzky's army and the Italians with whor

Campaion it stood face to face. Once convinced of th around necessity of a retreat from Milan, the Aus Verona. April-May trian commander had moved with sufficien rapidity to save Verona and Mantua from passing into the hands of the insurgents. He was thus enabled to place his army in one of the best defensive positions if Lurope, the Quadrilateral flanked by the rivers Mineir and Adige, and protected by the fortresses of Verona Mantua, Peschiera, and Legnano With his front on the Mincio he awaited at once the attack of the Piedmontes and the arrival of reinforcements from the north-east Or the 8th of April the first attack was made, and after a sharp engagement at Goito the passage of the Mincic was effected by the Sardinian army. Siege was now luc to Peschiera, and while a Tuscan contingent watched Mantua, the bulk of Charles Albert's forces operated farther northward with the view of cutting off Veroni from the roads to the 13 rol. This result was for a momen achieved, but the troops at the King's disposal were ful too weak for the task of reducing the fortresses, and in an attempt that was made on the 6th of May to drive the Austrians out of their positions in front of Verona, Charles Albert was defeated at Santa Lucia and compelled to fall back towards the Mincio 1

A pause in the war ensued, filled by political events of evil omen for Italy. Of all the princes who had permitted their troops to march northwards to the assistance of the Lombards, not one was acting in full sincerity. The first to show himself in his true colours was the Pope Of the 29th of April an Allocution was addressed to the

Papal
Allocution,
April 29

Cardinals, in which Pius disvioued all par
icipation in the war against Austria, and
ecclared that his own troops should do no

States Though at the moment an outburst of popular indignation in Rome forced a still more liberal Ministry into power, and Durando, the Papal general, continued a Sch inhals p. 17 Lanni u. 9 Pul Pap 1849 km 352

his advance into Venetia, the Pope's renunciation of his supposed national leadership produced the effect which its author desired, encouraging every open and every secret enemy of the Italian cause, and perplexing those who had believed themselves to be engaged in a sacred as well as a patriotic war. In Naples things hurried far more rapidly to a catastrophe. Elections had been held to the Chamber of Deputies, Naples in

227

May which was to be opened on the 15th of May,

and most of the members returned were men who, while devoted to the Italian national cause, were neither Republicans nor enemies of the Bourbon dynasty, but anxious to co-operate with their King in the work of Constitutional reform. Politicians of another character, however, commanded the streets of Naples. Rumours were spread that the Court was on the point of restoring despotic government and abandoning the Italian cause. Disorder and agitation increased from day to day; and after the Deputies had arrived in the city and begun a series of informal meetings preparatory to the opening of the Parliament, an ill-advised act of Ferdinand gave to the party of disorder, who were weakly represented in the Assembly, dicasion for an insurrection. After promulgating the Constitution on February 10th, Ferdinand had agreed that it should be submitted to the two Chambers for revision. He notified, however, to the Representatives on the eve of the opening of Parliament that they would be required to take an oath of fidelity to the Constitution. They urged that such an oath would deprive them of their right of revision. The King, after some hours, consented to a change in the formula of the oath; but his demand had already thrown the city into tumult. Barricades were erected, the Deputies in vain endeavouring to calm the rioters and to prevent a conflict with the troops. While negotiations were still in progress shots were fired. The troops now threw themselves upon the people; there was a struggle, short in duration, but sanguinary and merciless; the barricades were captured, some hundreds of the insurgents slain, and Ferdinand was once more absolute master of Naples. The Assembly was dissolved on the day after that on which it should have met. Orders were at once sent by the King to General Pepe, commander of the troops that were on the march to Lombardy, to

[1848

return with his army to Naples. Though Pepe continued true to the national cause, and endeavoured to lead his army forward from Bologna in defiance of the King's instructions, his troops now melted away; and when he crossed the Po and placed himself under the standard of Charles Albert in Venetia there remained with him scarcely fifteen hundred men.

It thus became clear before the end of May that the Lombards would receive no considerable help from the Southern States in their str the promised Jeague of the

the promised league of the cause was but a dream fre awakening. Nor in Northe unity in aim and action wi

unity in aim and action possible.

Negotiations as to Lombardy

228

possible. The Republican party and the King and the Provisional Government at Milan of an unwillingness to arm the people; Charles Albert on his part_regarded_every

Republican as an enemy. On entering Lombardy the King had stated that no question as to the political organisation of the future should be raised until the war was ended; nevertheless, before a fortress had been care tured, he had allowed Modena and Parma_to_declare themselves incorporated with the Piedmontese monarchy; and, in spite of Mazzini's protest, their example was followed by Lombardy and some Venetian districts. the recriminations that passed between the Republicans and the Monarchists it was even suggested that Austria had friends of its own in certain classes of the population. This was not the view taken by the Viennese Government, which from the first appears to have considered its cause in Lombardy as virtually lost. T' Britain was invoked by Metter willingness expressed to grant complete autonomy under the ? merston, in reply to the supplications of a Court wince had hitherto cursed his influence, urged that Lombardy

had hitherto cursed his influence, urged that Lombardy and the greater part of Venetia should be ceded to the King of Piedmont. The Austrian Government would have given up Lombardy to their enemy; they, bestiated

nave given up Lombardy to their enemy; they hesitalist to increase his power to the extent demanded by Palmerston, the more so as the French Ministre was known to be jealous of the aggrandisement of Sardinia, and to desire the establishment of weak Republics like those formed in 1796. Withdrawing from its negotiations at London, the Emperor's Cabinet now entered into direct communication with the Provisional Government at Milan, and, without making any reference to Piedmont or Venice, offered complete independence to Lombardy. As the union of this province with Piedmont had already been voted by its inhabitants, the offer was at once rejected. Moreover, even if the Italians had shown a disposition to compromise their cause and abandon Venice, Radetzky would not have broken off the combat while any possibility remained of winning over the Emperor from the side of the peace-party. In reply to instructions directing him to offer an armistice to the enemy, he sent Prince Felix Schwarzenberg to Innsbruck to implore the Emperor to trust to the valour of his soldiers and to continue the combat. Already there were signs that the victory would ultimately be with Austria. Reinforcements had cut their way through the insurgent territory and reached Verona; and although a movement by which Radetzky threatened to sever Charles Albert's communications was frustrated by a second engagement at Goito, and Peschiera passed Into the besiegers' hands, this was the last success won by the Italians. Throwing himself suddenly eastwards, Radetzky appeared before Vicenza, and compelled this city, with the entire Papal army, commanded by General Durando, to capitulate. The fall of Vicenza was followed by that of the other cities on Reconquest of Venetia. the Venetian mainland till Venice alone on Jane, July the east of the Adige defied the Austrian

Charles Albert now renewed his attempt to wrest the central fortresses from the Austrians. Leaving half his army at Peschiera and farther north, he pro-

Battle of Custozza, July 25

ceeded with the other half to blockade Radetzky took advantage of the unskilful generalship of his opponent, and

threw himself upon the weakly guarded centre of the long Sardinian line The King perceived his error, and sought to unite with his the northern detachments, now separated from him by the Mincio. His efforts were baffled, and on the 25th of July, after a brave resistance, his troops were defeated at Custozza The retreat across the Mincio was conducted in fair order, but disasters sustained by the northern division, which should have held the enemy in check, destroyed all hope, and the retreat then became a Radetzky followed in close pursuit. Charles Albert entered Milan, but declared himself unable to defend the city A storm of indignation broke out against the unhappy King amongst the Milanese, whom he was declared to have betrayed. The palace where he had taken up his quarters was besieged by the mob; his life was threatened; and he escaped with difficulty on the night of August 5th under the protection of General L. Marmora and a few faithful Guards. A capitulation was signed, and as the Piedmontese army evacuated the city

Radetzky's troops entered it in triumph Not less than sixty thousand of the inre-enter liabitants, according to Italian statements,

abandoned their homes and sought refuge in Switzerland or Piedmont rather than submit to the conqueror's rule Radetzky could now have followed his retreating enemy without difficulty to Turin, and have crushed Piedmont itself under foot, but the fear of France and Great Britain checked his career of victory, and hostilities were brought to a close by an armistice at

Vigevano on August oth.'

The effects of Radetzky's triumph were felt in every province of the Empire The first open expression given to the changed state of affairs was the return of the Imperial Court from its refuge at Innshruck to Vienna. The

¹ Parliamentary Papers 1840 Ivii p 128. Venice refused to acknow ledge the armistice and detached itself from Sardinia, restoring Manin to power

election promised in May had been held, and an Assembly representing all the non-Hungarian parts of the Monarchy, with the exception of the Italian provinces, had been opened by the Archduke John, as Austrian representative of the Emperor, on the 22nd of July. Ministers and Deputies united in

Court and Hungary

demanding the return of the Emperor to the capital. With Radetzky and Windischgrätz within call, the Emperor could now with some confidence face his students and his Parliament. But of far greater importance than the return of the Court to Vienna was the attitude which it now assumed towards the Diet and the national Government of Hungary. The concessions made in April, inevitable as they were, had in fact raised Hungary to the position of an independent State. When such matters as the employment of Hungarian troops against Italy or the distribution of the burden of taxation came into question, the Emperor had to treat with the Hungarian Ministry almost as if it represented a foreign and a rival Power. For some months this humiliation had to be borne, and the appearance of fidelity to the new Constitutional law maintained. But a deep, resentful hatred against the Magyar cause penetrated the circles in which the old military and official absolutism of Austria yet survived; and behind the men and the policy still representing with some degree of sincerity the new order of things, there gathered the passions and the intrigues of a reaction that waited only for the outbreak of civil war within Hungary itself, and the restoration of confidence to the Austrian army, to draw the sword against its foe. Already, while Italy was still unsubdued, and the Emperor was scarcely safe in his palace at Vienna, the popular forces that might be employed against the Government at Pesth came into view.

In one of the stormy sessions of the Hungarian Diet at the time when the attempt was first made to impose the Magyar language upon Croatia the Illyrian leader, Gai, had thus addressed the Assembly: "You Magyars are an island in the ocean of Slav-The Serbs in Southern ism. Take heed that its waves do not rise Hungary and overwhelm you." The agitation of the

spring of 1848 first revealed in its full extent the peril thus foreshadowed. Croatia had for above a year been in almost

open mutiny, but the spirit of revolt now spread through the whole of the Serb population of Southern Hungary, from the eastern limits of Slavonia, across the plain known as the Banat beyond the junction of the Theiss and the Danube, up to the borders of Transylvania. The Serbs had been welcomed into these provinces in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries by the sovereigns of Austria a a bulwark against the Turks. Charters had been given to them, which were still preserved, promising them a dis-tinct political administration under their own elected Voivode, and ecclesiastical independence under their own Patriarch of the Greek Church 2 These provincial rights had fared much as others in the Austrian Empire The Patriarch and the Voivode had disappeared, and the Banat had been completely merged in Hungary. Enough, however, of Serb nationality remained to kindle at the summons of 1848, and to resent with a sudden fierceness the determination of the Magyar rulers at Pesth_that_the Magyar language, as the language of State, should thenceforward bind together all the races of Hungary in the enjoyment of a common national life. The Serbs had demanded from Kossuth and his colleagues the restoration of the local and ecclesiastical autonomy of which the Hapsburgs had deprived them, and the recognition of their own national language and customs. They found, or believed. that instead of a German they were now to have a Magyar lord, and one more near, more energetic, more aggressive Their reply to Kossuth's defence of Magyar ascendancy was the summoning of a Congress of Serbs at Carlowitz

Serb Congress at Carlowitz, May 13-15

A Voir ode was elected and the limits of his province were defined A National Committee was charged with the duty, of organising a Government and of entering into intimate

¹ Slavonin itself was attached to Croatia Dalmatia also was claimed as a member of this triple Kingdom under the Hungarian Crown in virtue of ancient rights though since its annexation in 1793 it had been governed directly from Vienna and in 1848 was represented in the Peichtag of Vienna, not in that of Pesth

The real meaning of the Charters is however, contested Springer,
 1 281 Adlerstein Archiv,
 1 66 Helfert,
 1 255 Irányi et Chassin
 1 276 Die Serbische Worwodschaftsfrage,
 p 7

connection with the neighbouring Slavic Kingdom of

Croatia.

At Agram, the Croatian capital, all established authority had sunk in the catastrophe of March, and a National Committee had assumed power. It happened that the office of Governor, or Ban, of Croatia was then vacant. The Committee sent a Jellacic in Croatia deputation to Vienna requesting that the colonel of the first Croatian regiment, Jellacic, might be appointed. Without waiting for the arrival of the deputation, the Court, by a patent dated the 23rd of March, nominated Jellacic to the vacant post. The date of this appointment, and the assumption of office by Jellacic on the 14th of April, the very day before the Hungarian Ministry entered upon its powers, have been considered proof that a secret understanding existed from the first between Jellacic and the Court. No further evidence of this secret relation has, however, been made public, and the belief long current among all friends of the Magyar cause that Croatia was deliberately instigated to revolt against the Hungarian Government by persons around the Emperor seems to rest on no solid foundation. The Croats would have been unlike all other communities in the Austrian Empire if they had not risen under the national impulse of 1848. They had been murmuring against Magyar ascendency for years past, and the fire long smouldering now probably burst into flame here as elsewhere without the touch of an incendiary hand. With regard to Jellacic's sudden appointment it is possible that the Court, powerless to check the Croatian movement, may have desired to escape the appearance of compulsion by spontaneously conferring office on the popular soldier, who was at least more likely to regard the Emperor's interests than the lawyers and demagogues around him. Whether Jellacic was at this time genuinely concerned for Croatian autonomy, or whether from the first, while he apparently acted with the Croatian nationalists, his deepest sympathies were with the Austrian army, and his sole design was that of serving the Imperial Crown with or without its own avowed concurrence, it is impossible to say. That, like most of his countrymen, he cordially hated the Magyars, is beyond doubt. The general impression left by his character hardly accords with the Magyar conception of him as the profound and far-sighted conspirator; he would seem, on the contrary, to have been a man easily yielding to the impulses of the moment, and capable of playing contradictory parts with little sense of his own inconsistency.

Installed in office, Jellacic cast to the winds all consideration due to the Emperor's personal engagement.

Affairs of Groatia, April 14-June 16 towards Hungary, and forthwith permitted the Magyar officials to be driven out of the country. On the 2nd of May he issued an order forbidding all Croatian authorities to

order forbidding all Croatian authorities to correspond with the Government at Pesth. the Hungarian Premier, at once hurried to Vienna, and obtained from the Emperor a letter commanding Tellacio to submit to the Hungarian Ministry. As the Ban paid no attention to this mandate. General Hrabowsky, commander of the troops in the southern_provinces, received orders from Pesth to annul all that Jellacic had done, to suspend him from his office, and to bring him to trill for high treason. Nothing daunted, Jellacic on his own authority consolved the Diet of Croatia for the 5th of June; the populace of Agram, on hearing of Hrabowsky's mission, burnt the Palatine in effigy. This was a direct outrage on the Imperial family, and Batthyany turned it to account The Emperor had just been driven from Vienna by the riot of the 15th of May. Batthyany sought him at Innsbruck, and by assuring him of the support of his loyal Hungarians against both the Italians and the Viennese obtained his signature on June 10th to a rescript vehemently condemning the Ban's action and suspending him from office. Jellacic had already been summoned to appear at Innsbruck. He set out, taking with him a depittation of Croats and Serbs, and leaving behind him a popular Assembly sitting at Agram, in which, besides the representatives of Croatia, there were seventy Deputies from the Serb provinces. On the very day on which the Ban reached Innsbruck, the Imperial order condemning him and suspending him from his functions was published by Butthyany at Pesth. Nor was the situation made

1 But see Kossuh Schriften (1880) in 215, for a conversation between Jellacic and Brithyfny, sud to have been narrated to Kossuth by the Jaiter If authentic, this certainty proves Jellacic to have used the Stavic agitation from the first solely for Austrian ends See also Vitzthum, p. 207

easier by the almost simultaneous announcement that civil war had broken out on the Lower Danube, and that General Hrabowsky, on attempting to occupy Carlowitz, had been attacked and compelled to retreat by the Serbs under their national leader Stratimirovic.1

It is said that the Emperor Ferdinand, during de-liberations in council on which the fate of the Austrian

Empire depended, was accustomed to occupy

that passed from right and left respectively under the windows. In the struggle between Croatia and Hungary he appears to have Government avoided even the formal exercise of authority, preferring to commit the decision between

preferring to commit the decision between the contending parties to the Archduke John, as mediator or judge. John was too deeply immersed in other business to give much attention to the matter. What really passed between Jellacic and the Imperial family at Innsbruck is unknown. The official request of the Ban was for the withdrawal or suppression of the rescript signed by the Emperor on June roth. Prince Esterhazy, who represented the Hungarian Government at Innsbruck, was ready to make this concession; but before the document could be revoked, it had been made public by Batthyany. With the object of proving his fidelity to the Court, Jellacic now published an address to the Croatian regiments serving in Lombardy, entreating them not to be diverted from their duty to the Emperor in the field by any report of danger to their rights and their nationality nearer home. So great was Jellacic's influence with his countrymen that an appeal from him of opposite tenor would probably have caused the Croatian regiments to quit Radetzky in a mass, and so have brought the war in Italy to an ignominious end. His action won for him a great popularity in the higher ranks of the Austrian army, and probably gained for him, even if he did not possess it before, the secret confidence of the Court. That some understanding now existed is almost certain, for, in spite of the unrepealed declaration of June 10th, and the postponement of the Archduke's judgment, Jellacic was permitted to return to Croatia and to resume his government. The Diet at Agram occupied

¹ Adlerstein, Archiv, i. 146, 156. Klapka, Erinnarianyi et Chassin, i. 344. Serbische Bewegung, p. 1

itself with far-reaching schemes for a confederation of the southern Slavs, but its discussions were of no practical effect, and after some weeks it was extinguished under the form of an adjournment. From this time Jellacic held dictatorial power. It was unnecessary for him in his relations with Hungary any longer to keep up the fiction of a mere defence of Croatian rights, he appeared openly las the champion of Austrian unity. In negotiations which he held with Batthyany at Vienna during the last days of July, he demanded the restoration of single Ministres for War, Finance, and Foreign Affairs for the whole Austrian Emoire. The demand was indignantly refused, land the chieftains of the two rival races guitted Vienna to prepare for war.

The Hungarian National P. J. 1914 of the new Constitution, had been on the P. J. 1914 of the new Constitution, had been mad, in V. J. 1914 of the Great efforts had been mad, in V. J. 1914 of the new Constitution, had been mad, in V. J. 1914 of the new Constitution of th with Croatia and of the suspected intrigues between the

Ban and the Court party, to induce the Imminent Imminent breach between Austria and Hungary Emperor Ferdinand to appear at Pesth in person. He excused himself from this on the ground of illness, but sent a letter to the Pary, liament condemning not only in his own. name but in that or every member of the Imperial famili-the resistance offered to the Hungarian Government in the southern provinces If words bore any meaning, the Emperor stood pledged to a loval co-operation with the Hungarian Ministers in defence of the unity and the constitution of the Hungarian Kingdom as established by the laws of April. Yet at this very time the Minister of War at Vienna was encouraging Austrian officers to join the Serb insurgents. Kossuth ONCT business of the Hungaria o the Chamber at Pesth, made n oul a central powers While his ied to breach with the other half c be Kossuth's object rather to provoke it. In calling for alexy of two hundred thousand men to crush the Slavic

rebellion, he openly denounced the Viennese Ministry and the Court as its promoters. In leading the debate upon the Italian War, he endeavoured without the knowledge of his colleagues to make the cession of the territory west of the Adige a condition of Hungary's participation in

the struggle. As Minister of Finance, he spared neither word nor act to demonstrate his contempt for the financial interests of Austria. Whether a gentler policy on the part of the most powerful statesman in Hungary might have averted the impending conflict it is vain to ask; but in the uncompromising enmity of Kossuth the Austrian Court found its own excuse for acts in which shamelessness seemed almost to rise into political virtue. No sooner had Radetzky's victories and the fall of Milan brought the Emperor back to Vienna than the new policy came into effect. The veto of the sovereign was placed upon the laws passed by the Diet at Pesth for the defence of the kingdom. The Hungarian Government was required to reinstate Jellacic in his dignities, to enter into negotiations at Vienna with him and the Austrian Ministry, and finally to desist from all military preparations against the rebel-lious provinces. In answer to these demands the Diet sent a hundred of its members to Vienna to claim from the Emperor the fulfilment of his plighted word. miserable man received them on the 9th of September with protestations of his sincerity; but even before the deputaion had passed the palace-gates, there appeared in the Afficial gazette a letter under the Emperor's own hand replacing Jellacic in office and restored to acquitting him of every charge that had been brought against him. It was for this formal Sept. 3. He marches on recognition alone that Jellacic had been waiting. On the 11th of September he crossed the Drave with his army, and began his march against the Hungarian capital.1

The Ministry now in office at Vienna was composed in part of men who had been known as reformers in the early days of 1848; but the old order was Mission of represented in it by Count Wessenberg, who Lamberg. had been Metternich's assistant at the Congress of Vienna, and by Latour, the War Minister, a soldier of high birth whose career Sept. 28 dated back to the campaign of Austerlitz. Whatever contempt might be felt by one section of the Cabinet for the other, its members were able to unite against the independence of Hungary as they had united against the independence of Italy. They handed in to the Emperor

¹ Irányi et Chassin, ii. 56. Codex der neuen Gesetze (Pesth), i. 7.

a memorial in which the very concessions to which they owed their own existence as a Constitutional Ministry were made a ground for declaring the laws establishing Hungarian autonomy null and youd. In a tissue of transgarian autonomy and and that it a tissue of trans-parent sophistries they argued that the Emperor's promise of a Constitution to all his dominions on the 15th of March disabled him from assenting, without the advice of his Viennese Ministry, to the resolutions subsequently passed by the Hungarian Diet, although the union between Hungary and the other Hereditary States had from the first rested solely on the person of the monarch, and no German official had ever pretended to exercise authority over Hungarians otherwise than by order of the sovereign as Hungarian King. The publication of this Cabinet memorial, which appeared in the journals at Pesth on the 17th of September, gave plain warning to the Hungarians that, if they were not to be attacked by Jellacic and the Austrian army simultaneously, they must make some compromise with the Government at Vienna Batthyany was inclined to concession, and after resigning office in consequence of the Emperor's desertion he had already re-assumed his post with colleagues disposed to accept his own pacific policy. Kossuth spoke openly of war with Austria and of a dictatorship. As Jellacio advanced towards Pesth, the Palatine took command of the Hungarian army and marched southwards. On reaching Lake Baloton, on whose southern shore the Croats were encamped, in requested a personal conference with Jellacic, and sailed to the appointed place of meeting. But he waited in vain for the Ban, and rightly interpreting this rejection of his overtures, he fled from the army and laid down his office. The Emperor now sent General Lamberg from Vienna with orders to assume the supreme command alike over the Magyar and the Croatian forces, and to prevent an encounter. On the success of Lamberg's mission hung the last chance of reconciliation between Hungary and Austria. Batthyany, still clinging to the hope of peace, set out for the camp in order to meet the envoy on his arrival. Lamberg, desirous of obtaining the necessary credentials from the Hungarian Government, made his way to Pesth. There he found Kossuth and a Committee of Six installed in power. Under their influence the Diet passed a resolution forbidding Lamberg

to assume command of the Hungarian troops, and declaring him a traitor if he should attempt to do so. The report spread through Pesth that Lamberg had come to seize the citadel and bombard the fown; and before he could reach a place of safety he was attacked and murdered by a raging mob. It was in vain that Batthyány, who now laid down his office, besought the Government at Vienna to take no rash step of vengeance. The pretext for annihilating Hungarian independence had been given, and the mask was cast aside. A manifesto published by the Emperor on the 3rd of October declared the Hungarian Parliament dissolved, and its acts null and void. Martial law was proclaimed, and Jellacic appointed commander of all the forces and representative of the sovereign. In the course of the next few days it was expected that he would enter Pesth as conqueror.

In the meantime, however confidently the Government might reckon on Jellacic's victory, the passions of revolu-tion were again breaking loose in Vienna itself. Increasing misery among the poor, financial panics, the reviving efforts of professional agitators, had renewed the dis-Turbances of the spring in forms which alarmed the middle classes almost as much Tumult of Oct. 6 at as the holders of power. The conflict of the Vienna. Government with Hungary brought affairs to a crisis. After discovering the uselessmurdered ness of negotiations with the Emperor, the Hungarian

Parliament had sent some of its ablest members to request an audience from the Assembly sitting at Vienna, in order that the representatives of the western half of the Empire might, even at the last moment, have the opportunity of pronouncing a judgment upon the action of the Court. The most numerous group in the Assembly was formed by the Czech deputies from Bohemia. As Slavs, the Bohemian deputies had sympathised with the Croats and Serbs in their struggle against Magyar ascendancy, and in their eyes Jellacic was still the champion of a national cause. Blinded by their sympathies of race to the danger involved to all nationalities alike by the restoration of absolutism, the Czech majority, in spite of a singularly impressive warning given by a leader of the German Liberals, refused a hearing to the Hungarian representatives. The Magyars, repelled by the Assembly, sought and found allies in the democracy of Vienna itself. The popular clubs rang with acclamations for the cause of Hungarian freedom and with invectives against the Czech instruments of tyranny. In the midst of this deepening agitation tidings arrived at Vienna that Jellacic had been repulsed in his march on Posth and forced to retire withit

the Austr Viennese i

struggle,_.. ments_in .

This orde

cratic leaders, and a portion of the troops had been wor over to the popular side. Latour's commands were re sisted, and upon an attempt being made to enforce the departure of the troops, the regiments fired on one another (October 6th). The battalions of the National Guard which rallied to the support of the Government were overpowered by those belonging to the working men's dis-The insurrection was victorious; the Ministers submitted once more to the masters of the streets, and the orders given to the troops were withdrawn. But the fierce part of the mob was not satisfied with a political victory There were criminals and madmen among its leaders who, after the offices of Government had been stormed and Latour had been captured, determined upon his death. It was in vain that some of the keenest political opponents of the Minister sought at the peril of their own lives to protect him from his murderers. He was dragged into the court in front of the War Office, and there slain with ferocious and yet deliberate barbarity.1

The Emperor, while the city was still in tumult, had in his usual fashion promised that the popular demands

should be satisfied; but as soon as he was unobserved he fled from Vienna, and in his flight he was followed by the Czech deputies and many German Conservatives, who declared that their lives were no longer safe in the capital. Most of the Ministers gathered round the Emperor at Olmutz in

Adlerstein is 296 Helfert, Geschichte Oesterreichs, 1 79 in 102 Dunder, p 77 Springer, in 520 Vitzihum, p 143 kossuth, Schriften (1881), in 284 Reschauer, ii 563 Pillersdotf, Nachlass, p 163 Irinji et Chassin, it 98

Moravia; the Assembly, however, continued to hold its sittings in Vienna, and the Finance Minister, apparently under instructions from the Court, remained at his post, and treated the Assembly as still possessed of legal powers. But for all practical purposes the western half of the Austrian Empire had now ceased to have any Government whatever; and the real state of affairs was bluntly exposed in a manifesto published by Count Windischgrätz at Prague on the 11th of October, in which, without professing to have received any commission from the Emperor, he announced his intention of marching Windischon Vienna in order to protect the sovereign and maintain the unity of the Empire. In marches on due course the Emperor ratified the action of his energetic soldier; Windischgrätz was appointed to the supreme command over all the troops of the Empire with the exception of Radetzky's army, and his march against Vienna was begun. To the Hungarian Parliament, exasperated by the

decree ordering its own dissolution and the war openly levied against the country by the Court in Windischilliance with Jellacic, the revolt of the capital griitz seemed to bring a sudden deliverance from conquers all danger. The Viennese had saved Ilun-Vienna, Oct. gary, and the Diet was willing, if summoned by the Assembly at Vienna, to send its troops to the defence of the capital. But the urgency of the need was not understood on either side till too late. The Viennese Assembly, treating itself as a legitimate and constitutional power threatened by a group of soldiers who had usurped the monarch's authority, hesitated to compromise its legal character by calling in a Hungarian army. The Magyar generals on the other hand were so anxious not to pass beyond the strict defence of their own kingdom, that, in the absence of communication from a Viennese authority, they twice withdrew from Austrian soil after following Jellacic in pursuit beyond the frontier. It was not until Windischgrätz had encamped within sight of Vienna, and had detained as a rebel the envoy sent to him by the Hungarian Government, that Kossuth's will prevailed over the scruples of weaker men, and the Hungarian army marched against the besiegers. In the meantime Winwere weakly defended by the National Guard and by companies of students and volunteers, the nominal com mander being one Messenhauser, formerly an officer in the regular army, who was assisted by a soldier of far greater merit than himself, the Polish general Bem Among those who fought were two members of the German Parliament of Frankfort, Robert Blum and Frober, who had been sent to mediate between the Emperor and his subjects, but had remained at Vienna as combatants The besiegers had captured the outskirts of the city, and negotiations for surrender were in progress, when, on the 30th of October, Messenhauser from the top of the cathedral tower saw beyond the line of the besiegers on the South-east the smoke of battle, and announced that the Hungarian army was approaching An engagement had in fact begun on the plain of Schwechat between the Hungarians and Jellacic, reinforced by divisions of Windischgratz's troops In a moment of wild excitement the defenders of the capital threw themselves once more upon their foe, disregarding the offer of surrender that had been already made But the tide of battle at Schwechat turner against the Hungarians They were compelled to retreate and Windischgratz, reopening his cannonade upon the rebels who were also violators of their truce, became in? few hours master of Vienna. He made his entry on the 31st of October, and treated Vienna as a conquered city. The troops had behaved with ferocity during the combit in the suburbs and slaughtered scores of unarmed persons No Oriental tyrant ever addressed his fallen foes with greater insolence and contempt for human right than Windischgratz in the proclamations which, on assuming government, he addressed to the Viennese, yet, whatever might be the number of persons arrested and imprisoned, the number now put to death was not great. The victims were indeed carefully selected, the most prominent being Robert Blum, in whom, as a leader of the German Liberals and a Deputy of the German Parliament inviolable by law, the Austrian Government struck ostentatiously at the Parliament itself and at German democracy at large.

In the subjugation of Vienna the army had again proved itself the real political power in Austria; but the time had not yet arrived when absolute government could be openly restored. The Boherman deputies, fatally as

they had injured the cause of constitutional rule by their secession from Vienna, were still in earnest in the cause of provincial autonomy, and would vehem- The Parlia-The Parliaently have repelled the charge of an alliance ment at with despotism. Even the mutilated Parlia-Kremsier, Nov. 22 ment of Vienna had been recognised by the Court as in lawful session until the 22nd of October, when an order was issued proroguing the Parliament and bidding it re-assemble a month later at Kremsier, in Moravia. There were indications in the weeks succeeding the fall of Vienna of a conflict between the reactionary and the more liberal influences surrounding the Emperor, and of an impending coup d'état: but counsels of prudence prevailed for the moment; the Assembly was permitted to meet at Kremsier, and professions of constitutional principle were still made with every show of sincerity. A new Ministry, however, came into office, with Prince Felix Schwarzenberg at its head. Schwarzenberg belonged to one of the greatest Austrian families. He had been ambassador at Naples when the revolution of 1848 broke out, and had Suitted the city with words of menace when insult was affered to the Austrian flag. Exchanging diplomacy for war, he served under Radetzky, and was soon recognised as the statesman in whom the army, as a political power, found its own peculiar representative. His career had hitherto been illustrated chiefly by scandals of private life so flagrant that England and other countries where he had held diplomatic posts had insisted on his removal; but the cynical and reckless audacity of the man rose in his new calling as Minister of Austria to something of political greatness. Few statesmen have been more daring than Schwarzenberg; few have pushed to more excessive lengths the advantages to be derived from the moral or the material weakness of an adversary. His rule was the debauch of forces respited in their extremity for one last and worst exertion. Like the Roman Sulla, he gave to a condemned and perishing cause the passing semblance of restored vigour, and died before the next great wave of change swept his creations away.

Schwarzenberg's first act was the deposition of his sovereign. The imbecility of the Emperor Ferdinand had long suggested his abdication or dethronement, and the

time for decisive action had now arrived. He gladly withdrew into private life: the crown, declined by his brother and heir, was passed on to his nephen. **Ferdinand** Francis Joseph, a youth of eighteen abdicates. Dec 2 prince had at least not made in person, not Francis uttered with his own lips, not signed with this Joseph own hand, those solemn engagements with the Hungarian nation which Austria was now about to annihilate with fire and sword. He had not moved in friendly intercourse with men who were henceforth doomed to the scaffold. He came to the throne as little implicated in the acts of his predecessor as any nominal chief of a State could be, as fitting an instrument in the hands of Court and army as any reactionary faction could desire Helpless and well-meaning, Francis Joseph, while his troops poured into Hungary, played for a while in Austria the part of a loyal observer of his Parliament, Dissolution then, when the moment had come for its of the destruction, he obeyed his soldier-minister, as Parllament. Ferdinand had in earlier days obeyed the March 7, 1849 students, and signed the decree for its diss; lution (March 4, 1849) The Assembly, during its sitting at Vienna, had accomplished one important task: it half freed the peasantry from the burdens attaching to their land and converted them into independent proprietors This part of its work survived it, and remained almost the sole gain that Austria derived from the struggle of 1848 After the removal to Kremsier, a Committee of the Assembly had been engaged with the formation of a Constitution for Austria, and the draft was now completed In the course of debate something had been gained by the representatives of the German and the Slavic races in the way of respect for one another's interests and prejudices, some political knowledge had been acquired, some approach made to an adjustment between the claims of the central power and of provincial autonomy. If the Constitution sketched at Kremsier had come into being, it would at least have given to Western Austria and in Galicia, which belonged to this half of the Empire, at system of government based on popular desires and worthy on the part of the Crown, of a fair trial But, apart from its own defects from the monarchieal point of view, this

Constitution rested on the division of the Empire into two

independent parts; it assumed the separation of Hungary from the other Hereditary States; and of a separate Hungarian Kingdom the Minister now in power would hear no longer. That Hungary had for centuries possessed and naintained its rights; that, with the single exception of the English, no nation in Europe had equalled the Magyars in he stubborn and unwearied defence of Constitutional law; hat, in an age when national spirit was far less hotly nflamed, the Emperor Joseph had well-nigh lost his hrone and wrecked his Empire in the attempt to subject this resolute race to a centralised administration, was nothing to Schwarzenberg and the soldiers who were now trampling upon revolution. Hungary was declared to have forfeited by rebellion alike its ancient rights and the contracts of 1848. The dissolution of the Parliament of Kremsier was followed by the The Unitary publication of an edict affecting to bestow a tional Edict, uniform and centralised Constitution upon March, 1849 the entire Austrian Empire. All existing public rights were thereby extinguished; and, inasmuch as the new Constitution, in so far as it provided for a representative system, never came into existence, but remained in abeyance until it was formally abrogated in 1851, the real effect of the Unitary Edict of March, 1849, which professed to close the period of revolution by granting the same rights to all, was to establish absolute government and the rule of the sword throughout the Emperor's dominions. Provincial institutions giving to some of the German and Slavic districts a shadowy control of their own local affairs only marked the distinction between the favoured and the dreaded parts of the Empire.

Ten years passed before freedom again came within sight of the Austrian peoples.' The Hungarian Diet, on learning of the transfer of the

crown from Ferdinand to Francis Joseph, had refused to acknowledge this act as valid, on the ground that it had taken place without the consent of the Legislature, and that Francis Joseph had not been crowned King of Hungary. Ferdinand was treated as still the reigning sovereign, and the war now became, according to the Hungarian view, more than ever a war in defence of established right, inasmuch as the assailants of

¹ Codex der neuen Gesetze, i. 37. Helfert, iv. (3) 321.

Hungary were not only violators of a settled constitution but agents of a usurping prince. The whole nation was

the command over the forces on

by Kossuth to Gorgei, a, young officer of whom little was yet known to the world but that he had executed Count Eugène Zichy, a powerful noble, for holding communications with Jellacic. It was th design of the Austrian Government to attack Hungary of once by the line of the Danube and from the frontier of Galicia on the north-east. The Serbs were to be led forward from their border-provinces against the capital; and another race, which centuries of oppression had filled with bitter hatred of the Magyars, was to be thrown into the struggle the mass of the population of Transylvania

belonged to the Roumanian stock mantans in Magyars, here known by the name of Transyl- Szeklers, and a community of Germans,

descended from immigrants who settled in Fransylvania about the twelfth century, formed a small but a privileged minority, in whose presence the Roumanian; peasantry, poor, savage, and absolutely without polylith rights, felt themselves before 1848 scarcely removed ere serfdom. In the Diet of Transylvania the Magyars held command, and in spite of the resistance of the Germans, they had succeeded in carrying an Act, in May, 1848, uniting the country with Hungary. This Act had been ratified by the Emperor Ferdinand, but it was followed by a widespread insurrection of the Roumanian peasantry. who were already asserting their claims as a separate nation and demanding equality with their oppressors. The rising of the Roumanians had indeed more of the character of an agrarian revolt than of a movement for national independence. It was marked by atrocious cruelty, and although the Hapsburg standard was raised the Austrian commandant, General Puchner, fiesitated long before lending the insurgents his countenance. At length, in October, he declared against the Hungarian Government The union of the regular troops with the peasantry overpowered for a time all resistance. The towns felt under Austrian sway, and although the Szeklers were not yet disarmed, Transylvania seemed to be lost to Hungary General Puchner received orders to lead his troops, with the newly formed Roumanian militia, westward into the Banat, in order to co-operate in the attack which was to overwhelm the Hungarians from every

quarter of the kingdom.1

On the 15th of December, Windischgrätz, in command of the main Austrian army, crossed the river Leitha, the border between German and Magyar territory. Görgei, who was opposed to him, had Austrians, from the first declared that Pesth must be abandoned and a war of defence carried on in Jan. 5, 1849 Central Hungary. Kossuth, however, had scorned this counsel, and announced that he would defend Pesth to the last. The backwardness of the Hungarian preparations and the disorder of the new levies justified the young general, who from this time assumed the attitude of contempt and hostility towards the Committee of Defence. Kossuth had in fact been strangely served by fortune in his choice of Görgei. He had raised him to command on account of one irretrievable act of severity against an Austrian partisan, and without any proof of his military capacity. In the untried soldier he had found a general of unusual skill; in the supposed devotee to Magyar Patriotism he had found a military politician as self-willed and as insubordinate as any who have ever distracted the councils of a falling State. Dissensions and misunder-standings aggravated the weakness of the Hungarians in the field. Position after position was lost, and it soon became evident that the Parliament and Government could remain no longer at Pesth. They withdrew to Debreczin beyond the Theiss, and on the 5th of January, 1849, Windischgrätz made his entry into the capital.

The Austrians now supposed the war to be at an end. It was in fact but beginning. The fortress of Comorn, on the upper Danube, remained in the hands of The the Magyars; and by conducting his retreat Hungarian northwards into a mountainous country Government where the Austrians could not follow him at Debreczin Görgei gained the power either of operating against Windischgrätz's communications or of combining with the

¹ Revolutionskrieg in Siebenbürgen, i. 30. Helfert, ii. 207. Bratiano et Irányi, Lettres Hongro-Roumaines, Adlerstein, ii. 105.

² Klapka, Erinnerungen, p. 56. Helfert, iv. 199; Görgei, Leben und Wirken, i. 145. Adlerstein, iii. 576, 648.

army of General Klapka, who was charged with the defence of Hungary against an enemy advancing from Galicia. While Windischgratz remained inactive at Pesth, Klapka met and deteated an Austrian division under General Schlick which had crossed the Carpathians and was moving southwards towards Debreczin. George now threw himself eastwards upon the line of retreat the by abandoning its communications and seeking refuge with Windischgratz at Pesth. A concentration of the Magyar forces was effected on the Theiss, and the command over the entire army was given by Kossulh to Tembinski, a Pole who had gained distinct

Kossuth and Görgel tion in the wars of Napoleon and in the campaign of 1831. Görgei, acting as the representative of the officers who had been in the service before the Revolution, had published an address declaring that the army would fight for no cause but that of the Constitution as established by Ferdinand, the legitimate King, and that it would accept no commands but those of the Ministers whom Ferdinand had appointed. Interpreting this manifesto as a direct act of defiance, and as a warning that the army might under Gorgei's command make term! on its own authority with the Austrian Government Kossuth resorted to the dangerous experiment of superseding the national commanders by a Pole who was connected with the revolutionary party throughout Europe. The act was disastrous in its moral effects upon the arm); and, as a general, Dembinski entirely failed to justify his reputation. After permitting Schlick's corps to escape him he moved forwards from the Theiss against Pesth. He was met by the Austrians and defeated at Kapolna (February 26). Both armies retired to their earlier positions, and, after a declaration from the Magyar generals that they would no longer obey his orders, Dembinski was removed from his command, though he remained in Hungary to interfere once more with evil effect before the end of the war.

The struggle between Austria and Hungary had reached this stage when the Constitution merging all provincial rights in one centralised system was published by Schwarzenberg. The Croats, the Serbs, the Roumanians who had so credulously flocked to the Emperor's banner

under the belief that they were fighting for their own in-dependence, at length discovered their delusion. Their enthusiasm sank; the bolder among them The even attempted to detach their countrymen Austrians from the Austrian cause; but it was too late to driven out undo what had already been done. Jellacic, of Hungary, now undistinguishable from any other Austrian general, mocked the politicians of Agram who still babbled of Croatian autonomy: Stratimirovic, the national leader of the Serbs, sank before his rival the Patriarch of Carlowitz, a Churchman who preferred ecclesiastical immunities granted by the Emperor of Austria to independence won on the field of battle by his countrymen. Had a wiser or more generous statesman-ship controlled the Hungarian Government in the first months of its activity, a union between the Magyars and the subordinate races against Viennese centralisation might perhaps even now have been effected. But distrust and animosity had risen too high for the mediators be-tween Slav and Magyar to attain any real success, nor was any distinct promise of self-government even now to be drawn from the offers of concession which were held out Int Debreczin. An interval of dazzling triumph seemed indeed to justify the Hungarian Government in holding fast to its sovereign claims. In the hands of able leaders no task seemed too hard for Magyar troops to accomplish. Bem, arriving in Transylvania without a soldier, created a new army, and by a series of extraordinary marches and surprises not only overthrew the Austrian and Roumanian troops opposed to him, but expelled a corps of Russians whom General Puchner in his extremity had invited to garrison Hermannstadt. Görgei, resuming in the first week of April the movement in which Dembinski had failed, inflicted upon the Austrians a series of defeats that drove them back to the walls of Pesth; while Klapka, advancing on Comorn, effected the relief of this fortress, and planted in the rear of the Austrians a force which threatened to cut them off from Vienna. It was in vain that the Austrian Government removed Windischgratz from his command. His successor found that a force superior to his own was gathering round him on every side. He saw that Hungary was lost; and leaving a garrison in the fortress of Buda, he led off his a

[1849

250

from the capital, and only paused in his retreat.... then he had reached the Austrian frontier.

The Magyars, rallying from their first defeats, had brilliantly achieved the liberation of their land The Court

of Vienna, attempting in right of scuperior Declaration force to overthrow an established comstituof Huntion, had proved itself the inferior pourver garian Independence. and in mingled exaltation and resentment

April 19 was natural that the party and the leader who had been foremost in the national struggle of Hungary should deem a renewed union with Austria impossible, and submission to the Hapsburg crown an indignity On the 19th of April, after the defeat of Windischigratz but before the evacuation of Pesth, the Diet declared that the House of Hapsburg had forfested_its_throne, and proclaimed Hungary an independent State No statement was made as to the future form of government, but everything indicated that Hungary, if successful in maintaining its independence, would become a Republic, with Kossuth, who was now appointed Governor, for its chief Even in the revolutionary severance of ancient ties homage was paid to the legal and constitutional bent of the Huly garian mind Nothing was said in the Declaration h April 19th of the rights of man, there was no Parisian commonplace on the sovereignty of the people necessity of Hungarian independence was deduced from the offences which the Austrian House had committed against the written and unwritten law of the land, offences continued through centuries and crowned by the invasion under Windischgratz, by the destruction of the Hungarian Constitution in the edict of March 9th, and by the introduction of the Russians into Transylvania. coloured and evaggerated by Magyar patriotism, the charges made against the Hapsburg dynasty were on the whole in accordance with historical fact, and if the affairs of States were to be guided by no other considerations than those relating to the performance of contracts, Hungary had certainly established its right to be quit of partnership with Austria and of its Austrian sovereign. But the judgment of lustory has condemned Kossuth's declaration of Hungarian independence in the midst of the struggle of 1849 as a great political error. no useful purpose; it deepened the antagonism alreads

existing between the Government and a large part of the army; and while it added to the sources of internal discord, it gave colour to the intervention of Russia as against a revolutionary cause. Apart from its disastrous effect upon the immediate course of events, it was based upon a narrow and inadequate view both of the needs and of the possibilities of the future. Even in the interests of the Magyar nation itself as a European power, it may well be doubted whether in severance from Austria such influence and such weight could possibly have been won by a race numerically weak and surrounded by hostile nationalities, as the ability and the political energy of the Magyars have since won for them in the direction of the accumulated forces of the Austro-Hungarian Empire.

It has generally been considered a fatal error on the

part of the Hungarian commanders that, after expelling the Austrian army, they did not at once Russian march upon Vienna, but returned to lay siege intervention to the fortress of Buda, which resisted long Hungary enough to enable the Austrian Government to reorganise and to multiply its forces. But the intervention of Russia would probably have been fatal to Jungarian independence, even if Vienna had been captured and a democratic government established there for a while in opposition to the Court at Olmütz. The plan of a Russian intervention, though this intervention was now explained by the community of interest between Polish and Hungarian rebels, was no new thing. after the outbreak of the March Revolution the Czar had desired to send his troops both into Prussia and into Austria as the restorers of monarchical authority. His help was declined on behalf of the King of Prussia: in Austria the project had been discussed at successive moments of danger, and after the overthrow of the Imperial troops in Transylvania by Bem the proffered aid was accepted. The Russians who then occupied Hermannstadt did not, however, enter the country as combatants; their task was to garrison certain positions still held by the Austrians, and so to set free the Emperor's troops for service in the field. On the declaration of Harris garian independence, it became necessary for Francis Joseph to accept his protector's help without or disguise. An army of eighty thousand Programme

marched_across_Galicia_to_assist_the_Austrians_in_grappling with an enemy before whom, when single-handed, they_had succumbed. Other Russian divisions, while Austria massed its troops on the Upper Danube, entered Fransylvania from the south and east, and the Magyars in the summer of 1849 found themselves compelled to defend their country against forces three times numerous the star own.

When it

to throw all most of war could possibly average or ordinary operations of war could possibly average.

The summer campaign in Hungary, July-August, 1849

and called upon his countrymen to destroy their homes and property at the approach of the enemy, and to leave to the invader a

flaming and devastated solitude. But the area of warfare was too vast for the execution of this design, even if the nation had been pre-

pared for so desperate a course. The defence of Hungary was left to its armies, and Gorgei became the leading figure in the calamitous epoch that followed While the Government prepared to retire to Szegedin, far in the south-east, Gorgei took post on the Upper Danube, to meet the powerful force which the Emperor of Austria had place under the orders of General Haynau, a soldier whose mingled energy and ferocity in Italy had marked him out as a fitting scourge for the Hungarians, and had won for him supreme civil as well as military powers naturally believed that the first object of the Austrian commander would be to effect a junction with the Russians, who, under Paskiewitsch, the conqueror of Kars in 1829, were now crossing the Carpathians, and he therefore directed all his efforts against the left of the Austrian line. While he was unsuccessfully attacking the enemy on the river Waag north of Comorn. Haynau with the mass of his forces advanced on the right bank of the Danube, and captured Raab (June 28th) Gorgei thren himself southwards, but his efforts to stop Haynau were in vain, and the Austrians occupied Pesth (July 11th) The Russians meanwhile were advancing southwards by an independent line of march. Their vanguard reached the Danube and the Upper Theiss, and Gorgei seemed to.

1 Helfert, 1v (2) 326 Klapka, War in Hungary, 1 23 Irányi et Chassin, ii 634 Görgei, 11 54 be enveloped by the enemy. The Hungarian Government adjured him to hasten towards Szegedin and Arad, where Kossuth was concentrating all the other divisions for a final struggle; but Görgei held on to his position about Comorn until his retreat could only be effected by means of a vast detour northwards, and before he could reach Arad all was lost. Dembinski was again in command. Charged with the defence of the passage of the Theiss about Szegedin, he failed to prevent the Austrians from crossing the river, and on the 5th of August was defeated at Czoreg with heavy loss. Kossuth now gave the command to Bem, who had hurried from Transylvania, where overpowering forces had at length wrested victory from his grasp. Bem fought the last battle of the campaign at Temesvar. He was overthrown and driven eastwards, but succeeded in leading a remnant of his army across the Moldavian frontier and so escaped capture. Görgei, who

was now close to Arad, had some strange fancy that it would dishonour his army to of Vilagos, seek refuge on neutral soil. He turned August 13 northwards so as to encounter Russian and

Kot Austrian regiments, and without striking a blow, without stipulating even for the lives of the civilians in his camp, he led his army within the Russian lines at Vilagos, and surrendered unconditionally to the generals of the Czar. His own life was spared; no mercy was shown to those who were handed over as his fellowprisoners by the Russian to the Austrian Government, or who were seized by Haynau as his troops

advanced. Tribunals more resembling those of the French Reign of Terror than the

Vengeance of Austria

Courts of a civilised Government sent the noblest patriots and soldiers of Hungary to the scaffold. To the deep disgrace of the Austrian Crown, Count Batthyány, the Minister of Ferdinand, was included among those whose lives were sacrificed. The vengeance of the conqueror seemed the more frenzied and the more insatiable because , it had only been rendered possible by foreign aid. Crushed under an iron rule, exhausted by war, the prey of a Government which knew only how to employ its subject-races as gaolers over one another, Hungary passed for some years into silence and almost into despair. Every vestige of its old constitutional rights was extinguished.

[1849

Its territory was vania and Croati to Germans from '.

for the ends of military service but as the surest means of breaking the national spirit, enrolled its youth in Austrian' regiments, and banished them to the extremities of the No darker period was known in the history fr Hungary since the wars of the seventeenth century than that which followed the catastrophe of 1849.

The gloom which followed Austrian victory was now

descending not on Hungary alone but on Italy also. The armistice made between Radetzky and the King of Piedmont at Vigevano in August, affairs. August, 1848, lasted for seven months, during which -March, 1849 the British and French Governments endeavoured, but in vain, to arrange terms of peace between the combatants. With military tyranny in its most brutal form crushing down Lombardy, it was impossible that Charles Albert should renounce the work of deliverance to which he had pledged himself. Austria, on the other hand, had now sufficiently recovered its strength to repudiate the concessions which it had offered at an earlies. time, and Schwarzenberg on assuming power announced that the Emperor would maintain Lombardy at every cost. The prospects of Sardinia as regarded help from the rest of the Peninsula were far worse than when it took up arms in the spring of 1848. Projects of a general Italian federation, of a military union between the central States and Piedmont, of an Italian Co succeeded one another and left fallen back into absolutism: R which aid might still have been .

by internal contentions, and

towards anarchy. After the defeat of Charles Albert at Custozza, Pius IX., who was still uneasily Murder of playing his part as a constitutional sovereign, Rossi. Nov. 15. had called to office Pellegrino Rossi, an Flight of Italian patriot of an earlier time, who had

since been ambassador of Louis Philippe at Rome, and by his connection with the Orleanist Monarchy had incurred the hatred of the Republican party throughout

¹ Klapka, War, it 106 Erinnerungen, 58 Görgei, ii. 378. Kossuth, Schriften (1880), 11 291. Codex der neuen Gesetze, 1 75, 105.

Italy. Rossi, as a vigorous and independent reformer, was as much detested in clerical and reactionary circles as as much detested in clerical and reactionary circles as he was by the demagogues and their followers. This, however, profited him nothing; and on the 15th of November, as he was proceeding to the opening of the Chambers, he was assassinated by an unknown hand. Terrified by this crime, and by an attack upon his own palace by which it was followed, Pins fled to Gaeta and placed himself under the protection of the King of Naples. A Constituent Assembly was summoned and a Republic proclaimed at Rome, between Republic, which and the Sardinian Government there.

Republic, which and the Sardinian Government there

was so little community of feeling that Charles Albert would, if the Pope had accepted his protection, have sent his troops to restore him to a position of security. In Tuscany affairs were in a similar condition. The Grand Duke had for some months been regarded as a sincere, though reserved, friend of the Italian cause, and he had even spoken of surrendering his crown if this should be for the good of the Italian nation. When, however, the Pope had fled to Gaeta, and the project was openly avowed of uniting Tuscany authors. Tuscany with the Roman States in a Re-

public, the Grand Duke, moved more by the fulminations of Pius against his despoilers than by care for his own crown, fled in his turn, leaving the Republicans masters of Florence. A miserable exhibition of vanity, riot, and braggadocio was given to the world by the politicians of the Tuscan-State. Alike in Florence and in Rome all sense of the true needs of the moment, of the absolute uselessness of internal changes of Government if Austria was to maintain its dominion, seemed to have vanished from men's minds. Republican phantoms distracted the heart and the understanding; no soldier, no military administrator arose till too late by the side of the rhetoricians and mob-leaders who filled the stage; and when, on the 19th of March, the armistice was brought to a close in Upper Italy, Piedmont took the field alone. The campaign which now began lasted but for five days. While Charles Albert scattered his forces from Lago Maggiore to Stradella on the south of the Po,

¹ Farini, ii. 404. Parl. Pap., 1849, lvii. 607; lviii. (2) 117. Bianchi, Diplomazia, vi. 67. Gennarelli, Sventure, p. 29. Pasolini, p. 139.

hoping to move by the northern road upon Milan. Radetzky concentrated his troops near Pavia, where he

256

The March intended to cross the Ticino. In an evi moment Charles Albert had given the com-Campaign. mand of his army to Chrzanowski, a Pole

and had entrusted its southern division, composed chiefl of Lombard volunteers, to another Pole, Ramorino, with had been engaged in Mazzini's incursion into Savoy in Ramorino had then, rightly or wrongly, incurred the charge of treachery His relations with Chrzanowski were of the worst character, and the habit of military obedience was as much wanting to him as the sentiment of loyalty to the sovereign from whom he had now accepted a command The wilfulness of this adventurer made the Piedmontese army an easy prey Ramorino was posted on the south of the Po, near its junction with the Ticino, but received orders on the commencement of hostilities to move northwards and defend the passage of the Ticino at Pavia, breaking up the bridges behind him Instead of obeying this order he kept his division lingering about Stradella Radetzky, approaching the Ticino Pavia, found the passage unguarded. He crossed the river with the mass of his army, and, cutting off Rame rino's division, threw himself upon the flank of the scaltered Piedmontese Charles Albert, whose headquarters were at Novara, hurried southwards Before he could concentrate his troops, he was attacked at Mortara by the Austrians and driven back. The line of retreat upon Turin and Alessandria was already lost, an attempt was

made to hold Novara against the advancing Battle of Austrians The battle which was fought in Novata, front of this town on the 23rd of March ended with the utter overthrow of the Sur-

dinian army. So complete was the demoralisation of the troops that the cavalry were compelled to attack bodies of half-maddened infantry in the streets of Novara in order

to save the town from pillage 1

Charles Albert had throughout the battle of the 23th appeared to seek death. The reproaches levelled against him for the abandonment of Milan in the previous year,

¹ Schonhals, p 332 Patl Pap 1849 1911 (2) 216 Bianchi, Politica Austriaca, p 134 Lamarmora, Un Episodio, p 175 Portafogli di Ramorino, p 41 Ramorino was coademned to death, and executed

the charges of treachery which awoke to new life the miserable record of his waverings in 1821, had sunk into the very depths of his being. Weak and irresolute in his earlier political career, harsh and illiberal towards the pioneers of Italian freedom during a great part of his reign, Charles had thrown his whole heart and soul into the final struggle of his country against Austria. This struggle lost, life had nothing more for him. The personal hatred borne towards him by the rulers of Austria caused him to believe that easier terms of Abdication peace might be granted to Piedmont if an- of Charles other sovereign were on its throne, and his Albert resolution, in case of defeat, was fixed and settled. When night fell after the battle of Novara he called together his generals, and in their presence abdicated his crown. Bidding an eternal farewell to his son Victor Emmanuel, who knelt weeping before him, he quitted the army accompanied by but one attendant, and passed unrecognised through the enemy's guards. He left his queen, his capital, unvisited as he journeyed into exile. The brief residue of his life was spent in solitude near Oporto. Six months after the battle of Novara he was carried to the grave.

It may be truly said of Charles Albert that nothing in his reign became him like the ending of it. Hopeless as the conflict of 1849 might well appear, it proved that there was one sovereign in Italy who was willing to stake his throne, his life, the whole sum of his personal interests, for the national cause; one dynasty whose sons knew no fear save that others should encounter death Beginning before them on Italy's behalf. Had the proof Victor foundest statesmanship, the keenest political Emmanuel's genius, governed the counsels of Piedmont in 1849, it would, with full prescience of the ruin of Novara, have bidden the sovereign and the army strike in selfsacrifice their last unaided blow. From this time there was but one possible head for Italy. The faults of the Government of Turin during Charles Albert's years of peace had ceased to have any bearing on Italian affairs; the sharpest tongues no longer repeated, the most credulous ear no longer harboured, the slanders of 1848; the man who. beaten and outnumbered, had for hours sat immovable in front of the Austrian cannon at Novara had, in the depth

of his misfortune, given to his son not the crown of Piedmont only but the crown of Italy. Honour, patriotism, had made the young Victor Emmanuel the hope of the Sardinian army; the same honour and patriotism carried him safely past the lures which Austria set for the inherito of a ruined kingdom, and gave in the first hours of hi reign an earnest of the policy which was to end in Ital union. It was necessary for him to visit Radetzky in his camp in order to arrange the preliminaries of peace There, amid flatteries offered to him at his father's expense, it was notified to him that if he would annul the Constitution that his father had made, he might reckon not only on an easy quittance with the conqueror, but on the friendship and support of Austria. This demand, though strenuously pressed in later negotiations, Victor Emmanuel unconditionally refused. He had to endure for a while the presence of Austrian troops in his kingdom, and to furnish an indemnity which fell heavily on so small a State; but the liberties of his people remained intact, and the pledge given by his father inviolate. Amid the ruin of all hopes and the bankruptcy of all other royal reputations through out Italy, there proved to be one man, one government it which the Italian people could trust. This compensation at least was given in the disasters of 1840, that the traitors to the cause of Italy and of freedom could not again deceive, nor the dream of a federation of princes again obscure the necessity of a single national government. the fidelity of Victor Emmanuel to the Piedmontese Constitution lay the pledge that when Italy's next opportunity should arrive, the chief would be there who would meet the nation's need.

The battle of Novara had not long been fought when the Grand Duke of Tuscany was restored to his throne under an Austrian garrison, and his late democratic Minister, Guerazzi, who had endeavoured by submission to the Court-party

deavoured by submission to the Court-party to avert an Austrian occupation, was sent into imprisonment. At Rome a far bolder spirit was shown. Mazzini had arrived in the first week of March, and, though his exhortation to the Roman Assembly to forget the offences of Charles Albert and to unite against the Austrians in Lombardy came too late, he was able, as one of a Triumvirate with dictatorial powers, to throw much of his own

ardour into the Roman populace in defence of their own city and State. The enemy against whom Rome had to be defended proved indeed to be other than Rome and that against whom preparations were being France made. The victories of Austria had aroused

the apprehension of the French Government; and though the fall of Piedmont and Lombardy could not now be undone, it was determined by Louis Napoleon and his Ministers to anticipate Austria's restoration of the Papal power by the despatch of French troops to Rome. All the traditions of French national policy pointed indeed to such an intervention. Austria had already invaded the Roman States from the north, and the political conditions which in 1832 had led so pacific a minister as Casimir Perier to occupy Ancona were now present in much greater force. Louis Napoleon could not, without abandoning a recognised interest and surrendering something of the due influence of France, have permitted Austrian generals to conduct the Pope back to his capital and to assume the government of Central Italy. If the first impulses of the Revolution of 1848 had still been active in France, its intervention would probably have taken the form of a direct value with the Roman Republic; but public opinion had travelled far in the opposite direction since the Four Days of June; and the new President, if he had not forgotten his own youthful relations with the Carbonari, was now a suitor for the solid favours of French conservative and religious sentiment. His Ministers had not recognised the Roman Republic. They were friends, no doubt, to liberty; but when it was certain that the Austrians, the Spaniards, the Neapolitans, were determined to restore the Pope, it might be assumed that the continuance of the Roman Republic was an impossibility. France, as a Catholic and at the same time a Liberal Power, might well, under these circumstances, address itself to the task of reconciling Roman liberty with the inevitable return of the Holy Father to his temporal throne. Events were moving too fast for diplomacy; troops must be at once French despatched, or the next French envoy would

hind Radetzky on the Tiber. The misgivings of the Republican part of the Assembly at Paris were stilled by assurances of the generous intentions of the Government towards the Roman populations, and of its

intervention determined on

anxiety to shelter them from Anstrian domination. President, Ministers, and generals resolutely shut their eyes to the possibility that a French occupation of Rome might be resisted by force by the Romans themselves; and on the 22nd of April an men set sail for Civite General Outlingt, a sor

Before landing on the Italian coast, the French general sent envoys to the authorities at Civila Vecchia, stating The French that his troops came as friends, and demand

ing that they should be admitted into the at Civita Vecchia. town. The Municipal Council determined April 25, 1849 not to offer resistance, and the French thus gained a footing on Italian soil and a basis for their opentions. Messages came from French diplomatists in Rome encouraging the general to advance without delay. The mass of the population, it was said, would welcome his appearance; the democratic faction, if reckless, was too small to offer any serious resistance, and would disappear ns soon as the French should enter the city. point, however, Oudmot was speedily underened. In reply to a multary envoy who was sent to assure Triumvirs of the benevolent designs of the French, Marzy bluntly answered that no reconcidation with the Pope year. possible; and on the 26th of April the Roman Assembly called upon the Executive to repel force by force. Oudinot non proclaimed a state of siege at Civita Veechia, seized the estadel, and disarmed the garrison. On the 28th he began his march on Rome. As he approached, energetic preparations were made for resistance. Gambildt, who

Oudinot at tacks Rome and is repelled, to Rome. A regiment of Lombard volume to Rome. A regiment of Lombard volumes

April 30 teers, under their voing leader Manura, but escaped after the cutastrophe of Novara, and had come to fight for liberty in its last stronghold on Italian soil Heroes, exiles, desperadoes from all parts of the Pennsula, met in the streets of Rome, and imparted to its peoples vigour and resolution of which the world had long deemed them incapable. Even the remnant of the Pontifical Guard took part in the work of defence. Outling, advancing with his little corps of seven thousand men, found

himself, without heavy artillery, in front of a city still sheltered by its ancient fortifications, and in the presence of a body of combatants more resolute than his own troops and twice as numerous. He attacked on the 30th, was checked at every point, and compelled to retreat towards Civita Vecchia, leaving two hundred and fifty

prisoners in the hands of the enemy.

Insignificant as was this misfortune of the French arms, it occasioned no small stir in Paris and in the Assembly. The Government, which had declared that the armament was intended only to protect Rome against Austria, was vehemently reproached for its April-May duplicity, and a vote was passed demanding that the expedition should not be permanently diverted from the end assigned to it. Had the Assembly not been on the verge of dissolution it would probably have forced upon the Government a real change of policy. A general election, however, was but a few days distant, and until the result of this election should be known the Ministry determined to temporise. M. Lesseps, since famous as the creator of the Suez Canal, was sent to Rome with instructions to negotiate for some peaceable settlement. More Thest than his employers, Lesseps sought with heart and soul to fulfil his task. While he laboured in city and camp, the French elections for which the President and Ministers were waiting took place, resulting in the return of a Conservative and reactionary majority. The new Assembly met on the 28th of May. In the course of the next few days Lesseps accepted terms proposed by the Roman Government, which would have precluded the French from entering Rome. Oudinot, who had been in open conflict with the envoy throughout his mission, refused his sanction to the treaty, and the altercations between the general and the diplomatist were still at their height when despatches arrived from Paris announcing that the powers given to Lesseps were at an end, and ordering Oudinot to recommence hostilities. The pretence of further negotiation would have been out of place with the new Parlia-ment. On the 4th of June the French general, now

¹ Garibaldi, Epistolario, i. 33. Del Vecchio, L'assedio di Roma, p. 30. Vaillant, Siège de Rome, p. 12. Bianchi, Diplomazia, vi. 213. Guerzoni, Garibaldi, i. 266. Granier de Cassagnac, ii. 59. Lesseps, Mémoire, p. 61. Barrot, iii. 191; Discours de Napoleon 3rd, p. 38.

strongly reinforced, occupied the positions necessary for regular siege of Rome.

Against the forces now brought into action it was impossible that the Roman Republic could long defend

itself. One hope remained, and that was in a revolution within France itself. The recent election Attempted had united on the one side all Conservative insurrection interests, on the other the Socialists and all in France, the more extreme factions of the Republican

party. It was determined that a trial of strength should first be made within the Assembly itself upon the Roman question, and that, if the majority there should stand firm, an appeal should be made to insurrection. Accordingly on the 11th of June, after the renewal of hostilities had been announced in Paris, Ledru Rollin demanded the impeachment of the Ministry. His motion was rejected, and the signal was given for an outbreak not only in the capital but in Lyons and other cities. But the Government were on their guard, and it was in vain that the resources of revolution were once more out bloodshed a tumult in Paris on June 13th; and thought into play. General Changarnier suppressed with out bloodshed a tumult in Paris on June 13th; and thoughting took place at Lyons, the insurrection protection recomparison with the movements of the previous year. Louis Napoleon and his Ministry remained to the previous that the previous the previous that the previous the previous that the previous th shaken, and the siege of Rome was accordingly presed to its conclusion. Oudinot, who at the beginning of the month had carried the positions held by the Roman troops outside the walls, opened fire with heavy artillery on the 14th. The defence was gallantly sustained by Garibaldi and his companions until the end of the month, when the breaches made in the walls were stormed by the enemy, and further resistance became impossible. The French

made their entry into Rome on the 3rd of The French July, Garibaldi leading his troops northwards enter Rome, July 3 in order to prolong the struggle with the Austrians who were now in possession of

Bologna, and, if possible, to reach Venice, which was still uncaptured. Driven to the eastern coast and sur rounded by the enemy, he was forced to put to sea. He landed again, but only to be hunted over mountain and/forest. His wife died by his side. Rescued by the devotion of Italian patriots, he made his escape to Piedmont and thence to America, to reappear in all the fame of him heroic deeds and sufferings at the next great crisis in the history of his country.

It had been an easy task for a French army to conquer Rome: it was not so easy for the French Government to escape from the embarrassments of its victory. Liberalism was still the official creed The restored Pontifical of the Republic, and the protection of the Government Roman population from a reaction under Austrian auspices had been one of the alleged objects of the Italian expedition. No stipulation had, however, been made with the Pope during the siege as to the future institutions of Rome; and when, on the 14th of July, the restorations of Papal authority was formally announced by Oudingt, Pius and his Minister Antonelli still remained unfettered by any binding engagement. Nor did the Pontiff show the least inclination to place himself in the power of his protectors. He remained at Gama, sending a Commission of three Cardinals to assume the government of Rome. The first acts of the Carcinals dispelled any illusion that the French might have formed as to the

docility of the Holy See. In the present a French

bestowed upon the municipalities certain local powers, and gave to a Council, nominated by the Pope from among the persons chosen by the municipalities, the right of consultation on matters of finance. More than this Plus refused to grant, and when he returned to Rome it was as an absolute sovereign. In its efforts on behalf of the large body of persons threatened with prosecution the French Government was more successful. The so-called amnesty which was published by Antonelli with the Motu Proprio seemed indeed to liave for its object the classification of victims rather than the announcement of pardon; but under pressure from the French the excepted persons were gradually diminished in number, and all were finally allowed to escape other penalties by going into exile. To those who were so driven from their homes Piedmont offered a refuge.

Thus the pall of priestly once more over the Roman hostility of the educated class more active became the system.

of person there was no security whatever, and, though the offences of 1848 were now professedly amnestied, the

offences of 1848 were now professedly amnested in prisons were soon thronged with persons arrested on indefinite charges and detained for an unlimited time with Fall of out trial. Nor w

Fall of Venice, in its condition t

Venice, in its condition t

Aug. 25 restoration of Aus
was completed by the fall of
the subjugation of the mainla

public had again been proclaimed and Manin had been
recalled to power, had withstood all the efforts of the
Emperor's forces. Its hopes had been raised by the
victories of the Hangarians, which for a moment scened
almost to undo the catastrophe of Novara. But with the
exti
able
the Gorgei had laid down
d honourable resistance.

of Venuce ended with the entry of the Austrians (August 25th). In the south, Ferdinand of Naples was again ruling as despot throughout the full extent of his dominions. Palermo, which had struck the first blow for freedom in 1848, had soon afterwards become the seat of a Sicilian

Parliament, which deposed the Bourbon dynasty and offered the throne of Sicily to the younger brother of Victor Emmanuel. To this Ferdinand replied by

sending a fleet to Messina, which bombarded that city for five days and laid a great part of it in ashes. His violence caused the April, May

British and French fleets to interpose, and hostilities were suspended until the spring of 1849, the Western Powers ineffectually seeking to frame some compromise acceptable at once to the Sicilians and to the Bourbon dynasty. After the triumph of Radetzky at Novara and the rejection by the Sicilian Parliament of the offer of a separate constitution and administration for the island, Ferdinand refused to remain any longer in-His fleet and army moved southwards from Messina, and a victory won at the foot of Mount Etna over the Sicilian forces, followed by the capture of Catania, brought the struggle to a close. The Assembly at Palermo dispersed, and the Neapolitan troops made their entry into the capital without resistance on the 15th of May. It was in vain that Great Britain now urged Ferdinand to grant to Sicily the liberties which he had hitherto professed himself willing to bestow. Autocrat he was, and autocrat he intended to remain. On the mainland the iniquities practised by his agents seem to have been even worse than in Sicily, where at least some attempt was made to use the powers of the State for the purposes of material improvement. For those who had incurred the enmity of Ferdinand's Government there was no law and no mercy. Ten years of violence and oppression, denounced by the voice of freer lands, had still to be borne by the subjects of this obstinate tyrant ere the reckoning-day arrived, and the deeply rooted jealousy be-tween Sicily and Naples, which had wrought so much ill to the cause of Italian freedom, was appeared by the fall of the Bourbon throne.1

We have thus far traced the stages of conflict between the old monarchical order and the forces of Germany revolution in the Austrian empire and in that from Mediterranean land whose destiny was so May, 1848 closely interwoven with that of Austria. Wehave

¹ Manin, Documents, ii. 340. Perlbach, Manin, p. 37. Governo Pontificio, i. 32. Contarini, p. 224. Gennarelli,

now to pass back into Germany, and to resume the histo of the German revolution at the point where the nation The movement seemed to concentrate itself

National visible form, the opening of the Parliame of Frankfort on the 18th of May, 1848. The Assembly at Frankfort an Assembly representing the entire Gern people, elected in unbounded enthusiasm and comprisi within it nearly every man of political or intellecti eminence who sympathised with the national cause, shou be able to impose its will upon the tottering Governmen of the individual German States, was not an unnatur belief in the circumstances of the moment. Chamber represented the interests of the ruling House nor had they within the Assembly itself the organs for the expression of their own real or unreal claims. Wil all the freedom of a debating club or_of a_sovereig authority like the French Convention, the Parliament Frankfort entered upon its work of moulding German afresh, limited only by its own discretion as to what should make matter of consultation with any other power There were thirty-six Governments in Germany, and negotiate with each of these on the future Constitution might well seem a harder task than to enforce a Constittion on all alike In the creation of a provisional executiv authority there was something of the same difficulty. Eac of the larger States might, if consulted, resist the selection of a provisional chief from one of its rivals; and though the risk of bold action was not denied, the Assembly on the instance of its President, Von Gagern, a forme Minister of Hesse-Darmstadt, resolved to appoint a Administrator of the Empire by a direct vote of its own The Archduke John of Austria, long known as an enem!

of Metternich's system of repression and as a putron of the idea of German union, was chosen Administrator, and he accepted the office Administrator, and he accepted the office prussia and the other States acquiesced the nomination, though the choice of a Haps

burg prince was unpopular with the Prussian nation and army, and did not improve the relations be tween the Frankfort Assembly and the Court of Berlin

¹ Verhandlungen der National Versammlung, ¹ 576 Radowik Werke, ¹¹¹ 369 Briefwechsel Friedrich Wilhelms ² 285 Biedermann Dreissig Jahre, ¹ 295

Schmerling, an Austrian, was placed at the head of the

In the preparation of a Constitution for Germany the Assembly could draw little help from the work of legion, whose lators in other countries. Belgium, whose National institutions were at once recent and successful, was not a Federal State; the founders of Assembly, May-Sept. the American Union had not had to reckon with four kings and to include in their Federal territory part of the dominions of an emperor. Instead of grappling at once with the formidable difficulties of political organisation, the Committee charged with the drafting of a Constitution determined first to lay down the principles of civil right which were to be the basis of the German commonwealth. There was something of the scientific spirit of the Germans in thus working out the substructure of public law on which all other institutions were to rest; moreover, the remembrance of the Decrees of Carlsbad and of the other exceptional legislation from which Germany had so heavily suffered excited a strong demand for the most solemn guarantees against arbitrary departure from settled law in the future. Thus, regardless of the absence of any material power by which its conclusions were to be enforced, the Assembly, in the intervals between its stormy debates on the points of the hour, traced with philosophic thoroughness the consequences of the principles of personal liberty and equality before the law, and fashioned the order damodern society in which privileges of class, diversity misdictions, and the trammels of feudalism on industific were alike swept away. Four months had passed the discussion of the socalled Primary Rights will unfinished, when the Assembly was warned by: break of popular violence

in Frankfort itself of the sir of hastening towards a The progress of the it in Schleswig-Holstein against Danish sovereigned been watched with the greatest interest through Tany; and in (the struggle of these profer their independence the rights 2 20 nour of the The. German nation at la held to be Armistice of Melma. deeply involved. As neig to be sentative of the Fairly Kino Reconstitute of the Fairly Sentative of th authority, King Recognitative of the

268 [1848 his troops into Holstein, and they arrived there in time to prevent the Danish army from following up its hist successes and crushing the insurgent forces. Taking up the offensive, General Wrangel at the head of the Prussian troops succeeded in driving the Danes out of Schleswig, and at the beginning of May he crossed the border between Schleswig and Jutland and occupied the Danish fortress of Fredericia. His advance into purely Danish territory occasioned the diplomatic intervention of Russia and Great Britain, and, to the deep disappointment of the German nation and its Parliament, the King of Prussia ordered his general to retire into Schleswig The Danes were in the meantime blockading the harbours and capturing the merchant-vessels of the Germans, as neither Prussia nor the Federal Government possessed a fleet of war For some weeks hostilities were irresolutely continued in Schleswig, while negotiations were pursued in foreign capitals and various forms of compromise urged by foreign Powers. At length, on the 26th of August, an armistice of seven months was agreed upon at Malmo in Sweden by the representatives of Denmark and Prussia, the Court of Copenhagen refusing to recognise the German central Government at Frankfort or to admit its envoy to the conferences. The terms of this armistice, when announced in Germany, excited the greatest indignation, masmuch as they declared all the acts of the Provisional Government of Schleswig-Holstein null and void, removed all German troops from the Duchies, and handed over their government during the duration of the armistice to a Commission of which half the members were to be appointed by the King of Den-Scornfully as Denmark had treated the Assembly of Frankfort, the terms of the armistice nevertheless required its sanction. The question was referred to a committee, which, under the influence of the historian

nounced for the rejection of the treaty. The Assembly, in a scene of great excitement, resolved that the execution of , the measures attendant on the armistice should be suspended The Ministry in consequence resigned, and Dahlmann was called upon to replace it by one under his own leadership. He proved unable to do so. Schimerling resumed office, and demanded that the Assembly should

Dahlmann, himself formerly an official in Holstein, pro-

reverse its vote. Though in severance from Prussia the Central Government had no real means of carrying on a war with Denmark, the most passionate opposition was made to this demand. The armistice was, however, ultimately ratified by a small majority. Defeated in the Assembly, the leaders of the extreme Democratic faction allied themselves with the populace Frankfort, which was ready for acts of Outrages at Frankfort, violence. Tumultuous meetings were held; the deputies who had voted for the armistice were declared traitors to Germany. Barricades were erected, and although the appearance of Prussian troops prevented an assault from being made on the Assembly, its members were attacked in the streets, and two of them murdered by the mob (Sept. 17th). A Republican insur-

rection was once more attempted in Baden, but it was quelled without difficulty.

The intervention of foreign Courts on behalf of Denmark had given ostensible ground to the Prussian Government for not pursuing the war with greater resolution; but though the fear of Russia undoubtedly checked King Frederick William, this was not the sole, nor perhaps the most powerful, influence that worked upon him. cause of Schleswig-Holstein was, in spite of its legal basis, in the main a popular and a revolutionary one, and between the King of Prussia and the revolution there was intense and a constantly deepening Since the meeting of the April-Sept., antagonism. National Assembly at Berlin on the 22nd of May the capital had been the scene of an almost unbroken course of disorder. The Assembly, which was far inferior in ability and character to that of Frankfort, soon showed itself unable to resist the influence of the populace. On the 8th of June a resolution was moved that the combatants in the insurrection of March deserved well of their country. Had this motion been carried the King would have dissolved the Assembly: it was outvoted, but the mob punished this concession to the feelings of the monarch by outrages upon the members of the majority. A Civic Guard was enrolled from citizens of the middle class, but

¹ Verhandlungen der National Versammlung, ii. 1877. 2152. Herr E Ernst II., Aus meinem Leben, i. 313. Biedermann, i. 366. Bester. Erlebtes, p. 68. Waitz, Friede mit Dänemark. Radowitz, 211. 406.

it proved unable to maintain order, and wholly failed to acquire the political importance which was gained by the National Guard of Paris after the revolution of 1830 Exasperated by their exclusion from service in the Guard, the mob on the 14th of June stormed an arsenal and destroyed the trophies of arms which they found their Lhough violence reigned in the streets the Assembly rejected a proposal fo

members, and placec drawn to Potsdam, w round him. He det who, between a petula

were unable to effect any useful work and soon found themselves compelled to relinguish their office. In Berlin the violence of the working classes, the interruption of business, the example of civil war in Paris, inclined men of quiet disposition to a return to settled government at any price Measures brought forward by the new Ministry for the abolition of the patrimonial jurisdictions, the hunting-rights and other feudal privileges of the greater landowners, occasioned the organisation of a lengue for the defence of property, which soon became the focus of powerful eonservative interests Above all, the elams of the Arehduke John, as Administrator of the Empire, 16 the homage of the army, and the hostile attitude assumed towards the army by the Prussian Parliament itself, ex-asperated the military class and encouraged the king to venture on open resistance A tumult having taken place at Schweidnitz in Silesia, in which several persons were shot by the soldiery, the Assembly, pending an investigation into the circumstanees, demanded that the Minister of War should publish an order requiring the officers of the army to work with the citizens for the realisation of Constitutional Government, and it called upon all officers not loyally inclined to a Constitutional system to resign their eommissions as a matter of honour. Denying the right of the Chamber to aet as a military executive, the Minister of War refused to publish the order required. The vote was repeated, and in the midst of threatening demonstrations in the streets the Ministry resigned (Sept 7th)

Briefwechsel Friedrich Wilhelms p 184 Wagener, Erlebtes, p 28 Stahr, Preussische Revolution, 1 453

It had been the distinguishing feature of the Prussian revolution that the army had never for a moment wavered in its fidelity to the throné. The success of the insurrection of March 18th had been due Prussian to the paucity of troops and the errors of those in command, not to any military disaffection such as had paralysed authority in Paris and in the Mediterranean States. Each affront offered to the army by the democratic majority in the Assembly supplied the King with new weapons; each slight passed upon the royal authority deepened the indignation of the officers. The armistice of Malmö brought back to the neighbourhood of the capital a general who was longing to crush the party of disorder, and regiments on whom he could rely; but though there was now no military reason for delay, it was not until the capture of Vienna by Windischgrätz had dealt a fatal blow at democracy in Germany that Frederick William determined to have done with its own mutinous Parliament and the mobs by which it was controlled. During September and October the riots and tumules in the streets of Berlin continued. The Assembly, and had rejected the draft of a Constitution submitted to the the Labinet, debated the clauses of one drawn up = 2 Comfinitee of its own members, abolished nobility. titles, and struck out from the style of the size in the words that described him as King by the Gase of God. When intelligence arrived in Berlin that the windischgrätz-upon Vienna had actually began topular

of the monarchical spirit of the army. The meaning of the appointment was at once understood. A deputation from the Assembly conveyed its protest to the King at giving an ansy was issued pre meet on the 27 The order King, was brought into the Assembly by the himself, who demanded that it should be obeyed immediately and without discussion. The President allowing Last days a debate to commence, the Ministers and of the seventy-eight Conservative deputies left the Prussian Assembly Hall. The remaining deputies, two hundred and eighty in number, then passed a resolution declaring that they would not meet at Brandenburg; that the King had no power to remove, to prorogue, or to dissolve the Assembly without its own consent; and that the Ministers were unfit to hold office. This challenge was answered by a proclamation of the "" . . . dadagen the further meetthe Civi ing of the deputies Guard not to recogn following day General Wrangel and his troops entered Berlin and surrounded the Assembly Hall. In reply to the protests of the President, Wrangel answered that the Parliament had been prorogued and must disappear. The members peaceably left the Hall, but reassembled at another spot that they had selected in anticipation of expulsion; and for some days they were pursued by the military from one place of meeting to another. On the 15th of November they passed a resolution declaring the expenditure of state-funds and the raising of taxes by the Government to be illegal so long as the Assembly should not be permitted to continue its deliberations. The Ministry on its part showed that it was determined not to brook resistance. The Civic Guard was dissolved and ordered to surrender its arms. It did so without striking a blow, and vanished from the scene, a memorable illustration of the political nullity of the middle class in Berlin, as compared with that of Paris. The state of siege was proclaimed, the freedom of the Press and the right of public meeting were suspended. On the 27th of November a portion of the Assembly appeared, according to the

King's order, at Brandenburg, but the numbers present were not sufficient for the transaction of business. The presence of the majority, however, was not required, for the King had determined to give no further legal opportunities to the men who had defied him. Treating the vote of November 15th as an Dissolution of the act of rebellion on the part of those con-

Assembly,

(December 5th), and conferred upon Prussia a Constitution drawn up by his own advisers, with the promise that this Constitution should be subject to revision by the future representative body. Though the dissolution of the

Assembly occasioned tumults in Breslau and nation at large. The violence of the fallen body during its last weeks of existence had exposed it to general discredit.

cerned in it, the King dissolved the Assembly

exposed it to general discredit; its vote of the 15th of November had been formally condemned by the Parliament of Frankfort; and the liberal character of the new Constitution, which agreed in the main with the draft-Constitution produced by the Committee of the Assembly, disposed moderate men to the belief that in the Sinflict between the King and the popular representatives the fault had not been on the side of the sovereign.

In the meantime the Parliament of Frankfort, warned against longer delay by the disturbances of September 17th, had addressed itself in earnest to the settlement of the Federal Constitution of Germany. Above a host of

minor difficulties two great problems confronted it at the outset. The first was the relation of the Austrian Empire, with its partly German and partly foreign territory, to the German national State; the other was

The Frankfort Parliament and Austria, Oct.-Dec.

the nature of the headship to be established. As it was clear that the Austrian Government could not apply the public law of Germany to its Slavic and Hungarian pro-vinces, it was enacted in the second article of the Frankfort Constitution that where a German and a non-German territory had the same sovereign, the relation between these countries must be one of purely personal union under the sovereign, no part of Germany being incorporated into a single State with any non-German land. At the time when this article was drafted the disintegration of Austria seemed more probable than the re-establishment of its unity; no sooner, however, had Prince Schwarzen berg been brought into power by the subjugation of Vienna, than he made it plain that the government of Austria was to be centralised as it lind never been before In the first public declaration of his policy he announce that Austria would maintain its units and permr De exterior influence to modify its internal organisation & that the settlement of the relations between Austria and Ger many could only be effected after each had gained some new and abiding political form; and that in the meantime Austria would continue to fulfil its duties as a confederate The interpretation put upon this statement at Frankfort was that Austria, in the interest of its own unity, preferred not to enter the German body, but looked forward to the establishment of some intimate alliance with it at a future time. As the Court of Vienna had evidently determined not to apply to itself the second article of the Constitution, and an antagonism between German and Austrian policy came within view, Schmerling, as an Austrian subject. "15 induced to resign his office, and was succeeded in it has Gagern, hitherto President of the Assembly (Dec 16th)

In announcing the policy of the new Ministry, Gaged assumed the evelusion of Austria from the German Federation. Claiming for the Assembly, as the re-

fort Parliament and Austria Dec., Jan.

presentative of the German nation, sovered power in drawing up the Constitution had denied that the Constitution could be made an object of negotiation with Austria

Austria refused to fulfil the conditions of the second anticle fit must remain outside the Federation, the Ministry de Sired, however, to frame some close and special connection between Austria and Germany, and asked for authorn to negotiate with the Court of Vienna for this purpose Gagern's declaration of the exclusion of Austria occasioned a vehement and natural outburst of feeling among the Austrian deputies, and was met by their almost unanimous protest. Some days later there arrived a note from Schwar-

² Verhandlungen der National Versammlung, vi 4225 Deutsche National Versammlung, ii 112 Radowitz, iii 459 Hellert

1V 62

¹ Scine Bunderpflichten an ambiguous expression that might ment either its dulies as an ally or its duties as a member of the German Pederation The obscurity was probably intentional

zenberg which struck at the root of all that had been done and all that was claimed by the Assembly. Repudiating the interpretation that had been placed upon his words, Schwarzenberg declared that the affairs of Germany could only be settled by an understanding between the Assembly And the Courts, and by an arrangement with Austria, which was the recognised chief of the Governments and intended to remain so in the new Federation. The question of the inclusion or exclusion of Austria now threw into the shade all the earlier differences between parties in the Assembly. A new dividing-line was drawn. On the one side appeared a group composed of the Austrian representatives, of Ultramontanes who feared a Protestant ascendency if Austria should be excluded, and of deputies from some of the smaller States who had begun to dread Prussian domination. On the other side was the great body of representatives who set before all the cause of German national union, who saw that this union would never be effected in any real form if it was made to depend upon negotiations with the Austrian Court, and who held, with the Minister, that to create a true German ational State without the Austrian provinces was better In to accept a phantom of complete union in which the German people should be nothing and the Cabinet of Vienna everything. Though coalitions and intrigues of parties obscured the political prospect from day to day, the principles of Gagern were affirmed by a majority of the Assembly, and authority to negotiate some new form of connection with Austria, as a power outside the Federation, was granted to the Ministry.

The second great difficulty of the Assembly was the settlement of the Federal headship. Some were for a hereditary Emperor, some for a President or Board, some for a monarchy alternating be-

Headship. tween the Houses of Prussia and Austria,

some for a sovereign elected for life or for a fixed period. The first decision arrived at was that the head should be one of the reigning princes of Germany, and that he should bear the title of Emperor. Against the hereditary inciple there was a strong and, at first, a successful opposition. Reserving for future discussion other questions relating to the imperial office, the Assembly passed the Constitution through the first reading on February

ard, 1849. It Governments, opinions upor Hanover, Ba declared againot be included; the subordination of the Emperor of Austria. The subordination of the Emperor of Austria. The power vested in any other German prince, and proposed that the entire Austrian Empire, with its foreign as well as its German elements, should enter the Federation. This note was enough to prove that Austria was in direct conflict with the scheme of national union which the Assembly had accepted; but the full peril of the situation was not perceived till on the 9th of

contounued in one

Francis Joseph, Hungarians, Germans, Slavs and Ildian The import of the Austrian demand now stood out clear and undisguised. Austria claimed to range itself with foreign population of thirty millions within the Gemy Federation; in other words, to reduce the German nation union to a partnership with all the nationalities of Cen Europe, to throw the weight of an overwhelming influent against any system of free representative government, and to expose Germany to war where no interests but those of the Pole or the Magyar might be at stake. So deep was the impression made at Frankfort by the fall of the Kremsier Parliament and the publication of Schnarzen berg's unitary edict, that one of the most eminent of the politicians who had hitherto opposed the exclusion of Austria-the Baden deputy Welcker-declared that further persistence in this course would be treason to German Ranging himself with the Ministry, he proposed that the entire German Constitution, completed by a hereditary

King Frederick William IV. elected Emperor, chieftamship, should be passed at a single vote on the second reading, and that the direction of Emperor should be at once offered the King of Prussia. Though the Assemble declined to pass the Constitution by a single vote, it agreed to vote upon clause by claw ussion. The hereditary principle was affirmed.

without discussion. The hereditary principle was allimined by the narrow majority of four in a House of above for

hundred. The second reading of the Constitution was completed on the 27th of March, and on the following day the election of the sovereign took place. Two hundred and ninety votes were given for the King of Prussia. Two hundred and forty-eight members, hostile to the hereditary principle or to the prince selected, abstained from voting.

Erederick William had from early years cherished the hope of seeing some closer union of Germany established under Prussian influence. But he dwelt in a world where there was more of picturesque mirage than of real insight.

He was almost superstitiously loyal to the House of Austria; and he failed to perceive, what was palpable to men of far inferior en-

dowments to his own, that by setting Prussia at the head of the constitutional movement of the epoch he might at any time from the commencement of his reign have rallied all Germany round it. Thus the revolution of 1848 burst upon him, and he was not the man to act or to lead in time of revolution. Even in 1848, had he given promptly and with dignity what, after blood had been shed in his weeks, he had to give with humiliation, he would probably have been acclaimed Emperor on the opening of the Parliament of Frankfort, and have been accepted by the universal voice of Germany. But the odium cast upon him by the struggle of March 18th was so great that in the election of a temporary Administrator of the Empire in June no single member at Frankfort gave him a vote. Time was needed to repair his credit, and while time passed Austria rose from its ruins. In the spring of 1849 Frederick William could not have assumed the office of Emperor of Germany without risk of a war with Austria, even had he been willing to accept this office on the nomination of the Frankfort Parliament. But to accept the Imperial Crown from a popular Assembly was repugnant to his deepest convictions. Clear as the Frankfort Parliament had been, as a whole, from the taint of Republicanism or of revolutionary violence, it had nevertherwood would, in the King's expression, have been picked up from blood and mire. Had the princes of Germany by

¹ Verhandlungen, viii. 6093. Beseler, p. 82. Helfert, iv. (3) 390. Haym, ii. 317. Radowitz, v. 477.

11849

any arrangement with the Assembly tendered the crown to Frederick William the case would have been different, a new Divine right would have emanated from the old, and conditions fixed by negotiation between the princes and the popular Assembly might have been endured That Frederick William still aspired to German leader his in one form or another no one doubted; his disposit! to seek or to reject an accommodation with the Franklon Parliament varied with the influences which surrounded The Ministry led by the Count of Brandenburg, though anti-popular in its domestic measures, was desirous of arriving at some understanding with Gagern and the friends of German union. Shortly before the first reading of the Constitution at Frankfort, a note had been drafted in the Berlin Cabinet admitting under certain provisions the exclusion of Austria from the Federation, and proposing, not that the Assembly should admit the right of each Government to accept or reject the Constitution, but that it should meet in a fair spirit such recommendation as all the Governments together should by a joint a submit to it. This note, which would have rendered agreement between the Prussian Court and the Assemb possible. Frederick William at first refused to sign. was induced to do so (Jan 23rd) by his confidant Bunshi who himself was authorised to proceed to Frankfor During Bunsen's absence despatches arrived at Berlin from Schwarzenberg, who, in his usual resolute way, proposed to dissolve the Frankfort Assembly, and to divide Germany between Austria, Prussia, and the four secondary kingdoms Bunsen on his return found his work undone; the King recoiled under Austrian pressur from the position which he had taken up, and sent a not to Frankfort on the 16th of February, which described Austria as a necessary part of Germany and clumed lot each separate Government the right to accept or reject the Constitution as it might think fit. Thus the acceptance of the headship by Frederick William under any conditions compatible with the claims of the Assembly 25 known to be doubtful when, on the 28th of March, the majority resolved to offer him the Imperial Crown. disposition of the Ministry at Berlin was indeed still fay ourable to an accommodation; and when, on the and of April, the members of the Assembly who were charged to

lay its offer before Frederick William arrived at Berlin, they were received with such cordiality by Brandenburg that it was believed the King's consent had been won. The reply of the King to the deputation on Frederick the following day rudely dispelled these William IV. hopes. He declared that before he could refuses the iccept the Crown not only must be be sum-Crown, April 3 moned to it by the Princes of Germany, but the consent of all the Governments must be given to the Constitution. In other words, he required that the Assembly should surrender its claims to legislative supremacy, and abandon all those parts of the Federal Constitution of which any of the existing Governments disapproved. As it was certain that Austria and the four minor kingdoms would never agree to any Federal union worthy of the name, and that the Assembly could not now,

...lief was correct.1 The answer of King Frederick William proved indeed much more than that he had refused the Crown of Ger-

without renouncing its past, admit that the right of framing the Constitution lay outside itself, the answer of the

King was understood to amount to a refusal. The deputation left Berlin in the sorrowful conviction that their mission had failed; and a note which was soon afterwards received at Frankfort from the King showed that this

many; it proved that he would not accept the Constitution which the Assembly had enacted. The full import of this determination, and the serious nature of the crisis now impending over Germany, were at once understood. Though twenty-eight Governments successively accepted the Constitution, these were without exception petty States, and their united forces would scarcely have been a match for one of its more powerful enemies. On the 5th of April the Austrian Cabinet declared the Assembly to have been guilty of illegality in

The Frankfort Constitution rejected by the Govern-

publishing the Constitution, and called upon all Austrian deputies to quit Frankfort. The Prussian Lower Chamber, elected under the King's recent edict, having protested against the state of siege in Berlin, and having

¹ Briefwechsel Friedrich Wilhelms, pp. 233, 269. Beseler, 87. Biedermann, i. 389. Wagener, Politik Friedrich Wilhelm IV., p. 56. Ernst II., i. 329.

of suppressing the free utterance of opinions in tavout or

the Constitution.

On the ground of this last demand the Prussian official Press now began to denounce the Assembly of Frankfort as a revolutionary body. The situation of affairs daily and the became worse. It was in vain that the

Assembly appealed to the Governments, German the legislative Chambers, the local bodies National Assembly. the whole German people, to bring the Con June. 1849 stitution into effect. The moral force of which it had determined to rely proved powerless, and despair of conquering the Governments by public opinio the more violent members of the democratic party deter mined_to_appeal_to_insurrection. On the 4th of May popular rising began at Dresden, where the King, und the influence of Prussia, had dismissed those of 12 Ministers who urged him to accept the Constitution, and had dissolved his Parliament. The outbreak drove the King from his capital; but only five days had passed when a Prussian army-corps entered the city and crushed the rebellion. In this interval, short as it was, there had been indications that the real leaders of the insurrection were fighting not for the Frankfort Constitution but for a Republic, and that in the event of their victory a revolutionary Government, connected with French and Polish schemes of subversion, would come into power. In Baden this was made still clearer. There the Government of the Grand Duke had actually accepted the Frankfort Constitution, and had ordered elections to be held for the Federal legislative body by which the Assembly was to be succeeded. Insurrection nevertheless-broke-out- The Republic was openly proclaimed; the troops joined the

insurgents; and a Provisional Government allied itself with a similar body that had sprung into being with the help of French and Polish refugees in the neighbouring Palatinate. Conscious that these insurrections must utterly ruin its own cause, the Frankfort Assembly on the suggestion of Gagern called upon the Archduke John to suppress them by force of arms, and at the same time to protect the free expression of opinion on behalf of the Constitution where threatened by Governments. John, who had long clung to his office only to further the ends of Austria, refused to do so, and Gagern in consequence resigned. With his fall ended the real political existence of the Assembly. In reply to a resolution which it passed on the 10th of May, calling upon John to employ all the forces of Germany in defence of the Constitution, the Archduke placed a mock-Ministry in office. The Prussian Government, declaring the vote of the 10th of May to be a summons to civil war, ordered all Prussian deputies to withdraw from the Assembly, and a few days later its example was imitated by Saxony and Hanover. On the 20th of May sixty-five of the best known of the members, including Arndt and Dahlmann, placed on record their belief that in the actual situation the relinquishment of the task of the Assembly was the least of evils, and declared their work at Frankfort ended. Other groups followed them till there remained only the party of the extreme Left, which had hitherto been a weak minority, and which in no sense represented the real opinions of Germany. This Rump-Parliament, troubling itself little with John and his Ministers, determined to withdraw from Frankfort, where it dreaded the appearance of Prussian troops, into Würtemberg, where it might expect some support from the revolutionary Governments of Baden and the Palatinate. On the 6th of June a hundred and five deputies assembled at Stuttgart. There they proceeded to appoint a governing Committee for all Germany, calling upon the King of Würtemberg to supply them with seven thousand soldiers, and sending out The Baden insurrection insurrection emissaries to stir up the neighbouring popusuppressed,

lation. But the world disregarded them. The July, 1849
Government at Stuttgart, after an interval
of patience, bade them begone; and on the 18th of June
their hall was closed against them and they were dispersed

nőga

rmed.

by troops, no one raising a hand on their behalf. The overthrow of the insurgents who had taken up arms in Baden and the Palatinate was not so easy a matter. campaign of six weeks was necessary, in which the army of Prussia, led by the Prince of Prussia, sustained some reverses, before the Republican levies were crushed and with the fall of Rastadt the insurrection was brought to a close.1

The end of the German Parliament, on which the nation had set such high hopes and to which it had sent so much of what was noblest in itself, contrasted lamentably with the splendour of its opening. Whether a better result would have been attained if, instead of claiming supreme authority in the construction of Federal union, the Assembly had from the first sought the co-operation of the Governments, must remain matter of conjecture. Austria would under all circumstances have been the great hindrance in the way; and after the failure of the efforts made at Frankfort to establish the general union of Ger-

Prussia attempts to form a senarate union

could for German

of Savony and Har.

many, Austria was able completely to frus-trate the attempts which were now made at Berlin to establish partial union upon different basis. In notifying to the Assembly his refusal of the Imperial Crown, King

Frederick William had stated that he was resolved to plant himself at the head of a Federation to be formed by States voluntarily uniting with him under terms to be subsequently arranged; and in a circular note addressed to the German Governments he invited such as were disposed to take counsel with Prussia to unite in Conference at Berlin. The opening of the Conference was fixed for the 17th of May. Two days before this the King issued a proclamation to the Prussian people amounting that in spite of the failure of the Assembly of Frankfort a German union was still to be formed. When the Conference --- annual hut those of Austria, opened at Berlin, no Saxony, Hanover, a tative withdrew at th arian... as it rather later, leaving i'.

¹ Verhandlungen, etc., ix. 6695, 6886 Haym, iii 185. Erlebnisse, p. 6

known as the League of the Three Kingdoms. An undertaking was given that a Federal Parliament should be summoned, and that a Constitution should be made jointly by this Parliament and the Governments (May 26th). On the 11th of June the draft of a Federal Constitution was published. As the King of Prussia was apparently acting in good faith, and the draft-Constitution in spite of some defects seemed to afford a fair basis for union, the question now arose among the leaders of the German national movement whether the twenty-eight States which had accepted the ill-fated Constitution of Frankfort ought or ought not to enter the new Prussian League. A meeting of a hundred and fifty ex-members of the Frankfort Parliament was held at Gotha; and although great indignation was expressed by the more democratic faction, it was determined that the scheme now put forward by Prussia deserved a fair trial. The whole of the twenty-eight minor States consequently entered the League, which thus embraced all Germany with the exception of Austria, Bavaria and Würtemberg. But the Courts of Saxony and Hanover had from the first been acting with duplicity. The military influence of Prussia, and the fear which they still felt of their own subjects, had prevented them from offering open resistance to the renewed work of Federation; but they had throughout been in communication with Austria, and were only waiting for the moment when the complete restoration of Austria's military strength should enable them to display their true colours. During the spring of 1849, while the Conferences at Berlin were being held, Austria was still occupied with Hungary and The final overthrow of these enemies enabled it to cast its entire weight upon Germany. The result was seen in the action of Hanover and Saxony, which now formally seceded from the Federation. Prussia thus remained at the end of 1849 with no support but that of the twenty-eight minor States. Against it, in open or in tacit antagonism to the establishment of German unity in any effective form, the four secondary Kingdoms stood ranged by the side of Austria.

It was not until the 20th of March, 1850, that the Federal Parliament, which had been promised ten months before on the incorporation of the new League, assembled at Erfurt. In the meantime reaction had gone far in

many a German State. In Prussia, after the dissolution of the Lower Chamber on April 27th, 1849, the King had abrogated the electoral provisions of the Constitution so recently granted by himself,

and had substituted for them 2 system based on the representation of classes Treating this act as a breach of faith, the Democratic party had abstained from voting at the elections, with the result that in the Berlin Parliament of 1850 Conservatives, Reactionists, and officials formed the great majority. The revision of the Prussian Constitution, promised at first as a concession to Liberalism, was conducted in the opposite sense King demanded the strengthening of monarchical power, the Feudalists, going far beyond him, attacked the municipal and social reforms of the last two years, and sought to lead Prussia back to the system of its mediaval estates It was in the midst of this victory of reaction in Prussin that the Federal Parliament at Erfurt began its sittings Though the moderate Liberals, led by Gagern and other tried politicians of Frankfort, held the majority in both Houses, a strong Absolutist party from Prussin confronted

them, and it soon became clear that the Prussian Government was ready to play into the hands of The Union this party. The draft of the Federal Cony Parliament stitution, which had been made at Berlin at Erfurt,
March, 1850 was presented, according to the undertaking of May 26th, 1849, to the Erfurt Assembly

Aware of the gathering strength of the reaction and of the danger of delay, the Liberal majority declared itself ready to pass the draft into law without a single alterashould take place, and, to the scandal of all who understood the methods or the spirit of Parliamentary rule, the Prussian Ministers united with the party which demanded alterations in the project which they themselves had brought forward. A compromise was ultimately effected, but the action of the Court of Prussia and the conduct of its Ministers throughout the Erfurt debates struck with deep despondency those who had believed that Frederick William might still effect the work in which the Assembly of Frankfort had failed. The trust in the King's sincerity or consistence of purpose sank low. The sympathy of the national Liberal party throughout Germany was to a great extent alienated from Prussia; while, if any expectation existed at Berlin that the adoption of a reactionary policy would disarm the hostility of the Austrian Government to the new League, this hope was

wholly vain and baseless.3

Austria had from the first protested against the attempt of the King of Prussia to establish any new form of anion in Germany, and had declared that it would recognise none of the conclusions of the Federal Parliament of Effurt. According to the theory now advanced by the Cabinet of Vienna the ancient Federal Constitution of Germany was still in force. All that had happened since March, 1848, was so much wanton and tutile mischief-making. The disturbance of order had at length come to an end, and with the exit of the rioters the legitimate powers re-entered into their rights. Accordingly, there could be no question of the establishment of new Leagues. The old relation of all the German States to one another under the ascendency of Austria remained in full strength; the Diet of Frankfort, which had merely suspended its functions and by no means suffered extinction, was still the legitimate central authority. That some modifications might be necessary in the ancient Constitution was the most that Austria was willing to admit. This, however, was an affair not for the German people but for its rulers, and Austria accordingly invited all the Governments to a Congress at Frankfort where the changes necessary might be discussed. In reply to this summons, Prussia strenuously denied that the old Federal Constitution was still in existence. The princes of the numerous petty States which were included in the new Union assembled at Berlin round Frederick William, and resolved that they would not attend the Conference at Frankfort except under reservations and conditions which Austria would not admit. Arguments and counter-arguments were changed; but the controversy between an old and a new Germany was one to be decided by force of will or force of arms, not by political logic. The struggle was to be one between Prussia and Austria, and the Austrian Cabinet had well gauged the temper of its opponent. A direct

¹ Verhandlungen zu Erfurt, i. 114; ii. 143. Biedermann, i. 469. Radowitz, ii. 138.

summons to submission would have roused all the King's pride, and have been from Frederick Willia he had founded. Sch quarrel in which the 's at the results of his own policy. The dominant course, " of monarchical rule.

in the mind of Frederick William was that of the sanctit If the League of Berlin could be committed to some enterprise hostile to monarchical power, and could be charged with an alliance with rebellion Frederick William would probably falter on his resolutions, and a resort to arms, for which, however, Austria was well prepared, would become unnecessary ' Among the States whose Governments had been forced

by public opinion to join the new Federation was the Electorate of Hesse-Cassel. The Elector was,

like his predecessors, a thorough despot at heart, and chafed under the restrictions which a constitutional system imposed upon his rule. Acting under Austrian instigation, he dismissing of 1850, and placed in

type of the worst and most violent-conse produced by the officialism of the minor German States, Hassenpflug immediately quarrelled with the Estates at Cassel, and twice dissolved them, after which he proceeded to levy taxes by force. The law-courts declared his acts illegal, the officers of the army, when called on for assistance, began to resign. The conflict between the Minister and the Hessian population was in full progress when, at the beginning of September, Austria with its vassal Governments proclaimed the re-establishment of the Diet of Frankforf. Though Prussia and most of the twenty-eight States confederate with it treated this an-

nouncement as null and void, the Diet, constituted by the envoys of Austria, the four The Diet of Frankfort minor Kingdoms, and a few seceders from restored. the Prussian Union, commenced its sittings Sept , 1850 To the Diet the Elector of Hesse forthwith

appealed for help against his subjects, and the decision was given that the refusal of the Hessian Estates to grant

Der Fursten Kongress, p 13 Reden Friedrich Wilhelms iv pp 55 69 Konferenz der Verbundelen 1850 pp 26, 53 Beust, Frinnerungen, 1 115 Ernst II , 1 525 Duncker, Vier Monate, p 41

the taxes was an offence justifying the marries of the central power. Fortified by this judgment It pflug now ordered that every person offering to plant, to the Government should be tried by court-mailed, 11, 400 baffled by the resignation of the entire body of office, in the Hessian army; and as this completed the driving fiture of the Elector, the armed intervention of furting as identified with the Diet of Frankfort, now became a certainty. But to the protection of the people of Herrie in their constitutional rights Prussia, as chief of the Lengue which Hesse had joined, stood morally pledged. It in mained for the King to decide between armed resistance to Austria or the humiliation of a total abandonment of Prussia's claim to leadership in any German Prussia and union. Conflicting influences swayed the Austria King in one direction and another. The friends of Austria and of absolutism declared that the employment of the Prussian army on behalf of the Hessians would make the King an accomplice of revolution: the bolder and more patriotic spirits protested against the abdication of Prussia's just claims and the levasion of its responsibilties towards Germany. For a moment the party of action, led by the Prizes of D.

of the Interior, assured the King that the Prussian army was so weak in numbers and so defective in organisation that, if it took the field against Austria and its allies, it would meet with certain ruin. Programme The Press of Feed forth recommendations and the state of Feed forth recommendations.

ing the Diet of Frankfort, nov bidding, and stood face to face with the Frussians. By moment had come when the decision must be made by tween peace and war. At a Council held at Berlindon November and the peace-parti, carried the King with them. Radowitz gave up office; Manteuffel, the Minister of repression within and of submission without, was set at the head of the Government. The meaning of his appointment was well understood, and with each new proof of the weakness of the King the tone of the Court of Austria became more imperious. On the oth of November Schwarzenberg

Prussian

the evacu troops. The first point was at once conceded, and in hollow, equivocating language Manteuffel made the fact known to the members of the Confederacy. The other conditions not being se speedily fulfilled, Schwarzenberg set Austrian regiment in motion, and demanded the withdrawal of the Prussing troops from Hesse within twenty-four hours. Manteuffbegged the Austrian Minister for an interview, and, without waiting for an answer, set out for Olmutz. His 4m

structions bade him to press for certain concessions; none of these did he obtain, and he made the necessary submission without them. On the accessary submission without them. On the control of November a convention was signed

at Olmutz, in which Prussia recognised the German Federal Constitution of 1815 as still existing, undertook to withdraw all its troops from Hesse with the exception of a single battalion, and consented to the settlement of affairs both in Hesse, and in Schleswig-Holstein by the Federal Diet. One point alone in the scheme of the Austrian statesman was wanting among the fruits of his victory at Olmutz and of the negotiations at Dresden by which this was followed. Schwarzenberge the German Federation, and if he had had to reckon with no opponents but the beaten and humbled Prussia, he would have effected his design. But the prospect of

a central European Power, with a population of seventy millions, controlled as this would virtually be by the Cabinet of Vienna, alarmed other nations. England declared that such a combination would undo the balance of power in Europe and menace the independence of Germany; France protested in more threatening terms; and the project fell to the ground, to be remembered only as the boldest imagination of a statesman for whom fortune, veiling the Nemesis in store, seemed to set no limit to its favours.

The cause of Schleswig-Holstein, so intimately bound up with the efforts of the Germans towards national union, sank with the failure of these efforts; and Schleswigin the final humiliation of Prussia it received Holstein what might well seem its death-blow. The armistice of Malmö, which was sanctioned by the Assembly of Frankfort in the autumn of 1848, lasted until March 26th, 1849. War was then recommenced by Prussia, and the lines of Düppel were stormed by its troops, while the volunteer forces of Schleswig-Holstein unsuccessfully laid siege to Fredericia. Hostilities had continued for three months, when a second armistice, to last for a year, and reliminaries of Peace, were agreed upon. At the conclusion of this armistice, in July, 1850, Prussia, in the name of Germany, made peace with Denmark. The inhabitants of the Duchies in consequence continued the war for themselves, and though defeated with great low,

King of Denmark, to return to the legal status which was defined in the Treaties of Peace. This was the nominal condition of the trat treated Schleswig as the northern part of t . 1. Danish for German n policy of foreign Co..... the inhabitants, had from the beginning of the struggi of the Duchies against Denmark favoured the maintenance and consolidation of the Danish Kingdom. The claim of -, as next heir to the Duchie in . considered worth the ris col signed at London on th of. and of August, 1850, the Powers, with the exception of Prussia, declared themselves in favour of a single rul of succession in all parts of the Danish State. By a Treat of the 8th of May, 1852, to which Prussia gave its assent the pretensions of all other claimants to the disputed suc cession were set aside, and Prince Christian, of the Hous of Glucksburg, was declared heir to the throne, the right of the German Federation as established by the Treatie of 1815 being reserved. In spite of this reservation d Federal right

Schleswig and the Duchies

the Danish State. Prussia, no a mountained had joined with Austria in coercing their army, in dissolving their Government, in annulling the legislation by which the Parliament of Frankfort had made them participators in public rights thenceforward to be the inheritance of all Germans. A page in the national history was obliterated; Prussia had turned its back on its own professions; there remained but one relic from the time when the whole German people seemed so ardent for the emancipation of its brethren beyond the frontier. The

national fleet, created by the Assembly of Frankfort for the Prosecution of the struggle with Denmark, still lay at the mouth of the Elbe. But Fleet sold by auction.

June, 1852

Germany was not to be a nation had also June, 1852

June, 1852 determined that it could have no national maritime interests. After all that had passed, authority had little call to be nice about appearances; and the national

fleet was sold by auction, in accordance with a decree of the

restored Diet of Frankfort, in the summer of 1852.1

It was with deep disappointment and humiliation that the Liberals of Germany, and all in whom the hatred of democratic change had not overpowered the love of country, witnessed the issue of the movement of 1848. In so far as that movement was one after 1849

directed towards national union it had totally failed, and the state of things that had existed before 1848 was restored without change. As a movement of constitutional and social reform, it had not been so entirely vain; nor in this respect can it be said that Germany after the year 1848 returned altogether to what it was before it. Many of the leading figures of the earlier time reappeared indeed with more or less of lustre upon the stage. Metternich though excluded from office by younger men, beamed upon Vienna with the serenity of a prophet who had lived to see most of his enemies shot and of a martyr who had returned to one of the most enviable Salons in Europe. No dynasty lost its throne, no class of the population had been struck down with proscription as were the sergy and the nobles of France fifty years before. Yet me traveller familiar with Germany before the revolution found that much of the old had now vanished, much of a new world come into being. It was not sought by the re-established Governments to undo at one stroke the whole of the political, the social, the agrarian legislation of the preceding time, as in some other periods of reaction. The nearest approach that was made to this was in a decree of the Diet annulling the Declaration of Rights drawn up by the Frankfort Assembly, and requiring the Governments to bring into conformity with the Federal Constitution all laws and institutions made since the beginning of 1848. Parliamentary government was thereby enfeebled, but not necessarily extinguished. Governments narrowed the franchise, curtailed the functions of representative assemblies, filled these with their creatures. coerced voters at elections; but, except in Austria, there some States, as in Saxony under the reactionary rule of Count Beust, the system of national representation

¹ Ernst II., i. 377. Hertslet, Map of Europe, ii. 125. 1251. Parl. Papers, 1864, Ixiii., p. 29; 1864, Ixv., pp. 39, 187.

established in 1848 was abolished and the earlier Estates were revived; in Prussia the two Houses of Parliament continued in existence, but in such dependence upon the royal authority, and under such strong pressure of an aristocratic and official reaction, that, after struggling to some years in the Lower House, the Liberal leaders length withdrew in despair. The character which Go ernment now assumed in Prussia was indeed far mbre typical of the condition of Germany at large than was the bold and uncompromising despotism of Prince Schwarzenberg in Austria. Manteuffel, in whom the Prussian epoch of reaction was symbolised, was not a cruel or a violent Minister; but his rule was stamped with a peculiar and degrading meanness, more irritating to those who suffered under it than harsher wrong. In his hands government was a thing of eavesdropping and espionage, a system of petty persecution, a school of subservience and hypocrisy. He had been the instrument at Olmütz of such a surrender of national honour and national interests as few nations have ever endured with the chances of war still untried. This surrender may, in the actual condition of the Prussian army, have been necessary, the abasement of it seemed to cling to Manteuffel and lower all his conceptions of government. Even where the conclusions of his policy were correct they seemed to have been reached by some unworthy process. Like Germany at large, Prussia breathed uneasity under an oppression which was everywhere felt and yet was hard to define. Its best elements were those which suffered the most: its highest intellectual and political aims were those which most excited the suspicion of the Government. Its King had lost whatever was stimulating or elevated in his illusions. From him no second alliance with Liberalism, no further effort on behalf of German unity, was to be expected: the hope for Germany and for Prussia, if hope there was, lay in a future reign.

The powerlessness of Prussia was the measure of Austrian influence and prestige. The contrast presented by Austria in 1848 and Austria in 1851 was indeed on that might well arrest political observers. Its recoveribad no doubt been effected partly by foreign aid, and in the struggle with the Magyars a dangerous obligation had been incurred towards Russia; but scarred and riven as

the fabric was within, it was complete and imposing without. Not one of the enemies who in 1848 had risen against the Court of Vienna now remained standing.

In Italy, Austria had won back what had after 18

appeared to be hopelessly lost; in Germany
it had more than vindicated its old claims. It had
thrown its rival to the ground, and the full measure of its ambition was perhaps even yet not satisfied. "First to humiliate Prussia, then to destroy it," was the expression in which Schwarzenberg summed up his German policy. Whether, with his undoubted firmness and daring, the Minister possessed the intellectual qualities and the experience necessary for the successful administration of an Empire built up, as Austria now was, on violence and on the suppression of every national force, was doubted even by his admirers. The proof, however, was not granted to him, for a sudden death carried him off in his fourth year of power (April 5th, 1852). Weaker men succeeded to his task. The epoch of military and diplomatic triumph was now ending, the gloomier side of the reaction stood out unrelieved by any new succession of sixtories. Financial disorder grew worse and worse. Thericalism claimed its bond from the monarchy which it had helped to restore. In the struggle of the nationalities of Austria against the central authority the Bishops had on the whole thrown their influence on to the side of the Crown. The restored despotism owed too much to their help and depended too much on their continued goodwill to be able to refuse their demands. Thus the new centralised administration, reproducing in general the uniformity of government attempted by the Emperor Joseph II., contrasted with this in its subservience to clerical power. Ecclesiastical laws and jurisdictions were allowed to encroach on the laws and jurisdiction of the State; education was made over to the priesthood; within the Church itself the bishops were allowed to rule uncontrolled. The very Minister who had taken office under Schwarzenberg as the representative of the

professed to render homage, became the instrument of an act of submission to the

Austrian Concordat, Sept. 18, 1855

Papacy which marked the lowest point to which Austrian policy fell. Alexander Bach, a prominent Liberal in

Vienna at the beginning of 1848, had accepted office at the price of his independence, and surrendered himself to the aristocratic and clerical influences that dominated the Consistent only in his efforts to simplify the forms of government, to promote the ascendency of German over all other elements in the State, to maintain the improvement in the peasant's condition effected by me Parliament of Kremsier, Bach, as Minister of the Interior, made war in all other respects on his own earlier principles. In the former representative of the Liberalism of the professional classes in Vienna absolutism had now its most efficient instrument, and the Concordat negotiated by Bach with the Papacy in 1855 marked the definite submission of Austria to the ecclesiastical pretensions which in these years of political languor and discouragement gained increasing recognition throughout Central Europe. Ultramontanism had sought allies in many political camps since the revolution of 1848 It had dallied in some countries with Republicanism, but its truer instincts divined in the victory of absolutist systems its own, surest gain Accommodations between the Papacy are several of the German Governments were made in 1)2 years succeeding 1849, and from the centralised despos of the Emperor Francis Joseph the Church won concessions which since the time of Maria Theresa it had in vain sought from any ruler of the Austrian State

The European drama which began in 1848 had more of unity and more of concentration in its opening than in its close. In Italy it ends with the fall of France after Venice, in Germany the interest lingers till

the days of Olmutz; in France there is no decisive break in the action until the Coup d'Eint which, at the end of the year 1851, made Louis Napoleon in all but name Emperor of France. The six million votes which had raised Louis Napoleon to the Presidency of the Republic might well have filled with alarm all who hoped for a future of constitutional rule; yet the warning conveyed by the election seems to have been understood &

Louis Napoleon as the Parliamentary majority; he had even been supported in his candidature by Parliamentary leaders

such as M. Thiers. His victory was welcomed as a victory over Socialism and the Red Republic; he had received some patronage from the official party of order, and it vas expected that, as nominal chief of the State, he would act as the instrument of this party. He was an advenurer, but an adventurer with so little that was imposing about him, that it scarcely occurred to men of influence n Paris to credit him with the capacity for mischief. His mean look and spiritless address, the absurdities of his past, the insignificance of his political friends, caused him to be regarded during his first months of public life with derision rather than with fear. The French, said M. Thiers long afterwards, made two mistakes about Louis Napoleon: the first when they took him for a fool, the second when they took him for a man of genius. It was not until the appearance of the letter to Colonel Ney, in which the President ostentatiously separated himself from his Ministers and emphasised his personal will in the direction of the foreign policy of France, that suspicions of danger to the Republic from his ambition arose. From this time, in the narrow circle of the Ministers whom Micial duty brought into direct contact with the President, a constant sense of insecurity and dread of some new surprise on his part prevailed, though the accord which had been broken by the letter to Colonel Ney was for a while outwardly re-established, and the forms of Parliamentary government remained unimpaired.

The first year of Louis Napoleon's term of office was drawing to a close when a message from him was delivered to the Assembly which seemed to announce an immediate attack upon the Constitution. Message of Oct. 31, 1849

The Ministry in office was composed of men of high Parliamentary position; it enjoyed the entire confidence of a great majority in the Assembly, and had enforced with at least sufficient energy the measures of public security which the President and the country seemed agreed in demanding. Suddenly, on the 31st of October, the President announced to the Assembly by a message carried by one of his aides-de-camp that the Ministry were dismissed. The reason assigned for their dismissal was the want of unity within the Cabinet itself; but the language used by the President announced much more than a ministerial change. "France, in the midst

of confusion, seeks for the hand, the will of him whom it elected on the 10th of December. The victory won on Napoleon is in itself a programme. It signifies order, authority, religion, national prosperity within; national dignity without. It is this policy, inaugurated by my election, that I desire to carry to triumph with the support of the Assembly and of the people." In order to save the Republic from anarchy, to maintain the prestige of France among other nations, the President declared that he needed men of action rather than of words; yet when the list of the new Ministers appeared, it contained scarcely a single name of weight. Louis Napoleon had called to office persons whose very obscurity had marked them as his own instruments, and guaranteed to him the ascendence which he had not hitherto possessed within the Cabinet Satisfied with having given this proof of his power, he resumed the appearance of respect, if not of cordiality, towards the Assembly. He had learnt to beware of precipitate action; above two years of office were still before him, and he had now done enough to make it clear to alk who were disposed to seek their fortunes in a new political cause that their services on his behalf would be welcomed and any excess of zeal more than pardoned From this time there grew up a party which had for its watchword the exaltation of Louis Napoleon and the derision of the methods of Parliamentary government. Journalists, insuccessful politicians, adventurers of every description, were enlisted in the ranks of this obscure but active band For their acts and their utterances no one was responsible but themselves. They were disavowed without compunction when their hardihood went too far, but their ventures, brought them no peril, and the generosity of the President was not wanting to those who insisted on serving him in spite of himself.

France was still trembling with the shock of the Four Days of June, and measures of repression formed the common ground upon which Louis Naphleon and the Assembly met without fear of conflict. Certain electiful which were held in the spring of 185n, and which gastriking victory in Paris and elsewhere to Socialist of Ultra-Democratic candidates, revised the alarms of the owners of property and inspired the fear that with

universal suffrage the Legislature itself might ultimately fall into the hands of the Red Republicans. The principle of universal suffrage had been proclaimed almost by accident in the midst of the revolution of 1848. It had been embodied in the Constitution of that year because it was found already in existence. No party had seriously considered the conditions under which it was to be exercised, or had weighed the political qualifications of the mass to whom it was so lightly thrown. When election after election returned to the Chamber men whose principles were held to menace society itself, the cry arose that France must be saved from the hands of the vile multitude; and the President called upon a Committee of the Assembly to frame the necessary measures Law limiting of electoral reform. Within a week the work the Franchise, May of the Committee was completed, and the law which it had drafted was brought before the

Assembly. It was proposed that, instead of a residence of six months, a continuous residence of three years in the same commune should be required of every voter, and that the fulfilment of this condition should be proved, not by ordinary evidence, but by one of certain specified acts, such as the payment of personal taxes. With modifications of little importance the Bill was passed by the Assembly. Whether its real effect was foreseen even by those who desired the greatest possible limitation of the franchise is doubtful; it is certain that many who supported it believed, in their ignorance of the practical working of electoral laws, that they were excluding from the franchise only the vagabond and worthless class which has no real place within the body politic. When the electoral lists drawn up in pursuance of the measure appeared, they astounded all parties alike. Three out of the ten millions of voters in France were disfranchised. Not only the inhabitants of whole quarters in the great cities but the poorer classes among the peasantry throughout France had disappeared from the electoral body. The Assembly had at one blow converted into enemies the entire mass of the population that lived by the wages of bodily labour. It had committed an act of political suicide, and had given to a man so little troubled with scruples of honour as Louis Napoleon the fatal opportunity of appealing to France as the champion of national sovereignty and the

vindicator of universal suffrage against an Assembly which had mutilated it in the interests of class.

The duration of the Presidency was fixed by the Constitution of 1848 at four years, and it was enacted that the President should not be re-eligible to his dignity. By the

operation of certain laws imperfectly adjusted

Prospects to one another, the tenure of office by Louis of Louis Napoleon expired on the 8th of May, 1852 Napoleon while the date for the dissolution of the Assembly fell within a few weeks of this day. France was therefore threatened with the dangers attending the almost simultaneous extinction of all authority. The penis of 1852 loomed only too visibly before the country, and Louis Napoleon addressed willing hearers when, in the summer of 1850, he began to hint at the necessity of a prolongation of his own power. The Parliamentary recess was employed by the President in two journeys through the Departments, the first through those of the south-east, where Socialism was most active, and where his appeal ance served at once to prove his own confidence and to invigorate the friends of authority, the second through Normandy, where the prevailing feeling was strongly in favour of firm government, and utterances could safely be made by the President which would have brought hym into some risk at Paris. In suggesting that France fequired his own continued presence at the head of the State Louis Napoleon was not necessarily suggesting a violation of the law. It was provided by the Statutes of 1848 that the Assembly by a vote of three-fourths might order a revision of the Constitution, and in favour of this revision petitions were already being drawn up throughout the

country. Were the clause forbidding the re-election of the President removed from the Constitution, Lowis Napoleon might fairly believe that an immense majority of the French people would re-invest him with power. He would probably have been content with a legal re-election had this been rendered possible, but the Assembly showed little sign of a desire to smooth his way, and it therefore became necessary for him to seek the means of realising

¹ Maupas, Memoires, 1-176 Œuvres de Napoleon III, 111-271 Barrot, 17-21 Granier de Cassagnac, Chute de Louis Philippe in 125 Récit complet p 1 Jerrold, Napoleon III, 111-203 focquevil c. Corresp 11-176

his aims in violation of the law. He had persuaded himself that his mission, his destiny, was to rule France; in other words, he had made up his mind to run such risks and to sanction such crimes as might be necessary to win him sovereign power. With the loftier impulses of ambition, notives of a meaner kind stimulated him to acts of energy. Never wealthy, the father of a family though unmarried, he had exhausted his means, and would have returned to private life a destitute man, if not laden with debt. When his own resolution flagged, there were those about him too deeply interested in his fortunes to allow him to draw back.

It was by means of the army that Louis Napoleon intended in the last resort to make himself master of France, and the army had therefore to be Louis Napowon over to his personal cause. The generals leon and who had gained distinction either in the the army Algerian wars or in the suppression of in-surrection in France were without exception Orleanists or Republicans. Not a single officer of eminence was as yet included in the Bonapartist band. The President himself had never seen service except in a Swiss camp of exercise; beyond his name he possessed nothing that could possibly touch the imagination of a soldier. The heroic element not being discoverable in his person or his career, it remained to work by more material methods. Napoleon had learnt many things in England, and had perhaps observed in the English elections of that period how much may be effected by the simple means of moneybribes and strong drink. The saviour of society was not ashamed to order the garrison of Paris double rations of brandy and to distribute innumerable doles of half a franc or less. Military banquets were given, in which the sergeant and the corporal sat side by side with the higher officers. Promotion was skilfully offered or withheld. As the generals of the highest position were hostile to Bonaparte, it was the easier to tempt their subordinates with the prospect of their places. In the acclamations which greeted the President at the reviews held at Paris in the autumn of 1850, in the behaviour both of officers and men in certain regiments, it was seen how successful had been the emissaries of Bonapartism. The Committee which represented the absent Chamber in vain called the Minister

of War to account for these irregularities. It was in vain that Changarnier, who, as commander both of the National Guard of Paris and of the first military

Dismissal of Changarnier, Jan, 1851 Guard of Paris and of the first military division, seemed to hold the arbitrament between President and Assembly in his hands, onenly declared at the beginning of 185.

openly declared at the beginning of 1855 in favour of the Constitution. He was dismissed from his post, and although a vote of censure which followed this dismissul led to the resignation of the Ministry, the Assembly was unable to reinstate Changarnier in his command, and helplessly witnessed the authority which he had held pass into hostile or unitustworthy hands.

There now remained only one possible means of averting the attack upon the Constitution which was so clearly

Proposed Revision of the Con-

threatened, and that was by subjecting the Constitution itself to revision in order that Louis Napoleon might legally seek re-election at the end of his Presidency. An overwhelm-

ing current of public opinion pressed indeed in the direct tion of such a change However gross and undisguised the initiative of the local functionaries in preparing the petitions which showered upon the Assembly, the national character of the demand could not be doubted. There was no other candidate whose name carried with it and genuine popularity or prestige, or around whom even the Parliamentary sections at enmity with the President could rally. The Assembly was divided not very unevenly between Legitimists, Orleanists, and Republicans. If all indeed the two monarchical groups been able to act in accord, they might have find some hope of re-establishing the throne, and an attempt had already been made to effect a minon, on the understanding that the childless Comte de Chambord should recognise the grandson of Louis Philippe as his heir, the House of Orleans renouncing its claims during the lifetime of the chief of the elder These plans had been frustrated by the refusal of the Comte de Chambord to sanction any appeal to the popular vote, and the restoration of the monarch was therefore hopeless for the present. It remained for the Assembly to decide whether it would facilitate Louis Napoleon's re-election as President by a revision of the Constitution or brave the risk of his violent usurpation of power. The position was a sad and even himiliating one for those who, while they could not disguise their real feeling towards the Prince, yet knew themselves unable to count on the support of the nation if they should resist him. The Legitimists, more sanguine in temper, kept in view an ultimate restoration of the monarchy, and lent themselves gladly to any policy which might weaken the constitutional safeguards of the Republic. The Republican minority alone determined to resist any proposal for revision, and to stake everything upon the maintenance of the constitution in its existing form. Weak as the Republicans were as compared with the other Revision of groups in the Assembly when united against the Constitution, they were yet strong enough to prevent tution rejected, the Ministry from securing that majority of 'July 19 three-fourths without which the revision of the Constitution could not be undertaken. Four hundred and fifty votes were given in favour of revision, two hundred and seventy against it (July 19th). The proposal therefore fell to the ground, and Louis Napoleon, who could already charge the Assembly with having by its majority destroyed universal suffrage, could now charge the with having by its minority forbidden the nation to choose its own head. Nothing more was needed by him. He had only to decide upon the time and the circumstances of the coup d'état which was to rid him of his adversaries and to make him master of France.

Louis Napoleon had few intimate confidents; the chief among these were his half-brother Morny, one of the illegitimate offspring of Queen Hortense, a man of fashion and speculator in the stocks; Fialin or Persigny, a person of humble origin who had proved himself a devoted follower of the coup d'état Prince through good and evil; and Fleury, an officer at this time on a mission in Algiers. These were not men out of whom Louis Napoleon could form an administration, but they were useful to him in discovering and winning over soldiers and officials of sufficient standing to give to the execution of the conspiracy something of the appearance of an act of Government. A general was needed at the War Office who would go all lengths in illegality. Such a man had already been found in St. Arnaud, commander of a brigade in Algiers, a brilliant soldier who had redeemed a disreputable past

by years of hard service, and who was known to be ready to treat his French fellow-citizens exactly as he would treat the Arabs. As St. Arnaud's name was not yet familiar in Paris, a campaign was arranged in the summ of 1851 for the purpose of winning him distinction. the cost of some hundreds of lives St. Arnaud was push into sufficient fame; and after receiving congratulation proportioned to his exploits from the President's on hand, he was summoned to Paris, in order at the right moment to be made Minister of War. A troop of young officers, many of whom gained a lamentable celebrity the generals of 1870, were gradually brought over from Algiers and placed round the Minister in the capital. Th command of the army of Paris was given to General Magnan, who, though he preferred not to share in the deliberations on the coup d'etat, had promised his co operation when the moment should arrive. The suppor or at least the acquiescence, of the army seemed thus to b assured. The National Guard, which, under Changarnies would probably have rallied in defence of the Assembly had been placed under an officer pledged to keep it inaction. For the management of the police Louis Napo leon had fixed upon M. Maupas, Préfet of the Haut Garonne. This person, to whose shamelessness we ov the most authentic information that exists on the cond'etat, had, while in an inferior station, made it his busi ness to ingratiate himself with the President by sending to him personally police reports which ought to have been sent to the Ministers. The objects and the character of M. Maupas were soon enough understood by Louis Napoleon. He promoted him to high office, sheltered him from the censure of his superiors, and, when the coup d'etat was drawing nigh, called him to Paris, in the full and well-grounded confidence that, whatever the most perfidious ingenuity could contrive in turning the guardians of the law against the law itself, that M. Maupas, as Préfet of Police, might be relied upon to accomplish.

Preparations for the coup d'elat had been so far advanced in September that a majority of the conspirators had then urged Louis Napoleon to strike the blow without delay, while the members of the Assembly were still dispersed over France in the vacation St. Arnaud, however, refused his assent, declaring that the deputies, if left free, would assemble at a distance from Paris, summon to them the generals loyal to the Constitution, and commence a civil war. He urged that, in order to avoid greater subsequent risks, it would be dean fixed for necessary to seize all the leading representatives and generals from whom resistance. might be expected, and to hold them under durance until the crisis should be over. This simultaneous arrest of all the foremost public men in France could only be effected at a time when the Assembly was sitting. St. Arnaud therefore demanded that the coup d'état should be postponed till the winter. Another reason made for delay. Little as the populace of Paris loved the reactionary Assembly, Louis Napoleon was not altogether assured that it would quietly witness his own usurptation of power. In waiting until the Chamber should again be in session, he saw the opportunity of exhibiting his cause as that of the masses themselves, and of justifying his action as the sole means of enforcing popular rights against a legislature obstinately bent on denving them. Louis Napoleon's own Ministers had overthrown universal suffrage. This might indeed be matter for comment on the part of the censorious, but it has not a circumstance to stand in the way of the execution of a great design. Accordingly Louis Napoleon determined to demand from the Assembly at the opening of the winter session the repeal of the electoral law of May 31st, and to make its refusal, on which he could confidently reckon, the occasion of its destruction.

The conspirators were up to this time conspirators and nothing more. A Ministry still subsisted which was not initiated in the President's designs nor altogether at his command. On his requiring that the repeal of the law of May 31st should be proposed to the Assembly, the Cabinet resigned. The way to the highest functions of State was thus finally opened for the agents of the coup d'état. St. Arnaud was placed at the War Office, Maupas at the Prefécture of Police. The colleagues assigned to Louis them were too insignificant to exercise any Napoleon 17 control over their actions. At the reopening of demands rethe Assembly on the 4th of November an peal of Law of May 31 energetic message from the President was

read. On the one hand he denounced a vast and perilous combination of all the most dangerous elements of society

which threatened to overwhelm France in the following year; on the other hand he demanded, with certain undefined safeguards, the re-establishment of universal suffrage. The middle classes were scared with the prospect of a Socialist revolution, the Assembly was divided against itself, and the democracy of Paris flattered by the homeg paid to the popular vote. With very little delay a measage repealing the Law of May 31st was introduced into the Assembly. It was supported by the Republicans and & many members of the other groups; but the majority of the Assembly, while anxious to devise some compromise, refused to condemn its own work in the unqualified form

on which the President insisted. The Bill was thrown out by seven votes. Forthwith the rimour Assembly of an impending coup d'état spread through Paris The Ouestors, or members charged with the safeguarding of the Assembly, moved the resolutions necessary to enable them to secure sufficient military aid. Even now prompt action might perhaps have saved the Chamber. But the Republican deputies, incensed by their defeat on the question of universal suffrage, plunger headlong into the snare set for them by the President, an combined with his open or secret partisans to reject it proposition of the Questors Changarnier had blinkly vouched for the fidelity of the army, one Republication deputy, more imaginative than his colleagues, bade the Assembly confide in their invisible sentinel, the people Thus the majority of the Chamber, with the clearest wiming of danger, insisted on giving the aggressor every possible advantage. If the imbecility of opponents is the best augury of success in a hold enterprise, the President had indeed little reason to anticipate failure.

The execution of the coup d'elai was fixed for the extly morning of December 2nd On the previous evening Louis Napoleon held a public reception at the Clysée, his

quict self-possessed manner indicating coup d Hat, nothing of the struggle at hand. Before the guests dispersed the President withdrew to his study. There the last council of the conspirators was held, and they parted, each to the execution of the work assigned to him. The central element in the plan was the arrest of Cavaignac, of Changarnier and three other generals who were members of the Assembly, of eleven

civilian deputies including M. Thiers, and of sixty-two other politicians of influence. Maupas summoned to the Prefecture of Police in the dead of night a sufficient number of his trusted agents, received each of them on his arrival in a separate room, and charged each with the arrest of one of the victims. The arrests were accomplished before dawn, and the leading soldiers and citizens of France met one another in the prison of Mazas. The Palais Bourbon, the meeting-place of the Assembly, was occupied by troops. The national printing establishment was seized by gendarmes, and the proclamation of Louis Napoleon, distributed sentence by sentence to different

was so unpopular that the announcement of its dissolu tion, with the restoration of universal suffrage, pleased rather than alarmed the democratic quarters

Paris on of Paris It was not until some hours had passed that the arrests became generally known, and that the first symptoms of resistance appeared Groups of deputies assembled at the houses the Parliamentary leaders; a body of fifty even succeeded in entering the Palais Bourbon and in commencing a debate they were, however, soon dispersed by soldiers Later in the day above two hundred members assembled at the Mairie of the Tenth Arrondissement. passed resolutions declaring the President removed from his office, and appointing a commander of the troops at Paris The first officers who were sent to clear the Mairie flinched in the execution of their work, and withdrew for further orders. The Magistrates of the High Court, whose duty it was to order the impeachment of the President in case of the violation of his oath to the Constitution, assembled, and commenced the necessary proceedings, but before they could sign a warrant, soldiers forced their way into the hall and drove the judges from the Bench In due course General Forey appeared with a strong bod of troops at the Mairie, where the two hundred deputations were assembled Refusing to disperse, they were one and all arrested, and conducted as prisoners between files of troops to the Barracks of the Quai d'Orsay. The National Guard, whose drums had been removed by their commander in view of any spontaneous movement to arms, remained invisible. Louis Napoleon rode out amidst the acclamations of the soldiery, and when the day closed it seemed as if Paris had resolved to accept the change of Government and the overthrow of the Constitution without

a struggle. There were, however, a few resolute men at work in the workmen's quarters, and in the wealthier part of the city the outrage upon the National Representation gradually roused a spirit of resistance

the morning of December 3rd the Deputy Baudin met with his death in attempting to defend a barricade which had been erected in the l'aubourg St. Antoine The artisans of eastern Paris showed, honever, little inclination to take up arms on behalf of those

who had crushed them in the Four Days of June; the agitation was strongest within the Boulevards, and spread westwards towards the stateliest district of Paris. barricades erected on the south of the Boulevards were so umerous, the crowds so formidable, that towards the close f the day the troops were withdrawn, and it was deterained that after a night of quiet they should make a eneral attack and end the struggle at one low. At midday on December 4th divisions December 4 f the army converged from all directions pon the insurgent quarter. The barricades were captured ir levelled by artillery, and with a loss on the part of the roops of twenty-eight killed and a hundred and eighty counded resistance was overcome. But the soldiers had een taught to regard the inhabitants of Paris as their nemies, and they bettered the instructions given them. Jaddened by drink or panic, they commenced indiscrimnate firing in the Boulevards after the conflict was over, nd slaughtered all who either in the street or at the vindows of the houses came within range of their bullets. According to official admissions, the lives of sixteen ivilians paid for every soldier slain; independent estinates place far higher the number of the victims of this Two thousand arrests followed, and every Frenchman who appeared dangerous to Louis Napoleon's nyrmidons, from Thiers and Victor Hugo down to the marchist orators of the wineshops, was either transported, exiled, or lodged in prison. Thus was the Republic pre-

France in general received the news of the coup d'état vith indifference: where it excited popular movements hese movements were of such a character that Louis Napoeon drew from them the utmost profit. ertain fierce, blind Socialism had spread Plébiscite, imong the poorest of the rural classes in the centre and south of France. In these departments there were isolated risings, accompanied by acts of such murderous outrage and folly that a general terror seized the surrounding districts. In the course of a few days the predatory bands were dispersed, and an unsparing chasisement inflicted on all who were concerned in their nisdeeds; but the reports sent to Paris were too serviceable to Louis Napoleon to be left in obscurity; and these

served and society saved.

brutish village-outbreaks, which collapsed at the first appearance of a handful of soldiers, were represented as the prelude to a vast Socialist revolution from which the coup d'état, and that alone, had saved France. Terrified by the re-appearance of the Red Spectre, the French nation proceeded on the 20th of December to pass its judgmignt on the accomplished usurpation. The question submitted for the plébiscite was, whether the people desired the main-

proceeded on the 20th of December to pass its judgmient on the accomplished usurpation. The question submitted for the plebiscite was, whether the people desired the main tenance of Louis Napoleon's authority and committed to him the necessary powers for establishing a Constitution on the basis laid down in his proclamation of December 2nd. Seven million votes answered this question in

on the basis laid down in his proclamation of December 2nd. Seven million votes answered this question in the affirmative, less than one-tenth of that number in the negative. The result was made known on the last day of the year 1851. On the first day of the new year Louis Napoleon attended a service of thanksgiving nt Notre Dame, took possession of the Tuileries, and restored the

Napoleon attended a service of thanksgiving at Note Dame, took possession of the Tuileries, and restored the eagle as the military emblem of France. He was now nearly that the contract of the civil administration waited impatiently for the revival of the con III.

Imperial title. Nor was the saviour of soque

Emperor, the man to shrink from further responsebee. 2, 1852 bilities. Before the year closed the people was once more called upon to express its will. Seven millions of votes pronounced for hereditary power, and on the anniversary of the coup d'élat Napoleon III. was proclaimed Emperor of the French.

CHAPTER XXI

England and France in 1851—Russia under Nicholas—The Hungarian Refugees-Dispute between France and Russia on the Holy Places-Nicholas and the British Ambassador-Lord Stratford de Redcliffe-Menschikoff's Mission-Russian troops enter the Danubian Principalities—Lord Aberdeen's Cabinet— Movements of the Fleets—The Vienna Note—The Fleets pass the Dardanelles—Turkish Squadron destroyed at Sinope— Declaration of War-Policy of Austria-Policy of Prussia-The Western Powers and the European Concert—Siege of Silistria— The Principalities evacuated—Further objects of the Western Powers-Invasion of the Crimea-Battle of the Alma-The Flank March—Balaclava—Inkermann—Winter in the Crimes— Death of Nicholas-Conference of Vienna-Austria-Progress of the Siege-Plans of Napoleon III.-Canrobert and Pélissier-Unsuccessful Assault-Battle of the Tchernaya-Capture of the Malakoff—Fall of Sebastopol—Fall of Kars—Negotiations for Peace—The Conference of Paris—Treaty of Paris—The Danubian Principalities—Continued discord in the Ottoman Danubian Principalities—Continued Empire—Revision of the Treaty of Paris in 1871.

The year 1851 was memorable in England as that of the Great Exhibition. Thirty-six years of peace, merced by an enormous development of manufacturing industry, by the introduction of railroads, and by the victory of the principle of Free Trade, had culminated in a periode so impressive and so novel that to many it emblem and harbinger of a new epoch in the history of mankind, in which war should

cease, and the rivalry of nations should a

三 1/51

demned the mass of the nation to a life of want, gave moral fervour and elevation to the teaching of Cobden and those who shared his spirit. Like others who have been constrained by a noble enthusiasm, they had their visions; and in their sense of the greatness of that new force which was ready to operate upon human life, the both forgot the incompleteness of their own doctrine, all under-estimated the influences which worked, and long must work, upon mankind in an opposite direction. In perfect sincerity the leader of English economical reform at the middle of this century looked forward to a reign of peace as the result of unfettered intercourse between the members of the European family. What the man of genius and conviction had proclaimed the charlatan repeated in his turn. Louis Napoleon appreciated the upon the trading classes in France. He was ready to salute the Imperial eagles as objects of worship and winyoke the memories of Napoleon's glory when addressing soldiers, when it concerned him to satisfy the commercial world, he was the very embodiment of peace and of peace ful industry. "Certain persons," he said, in an address at Bordeaux, shortly before assuming the title of Emperors "say that the Empire is war. I say that the Empire's peace; for France desires peace, and when France satisfied the world is tranquil. We have waste territores to cultivate, roads to open, harbours to dig, a system of railroads to complete; we have to bring all our great our state. western ports into connection with the American continent by a rapidity of communication which we still want. We have ruins to restore, false gods to overthrow, truths to make triumphant. This is the sense that I attach to the Empire, these are the conquests which I contemplate." Never had the ideal of industrious peace been more impressively set before mankind than in the years which succeeded the convulsion of 1848. Yet the epoch on which Europe was then about to enter proved to be pre-eminently an epoch of war. In the next quarter of a century there was not one of the Great Powers which was not engaged in an armed struggle with its rivals. Nor were the wars of this period in any sense the result of accident, or directly connected with the stream of political tendencies which makes the history of the age. With one exception they

left in their train great changes for which the time was ripe, changes which for more than a generation had been the recognised objects of national desire, but which perruasion and revolution had equally failed to bring into ffect. The Crimean War alone was barren in positive esults of a lasting nature, and may seem only to have postponed, at enormous cost of life, the fall of a doomed ind outworn Power. But the time has not yet arrived vhen the real bearing of the overthrow of Russia in 1854 on the destiny of the Christian races of Turkey can be confidently expressed. The victory of the Sultan's proectors delayed the emancipation of these races for twenty rears; the victory, or the unchecked aggression, of Russia n 1854 might possibly have closed to them for ever the vays to national independence.

The plans formed by the Empress Catherine in the ast century for the restoration of the Greek Empire under prince of the Russian House had long been

ibandoned at St. Petersburg. The later aim of Russian policy found its clearest expres- policy under sion in the Treaty of Unkiar Skelessi, extorted

Russian

from Sultan Mahmud in 1833 in the course of the first war against Mehemet Ali. This Treaty, if it had not been set aside by the Western Powers, would have made the Ottoman Empire a vassal State under the Czar's protection. In the concert of Europe which was called into being by the second war of Mehemet Ali against the Sultan in 1840, Nicholas had considered it his interest to act with England and the German Powers in defence of the Porte against its Egyptian rival and his French ally. A policy of moderation had been imposed upon Russia by the increased watchfulness and activity now displayed by the other European States in all that related to the Ottoman Empire. Isolated aggression had become impracticable; it was necessary for Russia to seek the countenance or support of some ally before venturing on the next step in the extension of its power southwards. In 1844 Nicholas visited England. The object of his journey Nicholas in was to sound the Court and the Government, England, and to lay the foundation for concerted action

between Russia and England, to the exclusion of France, when circumstances should bring about the dissolution of the Ottoman Empire, an event which the Czar

believed to be not far off. Peel was then Prime Minister. Lord Aberdeen was Poreign Secretary. Aberdeen had begun his political career in a diplomatic mission to the Allied Armies in 1814. His feelings towards Russia were those of a loyal friend towards an old ally; and the remembrance of the epoch of 1814, when the young Nicholas had made acquaintance with Lord Aberdeen in France, appears & have given to the Czar a peculiar sense of confidence in the goodwill of the English Minister towards himself Nicholas spoke freely with Aberdeen, as well as with Peel and Wellington, on the impending fall of the Ottomin Limpire. "We linve," he said, "a sick, a dying min on our hands. We must keep him alive so long as it is possible to do so, but we must frankly take into view all contingencies I wish for no inch of Lurkish soil myself, but neither will I permit any other Power to seize in inch of it. France, which has designs upon Africa, upon the Mediterranean, and upon the East, is the only Poly to be feired. An understanding between England and Russia will preserve the peace of Europe." If the Czar pursued his speculations further into detail, of which there is no evidence, he elicited no response. He was heard with crution, and his visit appears to have produced nothing more than the formal expression of a desire on the part of the British Government that the existing treat rights of Russia should be respected by the Porte, together with an unmerning promise that, if unexpected events should occur in Turkey, Russia and England should enter into counsel as to the best course of action to be pursued

Nicholas, whether from policy or from a sense of kingly honour which at most times powerfully influenced him, Micholas in Continental Powers in 1848 to attack Turket He detested revolution, as a crime against the divinely ordered subjection of nations to their rulers.

and would probably have felt lumself degraded had he, in the spirit of his predecessor Catherine, turned the calmittee of his brother-monarchs to his own separate a stockman, and Eastern Papers 16. Parliamentary Papers 1841 and 1841 and

¹ Stockmar, 396 Eastern Papers (s.e. Parliamentary Papers (\$4 vol. 73) part 6 Valmosbury, Hemoits of an ex Unister, 1 402, the last e probably inaccurate Diplomatic Study of the Crimeon War, 11 This work is a Russian official publication and though loose and untrust worthy, is valuable as showing the Russian official view.

advantage. It accorded better with his proud nature, possibly also with the schemes of a far-reaching policy, for Russia to enter the field as the protector of the Hapsburgs against the rebel Hungarians than for its armies to snatch from the Porte what the lapse of time and the goodwill of European allies would probably give to Russia at no distant date without a struggle. Disturbances at Bucharest and at Jassy led indeed to a Russian intervention in the Danubian Principalities in the interests of a despotic system of government; but Russia possessed by treaty protectorial rights over these Provinces. The military occupation which followed the revolt against the Hospodars was the subject of a convention between Turkey and Russia; it was effected by the armies of the two Powers jointly; and at the expiration of two years the Russian forces were peacefully withdrawn. More serious were the difficulties which arose from the flight of Hungarian refugees,

Kossuth and other Hungarian leaders into Turkey after the subjugation of Hungary by the allied Austrian and Russian armies. The

Courts of Vienna and St. Petersburg united in demanding from the Porte the surrender of these refugees; the Sultan Rused to deliver them up, and he was energetically supported by Great Britain, Kossuth's children on their arrival at Constantinople being received and cared for at the British Embassy. The tyrannous demand of the two Emperors, the courageous resistance of the Sultan, excited the utmost interest in Western Europe. By a strange turn of fortune, the Power which at the end of the last century had demanded from the Court of Vienna the Greek leader Rhegas, and had put him to death as soon as he was handed over by the Austrian police, was now gaining the admiration of all free nations as the last barrier that sheltered the champions of European liberty from the vengeance of despotic might. The Czar and the Emperor of Austria had not reckoned with the forces of public indignation aroused against them in the West by their attempt to wrest their enemies from the Sultan's hand. They withdrew their ambassadors from Constantinople and threatened to resort to force. But the appearance of the British and French fleets at the Dardanelles gave a new aspect to the dispute. The Emperors learnt that if they made war upon Turkey for the question at issue they would

have to fight also against the Western Powers The demand for the surrender of the refugees was withdrawn, and in undertaking to keep the principal of them under surveillance for a reasonable period, the Sultan gave to the two Imperial Courts such satisfaction as they could without loss of dignity, accept.

The coup d'etat of Louis Napoleon at the end of it year 1851 was witnessed by the Czar with sympathy and

Dispute between France and Russia on the Holy Places, 1850-2

admiration as a service to the cause of order, but the assumption of the Imperial title by the Prince displeased him exceedingly. While not refusing to recognise Napoleon III., he declined to address him by the term (mon frere) usually employed by monarchs in will-nother. In addition to the question relating to

ing to one another. In addition to the question relating to the Hungarian refugees, a dispute concerning the Holy Places in Palestine threatened to cause strife between France and Russia The same wave of religious and theological interest which in England produced the Tractarian movement brought into the arena of political life in France of enthusiasm for the Church long strange to the Legislatur and the governing circles of Paris. In the Assembly 1840 Montalembert, the spokesman of this Catholicism, was one of the foremost figures Napoleon, as President, sought the favour of those whom Montalembert led, and the same Government which restored the Pope to Rome demanded from the Porte a stricter enforcement of the rights of the Latin Church in the East. The earliest Christian legends had been localised in various spots around Jerusalem. These had been in the ages of faith the goal of countless pilgrimages, and in more recent centuries they had formed the object of treaties between the Porte and France. Greek monks, however, disputed with Latin monks for the guardianship of the Holy Places; and as the power of Russia grew, the privileges of the Greek monks had increased. The claims of the rival brotherhoods, which related to doors, keys, stars and lamps, might probably have been settled to the satisfaction of all parties within a few hours by an experienced stage-manager; in the hands of diplomatists bent on obtaining triumphs over one another they assumed dimen-

¹ Ashley's Palmerston, 11 142 Lane Poole, Stratford de Reddiffe, in 101.

sions that overshadowed the peace of Europe. The French and the Russian Ministers at Constantinople alternately tormented the Sultan in the character of aggrieved sacristans, until, at the beginning of 1852, the Porte compromised itself with both parties by adjudging to each rights which it professed also to secure to the other. A year more, spent in prevarications, in excuses, and in menaces, ended with the triumph of the French, with the evasion of the promises made by the Sultan to Russia, and with the discomfiture of the Greek Church in the person of the monks who officiated at the Holy Sepulchre and the Shrine of the nativity.¹

Nicholas treated the conduct of the Porte as an outrage upon himself. A conflict which had broken out between the Sultan and the Montenegrins, and which now threatened to take a deadly form, confirmed the Czar in his

belief that the time for resolute action had

arrived. At the beginning of the year 1853 Nicholas and he addressed himself to Sir Hamilton Seymour, Jan., mour, British ambassador at St. Petersburg, Feb., 1853

in terms much stronger and clearer than those

which he had used towards Lord Aberdeen nine years before. "The Sick Man," he said, "was in extremities; the time had come for a clear understanding between England and Russia. The occupation of Constantinople by Russian troops might be necessary, but the Czar would not hold it permanently. He would not permit any other Power to establish itself at the Bosphorus, neither would he permit the Ottoman Empire to be broken up into Republics to afford a refuge to the Mazzinis and the Kossuths of Europe. The Danubian Principalities were already independent States under Russian protection. The other possessions of the Sultan north of the Balkans might be placed on the same footing. England might annex Egypt and Crete." After making this communication to the British ambassador, and receiving the reply that England declined to enter into any schemes based on the fall of the Turkish Empire and disclaimed all desire for the annexation of any part of the Sultan's dominions, Nicholas despatched Prince Menschikoff to Constantinople, to demand from the Porte not only an immediate settlement of the questions relating to the Holy Places, but a Treaty

¹ Eastern Papers, i. 55. Diplomatic Study, i. 121

guaranteeing to the Greek Church the undisturbed enjoyment of all its ancient rights and the benefit of all privileges that might be accorded by the Porte to any other Christian communities.1

The Treaty which Menschikoff was instructed to demand would have placed the Sultan and the Czar in the position of contracting parties with regard to the entire body of rights and privileges enjoyed by the

The Claims

Sultan's subjects of the Greek confession, and of Russia would so have made the violation of these rights in the case of any individual Christian a

matter entitling Russia to interfere, or to claim satisfaction as for the breach of a Ireaty engagement. By the Treaty of Kamardji (1774) the Sultan had indeed bound himself "to protect the Christian religion and its Churches"; but this phrase was too indistinct to create specific matter of Treaty-obligation; and if it had given to Russia any general right of interference on behalf of the members of the Greek Church, it would have given it the same right in belialf of all the Roman Catholics and all the Protestants in the Sultan's dominions, a right which the Czars hall Rainardji itself forbade by implication any such construction, for it mentioned by name one ecclesiastical building for whose priests the Porte did concede to Russia the right of addressing representations to the Sultan. Over the Danubian Principalities Russia possessed by Treaty of Admanople undoubted protectorial rights; but these Provinces stood on a footing quite different from that of the remainder of the Empire. I hat the Greek Church possessed by custom and by enactment privileges which it was the duty of the Sultan to respect, no one con-tested; the novelty of Menschikoff's claim was that the tested; the novely of Menselmkoll's claim was that the observation of these rights should be made matter of Treats with Russia. The importance of the demand was proved by the fact that Menschikoff strictly forbide the Turkish Ministers to reveal it to the other Powers, and that Nicholas caused the English Government to be informed that the mission of his envoy had no other objects than the final adjustment of the difficulties respecting the Holy Places 1

I I astern Papers, v 2. 19

Fastern Paners, i 102 Admitted in Diplomatic Study, i 161

When Menschikoff reached Constantinople the British Embassy was in the hands of a subordinate officer. The Ambassador, Sir Stratford Canning, had recently returned to England. Stratford Canning, a cousin of the Premier, had been employed in the East at intervals since 1810. There had been a period in his career when he had desired to see the Turk expelled from Europe as an incurable barbarian; but the reforms of Sultan Mahmud had at a later time excited his warm interest and sympathy, and as Ambassador at Constantinople from 1842 Lord Stratto 1852 he had laboured strenuously for the regeneration of the Turkish Empire, and for Redcliffe the improvement of the condition of the Christian races under the Sultan's rule. His dauntless, sustained energy, his noble presence, the sincerity of his friendship towards the Porte, gave him an influence at Constantinople seldom, if ever, exercised by a foreign statesman. There were moments when he seemed to be achieving results of some value; but the task which he had attempted was one that surpassed human power; and after ten years so spent as to win for him the fame of the greatest ambassador by whom Ingland has been represented in modern times, he declared that the prospects of Turkish reform were hopeless, and left Constantinople, not intending to return. Before his successor had been appointed, the mission of Prince Menschikoff, the violence of his behaviour at Constantinople, and a rumour that he sought far more than his ostensible object, alarmed the British Government. Canning was asked to resume his post. Returning to Constantinople as Lord Stratford de Redcliffe, he communicated on his journey with the Courts of Paris and Vienna, and carried with him authority to order the Admiral of the fleet at Malta to hold his ships in readiness to sail for the East. He arrived at the Bosphorus on April 5th, learnt

¹ He writes thus, April 5, 1851:—"The great game of improvement is altogether up for the present. It is impossible for me to conceal that the main object of my stay here is almost hopeless." Even Palmerston, in the rare moments when he allowed his judgment to master his prepossessions on this subject, expressed the same view. He wrote on November 24, 1850, warning Reschid Pasha "the Turkish Empire is doomed to fall by the timidity and irresolution of its Sovereign and of its Ministers; and it is evident we shall ere long have to consider what other arrangements may be set up in its place." Stratford left Constantinople on leave in June, 1852, but resigned his Embassy altogether in January, 1853. (Lane Poole, Life of Stratford de Redcliffe, ii. 212, 215.)

at once the real situation of affairs, and entered into negotiation with Menschikoff. The Russian, a mere child in diplomacy in comparison with his rival, suffered himself to be persuaded to separate the question of the Holy Places from that of the guarantee of the rights of the Greek Church In the first matter Russia had a good cause; ir the second it was advancing a new claim. The two being dissociated, Stratford had no difficulty in negotiating a compromise on the Holy Places satisfactory to the Czar's representative, and the demand for the Protectorate over the Greek Christians now stood out unobscured by those grievances of detail with which it had been at first interwoven. Stratford encouraged the Turkish Government to reject the Russian proposal Knowing, nevertheless, that Menschikoff would in the last resort endeavour to intimidate the Sultan personally, he withheld from the Ministers. in view of this last peril, the strongest of all his arguments, and seeking a private audience with the Sultan on the 9th of May, he made known to him with great solemnity the authority which he had received to order the fleet at Malta Menschikoff to be in readiness to sail The Sultan placed the natural interpretation on this statement stantinople, and ordered the final rejection of Mensch.

May 21

koff's demand, though the Russian had corsented to a modification of its form, and would now have accepted a note declaratory of the intentions of the Sultan towards the Greek Church instead of a regular Trenty. On the 21st of May Menschikoff quitted Constantinople, and the Czar, declaring that some guarantee must be held

by Russia for the maintenance of the rights troops enter of the Greek Christians, announced that he the Princishould order his army to occupy the Danii-

palities bian Provinces After an interval of some weeks the Russian troops crossed the Pruth, and spread themselves over Moldavia and Wallachin. (June 22nd)

In the ordinary course of affairs the invasion of the territory of one Empire by the troops of another is, and can be nothing else than, an act of war, necessitating hos-tilities as a measure of defence on the part of the Power myaded But the Czar protested that in taking the Donu-bian Principalities in pledge he had no intention of viole-ing the peace, and as yet the common sense of the Turks,

Fastern l'apers, 1 253 319 Lane Poo'e, Stratford, il 24%.

as well as the counsels that they received from without, bade them hesitate before issuing a declaration of war. Since December, 1852, Lord Aberdeen had English been Prime Minister of England, at the head Policy of a Cabinet formed by a coalition between followers of Sir Robert Peel and the Whig leaders Palmerston and Russell. There was no man in England more pacific in disposition, or more anxious to remain on terms of honourable friendship with Russia, than Lord Aberdeen. The Czar had justly reckoned on the Premier's own forbearance; but he had failed to recognise the strength of those forces which, both within and without the Cabinet, set in the direction of armed resistance to Russia. Palmerston was keen for action. Lord Stratford appears to have taken it for granted from the first that, if a war should arise between the Sultan and the Czar in consequence of the rejection of Menschikoff's demand, Great Britain would fight in defence of the Ottoman Empire. He had not stated this in express terms, but the communication which he made to the Sultan regarding his own instructions could only have been intended to convey this impression. the fleet was not to defend the Sultan, it was a mere piece deceit to inform him that the Ambassador had powers to place it in readiness to sail; and such deceit was as alien to the character of Lord Stratford as the assumption of a virtual engagement towards the Sultan was in keeping with his imperious will and his passionate conviction of the duty of England. From the date of Lord Stratford's visit to the Palace, although no Treaty or agreement was in existence, England stood bound in honour, so long as the Turks should pursue the policy laid down by her envoy, to fulfil the expectations which this envoy had held out.

Had Lord Stratford been at the head of the Government, the policy and intentions of Great Britain would no doubt have been announced with such distinctness that the Czar could have fostered no misapprehension as to the results of his own acts. Palmerston, as Premier, would probably have adopted the same clear course, and war would either have been avoided by this nation or have been made with a distinct purpose and on a definite issue.

¹ Palmerston had accepted the office of Home Secretary, but naturally exercised great influence in foreign affairs. The Foreign Secretary was Lord Clarendon.

from coming into collision with their enemies both by land and sea The commander of the Russian troops in the Principalities having, on the 10th of October, rejected an ultimatum requiring him to withdraw within fifteen days, this answer was taken as the signal for the com-mencement of hostilities The Czar met the declaration of war with a statement that he would abstain from taking the offensive, and would continue merely to hold the Principalities as a material guarantee Omar Pasha, the Ottoman commander in Bulgaria, was not permitted to observe the same passive attitude Crossing the Danube, he attacked and defeated the Russians at Oltenitza. Thus assailed, the Czar considered that his engagement not to

Turkish squadron destroyed at Sinope,

act on the offensive was at an end, and the Russian fleet, issuing from Sebastopol, attacked and destroyed a Turkish squadron in the harbour of Sinope on the southern coast of the Black Sea (November 30) The action

was a piece of gross folly on the part of the Russian authorities if they still cherished the hopes of pacification. which the Czar professed, but others also were at fault Lord Stratford and the British Admiral, if they could not prevent the Turkish ships from remaining in the Eurype where they were useless against the superior force Russia, might at least in exercise of the powers given to them have sent a sufficient escort to prevent an encounter-But the same ill-fortune and incompleteness that had marked all the diplomacy of the previous months attended the counsels of the Admirals at the Bosphorus, and the disaster of Sinope rendered war between the Western Powers and Russia almost inevitable

The Furks themselves had certainly not understood the declaration of the Emperor Nicholas as assuring their

Effect of the action at

squidron at Sinope against attack, and so far was the Ottoman Admiral from being the victim of a surprise that he had warned his Government some days before of the probability of his own destruction But to the English people,

indiginant with Russia since us destruction of Hungarian liberty and its tyrannous demand for the surroider of the Hungarian refugees, all that now passed heaped up intolerable sum of autocratic violence and deceit.

1 Eastern Papers, it 201 227, 200

and France

declare war,

March 27,

cannonade which was continued against the Turkish crews at Sinope long after they had become defenceless gave to the battle the aspect of a massacre; the supposed promise of the Czar to act only on the defensive caused it to be denounced as an act of flagrant treachery; the circumstance that the Turkish fleet was lying within one of the Sultan's harbours, touching as it were the territory which the navy of England had undertaken to protect, imparted to the attack the character of a direct challenge and defiance to England. The cry rose loud for war. Napoleon, eager for the alliance with England, eager in conjunction with England to play a great part before Europe, even at the cost of a war from which France had nothing to gain, proposed that the combined fleets should pass the Bosphorus and require every Russian vessel sail-Russian ing on the Black Sea to re-enter port. His ships proposal was adopted by the British Governrequired to ment. Nicholas learnt that the Russian flag enter port, December was swept from the Euxine. It was in vain that a note upon which the representatives of the Powers at

Vienna had once more agreed was accepted by the Porte and forwarded to St. Petersburg (December 31). The pride the Czar was wounded beyond endurance, and at the beginning of February he recalled his ambassadors from London and Paris. A letter written to him by Napoleon III., demanding in the name of himself and the Queen of England the evacuation of the Principalities, was answered by a reference to the campaign of Moscow. Austria now informed the Western Powers that if they would fix a delay for the evacuation of the Principalities, the expiration of which should be the signal for hostilities, it would support the summons; and without waiting to learn whether Austria would also unite with them in hostilities in the event of the summons being rejected, the England British and French Governments despatched

the Court of St. Petersburg to the only measure by which peace could now be preserved. The sultimatum remained without an answer, and on the 27th of

March England and France declared war.

their ultimatum to St. Petersburg. Austria

and Prussia sought, but in vain, to reconcile

The Czar had at one time believed that in his Eastern schemes he was sure of the support of Austria; and he had strong reasons for supposing himself entitled to its aid. But his mode of thought was simpler than that of the Court of Vienna. Schwarzenberg, when it was remarked that the intervention of Pursais in Management

that the intervention of Russia in Hungary Policy of would bind the House of Hapsburg to Austria elosely to its protector, had made the memor able answer, "We will astonish the world by our ingrain tude." It is possible that an instance of Austrian gratitude would have astonished the world most of all; but Schwarzenberg's successors were not the men to sacrifice a sound principle to romance. Two courses of Eastern policy have, under various modifications, had their advocates in rival schools of statesmen at Vienna. The one is that of expansion southward in concert with Russia: the other is that of resistance to the extension of Russian power, and the consequent maintenance of the integrity of the Ottoman Empire. During Metternich's long rule, inspired as this was by a faith in the Treaties and the institutions of 1815, and by the dread of every living, disturbing force, the second of these systems had been consistently followed. the second of these systems had been consistent, following the later mining motive of the Court of Vienna was not a decided political conviction, but the certaint that if it united with Russia it would be brought into was with the Western Powers. Had Russia and Turkey bear likely to remain alone in the arena, an arrangement for likely to remain alone in the arena, an arrangement to territorial compensation would possibly, as on some other occasions, have won for the Czar an Austrian alliance. Combination against Turkey was, however, at the present time, too perilous an enterprise for the Austrian monarchy; and, as nothing was to be gained through the war, it remained for the Viennese diplomatists to see that nothing was lost and as little as possible wasted. The presence of Russian troops in the Principalities, where they controlled the Danube in its course between the Hungarian frontier and the Black Sea, was, in default of some definite understanding, a danger to Austria; and Count Buol, the Minister at Vienna, had therefore every reason to thank the Western Powers for insisting on the evacuation of this district. When France and England were burning to take up arms, it would have been n piece of superfluous brutality towards the Czar for Austria to attach to its own demand for the evacuation of the Principalities the threshof war. But this evacuation Austria was determined enforce. It refused, as did Prussia, to give to the Czar the assurance of its neutrality; and, inasmuch as the free navigation of the Danube as far as the Black Sea had now become recognised as one of the commercial interests of Germany at large, Prussia and the German Federation undertook to protect the territory of Austria, if, in taking the measures necessary to free the Principalities, it should itself be attacked by Russia.

The King of Prussia, clouded as his mind was by political and religious phantasms, had nevertheless at

times a larger range of view than his neighbours; and his opinion as to the true solution

Prussia

of the difficulties between Nicholas and the

Porte, at the time of Menschikoff's mission, deserved more attention than it received. Frederick William proposed that the rights of the Christian subjects of the Sultan should be placed by Treaty under the guarantee of all the Great Powers. This project was opposed by Lord Stratford and the Turkish Ministers as an encroachment on the Sultan's sovereignty, and its rejection led the King to write with some asperity to his ambassador in London that ble should seek the welfare of Prussia in absolute neutral-like should seek the welfare of Prussia in absolute neutral-like condition of any assistance from himself, a guarantee for the maintenance of the frontiers of Germany and Prussia. He regarded Napoleon III. as the representative of a revolutionary system, and believed that under him French armies would soon endeavour to overthrow the order of Europe established in 1815. That England should enter into a close alliance with this man excited the

¹ Treaty of April 20, 1854, and Additional Article, Eastern Papers, ix. 61. The Treaty between Austria and Prussia was one of general defensive alliance, covering also the case of Austria incurring attack through an advance into the Principalities. In the event of Russia annexing the Principalities or sending its troops beyond the Balkans the alliance was to be offensive.

² Briefwechsel F. Wilhelms mit Bunsen, p. 310. Martin's Prince Consort, iii. 39. On November 20, after the Turks had begun war, the King of Prussia wrote thus to Bunsen (the italics, capitals, and exclamations are his own): "All direct help which England in unchristian folly!!!!! Sives TO ISLAM AGAINST CHRISTIANS! will have (besides God's Avenging judgment [hear! hear!]) no other effect than to bring what is now Turkish territory at a somewhat later period under Russian dominion" (Briefwechsel, p. 317). The reader may think that the insanity to which Frederick William suclumbed was already mastering him; but the above is no rare specimen of his epistolary style.

King's astonishment and disgust, and unless the Cabinet of London were prepared to give a guarantee against any future attack on Germany by the French Emperor, who was believed to be ready for every political adventure, it was vain for England to seek Prussia's aid. Lord Aberdeen could give no such guarantee; still less could he gratify the King's strangely passionate demand for the restoration of his authority in the Swiss canton of Neu-châtel, which before 1848 had belonged in name to the Hohenzollerns Many influences were brought to bear upon the King from the side both of England and of The English Court and Ministers, strenuously supported by Bunsen, the Prussian ambassador, strove to enlist the King in an active concert of Europe against Russia by dwelling on the duties of Prussia as a Great Power and the dangers arising to it from isolation. On the other hand, the admiration felt by Frederick William for the Emperor Nicholas, and the old habitual friendship between Priissia and Riissia, gave strength to the Czar's advocates at Berlin Schemes for a reconstruction of Europe, which were devised by Napoleon, and supacind to receive some countenance from Palmerston, reached King's ear. He heard that Austria was to be offer. Danubian Provinces upon condition of giving up northern Italy: that Piedmont was to receive Lombardy, and in return to surrender Savoy to France, that, if Austra-should decline to unite actively with the Western Powers, revolutionary movements were to be stirred up in Italy and in Hungary. Such reports kindled the King's rage "Be under no illusion," he wrote to lus ambassador, "tell the British Ministers in their private ear and on the house-tops that I will not suffer Austria to be attacked by the revolution without drawing the sword in its defence. England and France let loose revolution as their ally, be it where it may, I timite with Russia for life and death." Bursen advocated the participation of Prussia in the Furopean concert with more earnestness than success. While the King was declaiming against the lawlessness which was supposed to have spread from the Tuileries to Dowo-

The Treaty of alliance between France and England, to white Prassia was asked to accode, contained however, a clause He fring the contracting parties "under no circumstances to seek to of tain from the Bar any advantage to themselves

ing Street, Bunsen, on his own authority, sent to Berlin a project for the annexation of Russian territory by Prussia as a reward for its alliance with the Western Courts. This document fell into the hands of the Russian party at Berlin, and it roused the King's own indignation. Bitter reproaches were launched against the authors of so felonious a scheme. Bunsen could no longer retain his office. Other advocates of the Western alliance were dismissed from their places, and the policy of neutrality carried the lay at Berlin.

The situation of the European Powers in April, 1854, was thus a very strange one. All the Four Powers were agreed in demanding the evacuation of the Principalities by Russia, and in the resolution to enforce this, if necessary, by arms. Protocols witnessing this agreement were signed on the 9th of April and the 23rd of May, and it was moreover declared that the Four Powers recognised the necessity of maintaining the the Western independence and the integrity of the Ottoman Powers to the European Concert they made the presence of the Russians in the

Principalities the avowed cause of war, had in reality other contentions than the mere expulsion of the intruder and the restoration of the state of things previously existing. It was their desire so to cripple Russia that it should not again be in a condition to menace the Ottoman Empire. This intention made it impossible for the British Cabinet to name, as the basis of a European league, that single definite object for which, and for which alone, all the Powers were in May, 1854, ready to unite in arms. England, the nation and the Government alike, chose rather to devote itself, in company with France, to the task of indefinitely weakening Russia than, in company with all Europe, to force Russia to one humiliating but inevitable act of submission. Whether in the prosecution of their ulterior objects the Western Courts might or might not receive some armed assistance from Austria and Prussia no man could yet predict with confidence. That Austria would to some extent make common cause with the Allies seemed not unlikely; that Prussia would do so there was no real ground to believe; on the contrary, fair warning had been given that there were contingencies in which

¹ Eastern Papers, viii. 1.

Prussia might ultimately be found on the side of the Czar. Striving to the utmost to discover some principle, some object, or even some formula which might expand the purely defensive basis accepted by Austria and Prussia into a common policy of reconstructive action, the Western Powers could obtain nothing more definite from the Conference at Vienna than the following shadowy engage/ment:—"The Four Governments engage to endeavour in common to discover the guarantees most likely to attach the existence of the Ottoman Empire to the general equilibrium of Europe. They are ready to deliberate as to the employment of means calculated to accomplish the object of their agreement." This readiness to deliberate, so cautiously professed, was a quality in which during the two succeeding years the Courts of Vienna and Berlin were not found wanting, but the war in which England and France were now engaged was one which they had undertaken at their own risk, and they discovered little anxiety on any side to share their labour.

During the winter of 1853 and the first weeks of the following year hostilities of an indecisive character con-

tinued between the Turks and the Russians on the Danubel At the outbreak of the war Nicholas had consulted the veteran Paskiewitsch as to the best Silistria. road by which to march on Constantinople Paskiewitsch, as a strategist, knew the danger to which a Russian force crossing the Danube would be exposed from the presence of Austrian armies on its flank, as commander in the invasion of Hungary in 1849 lie had encountered, as he believed, ill faith and base dealing on the part of his ally, and had repaid it with insult and scorn, he had learnt better than any other man the military and the moral weakness of the Austrian Empire in its eastern part His answer to the Czar's inquiries was, "The road to Constantinople lies through Vienna " But whatever bitterness the Czar might have felt at the ingratitude of Francis Joseph, he was not ready for a war with Austria, in which he could hardly have avoided the assistance of revolutionary allies; moreover, if the road to Constantinople by through Vienna, it might be urged that the road to Vienna lay, through Berlin. The simpler plan was adopted of a marele on the Balkans by way of Shumla, to which the capture of Silistria was to be the prelude. At the end of March

the Russian vanguard passed the Danube at the lowest point where a crossing could be made, and advanced into the Dobrudscha. In May the siege of Silistria was undertaken by Paskiewitsch himself. But the enterprise began too late, and the strength employed both in the siege and in the field-operations farther east was insufficient. The Turkish garrison, schooled by a German engineer and animated by two young English officers, maintained a stubborn and effective resistance. French and English troops had already landed at Gallipoli for the defence of Constantinople, and finding no enemy within range had taken ship for Varna on the north of the Balkans. Austria, on the 3rd of June, delivered its summons requiring the evacuation of the Principalities. Principalities Almost at the same time Paskiewitsch re- evacuated, ceived a wound that disabled him, and was forced to surrender his command into other hands. During the succeeding fortnight the besiegers of Silistria were repeatedly driven back, and on the 22nd they were compelled to raise the siege. The Russians, now hard pressed by an enemy whom they had despised, withdrew to the horth of the Danube. The retreating movement was con-Sinued during the succeeding weeks, until the evacuation of the Principalities was complete, and the last Russian soldier had recrossed the Pruth. As the invader retired, Austria sent its troops into these provinces, pledging itself by a convention with the Porte to protect them until peace should be concluded, and then to restore them to the Sultan.

With the liberation of the Principalities the avowed ground of war passed away; but the Western Powers had no intention of making peace without further concessions on the part of Russia. As soon as the siege of Silistria was raised instructions the Western were sent to the commanders of the allied Powers armies at Varna, pressing, if not absolutely commanding, them to attack Sebastopol, the headquarters of Russian maritime power in the Euxine. The capture of Sebastopol had been indicated some months before by Napoleon III. as the most effective blow that could be dealt to Russia. It was from Sebastopol that the fleet had issued which destroyed the Turks at Sinope: until this arsenal had fallen, the growing naval might which pressed even more

directly upon Constantinople than the neighbourhood of the Czar's armies by land could not be permanently laid low. The objects sought by England and France were now gradually brought into sufficient clearness to be communicated to the other Powers, though the more precise infuture discussion It was announced that the Protectorate of Russia over the Danubian Principalities and Servin must be abolished, that the navigation of the Danube at its mouths must be freed from all obstacles; that the Treaty of July, 1841, relating to the Black Sea and the Dardanelles, must be revised in the interest of the balance of power in Europe, and that the claim to any official Protectorate over Christian subjects of the Porte, of whitever rite, must be abandoned by the Czar Though these conditions, known as the Four Points, were not approved by Prussia, they were accepted by Austria in August, 1854. and were laid before Russia as the basis of any negotiation for peace. The Czar declared in answer that Russia would only negotiate on such a basis when at the last extremity The Allied Governments, measuring their enemy's weakness by his failure before Silistri, were determined accept nothing less, and the attack upon Sebistopo ordered before the evacuation of the Principalities, wis

consequently allowed to take its course.

The Roadstead, or Great Harbour, of Sebastopol rum due eastwards inland from a point not far from the south-

Sebastopol western extremity of the Crimea. One mile from the open sea its waters divide, the larger arm still rinning eastwards till it meets the River Tehernaya, the smaller arm, known as the Man-of-War Harbour, bending sharply to the south On both sides if this smaller harbour Sehastopol is built. To the seaward, that is from the smaller harbour westwards, Sebastopol and its approaches were thoroughly fortified. On its landward, southern, side the tiny land been open till 1853, and it was still but imperfectly protected, most weakly on the south-eastern side. On the north of the Gran Harbour

descending, in order to keep t

¹ Eastern Papers, xi 3 Ashley's Polmerston, ii 60. For the navigation of the mouths of the Dunube, see Diplomaire Study, II 33 Russin which had been in possession of the mouths of the Danube since the Treaty of Adrianople, and has allowed the payange to become

ort Constantine at the head of a line of strong defences larded the entrance from the sea; while on the high ound immediately opposite Sebastopol and commandg the town there stood the Star Fort with other military nstructions. The general features of Sebastopol were lown to the Allied commanders; they had, however, no ecise information as to the force by which it was held, or as to the armament of its fortifications. It was deterined that the landing should be made in the Bay of upatoria, thirty miles north of the fortress. Here, on the th of September, the Allied forces, number-The Allies g about thirty thousand French, twentyland in the Crimea, ven thousand English, and seven thousand Sept. 14 irks, effected their disembarkation without eeting any resistance. The Russians, commanded by rince Menschikoff, lately envoy of Constantinople, had ken post ten miles further south on high ground behind e River Alma. On the 20th of September they were tacked in front by the English, while the Battle of ench attempted a turning movement from the Alma, e sea. The battle was a scene of confusion, nd for a moment the assault of the English seemed to be olled back. But it was renewed with ever increasing gour, and before the French had made any impression i the Russian left Lord Raglan's troops had driven the nemy from their positions. Struck on the flank when leir front was already broken, outnumbered and badly d, the Russians gave up all for lost. The form of an derly retreat was maintained only long enough to disuise from the conquerors the completeness of their vicry. When night fell the Russian army abandoned itself total disorder, and had the pursuit been made at once could scarcely have escaped destruction. But St. rnaud, who was in the last stage of mortal illness, sfused, in spite of the appeal of Lord Raglan, to press n his wearied troops. Menschikoff, abandoning the hope I checking the advance of the Allies in a second battle, nd anxious only to prevent the capture of Sebastopol by n enemy supposed to be following at his heels, retired nto the fortress, and there sank seven of his war-ships s a barrier across the mouth of the Great Harbour, moorng the rest within. The crews were brought on shore to erve in the defence by land; the guns were dragged from

the ships to the bastions and redoubts. Then, when it appeared that the Allies lingered, the Russian commander altered his plan. Leaving Korniloff, the Vice-Admiral, and Todleben, an officer of engineers, to man the existing works and to throw up new ones where the town was undefended. Menschikoff determined to lead off the bulk of his army into the interior of the Crimea, in order to keep open his communications with Russia, to await in freedom the arrival of reinforcements, and, if Sebastopol should not at once fall, to attack the Allies at his own time and opportunity. (September 24th.)

The English had lost in the battle of the Alma about two thousand men, the French probably less than half that number. On the morning after the engagement Lord

to south of Sebastonol

Raglan proposed that the two armies should Flank march march straight against the fortifications lying on the north of the Great Harbour, and carry these by storm, so winning a position where

their guns would command Sebastopol itself. The French, supported by Burgovne, the chief of the English engineers, shrank from the risk of a front attack on work supposed to be more formidable than they really we. and induced Lord Ragian to consent to n long circuitou march which would bring the armies right round Sebatopol to its more open southern side, from which, it was thought, an assault might be successfully made. This flank-march, which was one of extreme risk, was carried out safely. Menschikoff himself having left Sebastopol, and having passed along the same road in his retreat into the interior a little before the appearance of the Allies Pushing southward, the English reached the sea at Balaclava, and took possession of the harbour there, accepting the exposed eastward hae between the fortress and the Russians outside; the French, now commanded by Canrobert, continued their march westwards round the back of Sebastopol, and touched the sea at Kasatch Bay. The two armies were thus masters of the broken plateau which, rising westwards from the plain of Balaclava and the southern side. That the garnson, which now consisted chiefly of sailors, could at this moment have resisted the onslaught of the fifty thousand troops who had won the battle of the Alma, the Russians themselves did not be-

lieve: but once more the French staff, with Burgoyne, urged caution, and it was determined to wait for the siege-guns, which were still at sea. The decision was a fatal one. While the Allies chose positions for their heavy artillery and slowly landed and placed their guns, Korniloff and Todleben made the fortifications on the southern side of Sebastopol an effective barrier before an enemy. The sacrifice of the Russian fleet had not been in vain. The sailors were learning all the duties of a garrison: the cannon from the ships proved far more valuable on land. Three weeks of priceless time were given to leaders who knew how to turn every moment to account. When, on the 17th of October, the bombardment which was to precede the assault on Sebastopol began, the French artillery, operating on the south-west, was overpowered by that of the defenders. The fleets in vain thundered against the solid sea-front of the Sept. 17-25 fortress. At the end of eight days' cannonade, during which the besiegers' batteries poured such a
storm of shot and shell upon Sebastopol as no fortress had vet withstood, the defences were still unbroken.

Menschikoff in the meantime had received the reinforcements which he expected, and was now ready to fall upon the besiegers from the east. His point of attack was

the English port of Balaclava and the forti-

fied road lying somewhat east of this, which formed the outer line held by the English and their Turkish supports. The plain of Balaclava, Oct. 25 clava is divided by a low ridge into a northern and a southern valley. Along this ridge runs the causeway, which had been protected by redoubts committed to a weak Turkish guard. On the morning of the 25th the Russians appeared in the northern valley. They occupied the heights rising from it on the north and east, attacked the causeway, captured three of the redoubts, and drove off the Turks, left to meet their onset alone. Lord Raglan, who watched these operations from the edge of the western plateau, ordered up infantry from a distance, but the only English troops on the spot were a light and a heavy brigade of cavalry, each numbering about six hundred men. The Heavy Brigade, under General Scarlett, was

¹ See, however, Burgoyne's Letter to the *Times*, August 4, 1868, in Kinglake, iv. 465. Rousset, Guerre de Crimée, i. 280.

F1824

directed to move towards Balaclava itself, which was now threatened While they were on the march, a dense column of Russian cavalry, about three thousand strong, appeared above the erest of the low ridge, ready, as it seemed, to overwhelm the weak troops before them But in their descent from the ridge the Russians halted, and Scarlett with admirable courage and judgment formed his men for attack, and charged full into the enemy with the handful who were nearest to him They cut their way into the very heart of the column, and before the Russians could erush them with mere weight the other regiments of the same brigade hurled themselves on the right and on the left against the huge mert mass. The Russians broke and retreated in disorder before a quarter of their number, leaving to Scarlett and his men the glory of an action which ranks with the Prussian attack at Mars-In-Tour in 1870 as the most brilliant cavalry-operation in modern warfare. The squadrons of the Light Brigade, during the peril and the victory of their comrades, stood motionless, paralysed by the same defect of temper or intelligence in command which was soon to devote them a a fruitless but ever-memorable act of self-sacrifiee. Russi infantry were carrying off the cannon from the conquered redoubts on the causeway, when an aide-de-camp from the general-in-chief brought to the Earl of Lucan, commander of the cavalry, an order to advance rapidly to the front, and save these guns. Lucan, who from his position could see neither the enemy nor the guns, believed himself ordered to attack the Russian artillery at the extremity of the northern valley, and he directed the Light Brigade to charge in this direction. It was in vain that the leader of the Light Brigade, Lord Cardigan, warned his chief, in words which were indeed but too weak, that there was a battery in front, a baiters on each flank, and that the ground was covered with Russian riflemen. The order was repeated as that of the head of the army, and it was obeved Thus

"Into the valley of Death Rode the Six Hundred "

How they died there, the remnant not turning till they, had hewn their way past the guns and routed the enemy's cavalry behind them, the English people will never forget

s Statements of Raglan I ucan, Cardiran, Linglake, v 108, 401

The day of Balaclava brought to each side something of victory and something of failure. The Russians remained masters of the road that they had captured, and carried off seven English guns; the English, where they had met the enemy, proved that they could defeat overwhelming numbers. Not many days passed

before our infantry were put to the test which the cavalry had so victoriously undergone.

Battle of Inkermann, Nov. 5

The siege-approaches of the French had been rapidly advanced, and it was determined that on the 5th of November the long-deferred assault on Sebastopol should be made. On that very morning, under cover of a thick mist, the English right was assailed by massive columns of the enemy. Menschikoff's army had now risen to a hundred thousand men; he had thrown troops into Sebastopol, and had planned the capture of the English positions by a combined attack from Sebastopol itself, and by troops advancing from the lower valley of the Tchernaya across the bridge of Inkermann. The battle of the 5th of November, on the part of the English, was a soldier's battle, without generalship, without order, withsout design. The men, standing to their ground whatever their own number and whatever that of the foe, fought, after their ammunition was exhausted, with bayonets, with the butt ends of their muskets, with their fists and with stones. For hours the ever-surging Russian mass rolled in upon them; but they maintained the unequal struggle until the arrival of French regiments saved them from their deadly peril and the enemy were driven in confusion from the field. The Russian columns, marching right up to the guns, had been torn in pieces by artillery-fire. Their loss in killed and wounded was enormous, their defeat one which no ingenuity could disguise. Yet the battle of Inkermann had made the capture of Sebastopol, as it had been planned by the Allies, impossible. Their own loss was too great, the force which the enemy had displayed was too vast, to leave any hope that the fortress could be mastered by a sudden assault. The terrible truth soon became plain that the enterprise on which the armies had been sent had in fact failed, and that another enterprise of a quite different character, a winter siege in the presence of a superior enemy, a campaign for which no preparations had been made, and for which all that was most necessary

was wanting, formed the only alternative to an evacuation of the Crimea.

On the 14th of November the Euxine winter began with a storm which swept away the tents on the exposed plateau and wrecked twenty-one vessels bearing stores of ammunition and clothing. From this time rain and snow turner

the tract between the camp and Balaclava infa morass. The loss of the paved road which had been captured by the Russians three weeks before now told with fatal effect on the British army. The only communication with the port of Balaclava was by a hillside track, which soon became impassable by carts. It was necessary to bring up supplies on the brcks of horses, but the horses perished from famine and from excessive labour. The men were too few, too weak, too destitute of the helpful ways of English.

destitute of the helpful ways of English Winter tn sailors, to assist in providing for themselves the Crimea Thus penned up on the bleak promontory, cholera-stricken, mocked rather than sustained during their benumbing toil with rations of uncooked meat and green effective force sank at mid-winter to eleven thousand null to those who passed within them than the fiercest fire of the enemy, nine thousand men perished before the end of February The time indeed came when the very Spirit of Mercy seemed to enter these abodes of woe, and in the presence of Florence Nightingale nature at last reguined its healing power, pestilence no longer hung in the atmosphere which the sufferers breathed, and death itself grow mild But before this new influence had vanquished routine the grave had closed over whole regiments of men whom it had no right to claim. The sufferings of other armies have been on a greater scale, but seldom has any body of troops furnished a hervier tale of loss and death in proportion to its numbers than the British orm, during the winter of the Crimean War. The unspiring exposure in the Press of the mismanngement under which our, soldiers were penshing excited an outburst of indignation which overthrew Lord Aberdeen's Ministry and placed Palmerston in power. It also give to Europe at large in impression that Great Britain no longer knew how to conduct a war, and unduly rused the reputation of the French military administration, whose shortcomings, great as they were, no French journalist dared to describe. In spite of Alma and Inkermann, the military prestige of England was injured, not raised, by the Crimean campaign; nor was it until the suppression of the Indian Mutiny that the true capacity of the nation in war was again vindicated before the world.

"I have two generals who will not fail me. the Czar is reported to have said when he heard of Menschizen's last defeat, "Generals January and February."

General February fulfilled his task, but he state of smote the Czar too. In the first days of March a new monarch inherited the Russia-

crown. Alexander II. ascended the throne. That he would adhere to the policy of Personal Catherine, and of Nicholas. But the provide meant rather for the ear of Russia than of Nicholas had already expressed his william for peace on the basis laid down by the in August, 1854. This change was not by the battles of Alma and Inkermann.

Iself isolated in Germany. Ital after some proved by Austria as indispensable continuous thus thus stood forsaken, as it seems the mere abandonment of those claim occasion of the war. He contents a distribution of the war. Austria

more closely to the Western Powers, and bound uself by treaty, in the event of peace not being concluded by the end of the year on the stated basis, to deliberate with France and England upon effectual means for obtaining the object of the Alliance. Preparations were made for a

Conference of Vienna, trom which Prussia, of Vienna, still declining to pledge itself to warlike action in case of the failure of the negotiations, was excluded. The sittings of the Con-

ference began a few days after the accession of Alexander II. Russia was represented by its ambassador, Prince Alexander Gortschakoff, who, as Minister of later years, was to play so conspicuous a part in undoing the work of the Crimean epoch. On the first two Articles forming the subject of negotiation, namely the abolition of the Russian Protectorate over Servia and the Principalities, and the removal of all impediments to the free navigation of the Danube, agreement was reached. On the third Artiele, the revision of the Treaty of July, 1841, relating to the Black Sea and the Dardanelles, the Russian envoy and the representatives of the Western Powers found themselves completely in variance. Gorischakoff had admitted that the Treaty of 1841 must be so revised as to put an end to the preponderance of Russia in the Black Sef, but while the Western Governments insisted upon the exclusion of Russian war-vessels from these waters, Gortschakoff would consent only to the abolition of Russia's preponderance by the free admission of the war-vessels of all nations, or by some similar method of counterpoise. The negotiations accordingly came to an end, but not before Austria, disputing the contention of the Allies that the object of the third Article could be attained only by the

Austria forward a third scheme based partly upon the limitation of the Russian nav; in the Euxine, partly upon the admission of war-ships of other nations. This scheme was rejected by the Western Powers, where upon Austria declared that its obligations under the Treaty of December 2nd, 1854, had now been fulfilled, and that it returned in consequence to the position of a neutral.

I astern Papers, Part 13 1

¹ Parliamentary Papers, 1864 5, vol. 55 p. 1, Dec. 2, 1854. Athley's Palmerston, 11. 84.

Great indignation was felt and was expressed at London and Paris at this so-called act of desertion, and at the subsequent withdrawal of Austrian regiments from the positions which they had occupied in anticipation of war. It was alleged that in the first two conditions of peace Austria had seen its own special interests effectually secured; and that as soon as the Court of St. Petersburg had given the necessary assurances on these heads the Cabinet of Vienna was willing to sacrifice the other objects of the Alliance and to abandon the cause of the Maritime Powers, in order to regain, with whatever loss of honour, the friendship of the Czar. Though it was answered with perfect truth that Austria had never accepted the principle of the exclusion of Russia from the Black Sea, and was still ready to take up arms in defence of that system by which it considered that Russia's preponderance in the Black Sea might be most suitably prevented, this argument sounded hollow to combatants convinced of the futility of all methods for holding Russia in check except their own. Austria had grievously injured its own posi-tion and credit with the Western Powers. On the other and it had wounded Russia too deeply to win from the Czar the forgiveness which it expected. Its policy of balance, whether best described as too subtle or as too impartial, had miscarried. It had forfeited its old, without acquiring new, friendships. It remained isolated Europe, and destined to meet without support and without an ally the blows which were soon to fall upon it.

The prospects of the besieging armies before Sebastopol were in some respects better towards the close of January, 1855, than they were when the Con-Progress of ference of Vienna commenced its sittings six the siege, Januaryweeks later. Sardinia, under the guidance of May, 1855 Cavour, had joined the Western Alliance, and was about to send fifteen thousand soldiers to the Crimea. A new plan of operations, which promised excellent results, had been adopted at headquarters. Up to the end of 1854 the French had directed their main attack against the Flagstaff bastion, a little to the west of the head of the Man-of-War Harbour. They were now, however, convinced by Lord Raglan that the true keystone to the defences of Sebastopol was the Malakoff, on the eastern side, and they undertook the reduction of this formidable

work, while the British directed their efforts against the neighbouring Redan. The heaviest fire of the besiege being thus concentrated on a narrow line, it seemed as Sebastopol must soon fall. But at the beginning February a sinister change came over the French came General Niel arrived from Paris vested with powers white really placed him in control of the general-in-chief; an though Canrobert was but partially made acquainted will the Emperor's designs, he was forced to sacrifice to the much of his own honour and that of the army. Napolec had determined to come to the Crimea himself, and the fitting moment to end by one grand stroke the which had dragged so heavily in the hands of others. It believed that Sebastopol could only be taken by a comple investment, and it was his design to land with a fresh arm on the south-eastern coast of the Crimea, to march acrothe interior of the peninsula, to sweep Menschikoff's force from their position above the Tchernava, and to complet the investment of Sebastopol from the north. With the scheme of operations in view, all labour expended in it attack on Sebistopol from the south was effort the away. Canrobert, who had promised his most vigor co-operation to Lord Raglan, was fettered and paral by the Emperor's emissary at head-quarters. For thre successive months the Russians not only held their own but by means of counter-approaches won back from the French some of the ground that they had taken. The yer existence of the Alliance was threatened when, after Car robert and Lord Raglan had despatched a force to seir the Russian posts on the Sea of Azof, the French portio of this force was peremptorily recalled by the Emperor, i order that it might be employed in the march northwird across the Crimer At length, unable to cr Canrobert succeeded by

was succeeded by a sked to be releved of his command. It has a sked to be releved of his command. It was succeeded by General Peliser. Peliser, a resolute, energetic soldier, one moreover who do not owe his promotion to complicity in the coup delta. If the refused to obey the Emperor's orders. Sweepin aside the flims schemes evolved in the Tuikries, he is turned with all his heart to the plan agreed upon by the Allied commanders at the beginning of the veri; and from

1 Kinglake, vil 21 Rousel, Il 35, 145

34I

this time, though disasters were still in store, they were not the result of faltering or disloyalty at the headquarters of the French army. The general assault on the Malakoss and the Redan was fixed for the 18th of June. It was bravely met by the Russians; the Unsuccessful Allies were driven back with heavy loss, and Three months more were added to the duration of the siege. Lord Raglan did not live to witness the last stage of the war. Exhausted by his labours, heartsick at the failure of the great attack, he died on the 28th of June, leaving the command to General Simpson, an officer far his inferior. As the lines of the besiegers approached nearer and nearer to the Russian fortificanearer and nearer to the Russian fortifica-tions, the army which had been defeated at Tchernaya, Inkermann advanced for one last effort. Crossing the Tchernaya, it gave battle on the 16th of August. The French and the Sardinians, with little assistance from the British army, won a decisive victory. Sebastopol could hope no longer for assistance from without, and on the 8th of September the blow which had failed in June was dealt once more. The Capture French, throwing themselves in great of the rength upon the Malakoff, carried this for-Malakoff, usess by storm, and frustrated every effort made for its recovery; the British, attacking the Redan with a miserably weak force, were beaten and overpowered. But the fall of the Malakoff was in itself equivalent to the capture of Sebastopol. A few more hours passed, and a series of tremendous explosions made known to the Allies that the Russian commander was blowing up his magazines and withdrawing to the north of the Great Harbour. The prize was at length won, and at the end of a siege of three Sebastopol, hundred and fifty days what remained of the Czar's

The Allies had lost since their landing in the Crimea not less than a hundred thousand men. An enterprise undertaken in the belief that it would be accomplished in the course of a few weeks, and with no greater sacrifice of life than attends every attack upon of Russian fortified.

great fortress passed into the hands of his enemies.

a fortified place, had proved arduous and terrible almost beyond example. Yet if the Crimean campaign was the result of error and blindness on the part of

History of Modern Europe [1855] 342

the invaders, it was perhaps even more disastrous to Russia than any warfare in which an enemy would have been likely to engage with fuller knowledge of the condi-tions to be met. The vast distances that separated Seba-topol from the military depôts in the interior of Russia-made its defence a drain of the most fearful character on the levies and the resources of the country. What tens, of thousands sank in the endless, unsheltered march without ever nearing the sea, what provinces were swept of their beasts of burden, when every larger shell fired against the enemy had to be borne hundreds of miles by oxen, the records of the war but vaguely make known. The total loss of the Russians should perhaps be reckoned at three times that of the Allies. Yet the fall of Schastopol was not immediately followed by peace. The hesitation of the Allies in cutting off the retreat of the Russian army had enabled its commander to retain his hold upon the Crimea; in Asia, the delays of a Turkish relieving army gave to the Czar one last gleam of success in the

Fait of Kars, capture of Kars, which, niter a strenuous re-

sistance, succumbed to famine on the 28th of November. But before Kars had fallen negotiations its. peace had commenced. France was weary of the way Napoleon, himself unwilling to continue it except at the price of French aggrandisement on the Continent, was surrounded by a band of palace stock-jobbers who had staked everything on the rise of the funds that would result from peace. It was known at every Court of Europe that the Allies were completely at variance with one another; that while the English nation, stung by the failure of its military administration during the winter, by the millity of its naval operations in the Baltic, and by the final disaster at the Redan, was eager to prove its real power in a new campaign, the ruler of France, satisfied with the crowning glory of the Malakoff, was anxious to conclude peace on any tolerable terms. Secret communications from

St. Petersburg were made at Paris by Baron Negotiations Seebach, envoy of Saxony, a son-in-law of for peace the Russian Chancellor: the Austrian Cabinet, still bent on acting the part of arbiter, but hopeless of the results of a new Conference, addressed itself to the Emperor Napoleon singly, and persuaded him to enter into a negotiation which was concealed for a while from

Great Britain. The two intrigues were simultaneously pursued by our ally, but Seebach's proposals were such that even the warmest friends of Russia at the Tuileries could scarcely support them, and the Viennese diplomatists won the day. It was agreed that a note containing Preiminaries of Peace should be presented by Austria at St. Petersburg as its own ultimatum, after the Emperor Napoleon should have won from the British Government its assent to these terms without any alteration. The Ausrian project embodied indeed the Four Points which Britain had in previous months fixed as the conditions of beace, and in substance it differed little from what, even after the fall of Sebastopol, British statesmen were still prepared to accept; but it was impossible that a scheme completed without the participation of Britain and laid down for its passive acceptance should be thus uncomplainingly adopted by its Government. Lord Palmerston required that the Four Articles enumerated should be understood to cover points not immediately apparent on their surface, and that a fifth Article should be added reserving to the Powers the right of demanding certain further special conditions, it being understood that Great Britain yould require under this clause only that Russia should bind itself to leave the Aland Islands in the Baltic Sea unfortified. Modified in accordance with the demand of the British Government, the Austrian draft was presented to the Czar at the end of December, with the notification that if it was not accepted by the 16th of January the Austrian ambassador would quit St. Petersburg. On the 15th a Council was held in the presence of the Czar. Nesselrode, who first gave his opinion, urged that the continuance of the war would plunge Russia into hostilities with all Europe, and advised submission to a compact which would last only until Russia had recovered its strength or new relations had arisen among the Powers. One Minister after another declared that Poland. Finland. the Crimea, and the Caucasus would be endangered if peace were not now made; the Chief of the Finances stated that Russia could not go through another campaign without bankruptcy.1 At the end of the discussion the Council declared unanimously in favour of accepting the Austrian propositions; and although the national feeling was still Diplomatic Study, ii. Hr. Martin, Prince Consort, iii. 234.

in favour of resistance, there appears to have been one Russian statesman alone, Prince Gortschakoff, ambassador at Vienna, who sought to dissuade the Czar from making peace. His advice was not taken. The vote of the Council was followed by the despatch of plenipotentiaries to Paris, and here, on the 25th of Tebruary, 1856, the envoys of all the Powers, with the exception of Prusassembled in Conference, in order to frame the definit Treaty of Peace.

In the debates which now followed, and which occup more than a month, Lord Clarendon, who represen

Conference of Paris, reb 25, 1856
Russian and the French envoys combine so completely was the Court of the Tuiler

now identified with a policy of conciliation and friendlin towards. Russia. Great firmness, great planness speech was needed on the part of the British Governme in order to prevent the recognised objects of the war fribeing surrendered by its ally, not from a conviction titley were visionary or unattainable, but from unsteading of purpose and from the desire to convert a defeated ene into a friend. The end, however, was at length reach

Treaty of Paris, March 30, 1856 and on the 30th of March the Treaty of Pi was signed. The Black Sea was neutralist its waters and ports, thrown open to the meantile marine of every nation, were form.

and in perpetuity interdicted to the war-ships both of Powers possessing its const and of all other Powers. To car and the Sultan undertook not to establish or mint upon its coasts any military or mantime arsenal. Rus ceded a portion of Bessarabia, accepting a frontier with the founder of the first that the Drinbe The free navigation of triver, henceforth to be effectively maintained by an informational Commission, was declared part of the public 1 of Europe. The Powers declared the Sublime Porter

Prussia was admitted when the first Articles and tren settled, ar learne necessary to revise the Frenty of July, 1841, of which Prussia lean are of the signatories

^{2.&}quot; In the course of the deliberation whenever our [Russian] pleotentiaries found theraselves in the presence of insurnountable differes, they appealed to the personal intervention of this sovereign [Silvon] and had only to congratulate themselves on the result "—Diplem Stuly, if 1.2.

on rights of

mitted to participate in the advantages of the public law and concert of Europe, each engaging to respect the independence and integrity of the Ottoman Empire, and all guaranteeing in common the strict observance of this engagement, and promising to consider any act tending to its violation as a question of general interest. The Sultan "having, in his constant solicitude for the welfare of his subjects, issued a firman recording his generous intentions towards the Christian population of his empire, and having communicated it to the Powers," the Powers "recognised the high value of this communication," declaring at the same time "that it could not, in any case, give to them the right to interfere, either collectively or separately, in the relations of the Sultan to his subjects, or in the internal administration of his empire." The Danubian Principalities, augmented by the strip of Bessarabia taken from Russia, were to continue to enjoy, under the suzerainty of the Porte and under the guarantee of the Powers, all the privileges and immunities of which they were in possession, no exclusive protection being exercised by any of the guaranteeing Powers.2

Passing beyond the immediate subjects of negotiation, the Conference availed itself of its international character who gain the consent of Great Britain to a change in the laws of maritime war. England had always claimed, and had always exercised, the right to seize an enemy's goods on the high sea though conveyed in a neutral vessel, and to stop and Conference

purpose. The exercise of this right had stirred up against England the Maritime League of 1800, and was condemned by nearly the whole civilised world. Nothing short of an absolute command of the seas made

search the merchant-ships of neutrals for this

¹ Three pages of promises. Eastern Papers, xvii. One was kept faithfully. "To accomplish these objects, means shall be sought to profit by the science, the art, and the funds of Europe." One of the drollest of the prophecies of that time is the congratulatory address of the Missionaries to Lord Stratford de Redcliffe, id. 1882.—"The Imperial Hatti-sheriff has convinced us that our fond expectations are likely to be realised. The light will shine upon those who have long sat in darkness; and blest by social prosperity and religious freedom, the millions of Turkey will, we trust, be seen ere long sitting peacefully under their own vine and figtree." So they were, and with poor Lord Stratford's fortune, among others, in their pockets.

² All verbatim from the Treaty. Parl. Papers, 1856, vol. 61, p. 1.

it safe or possible for a single Power to maintain a practice which threatened at moments of danger to turn the whole body of neutral States into its enemies if the seizure of belligerents' goods in neutral ships profited England when it was itself at war, it injured England at all times when it remained at peace during the struggles of other States Similarly by the issue of privateers England inflicted great injury on its enemies, but its own commerce, exceeding that of every other State, offered to the privateers of its foes a still richer booty. The advantages of the existing laws of maritime war were not altogether on the side of England, though mistress of the sers, and in return for the abolition of privateering, the British Government consented to surrender its sharpest, but most dangerous, weapon of offence, and to permit the products of a hostile State to find a market in time of war rule was laid down that the goods of an enemy other than contraband of war should henceforth be safe neutral flag. Neutrals' goods discovered on an enemy's ship were similarly made exempt from capture.

The enactments of the Conference of Paris relating commerce in time of hostilines have not yet been subject to the strain of a war between England and any Europe Pictions of State, its conclusions on all other subject.

rictions of State, its conclusions on all other subject the Treaty were but too soon put to the test, and have of Parls as one after another been found wanting if

one after another been found wanting the Power which calls man into his moment of life could smile at the efforts and the assumptions of its creature, such smile might have been moved by the assembly of statesmen who, at the close of the Crimean War, affected to shape the future of Fastern Europe They persunded themselves that by dint of the iteration of certhin phrases they could convert the Sultan and his hungry troop of Pashas into the chiefs of a Puropean State. They iningined that the House of Osman, which in the stages of a continuous decline lind successively lost its sum over Hungary, over Serviz, over Southern Greece and the Danubian Provinces, and which would twice within the list twenty-five years have seen its Empire dished to pieces by an Egyptian vassal but for the intervention of Europe. might be arrested in its decidence by an incintation, and be made strong enough and enlightened enough to govern

to all time the Slavic and Greek populations which had still the misfortune to be included within its dominions,

crating the growing force of nationality in European affairs, and imagining that in the championship of the principle of nationality against the Treaties of 1815 he would sooner or later find means for the aggrandisement of himself and France, he proposed that the Provinces of Moldavia and Wallachia, while remaining in dependence upon the Sultan, should be united into a single State under a proposed that the Provinces of the Sultan, should be united into a single State under the provinces of the state of th a prince chosen by themselves The English Ministry would not hear of this union. In their view the creation of a Roumanian Principality under a chief not appointed by the Porte was simply the abstraction from the Sulian of six million persons who at present acknowledged his suzerainty, and whose tribute to Constantinople ought, according to Lord Clarendon, to be increased. Austra fearing the effect of a Roumanian national movement upon its own Roumanian subjects in Transylvania, joined in resistance to Napoleon's scheme, and the political organisation of the Principilities was in consequence reserved by the Conference of Paris for future settlement. Elections were held in the spring of t857 under a decree from the Porte, with the result that Moldavia, as it seemed, pronounced against union with the sister province. But of complaint at once arose that the Porte had falsified by popular vote. France and Russia Ind now established relations of such amity that their ambresadors joined threatened to quit Constantinople if the elections were pure annulled. A visit paid by the French Emperor to Quyen Victoria, with the object of smoothing over the difficulties which had begun to threaten the Western alliance, resulted rather in increased misunderstandings between the two Governments as to the future of the Principalities than in any real agreement. The elections were annulled. New representative bodies met at Bucharest and Jassy, and pronounced almost unanimously for union (October, 1857). In the spring of 1858 the Conference of Paris reassembled in order to frame a final settlement of the

Alexander Cura Hospodar of both Provinces while a central Commission, formed by representatives of both Provinces, should be a separate judicative, and a separate legislative Assemble, while a central Commission, formed by representatives of both Provinces, should by before the Assembles projects.

zollern.

Hereditary Prince, 1866

of law on matters of joint interest. In accordance with these provisions, Assemblies were elected in each Principality at the beginning of 1859. Their first duty was to choose the two Hospodars, but in both Provinces a unanimous vote fell upon the same person, Prince Alexander Cuza. The efforts of England and Austria to prevent union were thus baffled by the Roumanian people itself, and after three union, 1862

years the elaborate arrangements made by the Conference were similarly swept away, and a single Ministry and Assembly took the place of the dual Government. It now remained only to substitute a hereditary Prince for a Hospodar elected for life; and in 1866, on the expulsion of Alexander Cuza by his subjects, Charles of Prince Charles of Hohenzollern-Sigmar-

Prince Charles of Hohenzollern-Sigmaringen, a distant kinsman of the reigning Prussian sovereign, was recognised by all Europe as Hereditary Prince of Roumania.

The suzerainty of the Porte, now reduced to the bare ght to receive a fixed tribute, was fated to last but for

Europe had not to wait for the establishment of Roumaian independence in order to judge of the foresight
nd the statesmanship of the authors of the Treaty of
Paris. Scarcely a year passed without the occurrence of
ome event that cast ridicule upon the fiction of a selfegenerated Turkey, and upon the profession of the Powers
hat the epoch of external interference in its
offairs was at an end. The active misgovernment of the Turkish authorities themselves.

Continued
discord in
Turkish
beir powerlessness or want of will to prevent

Empire

Russia to declare that it would no longer recognise the provisions of the Treaty which excluded its war-ships and its arsenals from the Black Sea It was for this, Revision of and for this almost alone, that England lind

the Treaty 1871

gone through the Crimean War. But for the determination of Lord Palmerston to exclude Russia from the Black Sea, peace might have been made while the Allied armies were still at Varna. \This exclusion was alleged to be necessary in the interests of Europe at large; that it was really enforced not in the interest of Europe but in the interest of England was made sufficiently clear by the action of Austria and Prussia, whose statesmen, in spite of the discourses so freely addressed to them from London, were at least as much alive to the interests of their respective countries as Lord Palmerston could be on their behalf. Nor had France in 1854 any interest in crippling the power of Russia, or in Eastern affairs generally, which could be remotely compared with those of the possessors of India. The personal needs of Napoleon III made him, while he seemed to lead, the instrument of the British Government for enforcing British aims, and so gave to Palmerston the momentary shaping of a new and superficial concert of the Powers. Masters of Sebastopol, the Allies had experienced little difficulty in investing their own conclusions with the seeming authority of Europe at large, but to bring the representatives of Austria and Priissin to a Conneil-table, to hand them the pen to sign a Treaty dictated by France and England,

was not to bind them to a poher which was not their own. or to make those things interests of Austria and Prussia which were not their interests before. Thus when in 1870 the French Empire fell, England stood alone as the Power concerned in maintaining the exclusion of Russia from the Euxine, and this exclusion it could enforce no longer. It was well that Palmerston had made the Treaty of Paris the act of Europe, but not for the reasons which Palmer-

ston had imagined. The fiction and engendered no new relation in fact, it did not prolong for one hour the sub-mission of Russia after it had exact to be confronted in

the West by a superior force, but it embled Great Britain to retire without official lumination from a position which it had conquered only through the help of an accidental Alliance, and which it was unable to maintain alone. The

ghost of the Conference of 1856 was, as it were, conjured up in the changed world of 1871. The same forms which had once stamped with the seal of Europe the instrument of restraint upon Russia now as decorously executed its release. Britain accepted what Europe would not resist; and below the slopes where lay the countless dead of three nations Sebastopol rose from its ruins, and the ensign of Russia floated once more over its ships of war.

CHAPTER XXII

Piedmont after 1849-Ministry of Azeglio-Cayour Prime Minister-Designs of Cavour-His Crimean Folicy-Cavour at the Con ference of Paris-Cavour and Napoleon III -The Meeting at Plombieres-Preparations in Italy-Treaty of January, 1859-Attempts at Mediation-Austrian Ultimatum-Campaign of 1859-Magenta-Movement in Central Italy-Solferino-Napoleon and Prussia-Interview of Villafranca-Cayour resigns-Peace of Zürich-Central Italy after Villafranca-The Proposed Congress-"The Pope and the Congress"-Carour resumes office-Cavour and Napoleon-Union of the Duchies and the Romagna with Piedmont-Savoy and Nice added to France-Cavour on this cession-European opinion-Naple-Sicily-Garibaldi lands at Marsala-Capture of Palermo-the Neapolitans evacuate Sicily-Casour and the Party of Action-Croour's Policy as to Naples-Gribildi on the miniare-Persano and Villamarina at Naples—Garibaldi at Naples—The Piedmontese Army enters Umbra and the Marches—Fall Ancona—Garibaldi and Cavour—The Armies on the Voltun -Fall of Gaeta-Cayour's Policy with regard to Rome - 2. Venice-Death of Cayour-The Free Church in the Free State

gitts of the maker of a great State, but he was one with whom those possessed of such gifts could work, and on whom they could depend. With certain grave private faults Victor Emmanuel had the public virtues of intense patriotism, of lovalty to his engagements and to his Ministers, of devotion to a single great aim. Little given

mitting as it was, distinguished him less than another kind of courage peculiarh his own. Ignorant and superstitions, he had that rate and masculine quality of soul which in the anguish of bereavement and on the verge of the unseen world remains proof against the appeal and against the terrors of a voice speaking with more than human authority. Rome, not ess than Austria, stood across the path that led to Italian reedom, and employed all its art, all its spiritual force, to irn Victor Emmanuel from the work that lay before him. here were moments in his life when a man of not more ian common weakness might well have flinched from the ine of conduct on which he had resolved in hours of trength and of insight; there were times when a less contant mind might well have wavered and cast a balance etween opposing systems of policy. It was not through eroic greatness that Victor Emmanuel rendered his priceess services to Italy. He was a man not conspicuously ast in a different mould from many another plain, strong lature, but the qualities which he possessed were precisely hose which Italy required. Fortune, circumstance, posiion favoured him and made his glorious work possible; out what other Italian prince of this century, though placed on the throne of Piedmont, and numbering Cavour Among his subjects, would have played the part, the simple let all momentous part, which Victor Emmanuel played well? The love and the gratitude of Italy have been avished without stint on the memory of its first sovereign, vho served his nation with qualities of so homely a type, and in whose life there was so much that needed pardon. The colder judgment of a later time will hardly contest he title of Victor Emmanuel to be ranked among those ew men without whom Italian union would not have been ichieved for another generation.

On the conclusion of peace with Austria after the cambaign of Novara, the Government and the Parliament of Furin addressed themselves to the work of emancipating he State from the system of ecclesiastical privilege and derical ascendency which had continued in full vigour lown to the last year of Charles Albert's reign. Since 1814 the Church had maintained, or had recovered, both in Piedmont and in the island of Sardinia, rights which had been long wrested from it in other European societies, and which were out of harmony with the Constitution now aking root under Victor Emmanuel. The clergy had still heir own tribunals, and even in the case of criminal

354

offences were not_subject_to the_jurisdiction_of_the State share of the Church revenues, the prochal clergy lived in want; monasteries and convents abounded it

was not in any spirit of hostility towards the Church that Massimo d'Azegho, whom the King called to office after Novara, Ministry of Azeglio, 1849-52

commenced the work of reform by measures subjecting the clergy to the law-courts of the State, abolishing the right of sancturry in monasteries, and limiting the power of corporations to acquire landed property. If the Papace would have met Victor Emmanuel in a fair spirit his Government would gladly have avoided a dangerous and experating struggle, but all the forces and the passions of Ultramontanism were brought to bear against the proposed reforms. The result was that the Minister, aban doned by a section of the Conservative party on whom he and bolder police, and called to office the foremost of these

support in the Chamber, Count Cavour Prime Minister.

Entering the Cabinet in 1850 as Minister (Commerce, Cavour rapidly became the mark) of nll his colleagues. On his own responsibility he smile, and won the support of the more moderate section of the Opposition, herded by Ratiazzi, and after a brid withdrawal from office, caused by divisions within the Cabinet, he returned to power in October, 1852, as Point Minister

Carour, though few men have gained greater fame as diplomatists, had not been trained in official life. The younger son of a noble family, he had entered

the arms in 1826 and served in the I'ngineers, but his sympathies with the liberal movement of 1830 brought him into extreme distanour with his chiefs the was described by Charles Albert, then Prince of Catignano, as the most dangerous man in the hingdom, and was transferred at the instance of his own father to the was transferred at the instance of his own runer to the solution. Alpine fortiers of Bard Too superiors a ruline to submit to inaction, too bnownt and too sagreous to resort to conspiract, he quitted the army, and soon afterwards undertook the management of one of the fundacenties, devoting himself to scientific agriculture on a

355

large scale. He was a keen and successful man of business, but throughout the next twelve years, which he passed in fruitful private industry, his mind dwelt ardently on public affairs. He was filled with a deep discontent at the state of society which he saw around him in Piedmont, and at the condition of Italy at large under foreign and derical rule. Repeated visits to France and England nade him familiar with the institutions of free lands, and gave definiteness to his political and social aims. In 1847, when changes were following fast; he founded with some other Liberal nobles the journal Risorgimento, devoted to the cause of national revival; and he was one of he first who called upon King Charles Albert to grant a Constitution. During the stormy days of 1848 he was at once the vigorous advocate of war with Austria and the adversary of Republicans and Extremists who for their own theories seemed willing to plunge Italy into anarchy. Though unpopular with the mob, he was elected to the Chamber by Turin, and continued to represent the capital after the peace. Up to this time there had been little opportunity for the proof of his extraordinary powers, but the inborn sagacity of Victor Emmanuel-had-already discerned in him a man who could not remain in a subdictionate position. "You will see him turn you all out of your places," the King remarked to his Ministers, as he gave his assent to Cavour's first appointment to a seat in the Cabinets

The Ministry of Azeglio had served Piedmont with honour from 1849 to 1852, but its leader scarcely possessed the daring and fertility of mind which the time required. Cavour threw into the work of government a passion and intelligence which soon produced results visible to all Europe. His devotion to Italy was as deep, as all-absorbing, as that of of Cavour Mazzini himself, though the methods and schemes of the two men were in such complete antagonism. Cavour's fixed purpose was to drive Austria out of Italy by defeat in the battle-tield, and to establish, as the first step towards national union, a powerful kingdom of Northern Italy under Victor Emmanuel. In order that the military and naval forces of Piedmont might be raised to

¹ Berti, Cavour avanti 1848, p. 110. La Rive, Cavour, p. 58. Cavour, Lettere (ed. Chiala), introd. p. 73.

the highest possible strength and efficiency, he saw that the resources of the country must be largely developed, and with this object he negotiated commercial treaties with Foreign Powers, laid down railways, and suppressed the greater part of the monasteries, selling their lands to cultivators, and devoting the proceeds of sale not to State purposes but to the payment of the working clergy. In dustry advanced; the heavy pressure of treation was the process of the process but the process that the process the process that the process that the process the process that the proces patiently borne; the army and the fleet grew apace. Buthe cause of Piedmont was one with that of the Italian nation, and it became its Government to demonstrate the day by day with no faltering voice or hand. Protection day by day with no tathering voice or firing. Protection and support were given to fugithes from Austrian and Papal tyranny; the Press was laid open to every tale of wrong, and when, after an unsuccessful attempt at in surrection in Milain in 1853, for which Mazzini and the Republican exiles were alone responsible, the Austria. Government sequestrated the property of its subjects who would not return from Piedmont, Cayour bade his ambas would not return from Piedmont, Carour bade his ambassador quit Vienna, and appealed to every Court in Europe. Nevertheless, Carour did not believe that Italy, even by a simultaneous rising, could permit ently expel the Austrian armies or conquier the Austrian or opposite conclusion, and while Mazzini in his exile the magnined that a people needed only to determine 10% which should range agrinst the Austrian Emperor a fired forces as numerous and as disciplined as his own first crimean rolley.

Cavour's Carour's Carour's Carour's Carour's Carour's continuous care of complaint agrinst the Carit but the motive with which he sent the Carit but the motive with which he sent the

356

Czar; but the motive with which he sent the Sardinan troops to Sebastopol was not that they might take vengeance on Russia, but that they might fight side by side with the soldiers of England and France. That the war might lead to complications still unforescen was no doubt a possibility present to Cayour's mind, and in that case it was no small thing that Sardinia stood allied of the two Western Powers, but apart from these chances of the future, Sardina would have done ilt to stand alle when at any moment, as it seemed, Austra might pass from armed neutrality into active concert with England and France. Had Austria so drawn the sword against Russia whilst Piedmont stood inactive, the influence of the Western Powers must for some years to come have been ranged on the side of Austria in the maintenance of its Italian possessions, and Piedmont could at the best have looked only to St. Petersburg for sympathy or support. Cavour was not scrupulous in his choice of means when the liberation of Italy was the end in view, and the charge was made against him that in joining the coalition against Russia he lightly entered into a war in which Piedmont had no direct concern. But reason and history absolve, and far more than absolve, the Italian statesman. If the cause of European equilibrium, for which England ' and France took up arms, was a legitimate ground of war in the case of these two Powers, it was not less so in the case of their ally; while if the ulterior results rather than the motive of a war are held to constitute its justification, Cayour stands out as the one politician in Europe whose aims in entering upon the Crimean War have been fulfilled, not mocked, by events. He joined in the struggle against Russia not in order to maintain the Ottoman Empire, but to gain an ally in liberating Italy. The Ottoman Empire has not been maintained; the independence of Italy has been established, and established by means of the alliance which Cavour gained. His Crimean policy is one of those excessively rare instances of statesmanship where action has been determined not by the driving and half-understood necessities of the moment, but by a distinct and true perception of the future. He looked only in one direction, but in that direction he saw clearly. Other statesmen struck blindfold, or in their vision of a regenerated Turkey fought for an empire of mirage. some reason be asked whether the order of Eastern Europe would now be different if our own English soldiers who fell at Balaclava had been allowed to die in their beds: every Italian whom Cavour sent to perish on the Tchernaya or in the cholera-stricken camp died as directly for the cause of Italian independence as if he had fallen on the slopes of Custozza or under the walls of Rome.

At the Conference of Paris in 1856 the Sardinian Premier took his place in right of alliance by the side of the representatives of the great Powers; and when the

main business of the Conference was concluded, Count Buol, the Austrian Minister, was forced_to_listen_to_a vigorous denunciation by Cavour_of the mis

Cayour at the Conference of Paris

Southern Italy, and of the Austrian occupation which rendered this possible. Thoughthe French were still in Rome, their presence might by

the French were still in Rome, their presence might be courtesy be described as a measure of precaution rendered mecessary by the intrusion of the Anstrinas further north and both the French and English plempotentiaries at the Conference supported Carour in his invective. Carour returned to Italy without any territorial reward for the services that Piedmont had rendered to the Allies, but his object was attained. He had exhibited Austria isolated and discredited before Europe, he had given to his countil two that the had produced a deep conviction throughout Italy that Piedmont not only could and would act with vigous new institute the had produced a deep conviction throughout Italy that Piedmont not only could and would act with vigous new institute the hadronal enemy, but that in its action it would have the help of allies. From this time the Republicat and Mazzinian societies lost ground before the grown. The strongest evidence of the effect of Cantering, and of his presence at the Conferency of Crimena policy and of his presence at the Conferency of the confidence of the effect of Cantering of the confidence in the House of Savoy, in its Minister a form the presence at the Conferency of the confidence in the House of Savoy, in its Minister as form the supplies and of his presence at the Conferency of the confidence of the offect of Cantering of the confidence in the Conferency of the confidence of the confi

Change of Austrian Government uself From 1849 to 1846 in policy, 1856 much of severity as of bringly volcace. Act

all was changed. The Emperor came to Milan to proclaim a general amnesty and in win the affection of his subjects. The sequestrated estates were restored to their owner. Rudetzky, in his ninety-seemed year, was at length allowed to pass into retirement, the government of the sword was declared at an end. Maximilian, the gentlest and most winning of the Hipsburgs, was sent with his young bride to charm away the sad memories of the evil time. But it was too late. The recognition shown by the Iombards of the Emperor's own personal frendliness indicated no reconclusion with Austria, and while Francis Joseph was still in Milan, King Victor Emmanuel, in the presence of

³ Carour Lettere (Chiala) ii introd p. 15- Geerr ni Carilal 1 i 412. Manin the Ex Pred tent of Venice in with extre declared from this time for the House of Sanoy. Carilal It did the same

a Lombard deputation, laid the first stone of the monument erected by subscriptions from all Italy in memory of those who had fallen in the campaigns of 1848 and 1849, the statue of a foot-soldier waving his sword towards the The Sardinian Press redoubled its at-Austrian frontier. tacks on Austria and its Italian vassals. The Government of Vienna sought satisfaction; Cavour sharply refused it; and diplomatic relations between the two Courts, which had been resumed since the Conference of Paris, were again broken off.

Of the two Western Powers, Cayour would have preferred an alliance with Great Britain, which had no objects

of its own to seek in Italy; but when he found

that the Government of London would not Cayour and Napoassist him by arms against Austria, he drew leon III. closer to the Emperor Napoleon, and sup-

ported him throughout his controversy with England and Austria on the settlement of the Danubian Principalities. Napoleon, there is no doubt, felt a real interest in Italy. His own early political theories formed on a study of the Napo-Aeonic Empire, his youthful alliance with the Carbonari, point to a sympathy with the Italian national cause which was genuine if not profound, and which was not altogether lost in 1849, though France then acted as the enemy of Roman independence. If Napoleon intended to remould the Continental order and the Treaties of 1815 in the interests of France and of the principle of nationality, he could make no better beginning than by driving Austria from Northern Italy. It was not even necessary for him to devise an original policy. Early in 1848, when it seemed probable that Piedmont would be increased by Lombardy and part of Venetia, Lamartine had laid it down that France ought in that case to be compensated by Savoy, in order to secure its frontiers against so powerful a neighbour as the new Italian State. To this idea Napoleon returned. Savoy had been incorporated with France from 1792 to 1814; its people were more French than Italian: its annexation would not directly injure the interests of any great Power. Of the three directions in which France might stretch towards its old limits of the Alps and the Rhine, the direction of Savoy was by far the least danger-Belgium could not be touched without certain loss of the English alliance, with which Napoleon could not

yet dispense; an attack upon the Rhenish Provinces would probably be met by all the German Powers together; in Savoy alone was the character of the without raising a Et

sooner had the orgabeen completed by the of 1858 than Napoleon

An attempt of a very terrible character which was made upon his life by Orsini, a Roman exile, though at the moment it threatened to embroil Sardinia with France, probably stimulated him to action. In the summer of 1858 he invited Cayour to meet him at Plombiers. The

Meeting at negotiations which there passed were not made known by the Emperor to his Ministers; they were communicated by Cavour to two persons only besides Victor Em-

manuel. It seems that no written engagement was drawn up; it was verbally agreed that if Piedmont could, without making a revolutionary war, and without exposing Napoleon to the charge of aggression, incite Austria to hostilities, France would act as its ally. Austria was then to be expelled from Venetia as well as from Lombardy. Victor Emmanuel was to become sovereign of North-Italy, with the Roman Legations and Marches; the remainder of the Papal territory, except Rome itself and the adjacent district, was to be added to Tuscany, so constituting a new kingdom of Central Italy. The two kingdoms, together with Naples and Rome, were to form an Italian Confederation under the presidency of the Pope. France was to receive Savoy and possibly Nice. A marriage between the King's voing daughter Chuilde and the Emperor's consin Prince Jerome Napoleon was discussed, if not actually settled.'

From this moment Cavour laboured night and day for war. His position was an exceedingly difficult one. Not

Cavour to only had he to reckon with the irresolution of view of the French Atliance take up arms unless with the appearance of some good cause; but even supposing the goal of war reached, and Austria defeated, how little was

i Cavonr, Lettere (Chiala), ii Introl. pp. a%, 324; iii. introl. p. ii. Ilianchi, Diplomaria, vii. r. Marade, Cavour, p. 157 Maxari, La Marrotra, p. 184

there in common between Cavour's aims for Italy and the traditional policy of France! The first Napoleon had given Venice to Austria at Campo Formio; even if the new Napoleon should fulfil his promise and liberate all Northern Italy, his policy in regard to the centre and south of the Peninsula would probably be antagonistic to any effective union or to any further extension of the influence of the House of Savoy. Cavour had therefore to set in readiness for action national forces of such strength that Napoleon, even if he desired to draw back, should find it difficult to do so, and that the shaping of the future of the Italian people should be governed not by the schemes which the Emperor might devise at Paris, but by the claims and the aspirations of Italy itself. It was necessary for him not only to encourage and subsidise the National Society—a secret association whose branches in the other Italian States were preparing to assist Piedmont in the coming war, and to unite Italy under the House of Savoy -but to enter into communication with some of the Republican or revolutionary party who had hitherto been at emity with all Crowns alike. He summoned Garibaldi secrecy to Turin, and there convinced him that the war eabout to be waged by Victor Emmanuel was one in which he ought to take a prominent part. As the foremost defender of the Roman Republic and a revolutionary hero, Garibaldi was obnoxious to the French Emperor. Cavour had to conceal from Napoleon the fact that Garibaldi would take the field at the head of a free-corps by the side of the Allied armies; he had similarly to conceal from Garibaldi that one result of the war would be the cession of Nice, his own birthplace, to France. Thus plunged in intrigue, driving his Savoyards to the camp and raising from them the last farthing in taxation, in order that after victory they might be surrendered to a Foreign Power; goading Austria to some act of passion; inciting, yet checking and controlling, the Italian revolutionary elements; bargaining away the daughter of his sovereign to one of the most odious of mankind, Cavour staked all on the one great end of his being, the establishment of Italian independence. Words like those which burst from Danton in the storms of the Convention—"Perish my name, my reputation, so that France be free "-were the calm and habitual expression of Cavour's thought when none but

an intimate friend was by to hear. Such tasks as Cavour's are not to be achieved without means which, to a man noble in view as Cavour really was, it would have been more agreeable to leave inemployed. Those alone are entitled to pronounce judgment upon him who have mad a nation, and made it with purer hands. It was well fo English statesmen and philanthropists, inheritors of a world-wide empire, to enforce the ethics of peace and to plead for a gentlemanlike frankness and self-restraint it the conduct of international relations. English women had not been flogged by Austrian soldiers in the market place; the treaties of 1815 find not consecrated a foreign rule over half our race. To Cavour the greatest crimic would have been to leave undone anything which might minister to Italy's liberation...

Napoleon seems to have considered that he would be

Napoleon seems to have considered that he would be ready to begin war in the spring of 1859. At the reception

Treaty of at the Tuileries on the 1st of January he addressed the Austrian ambassador in wards that pointed to an approaching conflict; a keep the seems of the constant of the seems of the seem

weeks later a marriage-contract was signed between Pris Napoleon and Clotilde, daughter of Victor Emmanuel, in Pombières was embodi

in indertook to support for from any aggressive for victorious, to add for Lombardy and Venetia to Victor Emmanuel's dominione,

Lombardy and Venetia to Victor Emmanuel's domifient France was in return to receive Savoy, the disposal of Nice being reserved till the restoration of peace. Ison before the Treaty was signed Victor Emmanuel half thrown down the challenge to Austria, declaring at the opening of the Parliament of Turin that he could not be insensible to the cry of suffering that rose from Italy. In all but technical form the imminence of war had been announced.

^{1.} In mezzo alle più angosciose erisi pelitiche, esclamava nelle soli indine delle sue stante; "Perison il muo nome, perison la mia farsi purché l'Italia sia?". Ariom (Cavour's secretary), Cavour in l'arlamentation p. 46.

La Farina I pistolario, ii 56, 81, 137, 426. The interview #ith' Garibaldi, Cavour, Lettere, 26 iritos p 237. Garilaldi, I fist lino,

^{1 55} ³ Cayour, Lettere (Chiala), us introd p 31 Blanchi, Differentis, vin 11 The statement of Nap-Jeon III to Lord Cowley, in Mart 6, Prince Concell, v. 31, that there was no Treaty, is unline.

when, under the influence of diplomatists and Ministers about him, and of a financial panic that followed his address to the Austrian ambassador, the irresolute mind of Napoleon shrank from its purpose, and months more of suspense were imposed upon Italy and Europe, to be erminated at last not by any effort of Napoeon's will but by the rash and impolitic Attempts at action of Austria itself. At the instance of the Court of Vienna the British Government had consented to take steps towards mediation. Lord Cowley, Ambas-

to take steps towards mediation. Lord Cowley, Ambassador at Paris, was sent to Vienna with proposals which, it was believed, might form the basis for an amicable settlement of Italian affairs. He asked that the Papal States should be evacuated by both Austrian and French troops; that Austria should abandon the Treaties which gave it a virtual Protectorate over Modena and Parma; and that it should consent to the introduction of reforms in all the Italian Governments. Negotiations towards this end had made some progress when they were interrupted hy a proposal sent from St. Petersburg, at the instance of Napoleon, that Italian affairs should be submitted to a European Congress. Austria was willing under certain conditions to take part in a Congress, but it required, as a preliminary measure, that Sardinia should disarm. Napoleon had now learnt that Garibaldi was to fight at the head of the volunteers for Victor Emmanuel. His doubts as to the wisdom of his own policy seem to have increased hour by hour; from Britain, whose friendship he still considered indispensable to him, he received the most urgent appeals against war; it was necessary that Cavour himself-should visit Paris in order to prevent the Emperor from acquiescing in Austria's demand. In Cayour's presence Napoleon seems to have lost some of his fears, or to have been made to feel that it was not safe to provoke his_confidant_of_Plombières-l'-but Cavour-had not long left Paris when a proposal was made from London, that in lieu of the separate disarmament of Sardinia the Powers should_agree_to.a_general disarmament, the details to be settled by a European Commission. This proposal received Napoleon's assent. He telegraphed to Cavour

¹ Bianchi, Politique de Cavour, p. 328, where is Cavour's indignant letter to Napoleon. The last paragraph of this seems to convey a veiled threat to publish the secret negotiations.

desiring him to join in the agreement. Cayour could scarcely disobey, yet at one stroke it seemed that all his hopes when on the very verge of fulfilment were dashed to the ground, all his boundless efforts for the liberatioof Italy through war with Austria lost and thrown away For some hours he appeared shattered by the blov Strung to the extreme point of human endurance by labor scarcely remitted by day or night for weeks together, he strong but sanguine nature gave way, and for a while the few friends who saw him feared that he would take he own life But the crisis passed: Cavour accepted, a inevitable, the condition of general disarmament; and hi vigorous mind had already begun to work upon new plan for the future, when the report of a decision made a Vienna, which was soon confirmed by th

l Austrian

arrival of an Austrian ultimatim, threw hir ultimatum, into joy as intense as his previous despui April 23 Ignoring the British proposal for a gener disarmament, already occepted at Turin, the Austria Cabinet demanded, without qualifications and finder the of war within three days, that Sardinia should separate disarm. It was believed at Vienna that Napoleon wa merely seeking to gain time; that a conflict was inevitable and that Austria now stood better prepared for immed & action than its enemies. Right or wrong in its judge met of Napoleon's real intentions, the Austrian Government had undeniably taken upon itself the part of the aggressor Cayour had only to point to his own acceptance of the plan of a general disrumament, and to throw upon his enemy the responsibility for a disturbance of European flis reply was taken as the signal for hostilities and on the 29th of April Austrian troops crossed the Ticino. A declaration of war from Paris followed without delay."

For months past Austria had been pouring its troops into Northern Italy. It had chosen its own time for the commencement of war; a feelile enemy stood before it; its more powerful adversary could not reach the field without crossing the Alps or the mountain-range above Genoa-

¹ Cavour, l'ettere, lui feirod p 215, lui 29. Bianchi, Pclitique de Cavour, p 33. Bianchi, l'isformania, vii 61. Massati Cav it [32] Patharenlav l'apera 1863. axili 234 364. Mérimée, i ettes à l'anisei i at Mattin, Prince Corsort, le 427

Everything pointed to a vigorous offensive on the part of Austrian generals, and in Piedmont itself it was believed that Turin must fall before the French Campaign troops could assist in its defence. From Turin as a centre the Austrians could then strike with ease, and with superior numbers, against the detachments of the French army as they descended the mountains at any points in the semicircle from Genoa to Mont Cenis. There has seldom been a case where the necessity and the advantages of a particular line of strategy have been so obvious; yet after crossing the Ticino the Austrians, above a hundred thousand strong, stood as if spell-bound under their incompetent chief, Giulay. Meanwhile French detachments crossed Mont Cenis; others, more numerous, landed with the Emperor at Genoa, and established communications with the Piedmontese, whose headquarters were at Alessandria. Giulay now believed that the Allies would strike upon his communications in the direction of Parma. The march of Bonaparte upon Piacenza in 1796, as well as the campaign of Marengo, might well inspire this fear; but the real intention of Napoleon III. was to dutflank the Austrians from the north and so to gain Garibaldi was already operating at the extreme lost of the Sardinian line in the neighbourhood of Como. While the Piedmontese maintained their positions in the front, the French from Genoa marched northwards behind them, crossed the Po, and reached Vercelli before the Austrians discovered their manœuvre. Giulay, still lingering between the Sesia and the Ticino, now called up part of his forces northwards, but not in time to prevent the Piedmontese from crossing the Sesia and defeating the troops opposed to them at Palestro (May 30). While the Austrians were occupied at this point, the French crossed the river farther north, and moved eastwards on the Ticino. Giulay was thus outflanked and compelled to fall back. The Allies followed him, and on the 4th of June attacked the Austrian army in its positions about Magenta on the road to Milan. The assault of Macmahon from the north gave the Allies victory Battle of Magenta, after a hard-fought day. It was impossible for the Austrians to defend Milan; they re-June 4 tired upon the Adda and subsequently upon the Mincio,

abandoning all Lombardy to the invaders, and calling up

their troops from Bologna and the other occupied towns in the Papal States, in order that they might take put in the defence of the Venetian frontier and the fortresses that guarded it.

The victory of the Allies was at once felt throughor Central Italy. The Grand Duke of Tuscany had alread fled from his dominions, and the Dictatorship for the period of the war had been offered by a Provision Government to Victor Emmanuel, who, while refusin

Movement this, had allowed his envoy, Boncampagn to assume temporary powers at Florence;

taty his representative. The Duke of Moden and the Duchess of Parma now quitted their territories In the Romagna the disappearance of the Austrians re suited in the initiediate overthrow of Papal authority Everywhere the demand was for union with Piedmont The calamities of the last ten years had taught their lessor to the Italian people. There was now nothing of the disorder, the extravagance, the childistiness of 1848. The populations who had then been so divided, so suspicious so easy a prey to demagogues, were now watchful, soll controlled, and anyous for the guidance of the only fel national Government. As at Florence, so in the Ducker and in the Romagna, it was desired that Victor Emmalil. should assume the Dictatorship. The King adhered the policy which he had adopted towards Tuscany, axloid ing any engagement that might compromise him inith Europe or his ally, but appointing Commissioners to enrol troops for the common war against Austria and to conduct the necessary work of administration in these districts. Farmi, the historian of the Roman States, was sent to Modena, Azeglio, the ex-Minister, to Bologna. Each of these officers entered on his task in a spirit worthy of the time; each understood how much might be won for Italy by boldness, how much endangered or lost by untimely scruples."

In his proclamations at the opening of the war Napoleon had declared that Italy must be freed up to the shore of the Adriance. His address to the Italian people on entering Milan with Victor Financial after the victory of Magenta breathed the same spirit. As yet, however,

In Parina Printolatio, is 172 Parliamentary Papers, 1819, axelif 321, 470

Lombardy alone had been won. The advance of the allied armies was accordingly resumed after an interval of some days, and on the 23rd of June they approached the positions held by the Austrians a little to the west of the Mincio. Francis Joseph had come from Vienna to take command of the army. His presence assisted the enemy, inasmuch as he had no plan of his own, and wavered from day to day between the antagonistic plans of the generals at headquarters. Some wished to Mincio the line of defence, others to hold the Chiese some miles farther west. The conse-Solferino, quence was that the army marched backwards June 24 and forwards across the space between the two rivers according as one or another general gained for the moment the Emperor's confidence. It was while the

Austrians were thus engaged that the allied armies came into contact with them about Solferino. On neither side was it known that the whole force of the enemy was close at hand. The battle of Solferino, one of the bloodiest of recent times, was fought almost by accident. About a hundred and fifty thousand men were present under Napole on and Victor Emmanuel; the Austrians had a slight aperiority in force. On the north, where Benedek with the Austrian right was attacked by the Piedmontese at San Marting, it seemed as if the task imposed on the Italian troops was beyond their power. Victor Emmanuel, fighting with the same courage as at Novara, saw the positions in front of his troops alternately won and lost. But the success of the French at Solferino in the centre decided the day, and the Austrians withdrew at last from their whole line with a loss in killed and wounded of fourteen thousand men. On the part of the Allies the slaughter was scarcely less.

Napoleon stood a conqueror, but a conqueror at terrible cost; and in front of him he saw the fortresses of the Quadrilateral, while new divisions were hastening from

Quadrilateral, while new divisions were hastening from the north and east to the support of the still unbroken Austrian army. He might well doubt whether, even against his present antagonist Napoleon alone, further success was possible. The fearful spectacle of Solferino, heightened by the effects of overpowering summer heat, probably affected a mind humane and sensitive and untried in the experience of

war. The condition of the French army, there is reason to believe, was far different from that represented in official reports, and likely to make the continuance of the campaign perilous in the extreme. But beyond all this, the Emperor knew that if he advanced farther Prussia an all Germany might at any moment take up arms agains him. There had been a strong outburst of sympathy fo Austria in the south-western German States. Nationa patriotism was excited by the attack of Napoleon on th chief of the German sovereigns, and the belief was widely spread that French conquest in Italy would soon be fol lowed by French conquest on the Rhine. Prussia hat hitherto shown reserve. It would have joined its arm with those of Austria if its own elaims to an improve position in Germany had been granted by the Court of Vienna; but Francis Joseph had up to this time refused the concessions demanded. In the stress of his peril he might at any moment close with the offers which he had before rejected; even without a distinct agreement between the two Courts, and in mere deference to German public opinion, Prussia might launch against France the armin which it had already brought into readiness for the first A war upon the Rhine would then be added in the before the Quadrilateral, and from the risks of this days effort Napoleon might well shrink in the interest of Friance not less than of his own dynasty. He determined to less an interview with Francis Joseph, and to ascertain on

Interview of

what terms peace might now be made. The interview took place at Villafranca, east of the Vittafranca, Mincio, on the 11th of July. Francis Joseph refused to cede any part of Venetia without a further struggle. He was willing to give up Lombardy, and to consent to the establishment of an Italian Federation under the presidency of the Pope, of which Federation Venetia, still under Austria's rule, should be a member; but he required that Mantua should be left within his own frontier, and that the sovereigns of Tuscany and Modena should resume possession of their dominions.

these terms Napolenn assented, on obtaining Peace of n verbal agreement that the dispossessed princes should not be restored by foreign arms. Regarding Parma and the restoration of the Papal authority in the Romagna no stipulations were made.

With the signature of the Preliminaries of Villafranca, which were to form the base of a regular Treaty to be negotiated at Zürich, and to which Victor Emmanuel added his name with words of reservation, hostilities came to a close. The negotiations at Zürich, though they lasted for several months, added nothing of im- Treaty of portance to the matter of the Preliminaries, Zürich, and decided nothing that had been left in Nov. 10 uncertainty. The Italian Federation remained a scheme which the two Emperors, and they alone, undertook to promote. Piedmont entered into no engagement either with regard to the Duchies or with regard to Federation. Victor Emmanuel had in fact announced from the first that he would enter no League of which a province governed by Austria formed a part, and from this resolution he never swerved.

Though Lombardy was gained, the impression made upon the Italians by the peace of Villafranca was one of the utmost dismay. Napoleon had so confidently and so recently promised the liberation of all Northern Italy that public opinion ascribed Resignation of Cavour to treachery or weakness what was in truth an act of political necessity. On the first rumour of the negotiations Cavour had hurried from Turin, but the

act of political necessity. On the first rumour of the negotiations Cavour had hurried from Turin, but the agreement was signed before his arrival. The anger and the grief of Cavour are described by those who then saw him as terrible to witness. Napoleon had not the courage to face him; Victor Emmanuel bore for two hours the reproaches of his Minister, who had now completely lost his self-control. Cavour returned to Turin, and shortly afterwards withdrew from office, his last act being the despatch of ten thousand muskets to Farini at Modena. In accordance with the terms of peace, instructions, which were probably not meant to be obeyed, were sent by Cavour's successor, Rattazzi, to the Piedmontese Commissioners in Central Italy, bidding them to return to Turin and to disband any forces that they had collected.

¹ Cavour, Lettere, iii. introd. 212, iii. 107. Bianchi, Politique de Cavour, p. 349. Bianchi, Diplomazia, viii. 145, 198. Massari, Vittorio Emanuele, ii. 32. Kossuth, Memories, p. 394. Parl. Pap. 1859, xxxii. 63, 1860, lxviii. 7. La Farina Epist, ii. 190. Ollivier, L'Eglise et l'Etat, ii. 452.

² Arrivabene, Italy under Victor Emmanuel, i. 268.

Farm, on receipt of this order, adroutly divested lumself of his Piedmontese citizenship, and, as an honorary burgher of Modena, accepted the Dictator-

Central Italy ship from his fellow-townsmen. Azeglio returned to Turin, but took care before quitting the Romagna to place four thousand soldiers under competent leaders in a position to resist attack. It was not the least of Cavour's merits that he had gathered about him a body of men who, when his own hand was for a while withdrawn, could pursue his policy with so much energy and sagacity as was now shown by the leaders of the national movement in Central Italy. Venetia was lost for the present; but if Napoleon's promise was broken, districts which he had failed or had not intended to liberate might be united with the Italian Kingdom. The Duke of Modena, with six thousand men who had remained true to him, lay on the Austrian frontier, and threatened to march upon his capital. Farini mined the city gates, and armed so considerable a force that it became clear that the Duke would not recover his dominions without serious battle. Parma placed itself under the same D tatorship with Modena; in the Romagna a Provision Government which Azeglio had left belind him continue his work Tuscany, where Napoleon had hoped to fine a throne for his cousin, pronounced for national ilmon, and organised a common military force with its theigh-bours. During the weeks that followed the Peace of Villafranca, declarations signed by tens of thousands, the totes of representative bodies, and popular demonstrations throughout Central Italy, showed in an orderly and peaceful form how universal was the desire for union under the

House of Savoy. Cayour, in the plans which he had made before 1859 had not looked for a direct and immediate result beyond

the creation of an Italian Kingdom including Cavour's the whole of the territory north of the Po-Plans before The other steps in the consolidation of Itali

would, he believed, follow in their order.

They might be close at hand, or they might be delayed for a while; but in the expulsion of Austria, in the interposition of a purely Italian State numbering above ten millions of inhabitants, mistress of the fortresses and of a powerful fleet, between Austria and those who had been

ember

its vassals, the essential conditions of Italian national independence would have been won. For the rest, Italy might be content to wait upon time and opportunity. But the Peace of Villafranca, leaving Venetia in the enemy's hands, completely changed this prospect. The fiction of an Italian Federation in which the Hapsburg Emperor, as lord of Venice, should forget his Austrian interests and play the part of Italian patriot, was too gross to deceive any one. Italy, on these terms, would either continue to be governed from Vienna, or be made a pawn in the hands of its French protector. What therefore Cavour had hitherto been willing to leave to future years now became the need of the present. "Before Villafranca," in his own words, "the union of Italy was a possibility; since Villafranca it is a necessity." Victor Em-Central Italy manuel understood this too, and saw the need after Villafor action more clearly than Rattazzi and the franca. July-Nov-Ministers who, on Cavour's withdrawal in

July, stepped for a few months into his place.

The situation was one that called indeed for no mean exercise of statesmanship. If Italy was not to be left de-Sendent upon the foreigner and the reputation of the House of Savoy ruined, it was necessary not only that the Duchies of Modena and Parma, but that Central Italy, including Tuscany and at least the Romagna, should be united with the Kingdom of Piedmont; yet the accomplishment of this work was attended with the utmost danger. Napoleon himself was hoping to form Tuscany, with an augmented territory, into a rival Kingdom of Etruria or Central Italy, and to place his cousin on its throne. The Ultramontane party in France was alarmed and indignant at the overthrow of the Pope's authority in the Romagna, and already called upon the Emperor to fulfil his duties towards the Holy See. If the national movement should extend to Rome itself, the hostile intervention of France was almost inevitable. negotiations with Austria at Zürich were still proceeding, Victor Emmanuel could not safely accept the sovereignty that was offered him by Tuscany and the neighbouring provinces, nor permit his cousin, the Prince of Carignano, to assume the regency which, during the period of suspense, it was proposed to confer upon him. Above all, it was necessary that the Government should not allow

the popular forces with which it was co-operating to pass beyond its own control. In the critical period that fol-

lowed the armistice of Villafranca, Mazzini approached Victor Emmanuel, as thirty years before he Mazzini and had approached his father, and offered his Garthaldi. own assistance in the establishment of Italian Augustunion under the House of Savoy. He pro-November posed, as the first step, to overthrow the

Neapolitan Government by means of an expedition headed by Garibaldi, and to unite Sicily and Naples to the King's dominions; but he demanded .

should oppose armed resistance

occasioned by this enterprise; and he seems also to have required that an attack should be made immediately afterwards upon Rome and upon Venetia. To these conditions the King could not accede; and Mazzini, confirmed in his attitude of distrust towards the Court of Turin, turned to Garibaldi, who was now at Modena. At his instigation Garibaldi resolved to lead an expedition at once against Rome itself. Napoleon was at this very reforms on behalf of the Pope, and we

manuel against the annexation even

(Oct. 20th). At the risk of incurring Garibaldi's followers and throwing their leader into dans sition to the dynasty, it was necessary for the Sarfdinian Government to check him in his course. The mome ent nas a critical one in the history of the House of Savely. But the soldier of Republican Italy proved more tractalyle than its prophet. Garibaldi was persuaded to abandon or postpone an enterprise which could only have resulted in disaster for Italy; and with expressions of cordiality towards the King himself, and of bitter contempt for the fox-like politicians who advised him, he resigned his command and bade farewell to his comrades, recommending them, however, to remain under arms, in full confidence that they would ere long find a for carrying the national flag hetter opportunity southwards.3

Soon after the Agreement of Villafranca, Napoleon had proposed to the British Government that a Congress of all, the Powers should assemble at Paris in order to decide

¹ Cavour, Lettere, 111 introd 301 Bianchi, viii. 180 Garibaldi, Fpist, i. 70 Guerzoni, 1. 491. Reuchlin, iv. 410.

upon the many Italian questions which still remained unsettled. In taking upon himself the emancipation of Northern Italy Napoleon had, as it proved, attempted a task far beyond his own powers. The work had been abruptly broken off; the promised services had not been rendered, the stipulated reward had not been On the other hand, forces had been proposed set in motion which he who raised them could not allay; populations stood in arms against the Governments which the Agreement of Villafranca purported to restore; the Pope's authority in the northern part of his dominions was at an end; the Italian League over which France and Austria were to join hands of benediction remained the laughing-stock of Europe. Napoleon's victories had added Lombardy to Piedmont; for the rest, except from the Italian point of view, they had only thrown affairs into confusion. Hesitating at the first between his obligations towards Austria and the maintenance of his prestige in Italy, perplexed between the contradictory claims of nationality and of Ultramontanism, Napoleon would gladly have cast upon Great Britain, or upon Europe at large, the task of extricating him from his embarrassment. But the Cabinet of London, while favourable to Italy, showed little inclination to entangle itself in engagements which might lead to war with Austria and Germany in the interest of the French Sovereign. Italian affairs, it was urged by Lord John Russell, might well be governed by the course of events within Italy itself; and, as Austria remained inactive, the principle of non-intervention really gained the day. The firm attitude of the population both in the Duchies and in the Romagna, their unanimity and self-control, the absence of those disorders which had so often been made a pretext for foreign intervention, told upon the mind of Napoleon and on the opinion of Europe at large. Each month that passed rendered the restoration of the fallen Governments a work of greater difficulty, and increased the confidence of the Italians in themselves. Napoleon watched and wavered. When the Treaty of Zürich was signed his policy was still undetermined. By the prompt and liberal concession of reforms the Papal Government might perhaps even now have turned the balance in its favour. But the obstinate mind of Pius IX. was proof against every politic and

F1859

374

every generous influence. The stubbornness shown by Rome, the remembrance of Antonelli's conduct towards the French Republic in 1849, possibly also the discovery of a Treaty of Alliance between the Papal Government and Austria, at length overcame Napoleon's hesitation in meeting the national demand of Italy, and gave him courage to defy both the Papal Court and the French priesthood. He resolved to consent to the formation of an Italian Kingdom under Victor Emmanuel including the northern part of the Papal territories as well as Tuscany and the other Duchies, and to silence the outery which this act of spoliation would excite among the clerical party in France by the annexation of Nice and Savov.

The decision of the Emperor was foreshadowed by the publication on the 24th of December of a pamphlet

"The Pope and the Congress,"

entitled "The Pope and the Congress," doctrine advanced in this essay was that, although a certain temporal authority was Dec. 24 necessary to the Pope's spiritual independence, the peace and unity which should surround the Vicar of Christ would be best attained vicar.

his temporal sovereignty was reduced within the narro possible limits. Rome and the territory immed around it, if guaranteed to the Pope by the Great Popular would be sufficient for the temporal needs of the Horar Sec. The revenue lost by the separation of the remainder of the Papal territories might be replaced by a yearly tri bute of reverence paid by the Catholic Powers to the Head of the Church. That the pamphlet advocating this policy was written at the dictation of Napoleon was not made a secret. Its appearance occasioned an indignant protest at Rome. The Pope announced that he would take no part in the proposed Congress unless the doctrines advanced in the pamphlet were disavowed by the French Government. Napoleon in reply submitted to the Pope that he

would do well to purchase the guarantee of the Powers for the remainder of his terri-Change of Ministry at tories by giving up all claim to the Romagna, Paris. Pins retorted that's which he had already lost. Jan. 5, 1860 he could not cede what Heaven had granted,

not to himself, but to the Church; and that if the Powers would but clear the Romagna of Piedmontese intruders

Deutsche Rundschau, 1882; Rassegne Nazionale, Jan. 18t, 1893.

he would soon reconquer the rebellious province without the assistance either of France or of Austria. The attitude assumed by the Papal Court gave Napoleon a good pretext for abandoning the plan of a European Congress, from which he could hardly expect to obtain a grant of Nice and Savoy. It was announced at Paris that the Congress would be postponed; and on the 5th of January, 1860, the change in Napoleon's policy was publicly marked by the dismissal of his Foreign Minister, Walewski, and the sumes office. appointment in his place of Thouvenel, a friend to Italian union. Ten days later Rattazzi

at Turin, and Cavour returned to office power.

Rattazzi, during the six months that he had conducted affairs, had steered safely past some dangerous rocks; but he held the helm with an unsteady and untrusted hand, and he appears to have displayed an unworthy jealousy towards Cavour, who, while out of office, had not ceased to render what services he could to his country. Cavour resumed his post, with the resolve to defer no longer the annexation of Central Italy, but with the heavy

consciousness that Napoleon would demand in return for his consent to this union the cession of Nice and Savoy. No Treaty Jan.-March entitled France to claim this reward, for the

Austrians still held Venetia; but Napoleon's troops lay at Milan, and by a march southwards they could easily throw Italian affairs again into confusion, and undo all that the last six months had effected. Cavour would perhaps have lent himself to any European combination which, while directed against the extension of France, would have secured the existence of the Italian Kingdom; but no such alternative to the French alliance proved possible; and the subsequent negotiations between Paris and Turin were intended only to vest with a certain diplomatic propriety the now inevitable transfer of territory from the weaker to the stronger State. A series of propositions made from London with the view of withdrawing from Italy both French and Austrian influence led the Austrian Court to acknowledge that its army would not be employed for the restoration of the sovereigns of Tuscany and Modena. Construing this statement as an

admission that the stipulations of Villafranca and Zurich as to the return of the fugitive princes had become impracticable, Napoleon now suggested that Victor Immanuel should anney Parma and Modena, and assume secular power in the Romagna as Vicar of the Pope, leaving Iuscany to form a separate Government establishment of so powerful a kingdom on the confines of France was, he added, not in accordance with the triditions of French foreign policy, and in self-defence France must rectify its military frontier by the acquisition of Nice and Savoy (Feb. 24th). Cayour well understood that the mention of Tuscan independence, and the qualified recognition of the Pope's rights in the Romagna, were no more than suggestions of the means of pressure by which France might enforce the cessions it required. He answered that, although Victor Emmanuel could not alienate any part of his dominions, his Government recognised the same popular rights in Savo; and Nice as in Central Italy; and accordingly that if the population of these districts declared in a legal form their desire to be incorporated with France, the King would not resist their will. Having thus consented to the necessary sacrifice. and ignoring Napoleon's reservations with regard to unton of the Duchles and question of union with Pedmont.

the Romagna with Pieds mont March

ing took pince early in March, and gave in overwhelming majority in favour of union The Pope issued the major excommunication against the authors, abettors, and agents in

this work of sacrilege, and heaped curses on curses; but no one seemed the worse for them. Victor Emminuel accepted the sovereignty that was offered to him, and on the 2nd of April the Parliament of the united kingdom assembled at Turin. It lind already been announced to the inhabitants of Nice and Savoy that the King had

consented to their union with France. The Savoy and Nice ceded formulity of a plebiscite was enucted a fen days later, and under the combined pressure of the French and Sardinian Governments

the desired results were obtained. Not more than a few hundred persons protested by their vote against a transaction to which it was understood that the King had the

That Victor Emmanuel had at one time been dispress? to resist Cavour's surrender of the home of his mur his well known. Above a year, however, had Cayour on repassed since the project had been accepted as the basis of the French alliance; and if, of Nice and during the interval of suspense after Villafranca, the King had cherished a hope that the sacrifice might be avoided without prejudice either to the cause of Italy or to his own relations with Napoleon, Cavour had entertained no such illusions. He knew that the cession was an indispensable link in the chain of his own policy, that policy which had made it possible to defeat Austria, and which, he believed, would lead to the further consolidation of Italy. Looking to Rome, to Palermo, where the smouldering fire might at any moment blaze out, he could not yet dispense with the friendship of Napoleon, he could not provoke the one man powerful enough to shape the action of France in defiance of Clerical and of Legitimist aims. Rattazzi might claim credit for having brought Piedmont past the Treaty of Zürich without loss of territory; Cavour, in a far finer spirit took upon himself the responsibility for the sacrine made to France, and bade the Parliament of Italy pass judgment upon his

us was the most painful duty of my life By what I have felt myself I know what Garibaldi must have felt. If he refuses me his forgiveness I cannot reproach him for it." 1

The annexa seen with extre

The cession thi in relation

it gave to the development of the Volunteer to Europe Forces. Owing their origin to certain demonstrations of hostility towards England made by the French army after Orsin's conspiracy and the acquittal of one of his confederates in London, the Volunteer Forces rose in the three months that followed the annexation of Nice and Savoy from seventy to a hundred and eighty thousand men. If viewed as an indication that the ruler of France would not be content with the frontiers of 1815, the acquisition of the Sub-Alpine provinces might with some reason excite alarm, on no other ground could their transfer be justly condemned. Geographical position, language, commercial interests, separated Savoy from Piedmont and connected it with France; and thought certain parts of the County of Nice the Italian characters. predominated, this district as a whole bore the stamp of Piedmont or Liguria but of Provence. Since t of Piedmont or Liguria but of Provence. Since The separation from France in 1815 there had always been been separation. both in Nice and Savoy, a considerable party which desired reunion with that country. The political and social order of the Sardinian Kingdom had from 1815 to 1848 been so backward, so reactionary, that the middle classes in the border-provinces looked wistfully to France as a land where their own grievances had been removed and their own ideals attained. The constitutional system of Victor Emmanuel and the despotic system of Louis Napoleon had both been too recently introduced to reverse in the minds of the greater number the political tradition of the preceding thirty years. Thus if there were a few who, like Garibaldi, lumself of Genoese descent though born at Nice, passionately resented separation from Italy, they found no considerable party either in Nice or in Savoy animated by the same feeling. On the other hand, the ecclesiastical sentiment of Savoy rendered its transfer

to France an actual advantage to the Italian State. The Papacy had here a deeply-rooted influence. The reforms begun by Azeglio's Ministry had been steadily resisted by a Savoyard group of deputies in the interests of Rome. Cavour himself, in the prosecution of his larger plans, had always been exposed to the danger of a coalition between this ultra-Conservative party and his opponents of the It was well that in the conflict with the other extreme. Papacy, without which there could be no such thing as a Kingdom of United Italy, these influences of the Savoyard Church and Noblesse should be removed from the Parliament and the Throne. Honourable as the Savoyard party of resistance had proved themselves in Parliamentary life, loyal and faithful as they were to their sovereign, they were yet not a part of the Italian nation. Their interests were not bound up with the cause of Italian union; their leaders were not inspired with the ideal of Italian national life. The forces threatened the future of the new State from powerful for the surrender of a governed and half-foreign element to be considered as a real loss.

Nice and Savoy had hardly been handed over to Napoleon when Garibaldi set out from Genoa to effect the liberation of Sicily and Naples. King Ferdinand II., known to his subjects and to Naples Western Europe as King Bomba, had died a few days before the battle of Magenta, leaving the throne to his son Francis II. In consequence of the friendship shown by Ferdinand to Russia during the Crimean. War, and of his refusal to amend his tyrannical system of government, the Western Powers had in 1856 withdrawn their representatives from Naples. On the accession of Francis II. diplomatic_intercourse was_renewed, and Cavour, who had been at bitter enmity with Ferdinand, sought to establish relations of friendship with his son. In the war against Austria an alliance with Naples would have been of value to Sardinia as a counterpoise to Napoleon's influence, and this alliance Cavour attempted to obtain. He was, however, unsuccessful; and after the Peace of Villafranca the Neapolitan Court threw itself with ardour into schemes for the restoration of the fallen Governments and the overthrow of Piedmontese authority

in the Romagna by means of a coalition with Austria and Spain and a counter-revolutionary movement in Italy Spain and a counter-revolutionary movement in Italy itself. A rising on behalf of the fugitive Grand Duke of Tuscany was to give the signal for the march of the Neapolitan army northwards. This rising, however, was expected in vain, and the great Catholic design resulted in nothing. Baffled in its larger aims, the Bourbon Government proposed in the spring of 1860 to occupy Umbria and the Marches, in order to prevent the revolutionary movement from spreading farther into the Papal States. Against this Cavour protested, and King Francis yielded to his threat to withdraw the Sardinian ambassador from Naples. which would in Southern Italy, Cavour now renewed his demand that Francis II. should enter into alliance with Piedmont, accepting a constitutional system of government and the national Italian policy of Victor Emmanuel. But neither the summons from Turin, nor the agritation of the Muratists, nor the warnings of Great Britain that the Bourbon dynasty could only avert its fall by reform, produced any real change in the spirit of the Neapolitan Court. Ministers were removed, but the absolution anti-national system remained the same. Meanwhile anti-national system remained the same. Mesanwhile Garibaldi was gathering his followers round him in Genot. On the 15th of April Victor Emmanuel wrote, to King Francis that unless his fatal system of policy transformed itself might shortly be forced to become the agent of his destruction. Even this menace proved fruitless; and after thus fairly exposing to the Court of Naples the consequence of its own stubbornness, Victor Emmanuel let loose against it the revolutionary forces of Garibaldi.

Since the campaign of 1859 insurrectionary committees had been active in the principal Sicilian towns. The old desire of the Sicilian Liberals for the inde-

Stetty pendence of the island had given place, under the influence of the events of the past year to the desire for Italian union. On the abandonment of Garibaldi's plan for the march on Rome in November, 1859, the liberation of Sicily had been suggested to him as

and the landing of Garihaldi's followers was during this

interval completed without loss.1

On the following day the little army, attired in the red shirts which are the cattle canches in South America, marched en of villagers joined them r and many unexpected priests. On the twere seen in po by Garibaldi, ar put to the rout were very great. Palermo, leaving to

of the island. Insurrection spread towards the interior; the revolutionary party at Palermo itself regained its courage and prepared to co-operate with Garibaldi on his approach. On nearing the city Garibaldi determined that

Garlbaldi captures Paiermo, May 26

he could not risk a direct assault upon the forces which occupied it. He resolved it possible, to lure part of the defenders int the mountains, and during their absence to

throw himself into the city and to trust to the energy of its inhabitants to maintain himself there. This strategy succeeded. While the officer in command of some of the Neapolitan battalions, tempted by an easy victory bies the ill-disciplined Sicilian bands opposed to him, pursued his beaten enemy into the mountains, Garibaldi with the best of his troops fought his way into Palermo on the night of May 26th. Fighting continued in the streets during the next two days, and the cannon of the forts and of the Neapolitan vessels in harbour ineffectually bombarded the city. On the 30th, at the moment when the absent battalions were coming again into sight, an armistice was signed on board the British man-of-war Hannibal. The Neapolitan commander gave up to Garihaldi the bank and public buildings, and withdrew into the forts outside the town. But the Government at Naples was now becoming thoroughly alarmed; and considering Palermo as lost, it directed the troops to be shipped to Messina and to Naples itself. Garibaldi was thus left in

¹ Garibaldi, Epist 1, 97 Persano, Diario, i. 14 Le l'arina, Epist 1, 244 Guerzoni, ii. 23 Parliamentary Papers, 1860, Ixviii. 2. Mundy, II.M.S. Honnibol at Palermo, p. 133.

undisputed possession of the Sicilian capital. He remained there for nearly two months, assuming the government of Sicily as Dictator in the name_of Victor Emmanuel, appointing Ministers, and levying taxes. Heavy reinforcements reached him from Italy. The Neapolitans, driven from the interior as well as from the towns occupied by the invader, now held only the north-eastern extremity of the island. On the 20th of July Garibaldi, operating both by land and sea, attacked and defeated them at Milazzo on the northern coast. The result of this victory was that Messina itself, with the exception of the citadel, was evacuated by the Neapolitans without resistance. Garibaldi, whose troops now numbered eighteen thousand, was master of the island from sea to sea, and could with confidence look forward to the overthrow of Bourbon authority on the Italian mainland.

During Garibaldi's stay at Palermo the antagonism between the two political creeds which severed those whose devotion to Italy was the strongest came clearly into view.

This antagonism stood embodied in its extreme form in the contrast between Mazzini and Cavour. Mazzini, handling moral and

The Party of Action

holitical conceptions with something of the independence of a mathematician, laid it down as the first duty of the Italian nation to possess itself of Rome and Venice, regardless of difficulties that might be raised from without. By conviction he desired that Italy should be a Republic, though under certain conditions he might be willing to tolerate the monarchy of Victor Emmanuel. Cavour. accurately observing the play of political forces in Europe, conscious above all of the strength of those ties which still bound Napoleon to the clerical cause, knew that there were limits which Italy could not at present pass without ruin. The centre of Mazzini's hopes, an advance upon Rome itself, he knew to be an act of self-destruction for Italy, and this advance he was resolved at all costs to prevent. Cavour had not hindered the expedition to Sicily; he had not considered it likely to embroil Italy with its ally; but neither had he been the author of this enterprise. The liberation of Sicily might be deemed the work rather of the school of Mazzini than of Cavour. Garibaldi indeed was personally loyal to Victor Emmanuel; but around him there were men who, if not

Republicans, were at least disposed to make the grant of Sicily to Victor Emmanuel conditional upon the king's fulfilling the will of the so-called Party of Action, and consenting to an attack upon Rome. Under the influence of these politicians Garibaldi, in reply to a deputation expressing to him the desire of the Sicilians for union with the Kingdom of Victor Emmanuel, declared that he had come to fight not for Sicily alone but for all Italy, and that if the annexation of Sicily was to take place before the union of Italy was assured, he must withdraw his hand from the work and retire The effect produced by these words of Garibaldi was so serious that the Ministers whom he had placed in office resigned. Garibaldi endeavoured to substitute for them men more agreeable to the Party of Action, but a demonstration in Palermo itself forced him to nominate Sicilians in favour of immediate annexation. The public opinion of the island was hostile to Republicanism and to the friends de Mazzini, nor could the prevailing anarchy long continue without danger of a reactionary movement. Garibaldi himself possessed no glimmer of administrative faculty, After weeks of confusion and misgovernment he saw this necessity of accepting direction from Turin, and consented to recognise as Pro-Dictator of the island a nomineer Cavour, the Piedmontese Depretis. Under the inflinence of Depretis a commencement was made in the wight of political and social reorganisation.1

Cayour, during Garibaldi's preparation for his descent ipon Sicily and until the capture of Palermo, had affected to disayow and condemn the enterprise as one indertaken by individuals in spite of the Government, and at their

own risk The Piedmontese ambassador was still at Maples as the representative of a friendly Court, and in reply to the reproaches of Germany and Russin, Cavour alleged that the title of Dictator of Sicily in the name of

Naples title of Dictator of Sicily in the name of Victor Emmanuel had been assumed by Garibaldi without the knowledge or consent of his sovereign. But whitever might be said to Foreign Powers, Cavour, from the time of the capture of Palermo, recognised that the hour had canne for further steps inwards Italian union; and, without

¹ Cavour, Lettere, 11i introd 269. La Farina, Pplst, il 336. Bianchi, Politique, p 366. Persano, Diario, i 50, 72, 96.

committing himself to any definite line of action, he began already to contemplate the overthrow of the Bourbon dynasty at Naples. It was in vain that King Francis now released his political prisoners, declared the Constitution A of 1848 in force, and tendered to Piedmont the alliance which he had before refused. Cayour, in reply to his overtures, stated that he could not on his own authority pledge Piedmont to the support of a dynasty now almost in the agonies of dissolution, and that the matter must await the meeting of Parliament at Turin. Thus far the way had not been absolutely closed to a reconciliation between the two Courts; but after the victory of Garibaldi at Milazzo and the evacuation of Messina at the end of July Cavour cast aside all hesitation and reserve. appears to have thought a renewal of the war with Austria probable, and now strained every nerve to become master of Naples and its fleet before Austria could take the field. He ordered Admiral Persano to leave two ships of war to cover Garibaldi's passage to the mainland, and with one ship to proceed to Naples himself, and there excite insurrection and win over the Neapolitan fleet to

"he flag of Victor Emmanuel. Personoreached Naples on the 3rd of August, and on the next day the negotiations between the two Courts were broken off. On the value

Garibaldi crosses to the main, land, Ang, 10

the inevitable revolution. Persano and the Sardinian ambassador. Villamarina, had their confederates in the Bourbon Ministry and in the Royal Family itself. their efforts to drive King Francis from Naples, and testablish the authority of Victor Emmanuel before Gar baldi's arrival, were baffled partly by the tenacity of the King and Queen, partly by the opposition of the con mittees of the Party of Action, who were determined the power should fall into no hands but those of Garibale humself. It was not till Garibaldi had reached Salerno and the Bourbon generals had one after another decline to undertake the responsibility of command in a battle against him, that Francis resolved on flight. It was not feared that he might induce the fleet to sail with him and even that he might hand it over to the Austrians. 'Il crews, it was believed, were willing to follow the King the officers, though inclined to the Italian cause, would be powerless to prevent them. There was not an hour to lose. On the night of September 5th, after the King intention to guit the capital had become known, Person and Villamarina disguised themselves, and in comparwith their partisans mingled with the crews of the flue whom they induced by bribes and persuasion to cing; the boilers and to cripple the engines of their ships. While on the 6th, King Francis, having announced his inflention to spare the capital bloodshed, went on board a mail steamer and quitted the harbour, accompanied Departure

of King Francis, by the ambassadors of Austria, Priesra, and Spain, only one vessel of the fleet followed him. An ingent summons was sent to Gribaldi, whose presence was now desired by all parties alike in order to prevent the outbreak of disorders. Lewing

Garibaldi enters Naples, Sept. 7

Sept. 7 were still garrisoned by eight thousand of the Bourbon troops, but all idea of resistance had been abandoned, and Garthaldi drove fearlessly through the city in the midst of joyons crowds. This first act as Dictator was to declare the stips of war belonging to the State of the Two Sicilies united to those of King Victor Emmanuel under Admiral Persano's command. Before sunset the flag of Italy was horsted by the Neapolitan flect.

The Pied-

and the

Marches,

The army was not to be so easily incorporated with the national forces. King Francis, after abandoning the idea of a battle between Naples and Salerno, had ordered the mass of his troops to retire upon Capua in order to make a final struggle on the line of the Volturno, and this order had been obeyed.1

As soon as it had become evident that the entry of Garibaldi into Naples could not be anticipated by the establishment of Victor Emmanuel's authority,

Cavour recognised that bold and aggressive. action on the part of the National Government was now a necessity. Garibaldi made army enters no secret of his intention to carry the Italian The time was past when the arms to Rome.

national movement could be checked at the frontiers of Naples and Tuscany. It remained

only for Cavour to throw the King's own troops into the Papal States before Garibaldi could move from Naples. and, while winning for Italy the last foot of ground that could be won without an actual conflict with France, to stop short at those limits where the soldiers of Napoleon yould certainly meet an invader with their fire. The Pope was still in possession of the Marches, of Umbria, and of the territory between the Apennines and the coast from Orvieto to Terracina. Cavour had good reason to believe that Napoleon would not strike on behalf of the Temporal Power until this last narrow district was menaced. resolved to seize upon the Marches and Umbria, and to brave the consequences. On the day of Garibaldi's entry into Naples a despatch was sent by Cavour to the Papal Government requiring in the name of Violen T

he prevent revolution from mastering all Italy, and on th 10th of September the French ambassador quitted Turin Without waiting for Antonelli's answer to his ultimatum Cavour ordered the King's troops to cross the frontier The Papal army was commanded by Lamoricière, a Frenc' general who had gained some reputation in Algiers; by the resistance offered to the Piedmontese was unexpectedly The column which entered Umbria reached the southern limit without encountering any serious opposition except from the Irish garrison of Spoleto. In the Marches, where Lamoricière had a considerable force at his disposal the dispersion of the Papal troops and the incapacity shown in their command brought the campaign to a rapid and inglorious end. The main body of the defenders was routed on the Musone, near Loreto, on the 10th of September. Other divisions surrendered, and Fall of Ancona alone remained Ancons. to Lamorhiele.

Vigorously attacked in this fortress both B land and sea. Lamoricière surrendered after a siege of Within three weeks from Garibaldi's entr eight days. into Naples the Piedmontese army had completed the talk imposed upon it, and Victor Emmanuel was master of Ital as far as the Abruzzi.

Cavour's successes had not come a day too some for Garibaldi, since his entry into Naples, was falling more and more into the hands of the Party of Cayour, Action, and, while protesting his lapralty to Gartbatdi. Victor Emmanuel, was openly anthouncing and the that he would march on Rome whether the Party of Action King's Government permitted it or not. Sicily the officials appointed by this Party were proceeding

with such violence that Depretis, unable to obtain troops Garibaldi suddenly from Cavour, resigned his post. at Dalermo on the 11th of September, appointed a seated to the Sicilians that their

of Victor Emmanuel must be

postponed until an incurers of the Italian family were free. But even the personal presence and the angry words of Garihaldi were powerless to check the strong expression, of Sicilian opinion in favour of immediate and unconditional annexation. His visit to Palermo was answered by the appearance of a Sicilian deputation at Turin demanding immediate union, and complaining that the island was

treated by Garibaldi's officers like a conquered province. At Naples the rash and violent utterances of the Dictator were equally condemned. The Ministers whom he had himself appointed resigned. Garibaldi replaced them by others who were almost Republicans, and sent a letter to Victor Emmanuel requesting him to consent to the march upon Rome and to dismiss Cavour. It was known in Turin that at this very moment Napoleon was taking steps to increase the French force in Rome, and to garrison the whole of the territory that still remained to the Pope. Victor Emmanuel understood how to reply to Garibaldi's letter. He remained true to his Minister, and sent orders to Villamarina at Naples in case Garibaldi should proclaim the Republic to break off all relations with him and to secure the fleet. The fall of Ancona on September 28th brought a timely accession of popularity and credit to Cayour. He made the Parliament which assembled at Turin four days later arbiter in the struggle between Garibaldi and himself, and received from it an aimost unanimous vote of confidence. Garibaldi would perhaps have treated lightly any resolution of Parliament which - Inflicted with his own opinion: he shrank from a breach with the soldier of Novara and Solferino. Now, as at other moments of danger, the character and reputation of Victor Emmanuel stood Italy in good stead. In the enthusiasm which Garibaldi's services to Italy excited in every patriotic heart, there was room for thankfulness that Italy possessed a sovereign and a statesman streng mough even to withstand its hero when his heroism engagered the national cause.1

Garibaldi, placing himself again at the head of his forces, drove the enemy back to Capua. But the arms of Victor Emmanuel were now thrown into the scale. Crossing the Apennines, and driving before him the weak force that was intended to bar his way at Isernia, the King de scended in the rear of the Neapolitan army. The Bourbo commander, warned of his approach, moved northward on the line of the Garighiano, leaving a garrison to defend Capua. Garibaldi folfowed on his track, and in the neigh-

Meeting of bourhood of Teano met King Victor Em manuel (October 26th). The meeting is said Victor to have been cordial on the part of the King, Emmanuel and Gartreserved on the part of Garibaldi, who saw in the King's suite the men by whom he baldi, Oct. 26 had been prevented from invading the Papal States in the previous year. In spite of their common patriotism the volunteers of Garibaldi and the army of Victor Emmanuel were rival bodies, and the relations between the chiefs of each camp were strained and difficult. Garibaldi himself returned to the siege of Capua, white the King marched northwards against the retreat Neapolitans. All that was great in Garibaldi's career with now in fact accomplished The politicians about him has attempted at Naples, as in Sicily, to postpone the with Victor Emmanuel's monarchy, and to convoke? Southern Parliament which should fix the conditions on which annexation would be permitted; but, after discrediting the General, they had been crushed by public opinion, and a popular vote which was taken at the end of Chober on the question of immediate union showed the imports in favour of this course to be overwhelming. surrender of Capua on the 2nd of November, Victor Emmanuel made his entry into Naples. Garibaldi, whose request for the Lieutenancy of Southern Italy for the space of a year with full powers was refused by the King, declined all minor honours and rewards, and departed to his home, still filled with resentment against Cayour, and promising his soldiers that he would return in the spring and lead them to Rome and Venice. The reduction of Gaeta, where King Francis II. had taken refuge, and of

^{1*}Ie Roi répondut tout court "C'est impossible" Cavour et his ambassador at Iondon, Nov 16, in Ibanchi, Politique, p 386 l'a l'arina, I pist, 11 438 Persano, 18 44 Guerzoni, il 212

the citadel of Messina, formed the last act of the war. The French fleet for some time prevented the Sardinians from operating against Gaeta from the sea, and the siege in consequence made slow progress. It was not until the middle of January, 1861, that Napoleon permitted the "French admiral to quit his station. The bombardment was now opened both by land and sea, and after a brave resistance Gaeta surrendered on Gaeta. Feb. 14, 1861 the 14th of February. King Francis and his young Queen, a sister of the Empress of Austria, were conveyed in a French steamer to the Papal States, and there began their life-long exile. The citadel of Messina, commanded by one of the few Neapolitan officers who showed any soldiery spirit, maintained its obstinate defence for a month after the Bourbon flag had disappeared from the mainland.

Thus in the spring of 1861, within two years from the outbreak of war with Austria, Italy with the exception of Rome and Venice was united under Victor Emmanuel. Of all the European Powers, Great Britain alone watched the creation of the new Italian Kingdom with complete sympathy and approval. Austria. Jough it had made peace at Zürich, declined to renew diplomatic intercourse with Sardinia. and protested against the assumption by

Cayout's polley with regard to Rome and Venice

at the head of such a troop as he himself could muster, Cayour would have deserved ill of his country if he had for one moment countenanced the belief that the force which had overthrown the Neapolitan Bourbons could with success, or with impunity to Italy, measure itself against the defenders of Venetia or of Rome. Yet the mind of Cavour was not one which could rest in mere passive expectancy as to the future, or in mere condemnation of the unwise schemes of others. His intelligence, so luminous, so penetrating, that in its utterances we seem at times to be listening to the very spirit of the age, ranged over wide fields of moral and of spiritual interests in its forecast of the future of Italy, and spent its last force in one of those prophetic delineations whose breadth and power the world can feel, though a later time alone can judge of their correspondence with the destined course of history Venice was less to Europe than Rome, its transfer to Italy would, Cayour believed, be effected either by arms or negotiations so soon as the German race should find a really national Government, and refuse the service which had hitherto been exacted from it for the mainten ance of Austrian interests. It was to Prussia, as the representative of nationality in Germany, that Cayou looked as the natural ally of Italy in the vindication that part of the national inheritance which still lay, under not only defended by foreign arms, it was the scale of a Power whose empire over the mind of man was not the sport of military or political vicissitudes. Circumstances might cause France to relavits grasp on Rnme, but it was not to such an accident that Cayour looked for the more poration of Rome with Italy. He conceived that the time would arrive when the Catholic world would recognise that the Church would best fulfil its task in complete separation from temporal power. Rume would then assume its natural position as the centre of the Irahan State, the Church would be the noblest friend, not the misjudging enemy, of the Irahan national minarchy. misjuging enemy, of the trunal national management Cavour's own religious beliefs were perhaps less simple than he close to represent them. Occupying limedf, however, with institutions, and with dogmas, he regarded the Chirch in profound carnestness as a limmanising and elevating power. He valued its independence so lightly

that even on the suppression of the Piedmontese monasteries he had refused to give to the State the administration of the revenue arising from the sale of their lands, and had formed this into a fund belonging to the Church itself, in order that the clergy might not become salaried officers of the State. Human freedom was the principle in which he trusted; and looking upon the Church as the greatest association formed by men, he believed that here too the rule of freedom, of the absence of State-regulation, would in the end best serve man's highest interests. With the passing away of the Pope's temporal power, The Free Cavour imagined that the constitution of the Church in Church itself would become more democratic, the Free nore responsive to the movement of the modern world. His own effort in ecclesiastical reform had been to improve the condition and to promote the independence of the lower clergy. He had hoped that each step in their moral and material progress would make them more national at heart; and though this hope had been but partially fulfilled, Cayour had never ceased to cherish the ideal of a national Church which, while recoghising its Head in Rome, should cordially and without keserve accept the friendship of the Italian State.1

It was in the exposition of these principles, in the enforcement of the common moral interest of Italian nationality and the Catholic Church, that Cavour gave his last counsels to the Italian Parliament. He was not himself to lead the nation farther towards the Promised Land. The immense exertions which he had maintained during the last three years, the indignation and anxiety caused to him by Garibaldi's attacks, produced an illness

which Cavour's own careless habits of life and the unskilfulness of his doctors rendered fatal. With dying lips he repeated to those June 6, 1861 about him the words in which he had

Death of

summed up his policy in the Italian Parliament: "A free Church in a free State."2 Other Catholic lands had

¹ Cavour in Parlamento, p. 630. Azeglio, Correspondance Politique, p. 180. La Rive, p. 313. Berti, Cavour avanti 1848, p. 302.

² "Le comte le reconnu, lui serra la main et dit: 'Frate, frate, libera

chiesa in libero stato.' Ce furent ses dernières paroles." Account of the death of Cavour by his nièce, Countess Alfieri, in La Pive, Cavour, p. 319.

adjusted by Concordats with the Papacy the conflicting claims of temporal and spiritual authority in such matters

as the appointment of bishops, the regulation favours a of schools, the family-rights of persons mar-free Church ried without ecclesiastical form. Cayour appears to have thought that in Italy, where the whole nation was in a sense Catholic, the Church night as safely and as easily be left to manage its own affairs as in the United States, where the Catholic community is only one among many religious societies. His optimism, his sanguine and large-hearted tolerance, was never more strikingly shown than in this fidelity to the principle of liberty, even in the case of those who for the time declined all reconciliation with the Italian State. Whether Cavour's ideal was an impracticable fancy a later age will decide The ascendancy within the Chirch of Rome would seem as jet to have rested with the elements most opposed to the spirit of the time, most obstinately bent on setting faith and reason in irreconcilable enmity. In and the priesthood which Cavour anticipated, absolution has won a new crown in the doctrine of Papal Infallibility Catholic dogma has remained impervious to the solvent which during the last thirty years have operated with perceptible success on the theology of Protestant 1 and Each conquest made in the world of thought and known ledge is still noted as the next appropriate object of denunciation by the Vatican. Nevertheless the capitous spirit will be slow to conclude that hopes like those of Cayour were wholly vain. A single generation may see but little of the seed-time, nothing of the harvests that are yet to enrich mankind. And even if all wider interests be left out of view, enough remains to justify Cavour's policy of respect for the independence of the Church in the fact that Italy during the thirty years succeeding the establishment of its union has remained free from civil war. Cayour was wont to refer to the Constitution which the Franch National Assembly imposed upon the clergy in 1790 as the type of erroneous legislation. Had his own police and that of his successors not been animated by a wiser spirit, had the Government of Italy, after over-throwing the Pope's temporal sovereignts, sought enemies among the rural priesthood and their congregations, the

provinces added to the Italian Kingdom by Garibaldi would hardly have been maintained by the House of Savoy without a second and severer struggle. Between the ideal Italy which filled the thoughts not only of Mazzini but of some of the best English minds of that time—the land of

CHAPTER XXIII

Germany after 1858-The Regency in Prussia-Army reorganisation -King William I -Conflict between the Crown and the Parlia ment-Bismarck-The struggle continued-Austria from 1850-The October Diploma-Resistance of Hungary-The Reichstath -Russia under Alexander II - Liberation of the Serfs-Poland -The Insurrection of 1863-Agrarian measures in Ioland-Sable and Haletan Darth of Lander of 1111 Plans of Bis of London-

-Prussia and

of Prussin with Italy-Proposals for a Congress fail-War between Austria and Prussia-Napoleon III -Koniggratz-Custozza-Mediation of Napoleon-I reaty of Prague-South Germany-Projects for compensation to France-Austria and Hungar Deak-Lstablishment of the Dual System in Austria-Hungary

SHORTLY before the events which broke the power of Austria in Italy, the German people believed themselve to have entered on a new political era. Germany

Frederick William IV., who, since 18487, from 1858

THE REPUBLICA now assumed the Regency. in the days in Prussia. when King Frederick William still retained Oct., 1858 some vestiges of his reputation the Prince of

Prussia had been unpopular, as the supposed head of the reactionary party; but the events of the last few years had exhibited him in a better aspect. Though strong in his belief both in the Divine right of kings in general, and in the necessity of a powerful monarchical rule in Prussia, he was disposed to tolerate, and even in treat with a certain respect, the humble elements of constitutional government which he found in existence more manliness in his nature than in that of his brother, more belief in the worth of his own people. The espion-

age, the servility, the overdone professions of sanctity in Manteuffel's régime displeased him, but most of all he despised its pusillanimity in the conduct of foreign affairs. His heart indeed was Prussian, not German, and the destiny which created him the first Emperor of united Germany was not of his own making nor of his own seeking; but he felt that Prussia ought to hold a far greater station both in Germany and in Europe than it had held during his brother's reign, and that the elevation of the State to the position which it ought to occupy was the task that lay before himself. During the twelve months preceding the Regency the retirement of the King had not been treated as more than temporary, and the Prince of Prussia, though constantly at variance with Manteuffel's Cabinet, had therefore not considered himself at liberty to remove his brother's advisers. His first act on the. assumption of the constitutional office of Regent was to dismiss the hated Ministry. Prince Antony of Hohenzollern-Signaringen was called to office, and posts in the Government were given to men well known as moderate Liberals. Though the Regent stated in clear terms that he had no intention of forming a Liberal party-administration, his action satisfied public opinion. The troubles and the failures of 1849 had inclined men to be content with far less than had been asked years before. leaders of the more advanced sections among the Liberals preferred for the most part to remain outside Parliamentary life rather than to cause embarrassment to the new Government; and the elections of 1859 sent to Berlin a body of representatives fully disposed to work with the Regent and his Ministers in the policy of guarded progress which they had laid down.

This change of spirit in the Prussian Government, followed by the events that established Italian independence, told powerfully upon public opinion throughout Germany. Hopes that had been crushed idea of idea of in 1849 now revived. With the collapse of German military despotism in the Austrian Empire union the clouds of reaction seemed everywhere to be passing away; it was possible once more to think of German national union and of common liberties in which all Germans should share. As in 1808 the rising of the Spaniards against Napoleon had inspired Blücher and his country-

men with the design of a truly national effort against their foreign oppressor, so in 1859 the work of Cayour chillinged the Germans to prove that their national patriotism and their political aptitude were not inferior to those of the Italian people. Men who had been prominent in the National Assembly at Frankfort again met one another and spoke to the nation. In the Parliaments of several of the minor States resolutions were brought forward in favour of the creation of a central German authority Protests were made against the infringement of constitutional rights that had been common during the last ten years, patriotic meetings and demonstrations were held. and a National Society, in imitation of that which had prepared the way for union with Piedmont in Central and Southern Italy, was formally established. There was indeed no such preponderating opinion in layour of Prissin lendership as had existed in 1848. The southern States had displayed a strong sympathy with Austria in of Prussia during the Italian campaign as a desertion by the German cause Here there were few who looked was friendly eye upon Berlin. It was in the minor states V the north, and especially in Hesse-Cassel, where the more breaking out, that the strongest hopes were dimeted towards the new Prissian ruler, and the measures of his Government were the most anyously watched.

The Prince Regent was a soldier by profession and liabit. He was born in 1797, and had been present at the bittle of Arcis-sur-Anbe, the Irst fought by Napoleon against the Allies in 1814. During forty years he had the Resent. Served on every commission that had been

The Regent of Prussia and the arms in the terror of the Country of the Austra at Olumtz in 1850, and of the abandonment of all

claims to German k idership on the part of the Court of Berliu. The Prince would himself have risked all chance of disaster rather tha inflict upon Prusser the limitation with which King Frederick William then purchased peter;

but Manteuffel had convinced his sovereign that the army could not engage in a campaign against Austria without ruin. Military impotence was the only possible justification for the policy then adopted, and the Prince determined that Prussia should not under his own rule have the same excuse for any political shortcomings. The work of reorganisation was indeed begun during the reign of Frederick William IV., through the enforcement of the three-years' service to which the conscript was liable by law, but which had fallen during the long period of peace to two-years' service. The number of troops with the colours was thus largely increased, but no addition had been made to the yearly levy, and no improvement attempted in the organisation of the Landwehr. When in 1859 the order for mobilisation was given in consequence of the Italian war, it was discovered that the Landwehr battalions were almost useless. The members of this force were mostly married men approaching middle life, who had been too long engaged in other pursuits to resume their military duties with readiness, and whose call to the field left their families without means of support Figure 13 and chargeable upon the public purse. Too much, in the judgment of the reformers of the Prussian army, was required from men past youth, not enough from youth itself. The plan of the Prince Regent was therefore to enforce in the first instance with far more stringency Scheme of the law imposing the universal obligation to reorganimilitary service; and, while thus raising the sation annual levy from 40,000 to 60,000 men, to extend the period of service in the Reserve, into which the young soldier passed on the completion of his three years with the colours, from two to four years. Asserting with greater rigour its claim to seven years in the early life of the citizen, the State would gain, without including the Landwehr, an effective army of four hundred thousand men, and would practically be able to dispense with the service of those who were approaching middle life, except in cases of great urgency. In the execution of this reform the Government could on its own authority enforce the increased levy and the full three years' service in the standing army; for the prolongation of service in the Reserve, and for the greater expenditure entailed by new system, the consent of Parliament was necessa

The general principles on which the proposed reorgamisation was based were accepted by public opinion and by both Chambers of Parliament; it was, however, held by the Liberal leaders that the increase of expenditure

might, without impairing the efficiency of the army, be avoided by returning to the The Prussian Parliament system of two-years' service with the colours, and the army, which during so long a period had been 1859~1861

400

thought sufficient for the training of the soldier. The Regent, however, was convinced that the discipline and the instruction of three years were indipensable to the Prussian conscript, and he refused to accept the compromise suggested. The mobilisation of 1850 had given him an opportunity for forming additional battalions, and although the Landwehr were soon dismissed to their homes the new formation was retained, and the place of the returng multiamen was filled by conscripts of the year. The Lower Chamber, in voting the sum required in 1860 for the increased numbers of the army, treated this arrangement as temporary, and limited the grant to one year, in spite of this the Regent, who on the death of his brother in January, 1861, became King Accession of Prussia, formed the additional battalogs

Accession into new regiments, and gave to these its regiments their names and colours. The year of King William. Jan., 1861 1861 passed without bringing the questions issue between the Government and the Chamber of Public feeling, disappointed Deputies to a settlement. in the reserved and hesitating policy which was still followed by the Court in German affairs, stimulated too by the rapid consolidation of the Italian monarchy, which the Prussian Government on us part had as yet declined to reenginse, was becoming imputent and resentful. It seemed as if the Court of Berlin still shrank from committing itself to the national cause. The general confidence reposed in the new ruler at his accession was passing away, and when in the summer of (56) the dissolution of Parliament took place, the elections resulted in the return not only of a Progressist majority, but of a majority little inclined to submit to measures of comprounse, or for shrink from the assertion of its full constitutional rights.

The new Parliament assembled at the beginning of

1862. Under the impulse of public opinion, the Govern-

ment was now beginning to adopt a more vigorous policy in German affairs, and to re-assert Prussia's claims to an independent leadership in defiance of the estored Diet of Frankfort. But the conflict P Parliament of 1862 with the Lower Chamber was not to be iverted by revived energy abroad. The Army Bill, which was passed at once by the Upper House, was referred to a hostile Committee on reaching the Chamber of Deputies, and a resolution was carried insisting on the right of the representatives of the people to a far more effective control over the Budget than they had hitherto exercised. The result of this vote was the dissolution of Parliament by the King, and the resignation May, 1862 of the Ministry, with the exception of General Roon, Minister of War, and two of the most conservative among his colleagues. Prince Hohenlohe, President of the Upper House, became chief of the Government. There was now an open and undisguised conflict between the Crown and the upholders of Parliamentary rights. "King or Parliament" was the expression in which the newly-appointed Ministers themselves summed up the struggle. The utmost pressure was exerted by the Government in the course of the elections which followed, second but in vain. The Progressist party returned in overwhelming strength to the new Par- of 1862 liament; the voice of the country seemed unmistakably to condemn the policy to which the King and his advisers were committed. After a long and sterile discussion in the Budget Committee, the debate on the Army Bill began in the Lower House on the 11th of September. Its principal clauses were rejected by an almost upon more rejected. cipal clauses were rejected by an almost unanimous vote. An attempt made by General Roon to satisfy his opponents by a partial and conditional admission of the principle of two-years' service Bismarck resulted only in increased exasperation on both sides. Hohenlohe resigned, and the King now placed in power, at the head of a Ministry of conflict, the most resolute and unflinching of all his friends, the most contemptuous scorner of Parliamentary majorities, Herr von Bismarck.

The new Minister was, like Cavour, a country gentle-

¹ Berichte über der Militair-etat, p. 669. Schulthess, Europäischer Geschichts Kalender, 1862, p. 122.

man, and, like Cayour, he owed his real entry into public

Bism ...'.

United Diet which assembled at Berlin in April, 1848, that he first attracted the attention of King or people. 14 was one of two Deputies who refused to join in the vote of thanks to Frederick William IV, for the Constitution which he had promised to Prussia. Bismarck, then thirty-three years old, was a Royalist of Royalists, the type, as it seemed, of the rough and masterful Junker, or Squire, of the older parts of Prussia, to whom all reforms from those of Stein downwards were hateful, all ideas but those of the barrack and the kennel alien. Others in the spring of 1848 lamented the ennessions made by the Crown to the people; Bismarck had the courage to say so. When reaction came there were naturally many, and among them King Frederick William, who were interested in the man who in the heyday of constitution enthusiasm had treated the whole movement as so much midsummer madness, and had remained faithful N monarchical authority as the one thing needful for i Prussian State. B part in the Parlian

however, till 1851

circle. He was then sent as the representative of Prussia to the restored Diet of Frankfort. As an absolutist and a conservative, brought up in the traditions of the Holy Alliance, Bismarck had in earlier days looked up to Austria as the mainstay of monarchical order and the historic barrier against the flood of democratic and wind-driven sentiment which threatened to deluge Germany, 11e had

lmitz in 1850. 25w grew strong in saw at Frankfort,

that Austria under Schwarzenberg's rule was no longer the Power which had been content to share the German leadership with Prussia in the period before 1848, but a Power which meant to rule in Germany uncontrolled. In contact with the representatives of that outworn system which Austria had resuscitated at Frankfort and with the instruments of the dominant State itself, Bismarck soon learnt to detest the paltriness of the one and the

1186

404

which expanded with success and which involved a certain daring in the choice of means, were at each new development so ably veiled or disclosed, so dexterously presented to the sovereign, as to overcome his hesitation on striking into many an unaccustomed path Roon and his workmen, who, in the face of a hostile Parliament and a hostile Press, had to supply to Bismarck what a foreign alliance and enthusiastic national sentiment had supplied to Cavour, forged for Prussia a weapon of such temper that, against the enemies on whom it was employed, no extri ordinary genius was necessary to render its thrust fatal. It was no doubt difficult for the Prime Minister, without alarming his sovereign and without risk of an immediate breach with Austria, to make his ulterior aims so clear as to carry the Parliament with him in the policy of military reorganisation. Words frank even to brutality were uttered by him, but they sounded more like menace and

Prussin must keep its forces together," he said in one of his first Parliamentary appearances, "its boundar are not those of a sound State The great question the time are to be deeded not hy speeches and oters." majorities but by blood and iron." After the experie of 1848 and 1850, a not too despondent political oils fire might well have formed the conclusion that nothing less than the military overthrow of Austria could give to Germany any tolerable system of national government, or even secure to Prussia its legitimate field of action was the keystone of Bismarck's belief, but he failed to make his purpose and his motives intelligible to the representatives of the Prussian people. He was taken for a mere bully and absolutist of the old type. His personal characteristics, his arrogence, his sarcasm, his habit of banter, exasperated and inflamed Roon was no better suited to the atmosphere of a popular assembly encounter of the Ministers with the Chamber embittered the struggle and made reconciliation more difficult. Parliamentary system of Prussia seemed threatened in it very existence when, after the rejection by the Chambel of Deputies of the clause in the Budget providing for the cost of the army-reorganisation, this clause was restored by the Upper House, and the Budget of the Government passed in its original form. By the terms of the Constitu-

tion the right of the Upper House in matters of taxation was limited to the approval or rejection of the Budget sent up to it from the Chamber of Representatives. It possessed no power of amendment. Bismarck, however, had formed the theory that in the event of a disagreement between the two Houses a situation arose for which the Constitution had not provided, and in which therefore the Crown was still possessed of its old absolute authority. No compromise, no negotiation between the two Houses, was, in his view, to be desired. He was resolved to govern and to levy taxes without a Budget, and had obtained the King's permission to close the session immediately the Upper House had given its vote. But before the order for prorogation could be brought down the President of the Lower Chamber had assembled his colleagues, and the unanimous vote of those present declared the action of the Upper House null and void. In the agitation attending this trial of strength between the Crown, the Ministry and the Upper House on one side and the Representative Chamber on the other the session of 1862 "losed."

The Deputies, returning to their constituencies, carried with them the spirit of combat, and received the most demonstrative proofs of popular sympathy and support. Representations of great King arnestness were made to the King, but they failed to shake in the slightest degree his confidence in his Minister, or to bend his fixed resolution to carry out his military reforms to the end. The claim of Parliament to interfere with matters of military organisation in Prussia touched him in his most sensitive point. He declared that the aim of his adversaries was nothing less than the establishment of a Parliamentary instead of a royal army. In perfect sincerity he believed that the convulsions of 1848 were on the point of breaking out afresh. "You mourn the conflict between the Crown and the national repre-

sentatives," he said to the spokesman of an important society; "do I not mourn it? I sleep no single night."

Hahn, Fürst Bismarck, i. 66. This work is a collection of documents, speeches, and letters not only by Bismarck himself, but on all the principal matters in which Bismarck was concerned. It is perhaps, from the German point of view, the most important repertory of authorities for the period 1862-1885.

The annety, the despondency of the sovereign were shared by the friends of Prussia throughout Germany; its enemies saw with wonder that Bismarck in his struggle with the educated Liberalism of the middle classes did not shrink from dalliance with the Socialist leaders and their organic

When Parliament reassembled at the beginning of 1863 the conflict was resumed will even greater lieat. The Lower Climbber and the beginning of the conflict was resumed will even greater lieat. The Lower Climbber and the beginning of the conflict was resumed with the conflict

even greater lieat. The Lower Chamber car ried an address to the King, which, while dwelling on the loyalty of the Prussian people to their chief, charged the Ministers with violating the Constitu tion, and demanded their dismissal. The King refused to receive the deputation which was to present the address and in the written communication in which he replied to it he sharply reproved the Assembly for their errors and presumption. It was in vain that the Army Bill was again introduced. The House, while allowing the ordinary military expenditure for the year, struck out the costs of the reorganisation, and declared Ministers personally answerable for the sums expended. Each appearance the leading members of the Cabinet now become the sign for contumely and altercation. The decenctes of deby ceased to be observed on either side. When the Preside attempted to set some limit to the violence of Bysinink and Roon, and, on resistance to his authority, terminated the sitting, the Ministers declared that they would no longer appear in a Chamber where freedom of speech was denied to them. Affairs came to a deadlock. The Chamber again appealed to the King, and insisted that reconclination between the Crown and the nation was impossible so long as the present Ministers remained in office. The Kingnow thoroughly indignant, charged the Assembly with attempting to win for itself supreme power, expressed his gratifude to his Ministers for their resistance to this usurpation, and declared lumself too confident in the loyalty of the Prussian people to be intimidated by threats His reply was followed by the prorogation of the Assembly (May 26th). A dissolution would have been worse than useless, for in the actual state of public opinion the Opposition would probably have triumphed throughout the country. It only remained for Bismarck to hold his ground, and, having silenced the Parliament for a white to silence the Press also by the exercise of autocratipower. The Constitution authorised the King, in the absence of the Chambers, to publish enactments on matters of urgency having the force of laws. No sooner had the session been closed than an edict was issued empowering the Governments of the Press

ment, without resort to courts of law, to suppress any newspaper after two warnings. An outburst of public indignation branded this return to the principles of pure despotism in Prussia; but neither King nor Minister was to be diverted by threats or by expostulations from his course. The Press was effectively silenced. So profound, however, was the distrust now everywhere felt as to the future of Prussia, and so deep the resentment against the Minister in all circles where Liberal influences penetrated, that the Crown Prince himself, after in vain protesting against a policy of violence which endangered his own prospective interests in the Crown, publicly expressed his disapproval of the action of Government. For this offence he was never forgiven.

The course which affairs were taking at Berlin excited the more bitter regret and disappointment among all triends of Prussia as at this very time it

Eriends of Prussia as at this very time it seemed that constitutional government was being successfully established in the western

being successfully established in the western part of the Austrian Empire. The centralised military despotism with which Austria emerged from the convulsions of 1848 had been allowed ten years of undisputed sway; at the end of this time it had brought things to such a pass that, after a campaign in which there had been but one great battle, and while still in possession of a vast army and an unbroken chain of fortresses, Austria stood powerless to move hand or foot. It was not the defeat of Solferino or the cession of Lombardy that exhibited the prostration of Austria's power, but the fact that while the conditions of the Peace of Zürich were swept away, and Italy was united under Victor Emmanuel in defiance of the engagements made by Napoleon Jjj, at Villafranca, the Austrian Emperor was compelled 4/, look on with folded arms. To have drawn the sweeth again, to have fired a shot in defence of the Pope's with poral power or on behalf of the vassal princes of Transaction and Modena, would have been to risk the existence of the Austrian monarchy. The State was all but her keying

rebellion might at any moment break out in Hungar, which had already sent thousands of soldiers to the Italina camp. Peace at whatever price was necessary abroad, and at home the system of centralised despotism could no longer exist, come what might in its place. It was natural that the Emperor should but imperfectly understand at the first the extent of the concessions which it wis necessary for him to make. He determined that the Provincial Councils which schwarzenberg had promised in 1850 should be called into existence, and that a Council of the Empire (Reichsrath), drawn in part from these, should assemble at Vienna, to advise, though not to control, the Government in matters of finance. So intent, however, were the needs of the exchequer, that the Imperor proceeded at once to the creation of the Central Council, and nominated its first members himself. (March, 1860.)

That the Hungarian members nominited by the Imperor would decline to appear at Vienna unless some further guarantee was given for the restrict Hungary tion of Hungarian libert, was well known

The Emperor accordingly promised to restore the ancient county-organisation, which had filled so gree a space in Hungarian history before 1848, and to take suffer for assembling the Hungarian Diet This, with the head of an edict injurious to the Protestants, opened the lan for reconciliation, and the nominated Hungarians took their place in the Council, though under protest that the existing arrangement could only be accepted as preparatory to the full restitution of the rights of their country. The Council continued in session during the summer of 1860 lts duties were financial, but the establishment of financial equilibrium in Austria was inseparable from the establishment of political stability and public confidence; and the Council, in its last sittings, entered on the widest constitutional problems. The non-German members were in the majority; and while all parties alike condemned the fullen absolutism, the rival declarations of policy submitted to the Council marked the opposition which was henceforward to exist between the German Liberals of Austria and the various Nationalist or Federalist groups. The Magars, uniting with those who had been their bitterest enemies, declared that the ancient independence

in legislation and administration of the several countrie. subject to the House of Hapsburg must be restored, each country retaining its own historical character. The Genman minority contended that the Emperor should bestow upon his subjects such institutions as, while based on the right of self-government, should and Federal. secure the unity of the Empire and the force ists in the of its central authority. All parties were for Council a constitutional system and for local liberties in one form or another; but while the Magyars and their supporters sought for nothing less than national independence, the Germans would at the most have granted a uniform system of provincial self-government in strict subordination to a central representative body drawn from the whole Empire and legislating for the whole Empire. The decision of the Emperor was necessarily a compromise. By a Diploma published on the 20th of October Dintoma he promised to restore to Hungary its o'd of Oct. 20, Constitution, and to grant wide legislative

Government at Frankfort. Schmerling at once promised important changes in the provincial systems drawn up by his predecessor, but in his dealings with Hungary hi proved far less tractable than the Magyars had expected If the Hungarians had recovered their own constitutional forms, they still stood threatened with the supremacy of a Central Council in all that related to themselves in common with the rest of the Empire, and against this they But from the establishment of this Council of the Empire neither the Emperor nor Schmerling would recede. An edict of February 26th, 1861, while it mide good the changes promised by Schmerling in the several provincial systems, confirmed the general provisions of the Diploma of October, and declared that the Emperor would maintain the Constitution of his dominions as now established against all attack.

In the following April the Provincial Diets met throughout the Austrian Empire, and the Diet of the Hungarian Kingdom assembled at Peth. Conflict of The first duty of each of these bodies was to

Hungary with the Crown.

elect representatives to the Council of the Empire which was to meet at Vienna Neither flungary nor Croutia, however, would eleg such representatives, each claiming complete legislatife independence, and declining to recognise any such external authority as it was now proposed to create. The Emperor warned the flungarian Diet against the consequences of its action, but the national spirit of the Magaars was thoroughly roused, and the County Assemblies yield with one another in the violence of their addresses to the The Dict, reviving the Constitutional difficulties connected with the abdication of Ferdinand, declured that it would only negotiate for the reconstitute of Francis Joseph after the establishment of a llunguing Ministry and the restoration of Croatia and Transi franti to the Hungarian Kingdom. Accepting Schmerling's contention that the ancient Consultational rights of flungary had been extinguished by rebellion, the Emperor insisted on the establishment of a Council for the whole I'mpire and refused to recede from the dechrations which he had made in the edict of February The Diet thereupon protested, in a long and vigorous address to the King, against the validity of all laws made without its own concurrence,

and declared that Francis Joseph had rendered an agreement between the King and the nation impossible. A dissolution followed. The County Assemblies took up the national struggle. They in their turn were suppressed; their officers were dismissed, and military rule was established throughout the land, though with explicit declarations on the part of the King that it was to last only till the legally existing Constitution could be brought into peaceful working.¹

Meanwhile the Central Representative Body, now by enlargement of its functions and increase in the number of its members made into a Parliament of the Empire, assembled at Vienna. Its real character was necessarily altered by the absence of representatives from Hungary;

and for some time the Government seemed disposed to limit its competence to the affairs of the Cis-Leithan provinces; but after satisfying himself that no accord with Hungary was possible, the Emperor announced this

The Reichsrath at Vienna, May, 1861– Dec., 1862

fact to the Assembly, and bade it perform its part as the organ of the Empire at large, without regard to the abstention of those who did not choose to exercise their rights. The Budget for the entire Empire was accordingly submitted to the Assembly, and for the first time the expenditure of the Austrian State was laid open to public examination and criticism. The first session of this Parliament lasted, with adjournments, from May, 1861, to December, 1862. In legislation it effected little, but its relations as a whole with the Government remained excellent, and its long-continued activity, unbroken by popular disturbances, did much to raise the fallen credit of the Austrian State and to win for it the regard of Germany. On the close of the session the Provincial Diets assembled, and throughout the spring of 1863 the rivalry of the Austrian nationalities gave abundant Second animation to many a local capital. In the session of next summer the Reichsrath reassembled at the Reichs-Vienna. Though Hungary remained in a rath, 1863

next summer the Reichsrath reassembled at the Reichs-Vienna. Though Hungary remained in a rath, 1863 condition not far removed from rebellion, the Parliamentary system of Austria was gaining in strength, and indeed, as it seemed, at the expense of Hungary itself;

¹ Sammlung der Staatsacten, p. 82. Der Ungarische Reichstag 1861, pp. 3, 192, 238. Arntld Forster, Life of Deák, p. 141.

for the Roumanian and German population of Transylvania, rejoicing in the opportunity of detaching themselves from the Magyars, now sent deputies to Vienna. While at Berlin each week that passed sharpened the antagonism between the nation and its Government, and made the Minister's name more odious, Austria seemed to have successfully broken with the traditions of its past, and to be fast earning for itself an honourable place among States of the constitutional type.

One of the reproaches brought against Bismarck by the Progressist majority in the Parhament of Berlin was that he had solated Prussia both in Germany and in Europe. That he had roused against the Government of his country the public opinion of Germany was true: that he had alienated Prussia from all Europe was not the case; on the contrary, he had established a closer relation between the Courts of Berlin and St. Petersburg than had existed at any time since the commencement of the Regency, and had secured for Prussia a degree of confidence and goodwill on the part of the Car which, in the memorable years that were to follow, served it

Russia under Alexander II.

Russia under Alexander II.

The care the less effectively than an armed alliance. Russia, since the Crimean War, Ind seemed to be entering upon an epoch of bounds, and the change The calamines with which the change is a care to look a care to look

of Nicholas had closed had exited in that narrow fank of Russian society where thought had any existance a vehement reculsion against the sterile and unchanging system of repression, the granding servitude of the list thirty years. From the Emperor downwards all educated men behaved not only that the system of government, but that the whole order of Russian social life, must be recast. The ferment of ideas which marks an age of revolution was in full course; but in what forms the new order was to be monided, through what processes Russia was to be brought into its new life, no one know. Russia was to be brought into its new life, no one know. Russia was to be brought wanting in capable statement; it was even more conspicuously wanting in the class of serviciable and intelligent agents of Government of the second rank. Its monarch, Mexander II, humane and well-meaning, was irresolute and vacillating beyond the measure of ordinary men. He was not only devoid of all administrative and organising faculty limself, but so infirm of purpose that

Ministers whose policy he had accepted feared to let him pass out of their sight, lest in the course of a single journey or a single interview he should succumb to the persuasions of some rival politician. In no country in Europe was there such incoherence, such self-contradiction, such absence of unity of plan and purpose in government as in Russia, where all nominally depended upon a single will. Pressed and tormented by all the rival influences that beat upon the centre of a great empire, Alexander seems at times to have played off against one another as colleagues in the same branch of Government the representatives of the most opposite schools of action, and, after assenting to the plans of one group of advisers, to have committed the execution of these plans, by way of counterpoise, to those who had most opposed them. But, like other weak men, he dreaded nothing so much as the reproach of weakness or inconstancy; and in the cloud of half-formed or abandoned purposes there were some few to which he resolutely adhered. The chief of these, the great achievement of his reign, was the liberation of the serfs.

It was probably owing to the outbreak of the revolution of 1848 that the serfs had not been freed by Nicholas. That sovereign had long understood the necessity for the change, and in 1847 he had of the Serfs, actually appointed a Commission to report March, 1861 on the best means of effecting it. The convulsions of 1848, followed by the Hungarian and the Crimean wars, threw the project into the background during the remainder of Nicholas's reign; but if the belief of the Russian people is well founded, the last injunction of the dying Czar to his successor was to emancipate the serfs throughout his empire. Alexander was little capable of grappling with so tremendous a problem himself; in the year 1859, however, he directed a Commission to make a complete inquiry into the subject, and to present a scheme of emancipation. The labours of the Commission extended over two years; its discussions were agitated, at times violent. That serfage must sooner or later be abolished all knew; the points on which the Commission was divided were the bestowal of land on the peasants and the regulation of the village-community. European history afforded abundant precedents in eman-

1861

cipation, and under an infinite variety of detail three types of the process of enfranchisement were clearly distinguishable from one another. Maria Theresa, in liberating the serf, had required him to continue to render a fixed amount of labour to his lord, and had given him on this condition haits of tenure in the land he occupied; the Prussian reformers had made a division of the land between the peasant and the lord, and extinguished all labour-dues, Napoleon, in enfranchising the serfs in the Duchy of Warsaw, had simply turned them into free men, leaving the terms of their occupation of land to be settled by arrangement or free contract with their former lords. This example had been followed in the Baltic Provinces of Russia itself by Alexander I. Of the three modes of emaneipation, that based on free contract had produced the worst results for the peasant; and though many of the Russian landowners and their representatives in the Commission protested against a division of the land hetween themselves and their seris as an act of agrarma revolution and spoliation, there were men in high office and same few among the proprietors, who resolutely and successfully fought for the principle of independent ownership by the peasants. The leading spirit in this great work appears to have been Nicholas Militine, Adjungtor the Minister of the Interior, Lanskot. Militine, which drawn up the Ministeral Charta of St. Petershing, with distristed by the Cyar as a restless and uncompremising reformer. It was uncertain from day to day whether the views of the Ministry of the Interior or those of the territorial aristocracy would prevail, ultimately, however, under instructions from the Palace, the Commission accepted not only the principle of the division of the land, but the system of communal self-government by the personts themselves The determination of the amount of land to be held by the personts of a commune and of the fixed reat to be paid to the lord was left in the first instance to private agreement; but where such agreement was not reached, the State, through arbiters elected at local assentblus of the nobles, decided the matter itself. The rent once fixed, the State enabled the commune to redeem it by advancing a cipit d sum to be recoiped by a quintrin to the State extending over forty-nine vers. The Place of the Czar converting twenty-five millions of serfs into

free proprietors, the greatest act of legislation of modern times, was signed on the 3rd of March, 1801, and within the next few weeks was read in every church of the Rus It was a strange comment on the system sian Empire. of government in Russia that in the very month in which the edict was published both Lanskoi and Milutine, who had been its principal authors, were removed from their posts. The Czar feared to leave them in power to superintend the actual execution of the law which they had In supporting them up to the final stage of its enactment Alexander had struggled against misgivings of his own, and against influences of vast strength while at the Court, within the Government, and in the Provinces, With the completion of the Edict of Emancipation his power of resistance was exhausted, and its execution was committed by him to those who had been its opponents, That some of the evils which have mingled with the good in Russian enfranchisement might have been leed had the Czar resolutely stood by the author, of reform and allowed them to complete their work in accordance with their own designs and convictions, is scarcely onen to doubt.

lumits, to a policy of conciliation. The separate Legislature and separate army which Poland had possessed from 1815 to 1830 he was determined not to restore, but he was willing to give Poland a large degree of administrative autonomy, to confide the principal offices in its Government to natives, and generally to relay something of that close union with Russia which had been enforced by Nicholas since the rebellion of 1831. But the concessions of the Czar, accompanied as they were by acts of repression and severity, were far from satisfying the demands of Polish patriotism. It was in vain that Alexander in the summer of 1862 sent his brother Constantine as Viceroy to Warsaw, established a Polish Council of State, placed a Pole, Wielopolski, at the head of the Administration, superseded all the Russian governors of Polish provinces by natives, and gave to the municipalities and the districts the right of electing local councils; these concessions seemed nothing, and were in fact nothing, in comparison with the national independence which the Polish leaders claimed The situation grew worse at worse. An attempt made upon the life of the Grand Duff Constantine during his entry into Warsaw was but of nmong a series of similar acts which discredited the Poly cause and strengthened those who at St Petersburg from the first condemned the Czar's attempts at mr il retended the Attempts at mr il retended the Russian Government took the step which precipitated revolt. A levy of one interest tanhundred of the population throughout the Tumpire had been ordered in the autumn of 1862. Instructions were sent from St. Petersburg to the effect that in raising this levy in Poland the country population were to be spared and that all persons who were known to be connected

uith the disorders in the towns were to be seized as soldiers. It is terrible sentered against an entire political class was carried out, so far as it by within the power of the authorities, on the night of January 14th, 1863. But be fore the imperial pressigning surrounded the longes of its victims a rumour of the intended blow had gone abroad. In the preceding hours, and during the night of the 14th thousands fled from Warsaw and the other Polish tows into the forests. There they formed the mestes into arest bands, and in the course of the next few days a guernili

warfare broke out wherever Russian troops were found in

insufficient strength or off their guard.1

The classes in which the national spirit of Poland lived were the so-called noblesse, numbering hundreds of thousands, the town-populations, and the priesthood. peasants, crushed and degraded, though not nominally in servitude, were indifferent to the Russia national cause. On the neutrality, if not on the support, of the peasants the Russian Government could fairly reckon; within the towns it found itself at once confronted by an invisible national Government whose decrees were printed and promulgated by unknown hands, and whose sentences of death were mercilessly executed against those whom it condemned as enemies or traitors to the national cause. So extraordinary was the secrecy which covered the action of this National Executive, that Milutine, who was subsequently sent by the Czar to examine into the affairs of Poland, formed the conclusion that it had possessed accomplices within the Imperial Government at St. Petersburg itself. The Polish cause retained indeed some friends in Russia even after the outbreak of the insurrection; it was not until the insurrection passed the Anontier of the kingdom and was carried by the nobles into Lithuania and Podolia that the entire Russian nation took up the struggle with passionate and vindictive ardour as one for life or death. It was the fatal bane of Polish nationality that the days of its greatness had left it a claim upon vast territories where it had planted nothing but a territorial aristocracy, and where the mass of population, if not actually Russian, was almost indistinguishable from the Russians in race and language, and belonged like them to the Greek Church, which Catholic Poland had always persecuted. For ninety years Lithuania and the borderprovinces had been incorporated with the Czar's dominions, and with the exception of their Polish landowners they were now in fact thoroughly Russian. When therefore the nobles of these provinces declared that Poland must be reconstituted with the limits of 1772, and (subsequently took up arms in concert with the insurrectionary Government at Warsaw, the Russian people, from the Czar to the peasant, felt the struggle to be nothing less

¹ Raczynski, Mémoires sur la Pologne, p. 14. B. and F. State Papers, 1862-63, p. 769.

than one for the dismemberment or the preservation of their own country, and the doom of Polish nationality, at least for some generations, was sealed. The diplomatic intervention of the Western Powers on behalf of the con stitutional rights of Poland under the Treaty of Vienna which was to some extent supported by Austria, only pro-longed a hopeless struggle, and gave unbounded popu-larity to Prince Gortschakoff, by whom, after a show of courteous attention during the earlier and still perilous stage of the insurrection, the interference of the Powers was resolutely and unconditionally repelled. By the spring of 1864 the insurgents were crushed or exterminated. General Muravieff, the Governor of Lithuania, fulfilled his task against the mutinous nobles of this province with unshrinking severity, sparing neither life nor fortune so long as an enemy of Russia remained to be overthrown. It was at Wilna, the Lithuanian capital, not at Warsaw, that the terrors of Russian repression were the greatest. Muraviell's executions may have been less numerous than is commonly supposed; but in the form of pecuniary quisitions and fines he undoubtedly aimed at nothing le-than the utter ruin of a great part of the class most in plicated in the rebellion.

In Poland itself the Czar, after some hesitation, fundamented once and for all to establish a friend to Riverta every homestead of the kingdom by making the peasant owner of the land on Juhich he

measures in Poland

laboured. The insurrectionary Government at the outbreak of the rebellion had attempted to win over the peasantry by promising enactments to this effect, but no one had responded to their appeal. In the antumn of 1863 the Czar recalled Milutine from his co-forced travels and directed him to proceed to Warsaw, in order to study the affairs of Poland on the spot, and to report on the measures necessary to be taken for its future government and organisation. Milittine obtained the assistance of some of the men who had laboured most assistance of some of the men who had laboured most assistance. carnestly with him in the enfranchisement of the Russian seris; and in the course of a few weeks he returned to St. Petersburg, carrying with him the draft of measures which were to change the face of Poland. He recordmended on the one hand that every political institution

separating Poland from the rest of the Empire should be

swept away, and the last traces of Polish independence utterly obliterated; on the other hand, that the peasants, as the only class on which Russia could hope to count in the future, should be made absolute and independent owners of the land they occupied. Prince

Gortschakoff, who had still some regard for the opinion of Western Europe, and possibly

Agrarian neasures la Poland, 1861

some sympathy for the Polish aristocracy, resisted this daring policy; but the Czar accepted Milutine's counsel, and gave him a free hand in the execution of his agrarian scheme. The division of the land between the nobles and the peasants was accordingly carried out by Milutine's own officers under conditions very different from those adopted in Russia. The whole strength of the Government was thrown on to the side of the peasant and against the noble. Though the population was denser in Poland than in Russia, the peasant received on an average four times as much land; the compensation made to the lords (which was paid in bonds which immediately fell to half their nominal value) was raised not by quit-Lents on the peasants' lands alone, as in Russia, but by a deneral land-tax falling equally on the land left to the lords, who had thus to pay a great part of their own compensation: above all, the questions in dispute were settled. not as in Russia by arbiters elected at local assemblies of the nobles, but by officers of the Crown. Moreover, the division of landed property was not made once and for all, as in Russia, but the woods and pastures remaining to the lords continued subject to undefined common-rights of the peasants. These common-rights were deliberately left unsettled in order that a source of contention might always be present between the greater and the lesser proprietors, and that the latter might continue to look to the Russian Government as the protector or extender of their interests. "We hold Poland," said a Russian statesman, "by its rights of common."

Milutine, who, with all the fiery ardour of his national and levelling policy, seems to have been a gentle and somewhat querulous invalid, and who was shortly afterwards struck down by paralysis, to remain a helpless spectator of the European changes of the next six years, had no share in that warfare against the language, the

Leroy-Beaulieu, Homme d'Etat Russe. p. 259.

religion, and the national culture of Poland with which Russia has pursued its victory since 1863. The public life of Poland he was determined to Russianise; its private and social life he would probably have left unmolested, relying on the goodwill.

have left unmolested, relying on the goodwil of the great mass of peasants who over their proprietorship to the action of the Czar. There were, however, politicians at Moscow and St. Petersburg who believed that the deep-lying instinct of nationality would for the first time be called into real life among these peasants by their very elevation from misery to independand that where Russia had hitherto had three hundred thousand enemies Milutine was preparing for it six millions. It was the dread of this possibility in the future, the apprehension that material interests might not permanently vanguish the subtler forces which pass from generation to generation, latent, if still unconscious, where nationality itself is not lost, that made the Russian Government follow up the political destruction of the Polish noblesse by measures directed against Polish nationally itself, even at the risk of alienating the class who for the

esent were effectively non over to the Crar's causa the side of its life-giving and beneficent agrarian police Russia has pursued the odious system of de birring Poland from all means of culture and improvement assiscrated with the use of its own language, and has aimed at eventually turning the Poles into Russians by the systematic impoverishment and extinction of all that is essentially Polish in thought, in sentiment, and in ex-The work may prove to be one not beyond its power; and no common perversity on the part of its Government would be necessary to turn against Russia the millions who in Poland owe all they have of properity and independence to the Czar: but should the excess of Russian propagandism, or the hostility of Church to Church, at some distant date engender a new struggle for Polish independence, this struggle will be one governed by other conditions than those of 1871 or 1863, and Russia will, for the first time, have to conquer on the Vistula not a class nor a city, but a nation.

It was a matter of no small importance to Bismarck and to Prussia that in the years 1863 and 1864 the Court of St, Petersburg found itself confronted with affairs of such seriousness in Poland. From the opportunity which was then presented to him of obliging an important neighbour, and of profiting by that neighbour's

conjoined embarrassment and goodwill, Bismarck drew full advantage. He had always

Berlin and St. Petersburg, 1863

regarded the Poles as a mere nuisance in Europe, and heartily despised the Germans for the sympathy which they had shown towards Poland in 1848. When the insurrection of 1863 broke out, Bismarck set the policy of his own country in emphatic contrast with that of Austria and the Western Powers, and even entered into an arrangement with Russia for an eventual military combination in case the insurgents should pass from one side to the other of the frontier. Throughout the struggle with the Poles, and throughout the diplomatic conflict with the Western Powers, the Czar had felt secure in the loyalty of the stubborn Minister at Berlin; and when, at the close of the Polish revolt, the events occurred which opened to Prussia the road to political fortune, Bismarck received his reward in the liberty of action given him by the Russian Government. The difficulties con-derval of tranquillity following the settlement of 1852, had again begun to trouble Europe, were forced to the very front of Continental affairs by the death of Frederick VII., King of Denmark, in November, 1863. Prussia had now at its head a statesman resolved to pursue to their extreme limit the chances which this complication offered to his own country; and, more fortunate than his predecessors of 1848, Bismarck had not to dread the interference of the Czar of Russia as the patron and protector of the interests of the Danish court.

By the Treaty of London, signed on May 8th, 1852, all the great Powers, including Prussia, had recognised the principle of the integrity of the Danish Monarchy, and had pronounced Prince Christian of Glücksburg to be heir-presumptive to the whole dominions of the reigning

Schleswig-Holstein, 1852-63

King. The rights of the German Federation in Holstein were nevertheless declared to remain unprejudiced; and in a Convention made with Austria and Prussia before they joined in this Treaty, King Frederick VII. had

¹ Hahn, i. 112. Verhandl. des P Assert über P. .. n ar

undertaken to conform to certain rules in his treatment of Schleswig as well as of Holstein. The Duke of Augustenburg, claimant to the succession in Schleswig-Holstein through the male line, had renounced his pretensions in consideration of an indemnity paid to him by the King of Denmark. This surrender, however, had not received the consent of his son and of the other members of the House of Augustenburg nor had the German Federation, as such, been a party to the I reaty of London. Relying on the declaration of the Great Powers in favour of the integrity of the Danish Kingdom, Frederick VII. had resumed his attempts to assimilate Schleswig, and in some degree Holstein, to the rest of the Monarchy; and although the Provincial Estates were allowed to remain in existence, a national Constitution was established in October, 1855, for the entire Danish State. Bitter complaints were made of the system of repression and encroachment with which the Government of Copenhagen was attempting to extinguish German nationality in the border-provinces; at length, in November, 1858, under threat of armed intervention by the German Federation, Frederick consented to exclude Holstein from the operation of the new Constitution. But this did not produce peace, for the inhabitanty of Schleswig, severed from the sister-province and p excited by the Italian war, raised all the more vigorous a protest against their own incorporation with Denmark; while in Holstein itself the Government incurred the charge of inconstitutional action in fixing the Budget without the consent of the Estates. The German Federal Dict again threatened to resort to force, and Denmark prepared for war Prussia took up the cause of Schleswig in 1861; and even the British Government, which had hitherto shown far more interest in the integrity of Denmark than in the rights of the German provinces, now recommended that the Constitution of 1855 should be abolished, and that a separate legislation and administration should be granted to Schleswig as well as to Holstein. The Danes. lowever, were bent on preserving Schleswig as an integral part of the State, and the Government of King Frederick, while willing to recognise Holstein as outsuft banish territory proper, insisted that Schleswig should be included within the unitary Constitution, and that Holstein should contribute a fixed share to the national

expenditure. A manifesto to this effect, published by King Frederick on the 30th of March, 1863, was the immediate ground of the conflict now about to break out between-Germany-and Denmark. The Diet The Patent of-Frankfort-announced that if this proclamaof March 30. ion were not revoked it should proceed to Federal execution, that is, armed intervention, against the King of Denmark as Duke of Holstein. counting upon foreign aid or upon the impotence of the Diet, the Danish Government refused to change its policy, and on the 29th of September laid before the Parliament at Copenhagen the law incorporating Schleswig with the rest of the Monarchy under the new Constitution. Negotiations were thus brought to a close, and on the 1st of October the Diet decreed the long-threatened Federal execution.1

Affairs had reached this stage, and the execution had not yet been put in force, when, on the 15th of November,

King Frederick VII. died. For a moment it

to recognise neither of the two rival sove-

appeared possible that his successor, Prince Thristian of Glücksburg, might avert the conct with Germany by withdrawing from the vember, 1863 position which his predecessor had taken up. But the Danish people and Ministry were little inclined But the Danish people and had passed through Parliament two days before King Frederick's death, and on the 18th of November it received the assent of the new monarch. German national feeling was now as strongly excited on the question of Schleswig-Holstein as it had The general cry was that the union of been in 1848. The general must be treated as at an these provinces with Dominion Frederick of Augustenburg, end, and their legitimate ruler, Frederick of Augustenburg, son of the Duke who had renounced his rights, be placed on the throne. The Diet of Frankfort, however, decided

424

enthusiasm ran so high that for the moment the two leading Powers considered it safer not to obstruct the Federal authority, and the Saxon and Hanoverian troops accordingly entered Holstein as end of 1863. The Danish in acce, withdrew its troop

Schleswig. From this time the history of Germany is the history of the profound and audacious statecraft and of the over-

ept through its e the shaping the German int Schleswig. nder a ruler of existed; what

11863

Bismarck intended was that Schleswig-Holstein, itself incorporated more or less directly with Prussia, should be made the means of the destruction of the existing Federal system and of the expulsion of Austria from Germany. That another petty State, bound to Prussia by no closer tie than its other neighbours, should be added to the troy among whom Austria found its vassals and its instru ments, would have been in Bismarck's eyes no gain ly actual detriment to Germany. The German people de sired one course of action; Bismarck land determined on something totally different; and with matchless resolution and skill he bore down all opposition of people and of Courts, and forced a reluctant nation to the goal which he had himself chosen for it. The first point of confirt was the apparent recognition by Bismarck of the rights of King Christian IX. as lawful sovereign in the Duchies as well as in the rest of the Danish State. By the Treaty of London Prussia had indeed pledged itself to this recognition; but the German Federation had been no party 10 the Treaty, and under the pressure of a vehicment national agitation Bavaria and the minor States one after another recognised Frederick of Augustenburg as Duke of Schleswig-Holstein. Bismarck was accused alike by the Prissian Parliament and by the popular voice of Germany at large of betraying German interests to Denmark, of abusing Prussia's position as a Great Power, of inciting the nation to civil war. In vain he declared that, while surrendering no iota of German rights, the Government

of Berlin must recognise those treaty-obligations with which its own legal title to a voice in the affairs of Schleswig was intimately bound up, and that the King of Prussia, not a multitude of irresponsible and ill-informed citizens, must be the judge of the measures by which German interests were to be effectually protected. His words made no single convert either in the Prussian Parwords made no single control At Frankfort the proposal liament or in the Federal Diet. At Frankfort the proposal made by the two leading Powers that King Christian should be required to annul the November Constitution, and that in case of his refusal Schleswig also should be occupied, was rejected, as involving an acknowledgment of the title of Christian as reigning sovereign. At Berlin of the flue of Offishian as Josephies which Bismarck demanded for operations in the Duchies, and formally resolved to resist his policy by every means at its command.

But the resistance of Parliament and of Diet were alike in vain. By a masterpiece of diplomacy Bismarck had secured the support and co-operation of had secured the support and co-pensation of Austria in his own immediate Danish policy, Austria and though but a few months before he had in-

Vienna by frustrating its plans for a reorganisation of Germany by a Congress of princes at Frankfort, and had frankly declared to the Austrian ambassador at Berlin that if Austria did not transfer its political centre to Pesth and leave to Prussia free scope in Germany, it would find Prussia on the side of its enemies in the next war in which it might be engaged. But the democratic and impassioned character of the agitation in the minor States in favour of the Schleswig-Holsteiners and their Augustenburg pretender had enabled Bismarck to represent this movement to the Austrian Government as a revolutionary one, and by a dexterous appeal to the memories of 1818 to awe the Emperor's advers into direct concert with the Court of Berlin, as the representative of monarchical order, in dealing with a problem otherwise too likely to be colved by revolutionary and the states. solved by revolutionary reliefs and revolutionary reliefs.

¹ From Rechberg's despatining. 28, 1863 (in Halman Care ently quoting actual words and Bismarck. Bismarck. Bismarck ently entry entry of the conversation (id. 80) to a demand the entry of the entry point-leadership in the entry of the entr

Count Rechberg, the Foreign Minister at Vienna, was lured into a policy which, after drawing upon Austria a full share of the odium of Bismarck's Danish plans, after forfeiting for it the goodwill of the minor States with which it might have kept Prussia in check, and exposing it to the risk of a European war, was to confer upon its rival the whole profit of the joint enterprise, and to furnish a pretext for the struggle by which Austria was to be expelled alike from Germany and from what remained to it of Italy. But of the nature of the toils into which he was now taking the first fatal and irrevocable step Count Rechberg appears to have had no suspicion. A seeming cordiality united the Austrian and Prussian Governments in the policy of defiance to the will of all the rest of Germany and to the demands of their own subjects. It was to no purpose that the Federal Diet vetoed the proposed summons to King Christian and the

Austrian and Prussian troops enter Schleswig, Feb., 1864

proposed summons to King Christian and the proposed occupation of Schleswig. Austria and Prussia delivered an ultimatum nt Copenbarge hagen demanding the repeal of the November Constitution; and on its rejection their troops entered Schleswig, not as the mandatories of the German Federation, but ns the

instruments of two independent and allied Power

(Feb. 1, 1864.)

Against the overwhelming forces by which they wenthus attacked the Danes could only make a brace lut ineffectual resistance. Their first line of defence was the Danewerke, a fortification extending east and west towards the sea from the town of Schleswig. Prince Frederick Charles, who commanded the Prussian right, was repulsed

Campaign in Schleswig, Feb.-April, 1861

in an attack upon the easternmost part of this work at Missunde; the Austrians, however, carried some positions in the centre which commanded the defenders' lines, and the Danes fell back upon the fortified post of

Düppel, covering the narrow channel which separates the island of Alsen from the mainland. Here for some week they held the Prussians in check, while the Austrians, continuing the march northwards, entered Judland. At length, on the 18th of April, after several hours of heavy bombardment, the lines of Duppel were taken by storm and the defenders triven neross the channel into Alsen.

Unable to pursue the enemy across this narrow strip of sea, the Prussians joined their allies in Jutland, and occupied the whole of the Danish mainland as far as the Lüm Fiord. The war, however, was not to be terminated without an attempt on the part of the neutral Powers to arrive at a settlement by diplomacy. A Conference was opened at London on the 20th of April, and after three weeks of negotiation the belligerents were induced to accept an armistice. As the troops of the German Federation, though unconcerned in the military operations of the two Great Powers, were in possession of Holstein, the Federal Government was invited to take part in the Conference. It was represented by Count Beust, Prime Minister of Saxony, a politician who was soon to rise to much greater eminence; but in consequence of the diplomatic union of Prussia and Austria the views entertained by the Governments of the secondary German States had now no real bearing on the course of events, and Count Beust's earliest appearance on the great European stage was without result, except in its influence on his own career.

The first proposition laid before the Conference was that submitted by Bernstorff, the Prussian envoy, to the effect that Schleswig-Holstein should receive

complete independence, the question whether King Christian or some other prince should be sovereign of the new State being reserved

Conference of London, April, 1864

for future settlement. To this the Danish envoys replied that even on the condition of personal union with Denmark through the Crown they could not assent to the grant of complete independence to the Duchies. Raising their demand in consequence of this refusal, and declaring that the war had made an end of the obligations subsisting under the London Treaty of 1852, the two German Powers then demanded that Schleswig-Holstein should be completely separated from Denmark and formed into a single State under Frederick of Augustenburg, who in the eves of Germany possessed the best claim to the succession. Lord Russell, while denying that the acts or defaults of Denmark could liberate Austria and Prussia from their engagements made with other Powers in the Treaty of London, admitted that no satisfactory result was likely 1 B. and F. State Papers, 1863-4, p. 173. Beust, Erinner, 1. 336.

to arise from the continued union_of_the_Duchies_with Denmark, and suggested that King Christian should make an absolute cession of Holstein and of the southern par of Schleswig, retaining the ... The frontier-line he propose To this principle of parti German Powers assented. reach an agreement on the had at first required nearly all Schleswig, abated his demands, and would have accepted a line drawn westware from Flensburg, so leaving to Denmark at least half the province, including the important position of Duppel The terms thus offered to Denmark were not unfavourable. Holstein it did not expect, and could scarcely desire, to retain; and the territory which would have been taken from it in Schleswig under this arrangement included few districts that were not really German. But the Government of Copenhagen, misled by the support given to it at the Conference by England and Russia—a support north of the town of Schleswig. Even when in the lay resort Lord Russell proposed that the frontier-line shoul be settled by arbitration the Danish Government be fast to its refusal, and for the sake of a few inites ofpiciti

tory plunged once more into a struggle Continuation which, if it was not to kindle a European war of the war, of vast dimensions, could end only in the ruin of the Danes. The expected help fuled

them. Attacked and overthrown in the island of Alsen. the German flag carried to the northern extremity of their mainland, they were compelled to make peace on their enemies' terms. Hostilities were brought to a close by the signature of Preliminaries on the 1st of August; and

by the Treaty of Vienna, concluded on the Treaty of 30th of October, 1864, King Christian coled Oct, 30, 1864 his rights in the whole of Schleswig-Holstein

to the sovereigns of Austra and Prussal jointly, and undertook to recognise whatever dispositions they might make of those provinces.

The British Government throughout this conflict had played a sorry part, at one moment threatening the Ger-mans, at another using language towards the Danes which might well be taken to indicate an intention of lending

them armed support. To some extent the errors of the Cabinet were due to the relation which existed between Great Britain and Napoleon HI. It had upto this time been considered both at London -Britain and and at Paris that the Allies of the Crimea Icon III. had still certain common interests in Europe; and in the unsuccessful intervention at St. Petershuge on behalf of Poland in 1803 the British and French Governments had at first gone hand in hand. But behind every step openly taken by Napoleon III, there was some half-formed design for promoting the interests of his dynasty or extending the frontiers of France; and if Enga land had consented to support the diplomatic concert at St. Petersburg by measures of force, it would have found itself engaged in a war in which other erds than three relating to Poland would have been the force of Towards the close of the year 1863 Napoleon had proposed that

ostentatiously combined in manœuvres at Cherbourg, this show of union deceived no one, least of all the resolute and well-informed director of affairs at Berlin. To force, and force alone, would Bismarck have yielded. Palmers ston, now sinking into old age, permitted Lord Russell to parody his own fierce language of twenty years back; but all the world, except the Danes, knew that the fangs and the claws were drawn, and that British foreign policy had become for the time a thing of snaris and grimaces.

Bismarck had not at first ' Schleswig-Holstein to Pruss

tent to leave it :-Intentions of Bismarck of Frederick of A. as to

would have pl Schleswig- naval resources . the control of t . (...

have accepted on behalf of his Duchies conditions within Bismarck considered indispensable to German union under Prussian leadership. In the harbour of Kiel it was not difficult to recognise the natural headquarters of future German fleet; the narrow strip of land projection between the two seas naturally suggested the formatted of a canal connecting the Baltic with the German Crastiand such a work could only belong to Germany at large or to its leading Power. Moreover, as a frontier defined Schleswig-Holstein was peculiarly exposed to foreign attack; certain strategical positions necessary for its defence must therefore be handed over to its protector. That Prussia should have united its forces with Austria in order to win for the Schleswig-Holsteiners the power of governing themselves as they pleased, must have seemed to Bremarek a supposition in the highest degree preposterous. He had taken up the cause of the Duchues not in the interest of the inhabitants but in the interest of Germans; and by Germany he understood Germany centred at Berlin and ruled by the House of Hohenzollern. If therefore the Augustenburg prince was not prepared to accept his throne on these terms, there was no room for him, and the provinces must be incorporated with Prussia itself. That Austria would not without compensation permit the Duchies thus to fall directly or icdirectly under Prussian sway was of course well known to Bismarck; but so far was this from causing him any

hesitation in his policy, that from the first he had discerned in the Schleswig-Holstein question a favourable pretext for the war which was to drive Austria out of

Germany.

Peace with Denmark was scarcely concluded when, at the bidding of Prussia, reluctantly supported by Austria, the Saxon and Hanoverian troops which had entered Holstein as the mandatories of the Federal Diet were compelled to leave the country. A Provisional Government was established under the direction of an Austrian and a Prussian Commissioner. Bismarck had met the Prince of Augustenburg at Berlin some months before, and had formed an unfavourable opinion of the policy likely to be adopted by him towards Prussia. All Germany, however, was in favour of the Prince's claims, and at the Conference of London these claims had been supported by the Prussian envoy himself. In order to give some appearance of formal legality to his own action, Bismarck had to obtain from the Crown-jurists of Prussia a decision that King Christian IX, had, contrary to the general opinion

Holsteiners in what appeared to be a struggle on behalf of their independence against Prussian domination; and when the Prussian Commissioner in Schleswig-Holstein expelled the most prominent of the adherents of Augusten burg, his Austrian colleague published a protest declaring the act to be one of lawless violence. It seemed that it outbreak of war between the two rival Powers could no long be delayed; but Bismarck had on this occasion move too rapidly for his master, and considerations relating to the other European Powers made it advisable to postpont

Convention of Gastein, Aug. 14, 1865 the two province

masters, Austria taking the
Prissia that of Schleswig, while the little district of
Lamenburg on the south was made over to King William
in fill sovereignty. An actual conflict between the representatives of the two rival governments at their joint head
quarters in Schleswig-Holstein was thus averted; peuc
was made possible at least for some months longer; and
the interval was granted to Bismarck which was still re
quired for the education of his Sovereign in the polic
of blood and iron, and for the completion of his owarrangements with the enemies of Austria outside Ger-

The natural ally of Prussia was Italy; but without the sanction of Napoleon III. it would have been difficult to engage Italy in a new war. Bismarck had therefore to

Bismarck at French Emperor in the union of fialy and Blarritz.

Bismarck at French Emperor in the union of fialy and Sept. 1865

Prussin against Austria. He visited Naro-

leon at Biarritz in September, 1865, and returned with the object of his journey achieved. The negotiation of Biarritz, if truthfully recorded, would probably give the key to much of the European history of the next five years. As at Plombhères, the French European acted without his Munisters, and what he asked he asked without a witness. That Bismarch actually promised to Napoleon III, either Belgium or any part of the Rhenish-Provinces in case of the aggrandisement of Prussia has been denied by him, and is not in itself probable. But

Haln, Biematck, I 271, 315 Oedertriche Kamife in 1807 | 8

there are understandings which prove to be understandings on one side only; politeness may be misinterpreted; and the world would have found Count Bismarck unendurable if at every friendly meeting he had been guilty of the frankness with which he informed the Austrian Government that its centre of action must be transferred from Vienna to Pesth. That Napoleon was now scheming for an extension of France on the north-east is certain; that Bismarck treated such rectification of the frontier as a matter for arrangement is hardly to be doubted; and if without a distinct and written agreement Napoleon was content to base his action on the belief that Bismarck would not withhold from him his reward, this only proved how great was the disparity between the aims which the French ruler allowed himself to cherish and his mastery of the arts by which alone such aims were to be realised. Napoleon desired to see Italy placed in possession of Venice; he probably believed at this time that Austria would be no unequal match for Prussia and Italy together, and that the natural result of a well-balanced struggle would be not only the completion of Italian union that the purchase of French neutrality or mediation by the cession of German territory west of the Rhine. It was no part of the duty of Count Bismarck to chill Napoleon's fancies or to teach him political wisdom. The Prussian statesman may have left Biarritz with the conviction that an attack on Germany would sooner or later follow the disappointment of those hopes which he had flattered and intended to mock; but for the present he had removed one dangerous obstacle from his path, and the way lay free before him to an Italian alliance if Italy itself should choose to combine with him in war.

Since the death of Cavour the Italian Government had made no real progress towards the attainment of the national aims, the acquisition of Rome and Venice. Garibaldi, impatient of delay, had in 1862 landed again in Sicily and summoned his followers

Italy, 1862-65

to march with him upon Rome. But the

enterprise was resolutely condemned by Victor Emmanuel, and when Garibaldi crossed to the mainland he found the King's troops in front of him at Aspromonte. There was an exchange of shots, and Garibaldi fell wounded. He was treated with something of the distinction shown to a

434 royal prisoner, and when his wound was healed he was released from captivity. His enterprise, however, and the indiscreet comments on it made by Rattazzi, who w now in power, strengthened the friends of the Papic, the Tuileries, and resulted in the fall of the Itali Minister. His

with Napolec to arrive at sor on the Roman rench troops:
Rome offended national feeling, and time any attempat conciliation between the Papal Court and the Hahr Government hopeless In order to procure the remove of this foreign garrison Minghetti was willing to enter interpretation. engagements which seemed almost to imply the reminer tion of the claim on Rome By a Convention made i September, 1864, the Italian Government undertook no to attack the territory of the Pope, and to oppose by for every attack made upon it from without. Napoleon of his part engaged to withdraw his troops gradually from Rome as the Pope should organise his own army, and it complete the evacuation within two years. It was, however, stipulated in an Article which was intended to I kept secret, that the capital of Italy should be change the meaning of this stipulation being that Florence Phonreceive the dignity which by the common consent of lead ought to have been transferred from Turin to Rome and to Rome alone The publication of this Article, which was followed by riots in Turin, caused the immediate fall of Minghetti's Cabinet He was succeeded in office by General La Marmora, under whom the negotiations with Prussia were begun which, after long uncertainty, to sulted in the altrance of 1866 and in the final expulsion of Austria from Italy."

Bismarck from the beginning of his Ministry appears to have looked forward to the combination of Italy and Prussia against the common enemy, but his plans ripened slowly. In the spring of 1865, when affairs seemed to be reaching a cross in Schlasug-Holston, the first serious overtures were made by the Prussian ambassador at Florence. In Marmora answered that any definite proposition would receive the careful attention of the Italian Government, but that Italy would not permit uself to be made a more instrument in

Prussja's hands for the intimidation of Austria. Such caution was both natural and necessary on the part of the Italian Minister; and his reserve seemed to be more than justified when, a few months later, the Treaty of Gastein restored Austria and Prussia to relations of friendship. La Marmora might now well consider himself released from all obligations towards the Court of Berlin; and, entering on a new line of policy, he sent an envoy to Vienna to ascertain if the Emperor would amicably cede Venetia to Italy in return for the payment of a very large sum of money and the assumption by Italy of part of the Austrian national debt. Had this transaction been effected, it would probably have changed the course of European history; the Emperor, however, declined to bargain away any part of his dominions, and so threw Italy once more into the camp of his great enemy. In the meantime the disputes about Schleswig-Holstein broke out afresh. Bismarck renewed his efforts at Florence in the Govone at spring of 1866, with the result that General Berlin. Govone was sent to Berlin in order to discuss March, 1866 with the Prussian Minister the political and

indeterminate engagement; they pressed for action within a limited time; and in the end, after Austria had taken steps which went far to overcome the last scruples of King William, Bismarck consented to fix three months as the limit beyond which the obligation of Italy to accompany

Prussia into war should not extend. Treaty of April 8, 1866 8th of April

that if the King of Prusstake up arms for the ref Germany, Italy would imi hostilities declare war upon Austria. Both Powers with to engage in the war with their whole force, and proce was not to be made but by common consent, such consent

not to be withheld after Austria should have agreed to eede Venetia to Italy and territory with an equal population to Prussia.1

Eight months had now passed since the signature of the Convention of Gastein. The experiment of an undit standing with Austria, which King William had deemed necessary, had been made, and it had failed

or rather, as Bismarck expressed himself. Bismarck and Austria, a candid moment, it had succeeded, inasmir. Aug., 1865 as it had cured the King of his seruples and April, 1866 raised him to the proper point of indignation

against the Austrian Court. The agents in effecting this happy result had been the Prince of Augustenburg, the population of Holstein, and the Liberal party throughout Germany at large. In Schleswig, which the Convention of Gastein had handed over to Prussia, General Manteuffel, a son of the Minister of 1850, had summarily put a stop to every expression of public opinion, and had threatened to imprison the Prince if he came within his reach; in Holstein the Austrian Government and permitted, if it had not encouraged, the inhabitants to aguite in favour of the Pretender, and had allowed a miss-meeting to be held at Altona on the 23rd of January, where cheers were raised for Augustenburg, and the summoning

La Marmora, Un po più di lice, pp 109, 246 Jacini, D e Anni F 144 Italin, 1 707 In the first draft of the Freite Italy was report delare wat not only on Austria but on all feetman Covernments wa shou't join it king William, who had atill some comp o tion in ear in Italian arms against the hatterland, stru & cut these w tels

of the Estates of Schleswig-Holstein was demanded. This was enough to enable Bismarck to denounce the conduct of Austria as an alliance with revolution. He demanded explanations from the Government of Vienna, and the Emperor declined to render an account of his actions. Warlike preparations now began, and on the 16th of March the Austrian Government announced that it should refer the affairs of Schleswig-Holstein to the Federal Diet. This was a clear departure from the terms of the Convention of Gastein, and from the agreement made between Austria and Prussia before entering into the Danish war in 1864 that the Schleswig-Holstein question should be settled by the two Powers independently of the German Federation. King William was deeply moved by such a breach of good faith; tears filled his eyes when he spoke of the conduct of the Austrian Emperor; and though pacific influences were still active around him he now began to fall in more cordially with the warlike policy of his Minister. The question at issue between Prussia and Austria expanded from the mere disposal of the Duchies to the reconstitution of the Federal system of Germany. In a note laid before the Governments of all the Minor States Bismarck declared that the time had come when Germany must receive a new and more effective organisation, and inquired how far Prussia could count on the support of allies if it should be attacked by Austria or forced into war. It was immediately after this re-opening of the whole problem of Federal reform in Germany that the draft of the Treaty with Italy was brought to its final shape by Bismarck and the Italian envoy, and sent to the Ministry at Florence for its approval.

Bismarck had now to make the best use of the three months' delay that was granted to him. On the day after the acceptance of the Treaty by the Italian Government, the Prussian representative at offers

Government, the Prussian representative at the Diet of Frankfort handed in a proposal for the summoning of a German Parliament.

offers Venice, May 5

for the summoning of a German Parliament, May 5 to be elected by universal suffrage. Coming from the Minister who had made Parliamentary government a mockery in Prussia, this proposal was scarcely considered as serious. Bavaria, as the chief of the secondary States, had already expressed its willingness to enter upon the discussion of Federal reform, but it asked that the two

leading Powers should in the meantime undertake not to attack one another. Austria at once acceded to this request, and so forced Bismarck into giving a similar assurance. Promises of disarmament were then exchanged but as Austria declined to stay the collection of its force in Venetia against Italy, Bismarck was able to charge his adversary with insincerity in the negotiation, and pre-parations for war were resumed on both sides. Other difficulties, however, now came into view. The Irean between Prussia and Italy had been made known to the Court of Vienna by Napoleon, whose advice La Marmora had sought before its conclusion, and the Austrian Imperor had thus become aware of his danger. He now determined to sacrifice Venetia if Italy's neutrality could be so secured. On the 5th of May the Italian ambissador at Paris, Count Nigra, was informed by Napoleon flut Austrian had offered to cede Venetia to him on behalf of Vietor Emmanuel if France and Italy would not prevent Austria from indemnifying itself at Prussin's expense in Silesia. Without a war, at the price of mere inaction, Italy was offered all that it could gain by a struggle which was likely to be a desperate one, and which might end, disaster. La Marmora was in sore perpleanty. Though he had formed a juster estimate of the capacity of the Prussian army than any other statesman or soldier in Europe, he was thoroughly suspicious of the intentions of the Prussian Government, and in sanctioning the alliance of the previous month he had done so half expecting that Bismarck would through the prestige of this alliance gain for Prussia its own objects without entering into war, and then leave Italy to reckon with Austria as less it might. He would gladly have abandoned the alliance and have accepted Austria's offer if Italy could have done this without disgrace. But the sense of honour "" sufficiently strong to carry him past this temptation. He declined the offer made through Paris, and contained if a arminents of Iraly, though still with a secret loop that Puropean diplomae, night find the means of realising the purpose of his country without war.

The neutral Powers were now, with various objects besturing themselves in favour of a Furope in Congress Napoleon believed the time to be come when the Traffes

ta Marmora. Un po più di tre, p. 24. Habn, i 407

of 1815 might be finally obliterated by the joint act of Europe. He was himself ready to join Prussia with three hundred thousand men if the King would Proposals transfer the Rhenish Provinces to France. for a Congress Demands, direct and indirect, were made on · Count Bismarck on behalf of the Tuileries for cessions of territory of greater or less extent. These demands were neither granted nor refused. Bismarck procrastinated; he spoke of the obstinacy of the King his master; he inquired whether parts of Belgium or Switzerland would not better assimilate with France than a German province; he put off the Emperor's representatives by the assurance that he could more conveniently arrange these matters with the Emperor when he should himself visit Paris. On the 28th of May invitations to a Congress were issued by France, England, and Russia jointly, the objects of the Congress being defined as the settlement of the affairs of Schleswig-Holstein, of the differences between Austria and Italy, and of the reform of the Federal Constitution of Germany, in so far as these affected Europe at large. The invitation was accepted by Prussia and by Maly; it was accepted by Austria only under the condition that no arrangement should be discussed which should give an increase of territory or power to one of the States invited to the Congress. This subtly-worded condition would not indeed have excluded the equal aggrandisement

11366

440

ing that he vielded only to superior force, withdrew through Altona into Hanover. Austria at once demanded and obtained from the Diet of Frankfort, the mobilisation of the whole of the Federal armies. The representative of Prussia, declaring that this act of the Diet had made an end of the existing Federal union, handed in the plain of his Government for the reorganisation of Germany and quitted Frankfort. Diplomatic relations between Austria and Prussia were broken of on the 11th of June, and on the 15th Count Bismarck demanded of the sovereigns of Hanover, Saxony, and Hesse Cassel, that they should on that very day put a stop to their military preparations and accept the Prussian scheme of Federal reform. Negative answers being given, Prussian troop immediately marched into these territories, and war began. Weimar, Mecklenburg, and other petty States in the north took part with Prussia: all the rest of Germany Joined Austria.

The goal of Bismarck's desire, the end which he had steadily set before himself since entering upon himself, was attained; and, if his calcula

German tions as to the strength of the Prussian arm Oninian were not at fault, Austria was at length of be expelled from the German Federation by force of arms. But the process by which Bismarck had worked up to this result had ranged against him the almost unanimous opinion of Germany outside the military circles of Pnissia itself. His final demand for the summoning of a German Parliament was taken as mere comedy. The guiding star of his policy had hitherto been the dynastic interest of the House of Hohenzollern; and nnw, when the Germans were to be plunged into war with one another, it seemed as if the real object of the struggle was in more than the annexation of the Danish Duchies and some other coveted territary to the Prussian Kingdom. The vaice of protest and condemnation rose loud from every nrgan of public opinion. Even in Prussia itself the instances were few where any spontaneous support was tendered to the Government. The Parliament of Berlin, struggling up to the end against the all-powerful Minister, had seen its members prosecuted for speeches made within its own

³ Hahn, Bismarck, i. 425. Hahn, Zwei Jahre, p. 66. Ostroro ha Kämple, i. 30. walls, and had at last been prorogued in order that its insubordination might not hamper the Crown in the moment of danger. But the mere disappearance of Parliament could not conceal the intensity of ill-will which the Minister and his policy had excited. The author of a fratricidal war of Germans against Germans was in the eyes of many the greatest of all criminals; and on the 7th of May an attempt was made by a young fanatic to take Bismarck's life in the streets of Berlin. The Minister owed the preservation of his life to the feebleness of his assailant's weapon and to his own vigorous arm. But the imminence of the danger affected King William far more than Bismarck himself. It spoke to his simple mind of supernatural protection and aid; it stilled his doubts; and confirmed him in the belief that Prussia was in this crisis the instrument for working out the Almighty's will.

A few days before the outbreak of hostilities the Emperor Napoleon gave publicity to his own view of the European situation. He attributed the com-Napoleon

ing war to three causes: to the faulty geographical limits of the Prussian State, to the desire for a better Federal system in Germany, and to the necessity felt by the Italian nation for securing its independence. These needs would, he conceived, be met by a territorial rearrangement in the north of Germany consolidating and augmenting the Prussian Kingdom; by the creation of a more effective Federal union between the secondary German States; and finally, by the incorporation of Venetia with Italy, Austria's position in Germany remaining unimpaired. Only in the event of the map of Europe being altered to the exclusive advantage of one Great Power would France require an extension of frontier. Its interests lay in the preservation of the equilibrium of Europe, and in the maintenance of the Italian Kingdom. These had already been secured by arrangements which would not require France to draw the sword; a watchful but unselfish neutrality was the policy which its Government had determined to pursue. Napoleon had in fact lost all control over events, and all chance of gaining the Rhenish Provinces, from the time when he permitted Italy to enter into the Prussian alliance without any stipulation that France should at its option be admitted as a third member of the coalition. He could not

ally himself with Austria against his own creation, the Italian Kingdom; on the other hand, he had no means of extorting cessions from Prussia when once Prussia was sure of an ally who could bring two hundred thousand men into the field. His diplomacy had been successful in so far as it had assured Venetia to Italy whether Prussia should be victorious or overthrown, but as regarded France it had landed him in absolute powerlessness. He was unable to act on one side; he was not wanted on the other Neutrality had become a matter not of choice but of necessity; and until the course of military events should have produced some new situation in Europe, France might well be watchful, but it could scarcely gain much credit for its disinterested part.

Assured against an attack from the side of the Rhine, Bismarck was able to throw the mass of the Prussian Hanover forces southwards against Austria, leaving and Hesse, in the north only the modest contingent

conquered which was necessary to overcome the resistance of Hanover and Hesse-Cassel. Through the precipitancy of a Prussian general, who struck without waiting for his colleagues, the Hanoverians grined victory at Langensalza on the 27th of June; but other Prussian regiments arrived on the field a few hours lurg. and the Hanoverian army was forced to capitulate on the next day. The King made his escape to Austria; the Elector of Hesse-Cassel, less fortunate, was made to prisoner of war. Northern Germany was thus speedly reduced to submission, and any danger of a diversion in favour of Austria in this quarter disappeared. In Saxony no attempt was made to bar the way to the advan in: Prussians. Dresden was occupied without resistance, but the Saxon army marched southwards in good time, and joined the Austrians in Bohemia. The Prussian forces,

³ Discours de Napoleon III, p. 456. On May 11th, Nigra, lti¹ ambassador at l'aris, reported that Napoleon's ideas on the cliptit in attained by a Congress were as follows - Cepetia to Italy, with a fo Austria, the Danish Duchies and other territors in North German ! Prussa; the establishment of several small States on the Rhire of the French protection, the disposessed German princes to be conjugated in Pournania. In Marmora, p. 225. Sapelen III was puts into the cell German policy of the legalst and the cell german policy of the cell german policy of the cell german policy of the legalst and the cell german policy of the In pire-manely the Induceing of Austria and I trous a rate a panel or, and the establishment of a Iren highest rate over the fit weredaty States

about two hundred and fifty thousand strong, gathered on the Saxon and Silesian frontier, covering the line from Pirna to Landshut. They were composed of three armies: the first, or central, army under Prince Frederick Charles, a nephew of the King; the second, or Silesian, army under the Crown Prince; the westernmost, known as the army of the Elbe, under General Herwarth von Bittenfeld. Against these were ranged about an equal number of Austrians, led by Benedek, a general who had gained great distinction in the Hungarian and the Italian campaigns. It Bohemian had at first been thought probable that Bene-Campaign, June 26dek, whose forces lay about Olmütz, would July 3 invade Southern Silesia, and the Prussian

line had therefore been extended far to the east. Soon, however, it appeared that the Austrians were unable to take up the offensive, and Benedek moved westwards into Bohemia. The Prussian line was now shortened, and orders were given to the three armies to cross the Bohenian frontier and converge in the direction of the town General Moltke, the chief of the staff, of Gitschin. lirected their operations from Berlin by telegraph. The combined advance of the three armies was executed with extraordinary precision; and in a series of hard-fought combats extending from the 26th to the 29th of June the Austrians were driven back upon their centre, and effecive communication was established between the three nvading bodies. On the 30th the King of Prussia, with General Moltke and Count Bismarck, left Berlin; on the end of July they were at headquarters at Gitschin. It had peen Benedek's design to leave a small force to hold the Silesian army in check, and to throw the mass of his army westwards upon Prince Frederick Charles and overwhelm him before he could receive help from his coleagues. This design had been baffled by the energy of the Crown Prince's attack, and by the superiority of the Prussians in generalship, in the discipline of their troops, and in the weapon they carried; for though the Austrians and witnessed in the Danish campaign the effects of the Prussian breech-loading rifle, they had not thought it necessary to adopt a similar arm. Benedek, though no great battle had yet been fought, saw that the campaign was lost, and wrote to the Emperor on the 1st of July

recommending him to make peace, for otherwise a catastrophe was inevitable. He then concentrated his

Battle of Koniggratz, and prepared for a defensive battle on the grandest scale. In spite of the losses of the past week he could still brin.

about two hundred thousand men into action. The three Prussian armies were now near enough to one another to combine in their attack, and on the night of July and the King sent orders to the three commanders to move against Benedel before daybreak. Prince Frederick Charles advancing through the village of Sadowa, was the first in the field. For hours his divisions sustained an uniqual struggle against the assembled strength of the Austrians Midday passed; the defenders now pressed down upon their assailants; and preparations for a retreat had been begun, when the long-expected message arrived that the Crown Prince was close at hand. The onslaught of the army of Silesia on Benedek's right, which_was_accompanied by the arrival of Herwarth at the other end of the field of battle, at once decided the day. It was will difficulty that the Austrian commander prevented if enemy from seizing the positions which would have cut of his retreat. He retired eastwards across the Elbe with a loss of eighteen thousand killed and wounded and twentyfour thousand prisoners. His army was ruined; and ten days after the Prussians had crossed the frontier the wit was practically at an end.'

The disaster of Konggratz was too great to be neutralised by the success of the Austrian forces in Irib. La Marmora, who had given up his place at the livid of the Government in order to take command of the archive

Battle of Custorra, by inferior numbers on the fatal ground of

Ogho. This gleam of success, which was followed he a nival victors at Lissa off the lstrin coast, made in the for the Austrian Emperor to face the sacrifices that was now incutable. Immediately after the buttle of Koatsgratz he invoked the mediation of Napoleon III. and

⁴ Desterreichs Kample, is 34t. Prussian Staff, Campaign of 17th (Horier), p. 167

ceded Venetia to him on behalf of Italy. Napoleon at once tendered his good offices to the belligerents, and proposed an armistice. His mediation was accepted in principle by the King of Prussia, who expressed his willingness also to grant an armistice as soon as preliminaries of

an armistice as soon as preliminaries of peace were recognised by the Austrian Court. In the meantime, while negotiations passed between all four Governments, the Prussians pushed forward until their outposts came within sight of Vienna. If in pursuance of General Moltke's plan the Italian generals had thrown a corps north-eastwards from the head of the Adriatic, and so struck at the very heart of the Austrian monarchy, it is possible that the victors of Königgrätz might have imposed their own terms without regard to Napoleon's mediation, and, while adding the Italian Tyrol to Victor Emmanuel's dominions, have completed the union of

reserved the right of entering into some kind of national bond with the Northern League. Austria escaped without loss of any of its non-Italian territory; it also succeeded in preserving the existence of Savony, which, as in 1815 the Prussian Government had been most anxious to annex Napoleon, in confining the Prussian Federation to the north of the Main, and in securing by a formal stipulation in the Treaty the independence of the Southern States imagined himself to have broken Germany into halves and to have laid the foundation of a South German League which should look to France as its protector. On the other hand, Bismarek by his annexation of Hanover and neighbouring districts had added a population of four millions to the Prussian Kingdom, and given it a continuous territory; he had forced Austria out of the German system; he had gained its sanction to the Federal mion of all Germany north of the Main, and had at least kept Prelimi.

union to the Southern States. Preliminarie naries of Nicolabura, of peace embodying these conditions and recognising Prussia's sovereignty in Schlessen were signed at Nicolabura on the 20th of July, and formed the basis of the definitive Treaty of Prace

Treaty of Prague, Aug. 23

which was concluded at Prague on the 23rd of August. An illusory clause, added at the instance of Napoleon, provided that if

Schleswig should by a free vote express the wish to be united with Denmark, these districts should be ceded to the Danish Kingdom.'

Bayaria and the south-western allies of Austria, though their military action was of an ineffective character, con-

tinued in arms for some weeks after the The South buttle of Koniggratz, and the suspension of States hostilities arranged at Nicolsburg did cot come into operation on their behalf till the 2nd of August. Before that date their forces were dispersed and they power of resistance broken by the Prussian generals Falckenstein and Manteuffel in a series of unimportant engagements and intricate manourres. The City of Frankfort, against which Bismarck seems to have borne

Hahn, I 4rf Bepedetti, Ma Mission er Presse, p. 150 v 45" Marian, La Marmera, p 102

some personal hatred, was treated for a while by the conquerors with extraordinary and most impolitic harshness; in other respects the action of the Prussian Government towards these conquered States was not such as to render future union and friendship difficult. All the South German Governments, with the single exception of Baden, appealed to the Emperor Napoleon for assistance in the negotiations which they had opened at Berlin. the very moment when this request was made and granted Napoleon was himself demanding from Bismarck the cession of the Bavarian Palatinate and of the Hessian districts west of the Rhine. Bismarck had only to acquaint the King of Bavaria and the South German Ministers with the designs of their French protector in order to reconcile them to his own chastening, but not unfriendly, hand. The grandeur of a united Fatherland flashed upon minds hitherto impenetrable by any national ideal when it became known that Napoleon was bargaining for Oppenheim and Kaiserslautern. Not only were the insignificant questions as to the war-indemnities to be paid to Prussia and the frontier villages to be exchanged promptly settled, but by a series of secret Secret Treaties all the South German States entered into an offensive and defensive alliance with the Southern the Prussian King, and engaged in case of States with war to place their entire forces at his disposai Prussia and under his command. The diplomacy of Napoleon III. had in the end effected for Bismarck armost more than his earlier intervention had frustrated, for it had made the South German Courts the allies of Pressia not through conquest or mere compulsion but out of regard for their own interests. It was said by the conocents of the Imperial Government in France, and sacrety with emaggeration, that every error which it was possible to commit had, in the course of the year 1856. From committed by Napoleon III. One crime, one act of Tables, remained open to the Emperor's critics, to less him and France into a conflict with the Power - have unfor he had no been able to prevent.

Prior to the battle of Königerara in modici secon that all the suggestions of the French Televing to acquisition of Belgium were made or the Transfer Garage

ment through secret agents, and that they were actually unknown, or known by mere hearsay, to Benedetti, the French Ambassador at Berlin. According to Prince Bismarck, these overtures had begun as early as 1862, when he was himself Ambassador at Paris, and were the result Ambassador at Paris, and were the

made verbally and in private notes to himself; they were the secret of Napoleon's neutrality during the Danish war; and were renewed through relatives and confidential agents of the Emperor when the struggle with Austra was seen to be approaching. The ignorance in which macy may to some extent explain the extraordinars con tradictions between the accounts given by this Minister and by Prince Bismarck of the negotiations that prised between them in the period following the campaign of 1866, after Benedetti had hunself been charged to present the demands of the French Government. In June, white the Ambassador was still, as it would seem, in ignorant of what was passing believed his back, he had informed the French Ministry that Bismarck, anyious for the pt servation of French neutrality, had hinted at the com-pensations that might be made to France if Prussia should meet with great success in the coming war. According to the report of the Ambassador, made at the time, Coat Bismarck stated that he would rather withdraw from public life than cede the Rhenish Provinces with Cologne and Bonn, but that he believed it would be possible to gain the King's ultimate consent to the cession of the Prussian district of Treves on the Upper Moselle, which district, together with Luxemburg or parts of Belgum and Switzerland, would give France an adequate improvement of its frontier. The Ambassador added in his report, by way of comment, that Count Bismarck was the only on way or continent, that Collin Bismirch was the only in min in the kingdom who was disposed to make not cesson of Prussian territory whatever, and that a manimous and violent resultsion against France would be excited by the slightest indication of any intention on the part of the French Government to extend its frontiers towards the Rhine. He concluded his report with the statement that after hearing Count Bismarck's suggestions, he 1 1 brought the discussion to a summary close, not wished to leave the Prussian Minister under the impression that

any scheme involving the seizure of Belgian or Swiss territory had the slightest chance of being seriously con-

sidered at Paris. (June 4-8.)

Benedetti probably wrote these last words in full sincerity. Seven weeks later, after the settlement of the Preliminaries of Nicolsburg, he was ordered to demand the cession of the Bavarian Palatinate, of the Demand for portion of Hesse-Darmstadt west of the Rhine, including Mainz, and of the strip of Rhine, including Mainz, and of the strip of territory, Prussian territory on the Saar which had July 25-Aug. 7, 1866 been left to France in 1814 but taken from it

in 1815. According to the statement of Prince Bismarck, which would seem to be exaggerated, this demand was made by Benedetti as an ultimatum and with direct threats of war, which were answered by Bismarck in language of equal violence. In any case the demand was unconditionally refused, and Benedetti travelled to Paris in order to describe what had passed at the Prussian headquarters. His report made such an impression on the Emperor that the demand for cessions on the Rhine was at once abandoned, and the Foreign Minister, Drouyn de Lhuys, who and been disposed to enforce this by arms, was compelled to quit office. Benedetti returned to Berlin, and now there took place that negotiation relating to Belgium on

which not only the narratives of the persons immediately concerned, but the documents written at the time, leave so much that is strange and unexplained. According to

Belgian project, Aug. 16-30

Benedetti, Count Bismarck was keenly anxious to extend the German Federation to the South of the Main, and desired with this object an intimate union with at least one Great Power. He sought in the first instance the support of France, and offered in return to facilitate the seizure of Belgium. The negotiation, according to Benedetti, failed because the Emperor Napoleon required that the fortresses in Southern Germany should be held by the troops of the respective States to which they belonged, while at the same time General Manteuffel, who had been sent from Berlin on a special mission to St. Petersburg, succeeded in effecting so intimate a union with Russia that alliance with France became unnecessary. According to the counter-statement of Prince Bismarck, the plan now proposed originated entirely with the French Ambassador, and was merely a repetition of proposals which had been made by Napoleon during the preceding four years, and which were subsequently renewed at intervalby secret agents almost down to the outbreak of the war of 1870. Prince Bismarck has stated that he dallied with these proposals only because a direct refusal might a any moment have caused the outbreak of war between France and Prussia, a catastrophe which up to the end he sought to avert. In any case the negotiation with Benedetti led to no conclusion, and was broken off by the departure of both statesmen from Berlin in the beginning of autumn.

The war of 1866 had been brought to an end with extraordinary rapidity; its results were solid and impo-

Prussla and North Germany after the

ing. Venice, perplexed no longer by its Republican traditions or by doubts of the patriotism of the House of Savoy, prepared to welcome King Victor Emmanuel; Brwar marck, returning from the battle-field &

Koniggratz, found his earlier unpopularity forgitten in the flood of national enthusiasm which his achievements and those of the arms had explied. A new epoch lidtly begun; the antagonisms of the past were out of date nobler work now stood before the Prussian people and its rulers than the perpetuation of a barren struggle between Crown and Parliament. By none was the severance from the past more openly expressed than by Bismark himself; by none was a more butterly felt than by the old Conservative party in Prussia, who had hitherto regarded the Minister as their own representative. In drawing

Penedetti, p. 107. Hahn, 1. 508, 11. 118, 618. See also I * 3621 mora's I n po pin de luce, p 242, and his Segieti di Stato, p 214. Govine's despitches strengts confirm the view that Hismarck was p than a more passive listener to I reach schemes for the a quiet of Beginn that he originated the plan is not probable; that he ee' aged it seems to use quite certain unless sations l'ench and les at desurponts one specifed with one another are forgeries from legion of to end the the o illeresk of the war of 1800 Bismarck published the to of the draft treats, do used in 1866 providing for an influsion of defensive alliance between frames and Prussia, and the selecte of the gium la fraire. The draft was in Henedetti's handwriline, an't written en paper of the Leen is I misses. Remodelli stated in above that he are risite the draft of Biometrik's distantion. This right a per seek in stell were it n t known that the digit of the Trenty between Plant & And Italy in 1821 was sorrely no writin d un la litter, the fire Ami and I to at It smarth's stutate it

up the Constitution of the North German Federation, Bismarck remained true to the principle which he had laid down at Frankfort before the war, that the German people must be represented by a Parliament elected directly by the people themselves. In the incorporation of Hanover, Hesse-Cassel and the Danish Duchies with Prussia, he saw that it would be impossible to win the new populations to a loyal union with Prussia if the King's Government continued to recognise no friends but the landed aristocracy and the army. He frankly declared that the action of the Cabinet in raising taxes without the consent of Parliament had been illegal, and asked for an Act of Indemnity. The Parliament of Berlin understood and welcomed the message of reconciliation. It heartily forgave the past, and on its own initiative added the name of Bismarck to those for whose services to the State the King asked a recompense. The Progressist party, which had constituted the majority in the last Parliament, gave place to a new combination known as the National Liberal party which, while adhering to the Progressist creed in domestic affairs, gave its allegiance to the Foreign and the German policy of the Minister. Within this party many ble men who in Hanover and the other annexed territories had been the leaders of opposition to their own Governments now found a larger scope and a greater political career. More than one of the colleagues of Bismarck who had been appointed to their offices in the years of conflict were allowed to pass into retirement, and their places were filled by men in sympathy with the National Liberals. With the expansion of Prussia and the establishment of its leadership in a German Federal union, the ruler of Prussia seemed himself to expand from the instrument of a military monarchy to the representative of a great nation.

To Austria the battle of Königgrätz brought a settlement of the conflict between the Crown and Hungary. The Constitution of February, 1861, hope-Hungary fully as it had worked during its first years, and Austria, had in the end fallen before the steady refusal

of the Magyars to recognise the authority of a single Parliament for the whole Monarchy. Within the Reichsrath itself the example of Hungary told as a disintegrating force; the Poles, the Czechs seceded from the Assembly;

the Minister, Schmerling, lost his authority, and was forced to rusign in the summer of 1865. Soon afterward in edict of the Emperor suspended the Constitution Count Beleredt, who took office in Schmerling's place ottempted to arrive at an understanding with the Viger leaders. The Hungarian Diet was convoked, and we opened by the King in person before the end of the ver I rancis Joseph announced his abandonment of the prin-ciple that Hungary had forfetted its ancient rights by rebellion, and asked in return that the Diet should n insist upon regarding the laws of 1848 as still in love. Whatever might be the formal validity of those laws it. was he urged, impossible that they should be brought into oper thon finaltered. For the common affairs of the thalves of the Monarchy there must be some common affairs. authority It rested with the Diet to arrive at the me to sary understanding with the Sovereign on this point and to place on a satisfactors footing the relations of Hungan to I ransity and and (roater 1 s soon as an accordishe! have been reached on these subjects, Francis Joseph Santha the would complete his reconciliation with the Mag)'s being of wand Ising of Hungary. In the Assembly to which these words were addresse

In the Assembly to which these words were addressed the majority was composed of men of moderate opinion under the leadership of Francis Dell (1) is

Deil had drawn up the programme of the Har gurin I therits in the election of 1847. He had it illo time appeared to be murfed out by his rare point capacity and the sample manhors of his character f f 3 from if not the grantest port in the work that then before his country. But the whole is of revolution to methods was then to his temperament. Mar serie in Buthy my & Ministry he withdrew from public life the outbreat of war with Austria and remained in fel? ment during the diet itership of kossith and the stre of 1840. Is a lovel friend to the Hapsburg dianstrate a clair sighted judge of the possibilities of the track stood spirt while trassith dethround the Sovereign it proclaimed Hungarian and pendence. Of the patt 1.1 and the distinterestedness of Desk then was reset the shadow of a doubt or distinct political faith several l from the fend is whose entriprise and did the cutsiff is who he had foreseen, and preserved for Thus, is a

statesman who could, without renouncing his own past and without inflicting humiliation on the Sovereign, stand as the mediator between Hungary and Austria when the time for reconciliation should arrive. Deak was little disposed to abate anything of what he considered the just demands of his country. It was under his leadership that the Diet had in 1861 refused to accept the Constitution which established a single Parliament for the whole Monarchy. The legislative independence of Hungary he was determined at all costs to preserve intact; rather than surrender this he had been willing in 1861 to see negotiations broken off and military rule restored. But when Francis Joseph, wearied of the sixteen years' struggle, appealed once more to Hungary for union and friendship, there was no man more earnestly desirous to reconcile the Sovereign with the nation, and to smooth Scheme of down the opposition to the King's proposals Committee, which arose within the Diet itself, than Deak. June 25, 1866 Under his influence a committee was appointed to frame the necessary basis of negotiation. On the 25th of June, 1866, the Committee gave in its report. It declared against any Parliamentary union with the Cis-Leithan half of the Monarchy, but consented to the establishment of common Ministries for War, Finance, and Foreign Affairs, and recommended that the Budget necessary for these joint Ministries should be settled by Delegations from the Hungarian Diet and from the western Reichsrath. The Delegations, it was proposed, should meet separately, and communicate their views to one another by writing. Only when agreement should not have been thus attained were the Delegations to unite in a single body, in which case the decision was to rest with an absolute majority of votes.

The debates of the Diet on the proposals of King Francis Joseph had been long and anxious; it was not until the moment when the war with Prussia was breaking out that the Committee pre- Negotiations sented its report. The Diet was now pro- with Hungary after gary after Königgrätz

rogued, but immediately after the battle of Königgrätz the Hungarian leaders were

called to Vienna, and negotiations were pushed forward on the lines laid down by the Committee. It was a matter

Regelung der Verhältnisse, p. 4. Ausgleich mit Ungarn, p. 9.

of no small moment to the Court of Vienna that while bodies of Hungarian exiles had been preparing to nitack the Empire both from the side of Silesia and of Venice, Deák and his friends had loyally abstained from any communication with the foreign enemies of the House of Hapburg. That Hungary would now gain almost complete independence was certain; the question was not so much whether there should be an independent Parliament and Ministry at Pesth as whether there should not be a similarly independent Parliament and Ministry in each of the time tories of the Crown, the Austrian Sovereign becoming the head of a Federation instead of the clief of a single of a

dual State, Count Belcredt, the Minister at Pederalism Vienna, was disposed towards such a Pederal or Duattsm system, he was, however, now confronted within the Cabinet by a rival who represented a different policy. After making peace with Prussia, the Imperor called to the Ministry of Foreign Affairs Count Burs, who had hitherto been at the head of the Saxon Government, and who had been the representative of the Genau Federation at the London Conference of 1864. Band while ready to grant the Hungarians their independence advocated the resention of the existing Reichsrith and of a single Ministry for all the Cis-Leithan parts of the Monarchy. His plan, which pointed to the maintenant of Germin ascendings in the western provinces, and whe deeply offended the Czechs and the Slave populations was accepted by the Emperor: Belereth withdrew he office, and Beust was charged, as President of the Cabin ! with the completion of the settlement with Hungary (Feb. 7, 1867). Deik had huberto left the chief ostershir part in the negotiations to Count Andrássa, one of the vounger patriots of 1845, who had been con

settlement denned to be langed, and had lived a religion by Benst derined to be langed, and had lived a religion during the next ten years. He now came to Vienna louisell, and in the course of a few days remeated the last remaining difficulties. The King gratefulls charged lum with the formation of the Hongaran Munitor under the restored Constitution, but Do'sk declined side all office, bonours, and rewards, and Andrées, who had actually been hanged in effigs, was placed at the lead of the Government. The Dut, which had reventled shortly before the end of 1866 greated the national Ministry

with enthusiasm. Alterations in the laws of 1848 proposed in accordance with the agreement made at Vienna, and establishing the three common Ministries with the system of Delegations for common affairs, were carried by large majorities. The abdication of Ferdinand, which throughout the struggle of 1849 Hungary had declined to recopnise, was now acknowledged as valid, and on the 8th of June, 1867, Francis Joseph was crowned King of Hungary amid the acclamations of Pesth. The gift of money which is made to each Hungarian monarch on his coronation Francis Joseph by a happy impulse distributed among the families of those who had fallen in fighting against him in June 8, 1867 1849. A universal amnesty was proclaimed, no condition being imposed on the return of the exiles but that they should acknowledge the existing Constitution. Kozamb alone refused to return to his country so long as a Hapsburg should be its King, and proudly ching to idear, which were already those of the past.

F186± authority, still represented by a single Parliament, seemed in the succeeding years to be altogether losing its cohesive power, and the political life of Austria became a series of distracting complications, in Hungary the Magyar Government resolutely set itself to the task of moulding into one the nationalities over which it ruled. Uniting the characteristic faults with the great qualities of a race marked out by Nature and ancient habit for domination over more numerous but less aggressive neighbours, the Virgians have steadily sought to the best of their power to obliterate the distinctions which make Hungary in reality not one but several nations They have held the Stavic and the Roumanian population within their borders with an iron grasp, but they have not gained their affection memory of the Russian intervention in 1849 and of the part then played by Serbs, by Croats and Roumanian in crushing Magyar independence has blinded the victors to the just claims of these races both within and without the Hungarian kingdom, and attached their sympaths to the listeful and outworn empire of the Turk. But the in dividuality of peoples is not to be blotted out in a day, nor, with all its striking advance in wealth, in civilisation and in military power, has the Magyar State been able to free itself from the insecurity arising from the presence

of independent communities on its immediate frontiers belonging to the same race as those whose language at

nationality it seeks to repress

Court, his petulant or miscalculating maction during the Danish War of the following year, showed those to be mistaken who had imagined that the Emperor mist always exercise a controlling power in Europe. During the exert which formed the first stage in the consolidation of Get many his policy was a succession of errors. Similtaneousl with the miscarriage of his European schemes, an enterprise which he had undertaken beyond the Atlantic, and which seriously weakened his resources at a time wher concentrated strength alone could tell on European affine ended in tragedy and disgrace.

"There were in Napoleon III, as a man of State, the personalities, two mental existences, which blended by the wild one another. There was the contemplator of grathuman forces, the intelligent, if not deeply penetrates, reader of the signs of the times, the brooder through long

years of imprisonment and exile, the chil Mexican of Europe, to whom Germany, Italy, and Project I ngland had all in turn been nearer than he own country, and there was the crowned adventumes bound by his name and position to gain for France some thing that it did not possess, and to regard the greatness of every other nation as an impediment to the ascending of this own. Napoleon correctly judged the principle of nationality to be the dominant force in the immediate future of Purope. He saw in Italy and in Germany men whose internal divisions alone had prevented them from being the formidable rivals of France, and yet he assisted the one nation to effect its union, and was not indispered. within certain limits, to promote the consolidation of ile That the acquisition of Nice and Sixov, and even of the Rhensh Provinces, could not in itself make up to France for the establishment of two great nations on 15 immediate frontiers Napoleon must have well understood? he sought to carry the principle of agglomeration a stage farther in the interests of France itself, and to form son? moral, if not political, innon of the latin nations, which should embrace under his own iscendings community beyond the Atlantic as well as those of the Old World It was with this design that in the year 1862 he is the the financial misdemembers of Mexico the pretext for an expedition to that counity, the object of which was to subvert the name Republican Government, and to place the

draw. 1866-7

Hapsburg Maximilian, as a vassal prince, on its throne. England and Spain had at first agreed to unite with France in enforcing the claims of the European creditors of Mexico; but as soon as Napoleon had made public his real intentions these Powers withdrew their forces, and the

Emperor was left free to carry out his plans alone.

The design of Napoleon to establish French influence in Mexico was connected with his attempt to break up the United States by establishing the independence of the Southern Confederacy, then in rebellion, through the mediation of the Great Powers of Europe. So long as the Civil War in the United States lasted, it seemed likely that Napoleon's enterprise in Mexico would be successful. Maximilian was placed upon the throne, and the Republican leader, Juarez, was driven Mexican into the extreme north of the country. Expedition, 1862-1865 with the overthrow of the Southern Confederacy and the restoration of peace in the United States in 1865 the prospect totally changed. The Government of Washington refused to acknowledge any authority in Mexico but that of Juarez, and informed Napoleon in Tourteous terms that his troops must be withdrawn. Napoleon had bound himself by Treaty to keep twenty-five thousand men in Mexico for the protection of Maximilian. He was, however, unable to defy the order of the United States. Early in 1866 he acquainted Maximilian with the necessities of the situation, and with the approaching removal of the force which alone had placed him and could sustain him on the throne. The unfortunate prince sent his consort, the daughter of the King of the Belgians, to Europe to plead against this act of desertion; but her efforts were vain, and her reason sank under the just presentiment of her husband's ruin. The utmost on which Napoleon could venture was the postpone-Napoleon ment of the recall of his troops till the spring compelled to with-

of 1867. He urged Maximilian to abdicate before it was too late; but the prince refused to dissociate himself from his counsellors who

still implored him to remain. Meanwhile the Juariss pressed back towards the capital from north and south. the French detachments were withdrawn towards the care the entire country fell into their hands. The last Free soldiers quitted Mexico at the beginning of March.

F15 7

and on the 15th of May, Maximilian, still lingering, " Queretaro, was made prisoner by the Republicans lle

had himself while in power ordered that th Falt and partisans of Juarez slipuld be treated not as Death of soldiers but as brigands, and that when cap-Maxtmitian tured they should be tried by court-martir

and executed within twenty-four hours. The same sevents was applied to himself. He was sentenced to death in

shot at Queretaro on the 19th of June
Thus ended the attempt of Napoleon III to establish the influence of France and of his dynasty beyond the sers. The doom of Maximilian excited the compassion of

Europe, a deep, preparable wound was in Decline of flicted on the reputation of the man who had Nanoleon's

tempted him to his treacherous throne, who reputation had guaranteed him protection, and at the bidding of a superior power had abandoned him to he ruin From this time, though the outward splendour of ile Empire was undiminished, there remained scarcely antething of the personal prestige which Napoleon had og a enjoyed in so rich a measure. He was no longer in eves of I prope or of his own country the profound, a P contained statesman in whose brain lay the secret of coming events, he was rather the gambler whom fortune was preparing to desert, the usurper trembling for the futual of his dynasty and his crown Premature old age and a harassing bodily ailment began to incapacitate him le personal exertion. He sought to loosen the reins in which his despotism held France, and to make a compromise with public opinion which was now declaring against hir And although his own cooler judgment set little store br any addition of frontier-strips of alien territory to france and he would probably have been best pleased to pass the

a munder of his reign in undisturbed inaction, he deer ed it necessary, after failure in Mexico find become mexitable

Luxemburg question leb-Mas.

460

to seek some satisfiction in Unrope for the injured pride of his country. The entered in ?? negotiations with the King of Holland for tocession of Euxemburg, and had gained?

assent, when rumours of the reached the North German Priss, and the project pare from out the control of diplomansis and be sme in

of rival nations.

Luxemburg, which was an independent Duchy ruled by the King of Holland, had until 1866 formed a part of the German Federation; and although Bismarck had not attempted to include it in his own North German Union, Prussia retained by the Treaties of 1815 a right to garrison the fortress of Luxemburg, and its troops were actually there in possession. The proposed transfer of the Duchy to France excited an outburst of patriotic resentment in the Federal Parliament at Berlin. The population of Luxemburg was indeed not wholly German, and it had shown the strongest disinclination to enter the North German league; but the connection of the Duchy with Germany in the past was close enough to explain the indignation roused by Napoleon's project among politicians who little suspected that during the previous year Bismarck himself had cordially recommended this annexation, and that up to the last moment he had been privy to the Emperor's plan. The Prussian Minister, though he did not affect to share the emotion of his conntrymen, stated that his policy in regard to Luxemburg must be influenced by the opinion of the Federal Parlia-3ent, and he shortly afterwards caused it to be understood Paris that the annexation of the Duchy to France was

Paris that the annexation of the Duchy to France was impossible. As a warning to France he had already published the Treaties of alliance between Prussia and the South German States, which had been made at the close of the war of 1866, but had hitherto been kept certed. Other powers now began to tender their good office. Count Beast, on behalf of Austria, suggested that Luxen, the count to Belgium, which in its turn closule.

at London. This proposal was accepted under certain conditions by France and Prussia, and the Conference assembled on the 7th of May. Its deliberations were completed in four days, and the results were summed up in the Treaty of London signed on the 11th. By this Treaty the Duchy of Luxemburg was declared neutral territory under the collective guarantee of the Powers. Prussia withdrew its garrison, and the King of Holland, who continued to be sovereign of the Duchy, undertook to demonsh the fortifications of Luxemburg, and to maintain it in the future as an open town t

Of the politicians of Fence, those who even affected to regard the aggrandise reproference or satisfaction were as small minority. An itself his twas the Emperor, who after his attempts to power havenish Province had been baffled, though, brove in an elaborate Statempts to the proper had been baffled, though, brove in an elaborate Statempts and Prussia or of ho of the tit that had bound Austria and Prussia been in a smembers of thus body. The

and Prussing as member of thus body. The events of the smant, as member of thus body. The events of the smalt he contended, broken up a system designed in a state of the purpose of uniting Central lates of the for the purpose of uniting Central lates of the form of a finances; in other words, they had made it person, france. If this illusion was really entertained his distance, if this illusion was really entertained his distance of the first of the control of the purpose of the first of the fi tion was not necessary to determine the attitude of the great majority of those who passed for the representative of independent political opinion in France. The Ministers indeed were still compelled to unitate the Emperor's open mism, and a few enlightened men among the Opposite understood that France must be content to see the German's effect their national unity; but the great body of unoffinite politicians, to whatever party they belonged, joined in the

¹ Hahn, I CS. P. than, I uncerlie rice, p. 24. Correspondence, A. Minist des Aussein, 388, p. 24. Part. Pape, 388, y. t. Ituit. P 437

bitter outcry raised at once against the aggressive Government of Prussia and the feeble administration at Paris, which had not found the means to prevent, or had actually facilitated, Prussia's successes. Thiers, who more than any one man had by his writings popularised the Napoleonic legend and accustomed the French to consider themselves entitled to a monopoly of national greatness on the Rhine, was the severest critic of the Emperor, the most zealous denouncer of the work which Bismarck had effected. It was only with too much reason that the Prussian Government looked forward to an attack by France at some earlier or later time as almost certain, and pressed forward the military organisation which was to give to Germany an army of unheard-of efficiency and strength.

There appears to be no evidence that Napoleon III. himself desired to attack Prussia so long as that Power

should strictly observe the stipulations of the Treaty of Prague which provided for the independence of the South German States. But the current of events irresistibly impelled

France and Prussia after 1867

Germany to unity. The very Treaty which made the river ain the limit of the North German Confederacy reserved for the Southern States the right of attaching themselves to those of the North by some kind of national tie. Unless the French Emperor was resolved to acquiesce in the gradual development of this federal unity until, as regarded the foreigner, the North and the South of Germany should be a single body, he could have no confident hope of lasting peace. To have thus anticipated and accepted the future, to have removed once and for all the sleepless fears of Prussia by the frank recognition of its right to give all Germany effective union, would have been an act too great and too wise in reality, too weak and self-renouncing in appearance, for any chief of a rival nation. Napoleon did not take this course; on the other hand, not desiring to attack Prussia while it remained within the limits of the Treaty of Prague, he refrained from seeking alliances with the object of immediate and aggressive action. The diplomacy of the Emperor during the period from 1866 to 1870 is indeed still but imperfectly known; but it would appear that his efforts were directed only to the formation of alliances with the view of eventual action when Prussia

should have passed the limits which the Emperor himself or public opinion in Paris should, as interpreter of the Treaty of Prague, impose upon this Power in its dealing with the South German States

The Governments to which Napoleon could look f some degree of support were those of Austria and Ital Count Beust, now Chancellar of the Tustrian Monarchi

Negotia tions with Austria 1868-69 was a bitter enemy to Prusser, and a rish a diventurous politicant, to whom the vicircumstance of his sudden elecation from the petry sphere of Saxon politics gave a certa-

levity and unconstraint in the handling of great affair He cherished the idea of recovering Austria's ascendire in Germany, and was dispused to repel the extension of Russian influence westwards by buildly encouraging the Poles to seek for the satisfaction of their national hopes Giller under the Hipsburg Crown To Chunt Bui France was the most natural of all allies On the off hand the very system which Benst had belied to estable in Hungary raised serious obstacles against the adopti of his own policy. Andrássy, the Hingarian Minist while sharing Benst's hostility in Russia, declared that h chuntrymen had no interest in restoring Austria's Germennuection and were in fact better without it. In the circumstances the negotiations of the I reach and the Austrian Imperor were conducted by a private corre spondence. The interchange of letters continued during the years 1868 and 1869 and resulted in a promise mad by Supoleon to support Austria if it should be attacked by Prussia while the Emperor Francis Joseph promise to assist france if it should be ittalled by Prussia ar Russi together No Irent was made, but a general issurance was exchanged between the two I imperors the they would pursue a common pole y and treat one an other's interests as their own. With a view of fernita-Paris in Lehrairy 1870 and a Learnh general was sent to Victoria to arrange the plan of campus, a case of war with Priessia. In such a war of intaken by the two Power, it was hoped that link you! 10in 3

the flighted by making a plant and the city refer below the least and learning in the Temps law as it is t

The alliance of 1806 between Priesia and Italy had left behind it in each of these States more of rancom than of goodwill. La Marmora had from the headinning to the end been unfortunate in his. Haly after gelations with Berlin. He had entered into

Yenetia given to Italy by a European Congress without war; and when hostilities broke out, he had deregarded and resented what he considered an attempt of the Prussian Government to dictate to him the military near me, to be pursued. On the other hand, the Prussian charged

"will France tolerate such an outrage on its honour ar!

the and least the one of the time of and least the and least the and least the one of the time of a french alliance. Napole

Mentana was indeed, as regarded lialy, in an evil co By abandoning Rome he would have turned against his self and his dynasty the whole clerical interest in France whose confidence he had already to some extent fortent by his policy in 1860; on the other hand, it was vain b him to hope for the friendship of Italy whilst he continu to bar the way to the fulfilment of the universal nation With the view of arriving at some compromihe proposed a European Conference on the Roman que tion; but this was resisted above all by Count Bisman's whose interest it was to keep the sore open; and neite England nor Russia showed any anxiety to help the Pope Napoleon_sought by protector out of his difficulties, correspondence with Victor Emmanuel during 1868 at 1869 to pave the way for a defensive alliance, but Victor Emmanuel was in reality as well as in name a constitu tional king, and probably could not, even if he had desired, have committed Italy to engagements disapptore by the Ministry and Parliament. It was made cleat ! Napoleon that the evacuation of the Papal States in the precede any trenty of alliance between France and Italia Whether the Italian Government would have been converwith a return to the conditions of the September Convention, or whether it made the actual possession of Remain the price of a treats-engagement, is uncertain; but into much as Napoleon was not at present prepared to exact " Civita Vecchia, he could aim at nothing more than signifieventual concert when the existing difficulties should but been removed. The Court of Vienna now became if " to termediary between the two Powers who had united actiest it in 1859. Count Beust was free from the associations

Rothan, La Trance en 1807, it 316. Beachin, v. us. For his Litical expressions belong to Mentana. The Theory, of M. P. more, d. "The Character's have directing the General Failty."

which had made any approach to friendship with the king-dom of Victor Emmanuel impossible for his predecessors. He entered into negotiations at Florence, which resulted in the conclusion of an Italy and

Austria

agreement between the Austrian and the Italian Governments that they would act together and guarantee one another's territories in the event of a war between France and Prussia. This agreement was made with the assent of the Emperor Napoleon, and was understood to be preparatory to an accord with France itself; but it was limited to a defensive character, and it implied that any eventual concert with France must be arranged by the two Powers in combination with one another.1

At the beginning of 1870 the Emperor Napoleon was therefore without any more definite assurance of support in a war with Prussia than the promise of the Austrian

Sovereign that he would assist France if attacked by Prussia and Russia together, and Erance

that he would treat the interests of France as his own. By withdrawing his protection from Rome Napoleon had undoubtedly a fair chance of building up this shadowy and remote engagement into a defensive alliance with both Austria and Italy. But perfect clearness and resolution of purpose, as well as the steady avoidance of all quarrels on mere incidents, were absolutely indispensable to the creation and the employment of such a league against the Power which alone it could have in view; and Prussia had now little reason to fear any such exercise of statesmanship on the part of Napoleon. The solution of the Roman question, in other words the withdrawal of the French garrison from Roman territory, could proceed only from some stronger stimulus than the declining force of Napoleon's own intelligence and will could now supply. This fatal problem baffled his attempts to gain alliances; and yet the isolation of France was but half acknowledged, but half understood; and a host of

¹ Sorel, i. 40. Hahn, i. 720. Immediately after Mentana, on Nov. 17, 1867, Mazzini wrote to Bismarck and to the Prussian ambassador at Florence, Count Usedom, stating that Napoleon had resolved to make war on Prussia and had proposed an alliance to Victor Emmanuel, who had accepted it for the price of Rome. Mazzini offered to employ revolutionary means to frustrate this plan, and asked for money and arms. Bismarck showed caution, but did not altogether disregard the communication. Politica Segreta Italiana, p. 339.

rash, vainglorious spirits impatiently awaited the hour thin should call them to their revenge on Prussia for the triumphs in which it had not permitted France to share.

Meanwhile on the other side Count Bismarck advanced with what was most essential in his relations with the

States of Southern Germany—the completion of the Treaties of Alliance by conventions assimilating the military systems of these

States to that of Prussia. A Customs-Parliament was established for the whole of Germany, which, it was hoped would be the precursor of a National Assembly uniting the North and the South of the Main. But in spite of this military and commercial approximation, the progress towards union was neither so rapid nor so smooth as the patriots of the North could desire. There was much in the harshness and self-assertion of the Prussian character that repelled the less disciplined communities of the South Ultramontanism was strong in Bayaria, and throughout the minor States the most advanced of the Liberals were opposed to a closer union with Berlin, from dislike of its absolutist traditions and the heavy hand of its Government Thus the tendency known as Particularism was supported in Bavaria and Wurtemberg by classes of the population who in most respects were in antagonism to one another nor could the memories of the campaign of 1866 and the old regard for Austria be obliterated in a day. Bismarck did not unduly press on the work of consolidation marked and estimated the force of the obstacles which too rapid a development of his national policy would encounter. It is possible that he may even have seen indications that religious and other influences might imperi the military union which he had already established, and that he may not have been unwilling to call to his and as the surest of all preparatives for national union, the event which he had long believed to be inevitable at some time or other in the future, a war with France.

Since the autum of 1868 the throne of Spin had been vacant in consequence of a revolution in which General Prin had been the leading actor. It was not easy to discover a successor for the Bourbon Isabella; and after other candidatures had been vanily projected it occurred to Prim and his friends, early in 1869, that a suitable candidate might be found in Prince Leopold of Hohenvollern-Sig-

maringen, whose elder brother had been made Prince of Roumania, and whose father, Prince Antony, had been Prime Minister of Prussia in 1859. The The House of Hohenzollern-Sigmaringen was so Spanish distantly related to the reigning family of candidature Prussia that the name alone preserved the of Leopold memory of the connection; and in actual of Hohenzollern blood-relationship Prince Leopold was much

more nearly allied to the French Houses of Murat and Beauharnais. But the Sigmaringen family was distinctly Prussian by interest and association, and its chief, Antony, had not only been at the head of the Prussian Administration himself, but had, it is said, been the first to suggest the appointment of Bismarck to the same office. candidature of a Hohenzollern might reasonably be viewed in France as an attempt to connect Prussia politically with Spain; and with so much reserve was this candidature at the first handled at Berlin that, in answer to inquiries made by Benedetti in the spring of 1869, the Secretary of State who represented Count Bismarck stated on his word of honour that the candidature had never een suggested. The affair was from first to last ostensibly treated at Berlin as one with which the Prussian Government was wholly unconcerned, and in which King William was interested only as head of the family to

which Prince Leopold belonged. For twelve months after Benedetti's inquiries it appeared as if the project had been entirely abandoned; it was, however, revived in the spring of

Leopold accepts the Spanish Crown, July 3, 1870

1870, and on the 3rd of July the announcement was made at Paris that Prince Leopold had consented to accept the Crown of Spain if the Cortes should confirm his election.

At once there broke out in the French Press a storm of indignation against Prussia. The organs of the Government took the lead in exciting public opinion. 6th of July the Duke of Gramont, Foreign Minister, de-clared to the Legislative Body that the attempt of a Foreign Power to place one of its Princes on

the throne of Charles V. imperilled the interests and the honour of France, and that, if

French Declaration, July 6

such a contingency were realised, the Govern-ment would fulfil its duty without hesitation and without weakness. The violent and unsparing language of this declaration, which had been drawn up at a Council of Ministers under the Emperor's presidency, proved that

of complaint, how little was the chance of any peaceable settlement after such a public challenge had been issued to Prussia in the Emperor's name One means of averting war alone seemed possible, the voluntary reminciation, by Prince Leopold of the offered Crown To obtain the renunciation became the task of those who, unlike the French Minister of Foreign Affairs, were anxious to preserve peace,

The parts that were played at this crisis by the individuals who most influenced the Emperor Napoleon are still but imperfectly known, but there is no doubt that

from the beginning to the end the Duke of Gramont, with short intermissions, pressed Ministry with insane ardour for war. now in office had been called to their places in January 1870, after the Emperor had made certain changes in the constitution in a Liberal direction, and had professed to transfer the responsibility of power from himself to a body of advisers possessing the confidence of the Chumber Ollivier, formerly one of the leaders of the Opposition had accepted the Presidency of the Cabinet. His colleagues were for the most part men new to official life, and little able to hold their own against such representatives of unreformed Imperialism as the Duke of Gramont and the War Minister I eboonf who sat beside them Ollivia himself was one of the few politicians in France who understood that his countrymen must be content to see German unity established whether they liked it or not He was entirely averse from war with Priissia on the question which had now arisen; but the fear that public opinion would sweep away a Liberal Ministry which hesitated to go all lengths in patriotic extra against life in to sacrifice his own better judgment, and to accept the responsibility for a policy which in his heart he disapproved. Gramont's rash hand was given free play Instructions were sent to Benedetti to seek the King of Prissin at Ems, where he was taking the waters, and

to demand from him, as the only means of averting war, that he should order the Hohenzollern Prince to revoke his acceptance of the Crown. "We are in great haste," Gramont added, "for we must gain the start in case of an unsatisfactory reply, and commence the movement of troops by Saturday in order to enter upon the campaign in a fortnight. Be on your guard against an answer merely leaving the Prince of Hohenzollern to his fate, and disclaiming on the part of the King any interest in his future." 1

Benedetti's first interview with the King was on the 9th of July. He informed the King of the emotion that had been caused in France by the candidature

of the Hohenzollern Prince; he dwelt on the value to both countries of the friendly relation between France and Prussia; and, while

Benedetti and King William at Ems, July 9-14

studiously avoiding language that might wound or irritate the King, he explained to him the requirements of the Government at Paris. The King had learnt beforehand what would be the substance of Benedetti's communication. He had probably been surprised and grieved at the serious consequences which Prince Leopold's action had produced in France; and although he had determined not to submit to dictation from Paris or to order Leopold to abandon his candidature, he had already, as it seems, taken steps likely to render the pre-servation of peace more probable. At the end of a conversation with the Ambassador, in which he asserted his complete independence as head of the family of Hohenzollern, he informed Benedetti that he had entered into communication with Leopold and his father, and that he expected shortly to receive a despatch from Sigmaringen. Benedetti rightly judged that the King, while positively refusing to meet Gramont's demands, was yet desirous of finding some peaceable way out of the difficulty; and the report of this interview which he sent to Paris was really a plea in favour of good sense and moderation. But Gramont was little disposed to accept such counsels. "I tell you plainly," he wrote to Benedetti on the next day, "public opinion is on fire, and will leave us behind it. We must begin; we wait only for your despatch to call

¹ Benedetti, Ma Mission, p. 319, July 7. Gramont, La France et la Prusse, p. 61.

up the three hundred thousand men who are waiting the summons. Write, telegraph, something definite. It the King will not counsel the Prince of Hohenzollern to resign, well, it is immediate war, and in a few days we at on the Rhine."

Nevertheless Benedetti's advice was not without a influence on the Emperor and his Ministers. Napoleon himself wavering from hour to hour, now inclined to the peace-party, and during the 11th there was a pause in the military preparations that had been begun. On the 12th

Leopold the effo. withdraws, probably July 12 Prussia

telegram was received at Madrid from Prince Anton stating that his son's candidature was withdrawn. A few bours later Ollivier authounced the news in the Legislatine Chamber at Paris, and exchanged congratulations will the Friends of peace, who considered that the matter winow at an end. B either the war-party old type old type old type.

who grudged to a brantia a_diplomatic success. They at once declared_that_th retirement of Prince Leopold was a secondary matter, and

that the real question was what guarantees Guarantee had been received from Prinsia against a reagainst newal of the candidature. Gramont himself, renewal in an interview with the Prissian Ambasador, Baron Werther, sketched a letter which he proposed that King William should send to the Emperor, stating that in sanctioning the candidature of Prince Leopold he had not intended to offend the French, and that in associating himself with the Prince's withdrawal he desired that all misinderstandings should be at an end between the two Governments. The despitch of Brien Werther conveying this proposition appears to have deeph offended King William, whom it reached about middly Benedetti had that morning met the King on the tath.

Benedett and the Kins.

Mity 13

Ambassador in order that he might communicate its roteins at Paris. The letter arrived; but Baron Werther's

despatch from Paris had arrived before it; and instead of summoning Benedetti as he had promised, the King sent one of his aides-de-camp to him with a message that a written communication had been received from Prince Leonold confirming his withdrawal, and that the matter was now at an end. Benedetti desired the aide-de-camp to inform the King that he was compelled by his instructions to ask for a guarantee against a renewal of the candidature. The aide-de-camp did as he was requested, and brought back a message that the King gave his entire approbation to the withdrawal of the Prince of Holienzoflern, but that he could do no more. Benedetti begged for an audience with His Majesty. The King replied that he was compelled to decline entering into further negotiation, and that he had said his last word. Though the King thus refused any further discussion, perfect courtesy was observed on both sides; and on the following morning the King and the Amhassador, who were both leaving Ems, took leave of one another at the railway station with the usual marks of respect.

That the guarantee which the French Government had resolved to demand would not be given was now perfectly acrtain; yet, with the candidature of Prince Leopold fairly extinguished, it was still possible that the cooler heads at Paris might carry the day, and that the Government would stop short of declaring war on a point on which the unanimous judgment of the other Powers declared it to be in the wrong. But Count Bismarck was determined not to let the French escape lightly from the quarrel. He had to do with an enemy who by his own folly had come

to the brink of an aggressive war, and, far

from facilitating his retreat, it was Bismarck's Publication policy to lure him over the precipice. Not of the telemany hours after the last message had passed Ems, July 13

between King William and Benedetti, a

telegram was officially published at Berlin, stating, in terms so brief as to convey the impression of an actual insult, that the King had refused to see the French Ambassador, and had informed him by an aide-de-camp that he had nothing more to communicate to him. This telegram was sent to the representatives of Prussia at most of the European Courts, and to its agents in every German capital. Narratives instantly gained currency, and were

not contradicted by the Prussian Government, that Benedetti had forced himself upon the King on the promende at Ems, and that in the presence of a large company the King had turned his back upon the Ambassador. The publication of the state of the state

Ministers mc cates of peac as the news insult oftered the agitation upon calling

upon calling up the Reserves, yet the Emperor himself
seemed still disposed for peace. It was in
War decided
the interval between the second and the third

at Paris,
July 14

meeting of the Council, between the hours
of six and ten in the evening, that Napoleon
the war-party
The Empress, fanatically anyous for the
overthrow of a great Protestant Power, passionately erger
for the military glory which alone could ensure the Crown
to her son, won the trumph which she was so bitterly by

rue At the third meeting of the Council, held shortly before midnight, the vote was given for war.

In Germany this decision had been expected, set it made a deep impression not only on the German people but on Europe at large that, when the declaration of war was submitted to the French Legislative Body in the form of a demand for supplies, no single voice was raised to condemn the war for its criminality and injustice the arguments which were orged against it by M. Thiers and others were that the Government had fixed upon a bad cause, and that the occasion was inopportune the majority of the Assembly really desired war is even now matter of doubt. But the clamour of a hundred madmen within its walls, the ravings of journalists and incendiaries, who at such a time are to the true expression of public opinion what the Spraish Inquisition was to the Christian religion, paralysed the will and the understanding of less infativited men. Ten votes alone were given in the Assembly against the grant demanded for war to Furope at large it went out that the crime and the madness was that of France as a nation. Yet Ollivier and many of his colleagues up to the last moment disapproved of

the war, and consented to it only because they believed that the nation would otherwise rush into hostilities under a reactionary Ministry who would serve France worse than themselves. They found when it was too late that the supposed national impulse, which they had thought irresistible, was but the outcry of a noisy minority. The reports of their own officers informed them that in sixteen alone out of the eighty-seven Departments of France was the war popular. In the other seventy-one it was accepted either with hesitation or regret.

How vast were the forces which the North German Confederation could bring into the field was well known to Napoleon's Government. Benedetti had Initial forces kept his employers thoroughly informed of the progress of the North German military organisation; he had warned them that the South German States would most certainly act with the North against a foreign assailant; he had described with great accuracy and great penetration the nature of the tie that existed between Berlin and St. Petersburg, a tie which was close kenough to secure for Prussia the goodwill, and in certain contingencies the armed support, of Russia, while it was loose enough not to involve Prussia in any Muscovite enterprise that would bring upon it the hostility of England and Austria. The utmost force which the French military administration reckoned on placing in the field at the beginning of the campaign was two hundred and fifty thousand men, to be raised at the end of three weeks by about fifty thousand more. The Prussians, even without reckoning on any assistance from Southern Germany, and after allowing for three army-corps that might be needed to watch Austria and Denmark, could begin the campaign with three hundred and thirty thousand. Army to army, the French thus sood, according to the reckoning of their own War Office, outnumbered at the outset; but Lebœuf, the War-Minister, imagined that the Foreign Office had made sure of all acces, and that a great part of the Prussiar Army would not be free to act on the veser frontier. Napoleon had in fact pushed for ard his negotiations with Austria == Italy from the time the became imminent. C-- Beust, while clearly lot-

1 S-E Este Distratique, i. 107.

down that Austria was not bound to follow France into a war made at its own pleasure, nevertheless felt some anxiety lest France and Prussia should settle their differ ences at Austria's expense, moreover, from the victory o Napoleon, assisted in any degree by himself, he coul fairly hope for the restoration of Austria's ascendancy in Germany and the undoing of the work of

Austria 1866. It was determined at a Council held preparing at Vienna on the 18th of July that Austra should for the present be neutral if Russia should no enter the war on the side of Prussia; but this neutrality was nothing more than a stage towards alliance will France if at the end of a certain brief period the army of Napoleon should have penetrated into Southern Germany In a private despatch to the Austrian Ambassador at Paris Count Beust pointed out that the immediate participation of Austria in the war would bring Russia into the field on King William's side. "To keep Russia neutral," he wrote, "till the season is sufficiently advanced to present the concentration of its troops must be at present out object, but this neutrality is nothing more than a mean for arriving at the real end of our policy, the only mean for completing our preparations without exposing our selves to premature attack by Prussia or Russia." Its ndded that Austria had already entered into a negotiation with Italy with a view to the armed mediation of the two Powers, and strongly recommended the Emperor to place the Italians in possession of Rome.

Negotiations were now pressed forward between Paris-Florence, and Vienna, for the conclusion of a tuple alliance Of the course taken by these negotiations con-

tradictory accounts are given by the persons Austria and concerned in them. According to Prince Napoleon, Victor Immanuel demanded pocsession of Rome, and this was refused to him by the I rench Emperor, in consequence of which the project of alliance failed According to the Duke of Gramont, no more was demanded by Italy then the return to the conditions of the September Convention; this was agreed to by the Emperor, and it was in pursuance of this agreement that the Papal States were executed by their French garrison on the 2nd of August. Throughout the last fortnight 1 Hahn, 11 Go Sorel, i 276

of July, after war had actually been declared, there was, if the statement of Gramont is to be trusted, a continuous interchange of notes, projects, and telegrams between the three Governments. The difficulties raised by Italy and Austria were speedily removed, and, though some weeks were needed by these Powers for their military preparations, Napoleon was definitely assured of their armed support in case of his preliminary success. It was agreed that Austria and Italy, assuming at the first the position of armed neutrality, should jointly present an ultimatum to Prussia in September demanding the exact performance of the Treaty of Prague, and, failing its compliance with this summons in the sense understood by its enemies, that the two Powers would immediately declare war, their armies taking the field at latest on the 15th of September. That Russia would in that case assist Prussia was well known; but it would seem that Count Beust feared little from his northern enemy in an autumn campaign. The draft of the Treaty between Italy and Austria had actually, according to Gramont's statement, been accepted by the two latter Powers, and received its last amendments in a negotiation between the Emperor Napoleon and an Italian envoy, Count Vimercati, at Metz. Vimercati reached Florence with the amended draft on the 4th of August, and it was expected that the Treaty would be signed on the following day. When that day came it saw the forces of the French Empire dashed to pieces.1

Preparations for a war with France had long occupied the general staff at Berlin. Before the winter of 1868 a memoir had been drawn up by General Moltke, containing plans for the concentration of the whole of the German forces, for the formation of each of the armies to be employed, and the positions to be occupied at the outset by each corps. On the basis of this memoir the arrangements for the transport of each corps from its depôt to the frontier had subsequently been worked out in such minute detail that when, on the 16th of July, King William gave the order for mobilisation, nothing remained but to insert in the

¹ Prince Napoleon, in Revue des Deux Mondes, April 1, 1878; Gramont, in Revue de France, April 17, 1878. (Signed Andreas Memor.) Ollivier, L'Eglise et l'Etat, ii. 473. Sorel, i. 245.

"ie day on which ministeness of part of Moltke's

plan which related to the assembling and first placing of the troops. The events of the campaign could not the be arranged and tabulated beforehand, only the genera object and design could be laid down. That the French would throw themselves with great rapidity upon Southern Germany was considered probable. The armies of Baden, Wurtemberg, and Bayaria were too weak, the military centres of the North were too far distant, for effective resistance to be made in this quarter to the first blows of the invader. Moltke therefore recommended that the Southern troops should withdraw from their own States and move northwards to join those of Prussia in the Palatinate or on the Middle Rhine, so that the entire forces of Germany should be thrown upon the flank or rear of the invader, while, in the event of the French not thus taking the offensive, France itself was to be invaded by the collective strength of Germany along the line from Saarbrucken to Landau, and its armies were to be cul off from their communications with Paris by vigorous movements of the invader in a northerly direction

The military organisation of Germany is based on the division of the country into districts, each of which furnishes at its own depôt a small but complete army. The

German nucleus of each such corps exists in time of mobilisation peace, with its own independent artillers, stores, and material of war. On the order for mobilisation being given, every man hable to military service, but not actually serving, joins the regiment to which he locally belongs, and in a given number of days each corps is ready to take the field in full strength. The completion of each corps at its own depot is the hirst stage in the preparation for a cumpaign. Not till this is effected does the movement of troops towards the frontier begin. The time necessary for the first act of preparation was like that to be occupied in transport, accurately determined by the Prissian War Office. It resulted from General Wolkke's calculations that, the order of mobilisation having been given on the 16th of July, the entire army with which

¹ Der Deutsch Franzosische Krieg 1870 71 (Prussian General Staff)

it was intended to begin the campaign would be collected and in position ready to cross the frontier on the 4th of August, if the French should not have taken up the offensive before that day. But as it was apprehended that part at least of the French army would be thrown into Germany before that date, the westward movement of the German troops stopped short at a considerable distance from the border, in order that the troops first arriving might not be exposed to the attack of a superior force before their supports should be at hand. On the actual frontier there was placed only the handful of men required for reconnoitring, and for checking the enemy during the few hours that would be necessary to guard against the effect of a surprise.

The French Emperor was aware of the numerical inferiority of his army to that of Prussia; he hoped, however, by extreme rapidity of movement to penetrate Southern Germany before the The French

Prussian army could assemble, and so, while forcing the Southern Governments to neutrality, to meet on the Upper Danube the assisting forces of Italy and Austria. It was his design to concentrate a hundred and affer thousand men at Metz, a hundred thousand at Strasburg, and with these armies united to cross the Rhine into Baden; while a third army, which was to assemble at Châlons, protected the north-eastern frontier against an advance of the Prussians. A few days after the declaration of war, while the German corps were still at their depôts in the interior, considerable forces were massed round Metz and Strasburg. All Europe listened for the rush of the invader and the first swift notes of triumph from a French army beyond the Rhine; but week after week passed, and the silence was still unbroken. incredible to those who first heard them, yet perfectly true, reached the German frontier-stations of actual famine at the advanced posts of the enemy, and of French soldiers made prisoners while digging in potato-fields to keep themselves alive. That Napoleon was less ready than had been anticipated became clear to all the world; but none yet imagined the revelations which each successive day was bringing at the headquarters of the French armies. Absence of whole regiments that figured in the official order of battle, defective transport, stores missing or

congested, made it impossible even to attempt the inroad into Southern Germany within the date up to which it had any prospect of success. The design was abandoned, yet not in time to prevent the troops that were hurrying from the interior from being sent backwards and forward, according as the authorities had, or had not, heard of the change of plan. Napoleon saw that a Prussian force was gathering on the Middle Rhine which it would be madness to leave on his flank, he ordered his own compandes to leave on the successful the language. manders to operate on the corresponding line of the Lauter and the Saar, and despatched isolated divisions to the very frontier, still uncertain whether even in this direction he would be able to act on the offensive, or whether nothing now remained to him but to resist the invasion of France by a superior enemy Ollivier had stated in the Assembly that he and his colleagues entered upon the war with a light heart, he might have added that they entered upon it with bandaged eyes. The Ministers seem actually not to have taken the trouble to exchange explanations with one another Lebœuf, the War-Minister, had taken it for granted that Gramont had made arrangements with Austria which would compel the Prussians to keep a large part of their forces in the interior. Gramont, in forcing on the quarrel with Prussia, and in his negotiations with Austria, had taken it for granted that I ebecuf could win a series of victories at the outset in Southern Germani The I mperor, to whom nlone the entire data of the military and the diplomatic service of France were open, was incapable of exertion or scritting, purposeless, distracted with pain, half-imbecile.

That the Imperial military administration was rotten to the core, the terrible events of the next few weeks suffi-

Causes of the terrible events of the next few weeks simple from I rench military inferiority the arm were made worse by the division of public funds to private necessities, the looseness, the lugar splendour, the base standards of judgment of the lumperial Court infected each branch of the public services of France, and worked perhaps not least on those who were in military command. But the cutastrophe of 1870 seemed to those who with used it to tell of more than the vileness of an administration; in England, not less than

in Germany, voices of influence spoke of the doom that had overtaken the depravity of a sunken nation; of the triumph of simple manliness, of God-fearing virtue itself, in the victories of the German army. There may have been truth in this; yet it would require a nice moral discernment to appraise the exact degeneracy of the French of 1870 from the French of 1854 who humbled Russia, or from the French of 1859 who triumphed at Solferino; and it would need a very comprehensive acquaintance with the lower forms of human pleasure to judge in what degree the sinfulness of Paris exceeds the sinfulness of Berlin. Had the French been as strict a race as the Spartans who fell at Thermopylæ, as devout as the Tyrolese who perished at Königgrätz, it is quite certain that, with the numbers which took the field against Germany in 1870, with Napoleon III. at the head of affairs, and the actual generals of 1870 in command, the armies of France could not have escaped destruction.

The main cause of the disparity of France and Germany in 1870 was in truth that Prussia had had from 1862 to 1866 a Government so strong as to be ble to force upon its subjects its own gigan- German c scheme of military organisation in defiance of the votes of Parliament and of the national will. 1866 Prussia, with a population of nineteen millions, brought actually into the field three hundred and fifty thousand men, or one in fifty-four of its inhabitants. There was no other government in Europe, with the possible exception of Russia, which could have imposed upon its subjects, without risking its own existence, so vast a burden of military service as that implied in this strength of the fighting army. Napoleon III. at the height of his power could not have done so; and when after Königgrätz he endeavoured to raise the forces of France to an equality with those of the rival Power by a system which would have brought about one in seventy of the population into the field, his own nominees in the Legislative Body, under pressure of public opinion, so weekened the scheme that the effective numbers of the army remained little more than they were before. The true parallel to the German victories of 1870 is to be found in the victories of the French Committee of Public Sefer-in 1794 and in those of the first Depoison. A government

so powerful as to bend the entire resources of the State to military ends will, whether it is one of democracy run mad, or of a crowned soldier of fortune, or of an ancient monarchy throwing new vigour into its traditional system and policy, crush in the moment of impact communitie of equal or greater resources in which a variety of riv influences limit and control the central power and subordi nate military to other interests. It was so in the triumph of the Reign of Terror over the First Coalition; it was s in the triumphs of King William over Austria and France But the parallel between the founders of German unity and the organisers of victory after 1793 extends no farthe than to the sources of their success. Aggression and adventure have not been the sequels of the war of 1870 The vast armaments of Prussia were created in order to establish German union under the House of Hohenzollern and they were employed for no other object. It is the triumph of statesmanship, and it has been the glory of Prince Bismarck, after thus reaping the fruit of a well-timed homage to the God of Battles, to know how to quit liis shrine.

At the end of July, twelve days after the formal de cluration of war, the gathering

over three hundre The frontier, strong, were still at

Lauter and the Saa without any elear design, had troops actually on the frontier a

troops actually on the frontier a and elsewhere, while other tre number to about two lundred and htty thouse round Metz and Strasburg, and at points between the small German detachments on the frontier plant ducted with extreme energy: the French ap famed a read a last to discover what was facing them at losseness state they advanced with twenty-five thousant losseness state they are stated to the state of the state of

Hard: ...

the alignment of the German forces in their invading order was completed, and all was ready for an offensive compaign. The central army, commanded by Prince Frederick Charles, spreading east and Saarbriteken, west behind Saarbrücken, touched on its

right the northern army commanded by General Steinmetz, on its left the southern army commanded by the Crown Prince, which covered the frontier of the Palatinate, and included the troops of Bavaria and Wijrtemberg. The general direction of the three armies was thus from north-west to south-east. As the line of invasion was to be nearly due west, it was necessary that the first sten forwards should be made by the army of the Crown Prince in order to bring it more nearly to a level with the northern corps in the march into France. On the 4th of August the Crown Prince crossed the Alsatian frontier and moved against Weissenburg. The French General Douay, who was posted here with about twelve thousand men, was neither reinforced nor bidden to retire. His troops met the attack of an enemy many times more numerous with great courage; but the struggle was a opeless one, and after several hours of severe lighting the Germans were mastern of the were hory, how, t field. Douay fell in the battle; his troops

frustrated an attempt made to out off their retreat, and fell back southwards forwards the corps of McMelion, which lay about ten miles behind them.

The Crown Prince marched on in search of the energy. McMahon, who could called only forty-five thorsand nen, desired to retreat until he could gain come composed but the Emperor, connected by fear, of the political confession of the invector, indisted upon the political confession about the fermion on the little about where. Mostly, almost on the first the first Constitution, which had overfixed the arrive of the first Constitution. Which had overfixed the arrive of the Constitution, where the first of Angula the Leading distribution of the Constitution, which have the constitution of the Constituti The Crown Prince merched on in search of his energy,

impetuosity of divisional leaders on the morning of the 6th brought on a general engagement. The resistance of the French was of the most determined character With one more army-corps—and the corps of General Failly was expected to arrive on the field—it seemed as if the Germans might yet be beaten back. But each hor brought additional forces into action in the attack, whil the French commander looked in vain for the reinforce ments that could save him from ruin. At length, whe the last desperate charges of the Currassiers had shattere against the fire of cannon and needle-guns, and the villag of Froschwiller, the centre of the French position, has been stormed house by house, the entire army broke and fled in disorder. Nine thousand prisoners, thirty-throcannon, fell into the hands of the conquerors. The Ger mans had lost ten thousand men, but they had utterly destroyed McMalion's army as an organised force. It remnant disappeared from the scene of warfare, escaping by the western roads in the direction of Chalons, where first it was restored to some degree of order. The Crown Prince, leaving troops behind him to beleaguer the smalle Alsatian fortresses, marched on untroubled through the northern Vosges, and descended into the open country about Luneville and Nancy, unfortified towns which could offer no resistance to the passage of an enemy.

On the same day that the hattle of Worth was fought.

the leading columns of the armies of Steinmetz and Prince Frederick Charles crossed the frontier at Saarbricken. Prossard's corps, on the news of the defeat at Weissenburg, had withdrawn to its earlier positions

Spicheren, between Porbach and the frontier : it held the steep hills of Spicheren that look down upon Sharbrucken, and the woods that flank the high road where this passes from Germany into France Worth, it was not intended that any general attack should be made on the 6th; a delay of twenty-four hours would have enabled the Germans to envelop or crush Frossand's corps with an overwhelming force. But the leaders of the foremost regiments threw themselves impatiently upon the French whom they found before them - other brigades hurried up to the sound of the cannon, until the struggle took the proportion of a buttle, and after hours of flucture. ing success the heights of Spicheren were carried by su . cessive rushes of the infantry full in the enemy's fire. Why Frossard was not reinforced has never been explained, for several French divisions lay at no great distance westward, and the position was so strong that, if a pitched battle was to be fought anywhere east of Metz, few better points could have been chosen. But, like Douay at Weissenburg, Frossard was left to struggle alone against whatever forces the Germans might throw upon him. Napoleon, who directed the operations of the French armies from Metz, appears to have been now incapable of appreciating the simplest military necessities, of guarding against the most obvious dangers. Helplessness, infatuation ruled the miserable hours.

The impression made upon Europe by the battles of the 6th of August corresponded to the greatness of their actual military effects. There was an end to all thoughts of the alliance of Austria and Italy with France. Germany, though unaware of the full magnitude of the perils from which it had escaped, breathed freely

from which it had escaped, breathed freely after weeks of painful suspense; the very circumstance that the disproportion of numbers

Paris after Aug. 6

In the battle-field of Wörth was still unknown heightened the joy and confidence produced by the Crown Prince's victory, a victory in which the South German troops, fighting by the side of those who had been their foes in 1866, had borne their full part. In Paris the consternation with which the news of McMahon's overthrow was received was all the greater that on the previous day reports had been circulated of a victory won at Landau and of the capture of the Crown Prince with his army. The bulletin of the Emperor, briefly narrating McMahon's defeat and the repulse of Frossard, showed in its concluding words-"All may yet be retrieved"-how profound was the change made in the prospects of the war by that fatal day. The truth was at once apprehended. A storm of indignation broke out against the Imperial Government at Paris. The Chambers were summoned. Ollivier, attacked alike by the extreme Bonapartists and by the Opposition, laid down his office. A reactionary Ministry, headed by the Count of Palikao, was placed in power by the Empress, a Ministry of the last hour as it was justly styled by all outside it. Levies were ordered, arms and stores accumulated for the reserve-forces, preparations made for a siege of Paris itself. On the 12th the Emperor gave up the command which he had exercised with such miserable results, and appointed Marshal Bazaine, one of the heroes of the Mexican Expedition, General-in-Chief of the Army of the Rhine.

After the overthrow of McMahon and the victory of the Germans at Spicheren, there seems to have been period of utter paralysis in the French hand quarters at Met

Napoleon at Metz, Aug 7-12 quarters at Met. Frederick Charle mediately press days for the ad

allow some days for the ad through the Vosges; and du army about Metz, which, wh

army about states, with a mearly two hundred thousand men, might well have taken the positions necessary for the defence of Moselle, or in the alternative might have gained several marches in the retreat towards Verdun and Châtons. Only a sinail pin of this body had as yet been exposed to defeat. It included in it the very flower of the French forces, tens of thousands of troops probably equal to any in Europe, and capable of forming a most formidable army if united to the reserves which would shortly be collected at Châtons or nearer Paris. But from the 7th to the 12th of August Napoleon, too cowed to take the necessary steps for batter in defence of the line of Moselle, lingered purposeless and irresolute at Metz, unwilling to fall back from this fortress. It was not till the 14th that the retreat was begun. By this time the Germans were close at hand, and their leaders were little disposed to let the hesitating enemy escape them. While the leading divisions of the French were crossing the Moselle, Steinmetz limited

Borny, Aug. 14 detachments still lying on the southerst of Metz about Borny and Courcelles. Bazaine suspended his movement of retreat in order to beat back an assailant who for once seemed to be inferior in strength. At the close of the day the French commander believed that he had gained a victory and driven the Germans off their line of advance; in reality he had allowed himself to be discreted from the passage of the Moselle at the last hour, while the Germans left under Prince Frederick Charles gained the river further south,

and actually began to cross it in order to bar his retreat.

From Metz westwards there is as far as the village of Gravelotte, which is seven miles distant, but one direct road; at Gravelotte the road forks, the southern arm

leading towards Verdun by Vionville and Mars-la-Tour, the northern by Conflans. During the 15th August the first of Bazaine's

Mars-la-Tour, Aug. 16

divisions moved to Vionville along the southern road; others came into the neighbourhood of Gravelotte, but two corps which should have advanced past Gravelotte on to the northern road still lay close to Metz. The Prussian vanguard was meanwhile crossing the Moselle southwards from Noveant to Pont-à-Mousson, and hurrying fowards by lines converging on the road taken by Bazaine. Down to the evening of the 15th it was not supposed at the Prussian headquarters that Bazaine could be overtaken and brought to battle nearer than the line of the Meuse; but on the morning of the 16th the cavalry-detachments which had pushed farthest to the north-west discovered that the heads of the French columns had still not passed Mars-la-Tour. An effort was instantly made to seize the road and block the way before the enemy. The struggle, begun by a handful of combatants on each side, drew to it regiment after regiment as the French battalions close at hand came into action, and the Prussians hurried up in wild haste to support their comrades who were exposed to the attack of an entire army. The rapidity with which the Prussian generals grasped the situation before them, the vigour with which they brought up their cavalry over a distance which no infantry could traverse in the necessary time, and without a moment's hesitation hurled this cavalry in charge after charge against a superior foe, mark the battle of Mars-la-Tour as that in which the military superiority of the Germans was most truly shown. Numbers in this battle had little to do with the result, for by better generalship Bazaine could certainly at any one point have overpowered his enemy. But while the Germans rushed like a torrent upon the true point of attack—that is the westernmost—Bazaine by some delusion considered it his primary object to prevent the Germans from thrusting themselves between the retreating

army and Metz, and so kept a great part of his troops inactive about the fortress. The result was that the Germans, with a loss of sixteen thousand men, remained at the close of the day masters of the road at Vionville, and that the French army could not, without winning a victory and breaking through the enemy's line, resume its retreat along this line.

It was expected during the 17th that Bazaine would make some attempt to escape by the northern road, but instead of doing so he fell back on Gravelotte and the heights between this and Metz, in order to fight a pitched battle. The position was a well-chosen one; but by mid-

day on the 18th the armies of Steinmetz and Gravelotte, Prince Frederick Charles were ranged in Aug. 16 front of Bazaine with a strength of two hundred and fifty thousand men, and in the judgment of the King these forces were equal to the attack. Again, as at Worth, the precipitancy of divisional commanders caused the sacrifice of whole brigades before the buttle was won. While the Saxon corps with which Moltke intended to deliver his slow but fatal blow upon the enemy's right flank was engaged in its long northward; détour, Steinmetz pushed his Rhinelanders past the ravine of Gravelotte into a fire where no human being could' survive, and the Guards, pressing forward in column over the smooth unsheltered slope from St. Marie to St. Privat, sank by thousands without reaching midway in their course. Until the final blow was dealt by the Saxon corps from the north flank, the ground which was won by the Prussians was won principally by their destructive artillery fire their infantry attacks had on the whole been repelled, and at Gravelotte uself it had seemed for a moment as if the French were about to break the assailant's line. But Bazaine, as on the 16th, steadily kept lisreserves at a distance from the points where their presence was most required, and, according to his own account, succeeded in bringing into action no more than a hundred thousand men, or less than two-thirds of the forces under his command.1 At the close of the awful day, when the capture of St. Privat by the Saxons turned the defenders' line, the French abandoned all their positions and drew back within the defences of Metz.

Bazaine, L'Armée du Rhin, p. 74

The Germans at once proceeded to block all the roads round the fortress, and Bazaine made no effort to prevent

them. At the end of a few days the line was drawn around him in sufficient strength to resist any sudden attack. Steinmetz, who was responsible for a great part of the loss sustained at Gravelotte, was now removed

McMahon compelled to attempt Bazaine's relief,

from his command; his army was united with that under Prince Frederick Charles as the besieging force, while sixty thousand men, detached from this great mass, were formed into a separate army under Prince Albert of Saxony, and sent by way of Verdin to co-operate with the Crown Prince against McMahon. The Government at Paris knew but imperfectly what was passing around Meiz from day to day; it knew, however, that if Metz should be given up for lost the honr of its own fall could not be averted. One forforn hope remained, to throw the army which McMahon was gathering at Châlons north-eastward to Bazaine's relief, though the Crown Prince stood between Châlons and Metz, and could reach every point in the line of march more rapidly than McMahon himself. Napoleon had quitted Metz on the evening of the 15th; on the 17th a council of war was held at Châlons, at which it was determined to fall back upon Paris and to await the attack of the Crown Prince under the forts of the capital. No sooner was this decision announced to the Government at Paris than the Empress telegraphed to her husband warning him to consider what would be the effects of his return, and insisting that an attempt should be made to relieve Bazaine.1 McMahon, against his own better judgment, consented to the northern march. He moved in the first instance to Rheims in order to conceal his intention from the enemy, but by doing this he lost some days. On the 23rd, in pursuance of arrangements made with Bazaine, whose messengers were still able to escape the Prussian watch, he set out north-eastwards in the direction of Montmédy. The movement was discovered by the Prussian cavalry and reported at the headquarters at Bar-le-Duc on the 25th. Instantly the westward march of the Crown Prince was arrested, and his army, with that of the Prince of Saxony, was thrown northwards in forced marches towards Sedan. On

¹ Papiers Sécrets du Second Empire (1875), pp. 33, 240.

reaching Le Chesne, west of the Meuse, on the -27th, 'McMahon became aware of the enemy's presence. He

German movement northwards, Aug. 26 self to the army, consented, but again the

Government at Paris interfered with fatal More anxious for the safety of the dynasty than for the existence of the army, the Empress and her advisers insisted that McMahon should continue his Napoleon seems now to have abdicated all authority and thrown to the winds all responsibility. He allowed the march to be resumed in the direction of Mouzon and Stenay. Failly's corps, which formed the right wing, was attacked on the 20th before it could reach the passage of the Meuse at the latter place, and was driven northwards to Beaumont. Here the commander strangely imagined himself to be in security. He was surprised in his camp on the following day, defeated, and driven northwards towards Mouzon. Meanwhile the left of McMahon's army had crossed the Meuse and moved eastwards to Carignan, so that his troops were severed by the river and at some distance from one another. Part of Failly's men were made prisoners in the struggle on the 30th, or dispersed on the west of the Meuse; the remainder, with their commander, made a hurried and disorderly escape beyond the river, and neglected to break down the bridges by which they had passed. McMalon saw that if the advance was continued his divisions would one after another fall into the enemy's hands. He recalled the troops which had reached Carignan, and concentrated his army about Sedan to fight a pitched battle. The passages of the Meuse above and below Sedan were seared by the Germans. Two hundred and forty thousand men were at Moltke's disposal; McMahon had about half that number. The task of the Germans was not so much to defeat the enemy as to prevent them from escaping to the Belgian frontier. On the morning of September 1st, while

Battle of Sedan, on the east of Sedan the Bavarians after a desperate resistance stormed the village of Bazeilles, Hessian and Prussian regiments crossed the Meuse at Donchéry several miles to the west. From either end of this line corps after corps now pushed

northwards round the French positions, driving in the enemy wherever they found them, and, converging under the eyes of the Prussian King, his general, and his Minister, each into its place in the arc of fire before which the French Empire was to perish. The movement was as admirably executed as designed. The French fought furiously but in vain: the mere mass of the enemy, the mere narrowing of the once completed circle, crushed down resistance without the clumsy havoc of Gravelotte. From point after point the defenders were forced back within Sedan itself. The streets were choked with hordes of beaten infantry and cavalry; the Germans had but to take one more step forward and the whole of their batteries would command the town. Towards evening there was a pause in the firing, in order that the French might offer negotiations for surrender; but no sign of surrender was made, and the Bavarian cannon resumed their fire, throwing shells into the town itself. Napoleon now caused a white flag to be displayed on the fortress, and sent a letter to the King of Prussia, stating that as he had not been able to die in the midst of his troops, nothing remained for him but to surrender his sword into the hands of his Majesty. The surrender was accepted by King William, who added that General Moltke would act on his behalf in arranging terms of capitulation. General Wimpfien, who had succeeded to the command of the French army on the disablement of McMahon by a wound, acted on behalf of Napoleon. The negotia- Capitulation tions continued till late in the night, the of Sedan. French general pressing for permission for Sept. 2 his troops to be disarmed in Belgium, while Moltke insisted on the surrender of the entire army as prisoners of war. Fearing the effect of an appeal by Napoleon himself to the King's kindly nature. Bismarck had taken steps to remove his sovereign to a distance until the terms of surrender should be signed. At daybreak on September and Napoleon sought the Prussian headquarters. He was met on the road by Bismarch, who remained in conversation with him till the capitulation was completed or the terms required by the Germans. He then conducted Napoleon to the neighbouring chatear of Bellevie, where King William, the Crown Prince, and the Prince of Sanony visited him. One pang had still to be borne by

the unhappy man. Down to his interview with the King, Napoleon had imagined that all the German armies together had operated against him at Sedan, and he must consequently have still had some hope that his own ruin might have purchased the deliverance of Bazaine. He learnt accidentally from the King that Prince Frederick Charles had never stirred from before Metz. A convulsion of anguish passed over his face. his eyes filled with tears There was no motive for a prolonged interview between the conqueror and the conquered, for, as a prisoner, Napoleon could not discuss conditions of peace. After some minutes of conversation the King departed for the Prussian headquarters Napoleon remained in the chiteru until the morning of the next day, and then began his journey towards the place chosen for his captivity, the prlace of Wilhelmshohe at Cassel.1

Rumours of disaster had reached Paris in the last dus

of August, but to each successive report of evil the Government replied with lying boasts of success, until on the 3rd of September it was forced to announce a catastrophe far surprissing the worst anticipations of the previous days.

With the Emperor and his entire army in the enemi's hands, no one supposed that the dynasty could any longer remain on the throne . the only question was by what form of government the Empire should be succeeded. The Legislative Chamber assembled in the dead of night; Jules Favre proposed the deposition of the Emperor, and was The Assembly adjourned for some heard in silence hours. On the morning of the 4th, Thiers, who sought to keep the way open for an Orkanist restoration, moved that a Committee of Government should be appointed by the Chamber itself, and that elections to a new Assemble should be held as soon as circumstances should permit. Before this and other propositions of the same nature could be put to the vote, the Chamber was invaded by the mob. Gamhetta, with most of the Deputies for Paris, proceeded to the Hotel de Ville, and there proclaimed the Republic. The Empress fled; a Government of National Defence came into existence, with General Trochu at its head, Jules Favre assuming the Ministry of Poreign Affairs and Gambetra that of the Interior.

Diary of the Emperor Frederick, Seri 2

No hand was raised in defence of the Napoleonic dynasty or of the institutions of the Empire. The Legislative Chamber and the Senate disappeared without even making an attempt to prolong their own existence. Thiers, without approving of the Republic or the mode in which it had come into being, recommended his friends to accept the new Government, and gave it his own support. On the 6th of September a circular was issued by Jules Favre, addressed to the representatives of France at Grentar of all the European Courts, which justified the Jules Favre, overthrow of the Napoleonic Empire, and Sept. 6 claimed for the Government by which it was succeeded the goodwill of the neutral Powers. Napoleon III. was charged with the responsibility for the war: with the fall of his dynasty, it was urged, the reasons for a continuance of the struggle had ceased to exist. France only asked for a lasting peace. Such peace, however, must leave the territory of France inviolate, for peace with dishonour would be but the prelude to a new war of extermination. "Not an inch of our soil will we cede" so ran the formula—"not a stone of our fortresses." 1 The German Chancellor had nothing ready in the way of rhetoric equal to his antagonist's phrases; but as soon as the battle of Sedan was won it was settled at the Prussian headquarters that peace would not be made without the annexation of Alsace Bismarck, and Lorraine. Prince Bismarck has stated that his own policy would have stopped at the acquisition of Strasburg: Moltke, however, and the chiefs of the army pronounced that Germany could not be secure against invasion while Metz remained in the hands of France, and this opinion was accepted by the King. For a moment it was imagined that the victory of Sedan had given the conqueror peace on his own terms. This hope, however, speedily disappeared, and the march upon Paris was resumed by the army of the Crown Prince without waste of time. In the third week of September the invaders approached the capital. Favre, in spite of his

¹ Favre's circular alleged that the King of Prussia had declared that he made war not on France but on the Imperial Dynasty. King William had never stated anything of the kind. His proclamation on entering France, to which Favre appears to have referred, merely said that the war was to be waged against the French army, and not against the inhabitants, who, so long as they kept quiet, would not be molested.

declaration of the 6th, was not indisposed to enter upon negotiations, and, trusting to his own arts of persuasion, he sought an interview with the German Chancellor, which was granted to him at Ferrières on the 19th, and continued on the following day. Bismarck hesitated to treat the holders of office in Paris as an established Government; he was willing to grant an armistice in order that elections might be held for a National Assembly with which Germany could treat for peace, but he required, as a con dition of the armistice, that Strasburg and Toul should be surrendered. Toul was already at the last extremity; Strasburg was not capable of holding out ten days longer, but of this the Government at Paris was not aware. The conditions demanded by Bismarck were rejected as insulting to France, and the war was left to take its course Already, while Favre was negotiating at Ferrières, the German vanguard was pressing round to the west of Paris. A body of French troops which attacked them on the 19th at Châtillon was put to the rout and fled in panic. Versailles was occupied on the same day, and the line of investment was shortly afterwards completed around the capital.

The second act in the war now began. Paris had been fortified by Thiers about 1840, at the time when it seemed Siege of likely that France might be engaged in war

with a coalition on the affairs of Mehemet All The forts were not distant enough from the city to protect it altogether from artillery with the lengthened range of 1870, they were sufficient, however, to render an assault out of the question, and to compel the besieger to rely mainly on the slow operation of famine. It had been reckoned by the engineers of 1840 that food enough might be collected to enable the city to stand a two-months' siege, so vast, however, were the supplies collected in 1870 that, with double the population, Paris had provisions for above four months spite therefore of the capture and destruction of its nrmies the cause of France was not hopeless, if, while Paris and Metz occupied four hundred thousand of the invaders the population of the provinces should take up the struggle with enthusiasm, and furnish after some months of mili tars exercise troops more numerous than those which France had lost, to attack the besiegers from all points

at once and to fall upon their communications. To organise such a national resistance was, however, impossible for any Government within the besieged capital itself. It was therefore determined to establish a second seat of Government on the Loire; and before

the lines were drawn round Paris three members of the Ministry, with M. Crémieux at their head, set out for Tours. Crémieux, however, who was an aged lawyer, proved quite unequal to his task. His authority was disputed in the west and the south. Revolutionary movements threatened to break up the unity of the national defence. A stronger hand, a more commanding will, was needed. Such a hand, such a will belonged to Gambetta, who on the 7th of October left Paris in order to undertake the government of the provinces and the organisation of the national armies. The circle of the besiegers was now too closely drawn for the ordinary means of travel to be possible. Gambetta

passed over the German lines in a balloon, and reached Tours in safety, where he imme-

Gambetta at Tours

diately threw his feeble colleagues into the background And concentrated all power in his own vigorous grasp.
The effect of his presence was at once felt throughout France. There was an end of the disorders in the great cities, and of all attempts at rivalry with the central power. Gambetta had the faults of rashness, of excessive selfconfidence, of defective regard for scientific authority in matters where he himself was ignorant: but he possessed in an extraordinary degree the qualities necessary for a Dictator at such a national crisis: boundless, indomitable courage: a simple, elemental passion of love for his country that left absolutely no place for hesitations or reserve in the prosecution of the one object for which France then existed, the war. He carried the nation with him like a whirlwind. Whatever share the military errors of Gambetta and his rash personal interference with commanders may have had in the ultimate defeat of France. without him it would never have been known of what efforts France was capable. The proof of his capacity was seen in the hatred and the fear with which down to the time of his death he inspired the German people. Had there been at the head of the army of Metz a man of one-tenth of Gambetta's effective force. it is possible

[1879

496

that France might have closed the war, if not with success, at least with undiminished territory.

Before Gambetta left Paris the fall of Strasburg set free the army under General Werder by which it had been besieged, and enabled the Germans to establish a civil

Government in Alsace, the western frontier Strasburg, of the new province having been already so accurately studied that, when peace was made in 1871, the frontier-line was drawn not upon one of the earlier French maps but on the map now published by the German staff. It was Gambetta's first task to divide France into districts, each with its own military centre, its own army, and its own commander. Four such districts were made: the centres were Lille, Le Mans, Bourges, and Besançon. At Bourges and in the neighbourhood considerable progress had already been made in organisation. Early in October German cavalry-detachments, exploring southwards, found that The army of French troops were gathering on the Loite.

The Bavarian General Von der Tann was detached by Moltke from the besieging army at Paris and ordered to make himself master of Orleans. Vol der Innn hastened southwards, defeated the French out Tann takes side Orleans on the 11th of October, and

Orleans, occupied this city, the French retiring towards Bourges. Gambetta removed the defeated commander, and set in his place General Aurelle de Paladines. Von der Tann was directed to cross the Loire and destroy the arsenals at Bourges; he reported, however, that this task was beyond his power, in consequence of which Moltke ordered General Werder with the army of Strasburg to move westwards against Bourges, after dispersing the weak forces that were gathering about Besançon. Werder set out on his dangerous march, but he had not proceeded far when an army of very different power was thrown into the scale against the French levies on the Loire.

In the battle of Gravelotte, fought on the 18th of August, the French troops had been so haodled by Baraioe as to render it doubtful whether he really intended to break through the enemy's line and escape from Metz. At what period political designs inconsistent with his military duty first took possession of Baraine's

thoughts is uncertain. He had played a political part in Mexico; it is probable that as soon as he found himself at the head of the one effective army of France, Bazaine at and saw Napoleon hopelessly discredited, he Metz began to aim at personal power. Before the downfall of the Empire he had evidently adopted a scheme of inaction with the object of preserving his army entire: even the sortie by which it had been arranged that he should assist McMahon on the day before Sedan was feebly and irresolutely conducted. After the proclamation of the Republic Bazaine's inaction became still more marked. The intrigues of an adventurer named Regnier, who endeavoured to open a negotiation between the Prussians and the exiled Empress Eugénie, encouraged him in his determination to keep his soldiers from fulfilling their duty to France. Week after week passed by; a fifth of the besieging army was struck down with sickness; yet Bazaine made no effort to break through, or even to diminish the number of men who were consuming the supplies of Metz by giving to separate detachments the opportunity of escape. On the 12th of October, after the pretence of a sortie on the north, he entered into communication with the German headquarters at Versailles. Bismarck offered to grant a free departure to the army of Metz on condition that the fortress should be placed in his hands, that the army should undertake to act on behalf of the Empress, and that the Empress should pledge herself to accept the Prussian conditions of peace, whatever these might be. General Boyer was sent to England to acquaint the Empress with these propositions. They were declined by her, and after a fortnight had been spent in manœuvres for a Bonapartist restoration Bazaine found himself at the end of his resources. Capitulation On the 27th the capitulation of Metz was signed. The fortress itself, with incalculable cannon and material of war, and an army of a hundred and seventy thousand men, including twenty-six thousand sick and wounded in the hospitals, passed into the hands of the Germans.1

Bazaine was at a later time tried by a court-martial, found guilty of the neglect of duty, and sentenced to

¹ Deutsch-Französische Krieg, vol. iii., p. 104. Bazaine, p. 166. Procès de Bazaine, vol. ii., p. 219. Regnier, p. 20. Hahn, ii. 171.

[1870

498

death. That sentence was not executed, but if there is an infamy that is worse than death, such infamy will to all time cling to his name. In the circum-

stances in which France was placed no effort, no sacrifice of life could have been too great for the commander of the army at Metz. To retain the besiegers in A full strength before the fortress would not have required the half of Bazaine's actual force. If half his army had fallen on the field of battle in successive attenuts to cut their way through the enemy, brave men would no doubt have perished; but even had their efforts failed their deaths would have purchased for Metz the power to hold out for weeks or for months longer. The civil population of Metz was but sixty thousand, its army was three times as numerous; unlike Paris, it saw its stores consumed not by helpless millions of women and children, but by soldiers whose duty it was to aid the defence of their country at whatever cost Their duty, if they could not cut their way through, was to die fighting, and had they shown hesitation, which was not the case, Bazaine should have died at their head. That Bazaine would have fulfilled his duty even if Napoleon III had remained on the throne is more than doubtful, for his maction had begun before. the catastrophe of Sedan 111s pretext after that time way that the government of France had fallen into the handof men of disorder, and that it was more important for his army to save France from the Government than from the invader. He was the only man in France who thought so. The Government of September 4th, whatever 115 faults, was good enough for tens of thousands of bruce men, Legitimists, Orleanists, Bonapartists, who flocked without distinction of party to its banners . it might have been good enough for Marshal Bazaine. But France had to pay the penalty for the political, the moral indifference which could acquiesce in the Coup d'Erat of 1851, in the servility of the Empire, in miny a vile and boasted deed in Mexico, in China, in Algiers. Such indifference found its Nemesis in a Bazaine.

The surrender of Metz and the release of the great army of Prince Frederick Charles by which it was besieged fatally changed the conditions of the Prench war of national defence. Two hundred thousand of the victorious troops of Germany under some of their ablest

generals were set free to attack the still untrained levies on the Loire and in the north of France, which, with more time for organisation, might well have forced the Germans to raise the siege of Paris. The army once commanded by Steinmetz was now reconstituted, and despatched under General Manteussel towards Amiens; Prince Frederick Charles moved with the remainder of his troops towards the Loire. Aware that his approach could not long be delayed, Gambetta insisted that Aurelle de Paladines should begin the march on Paris. The general attacked Tann at Coulmiers on the 9th of Tann driven November, defeated him, and re-occupied Orleans, the first real success that the French Orleans, Nov. 9 had gained in the war. There was great alarm at the German headquarters at Versailles; the possibility of a failure of the siege was discussed; and forty thousand troops were sent southwards in haste to the support of the Bavarian general. Aurelle, however, did not move upon the capital: his troops were still unfit for the enterprise; and he remained stationary on the north of Orleans, in order to improve his organisation, to await einforcements, and to meet the attack of Frederick Charles in a strong position. In the third week of November the leading divisions of the army of Metz approached, and took post between Orleans and Paris. Gambetta now insisted that the effort should be made to relieve the capital. Aurelle resisted, but was forced to obey. The garrison of Paris had already made several unsuccessful attacks upon the lines of their besiegers, the most vigorous being that of Le Bourget on the 30th of October, in which bayonets were crossed. It Orleans, was arranged that in the last days of Novem-Nov. 28ber General Trochu should endeavour to Dec. 2 break out on the southern side, and that simultaneously the army of the Loire should fall upon the enemy in front of it and endeavour to force its way to the capital. On the 28th the attack upon the Germans on the north of Orleans began. For several days the struggle Sortie of was renewed by one division after another of Champigny, Nov. 29the armies of Aurelle and Prince Frederick Victory remained at last with the Dec. 4 Germans; the centre of the French position was carried; the right and left wings of the army were severed from

one another and forced to retreat, the one up the Lore, the other towards the west. Orleans on the 5th of December passed back into the hands of the Germans The sortie from Paris, which began with a successful attack by General Ducrot upon Champigny beyond the

Battle of Amiens, Nov 27 Which they had lost, and in the retreut of Ducrot into Paris. In the same week lanteuffel, moving against the relieving army of the north.

teuffel, moving against the relieving army of the north, encountered it near Amiens, defeated it after a hard struggle, and gained possession of Amiens itself.

After the fall of Amiens, Manteuffel moved upon

After the fall of Amiens, Manteuffel moved upon Rouen. This city fell into his hands without resistance, the conquerors pressed on westwards, and at Dieppe troops which had come from the conlines of

Rouen occur pled, Dec. 6

Russia gazed for the first time upon the same which the Germans had first encountered, were not to be crushed at a single blow. Under the energetic command of Faidherbe the army of the North advanced again up to Amiens. Goeben, who was left to defend the line of the Somme, went out to meet him, defeated him on the 2rts of December, and drove him back to Arras. But again, after a week's interval, Faidherbe pushed forward. On the 3rts of January he fell upon Goeben's weak duisson at Bapaume, and handled it so severely that the Germans

would on the following div have abandoned their position, if the French had not them selves been the first to retire. Fadheris, however, had only fallen back to receive reinforciments. After some days' rest he once more sought to gain the road to Paris, advancing this time by the estivated him through St. Quentin In Iront of this town Goeben strated him. The hist battle of the arm to the North was fought on the 19th of

to disguise his defeat, but the German commander had won all that he desired. Faidherbe's army was compelled to retreat northwards in disorder, its part in the war was at an end

During the last three weeks of December there was a pause in the operations of the Germans on the Lore life

was expected that Bourbaki and the east wing of the French army would soon re-appear at Orleans and endeavour to combine with Chanzy's troops.
Gambetta, however, had formed another
plan. He considered that Chanzy, with the The Armies of the Loire and of the assistance of divisions formed in Brittany, would be strong enough to encounter Prince Frederick Charles, and he determined to throw the army of Bourbaki, strengthened by reinforcements from the south, upon Germany itself. The design was a daring one, and had the two French armies been capable of performing the work which Gambetta required of them, an inroad into Baden, or even the re-conquest of Alsace, would most seriously have affected the position of the Germans before Paris. But Gambetta miscalculated the power of young, untrained troops, imperfectly armed, badly fed, against a veteran enemy. In a series of hard-fought struggles the army of the Loire under General Chanzy was driven back at the beginning of January from Vendôme to Le Mans. On the 12th, Chanzy took post before this city and fought his last battle. While he was making a vigorous resistance in the centre of the line, the Breton regiments stationed on his right gave way; the Germans pressed round him, and gained possesion of the town. Le Mans, Chanzy retreated towards Laval, leaving Jan. 12 thousands of prisoners in the hands of the enemy, and saving only the débris of an army. Bourbaki in the meantime, with a numerous but miserably equipped force, had almost reached Belfort. The report of his eastward movement was not at first believed at the German headquarters before Paris, and the troops of General Werder, which had been engaged about Dijon with a body of auxiliaries commanded by Garibaldi, were left to bear the brunt of the attack without support. When the real state of affairs became known Manteuffel was sent eastwards in hot haste towards the threatened point. Werder had evacuated Dijon and fallen back upon Vesoul; part of his army was still occupied in the siege of Belfort. As Bourbaki approached he fell back with the greater part of his troops in order to cover the besieging force, leaving one of his lieutenants to make a flank attack upon Bourbaki at Villersexel. This attack, one of the fiercest in the war, delayed the French for

two days, and gave Werder time to occupy the strong positions that he had chosen about Montbéliard. Here, on Montbéliard, Jan. 15-17 lasted for three days. The French, starving and perishing with cold, though far superior

in number to their enemy, were led with little effect against the German entrenchments. On the 18th Bourbakı began his retreat. Werder was unable to follow him: Manteuffel with a weak force was still at some distance, and for a moment it seemed possible that Bourbaki, by a rapid movement westwards, might crush this isolated foe Gambetta ordered Bourbaki to make the attempt, the commander refused to court further disaster with troops who were not fit to face an enemy, and re-treated towards Pontarlier in the hope of making his will to Lyons. But Manteuffel now descended in front of him, divisions of Werder's army pressed down from

the north; the retreat was cut off; and the unfortunate French general, whom a telegrim Lastern Army crosses from Gambetta removed from his command from Gambetta removed from his comment.

Frontier,
Feb. 1 of February, the wreck of his army, still numbering eighty-five thousand men, but reduced to the

extremity of weakness and misery, sought refuge beyond the Swiss frontier

The war was now over. Two days after Bourbaki's repulse at Montbéliard the last unsuccessful sortie was made from Paris. There now remained provisions only for another fortnight, above forty thousand of the me habitants and succumbed to the privations of the siege: all hope of assistance from the relieving armies before actual famine should begin desappeared. On the 23rd of January Favre sought the German Chancellor at Versailles

in order to discuss the conditions of a general armistice and of the capitulation of Paris-Capitulation The negotiations lasted for several days; on of Paris and Armistice, the 28th an armistice was signed with the

declared object that elections might at once he freely held for a National Assembly, which should decide whether the war should be continued, or on whit conditions peace should be made. The conditions of the armistice were that the forts of Paris and all their material of war should be handed over to the German arms; that the artillery of the enceinte should be dismounted; and that the regular troops in Paris should, as prisoners of war, surrender their arms. The National Guard were permitted to retain their weapons and their artillery, Immediately upon the fulfilment of the first two conditions all facilities were to be given for the entry of supplies of food into Paris.³

The articles of the armistice were duly executed, and on the 30th of January the Prussian flag waved over the forts of the French capital. Orders were vent into the provinces by the Government that election should at once be held. It had at one time been feared by Count Bismarck that Gambetta would acknowledge no armivitive that might be made by his colleagues at Paris. But this apprehension was not realised, for, while protesting against a measure adopted without liational consultation with himself and his companions, at Bordeaux, at Bordeaux, Teb. 12

Government of France, and himself proceeded to Versailles in order to negotiate conditions of peace. For several days the old man struggled with Count Bismarck on point after point in the Prussian demands. Bismarck require the cession of Alsace and Eastern Lorraine, the paymen of six milliards of francs, and the occupation of part o Paris by the German army until the conditions of peace should be ratified by the Assembly. There strove have to save Metz, but on this point the German staff wa mexorable; he succeeded at last in reducing the indemnity to five milliards, and was given the option between retaining Belfort and sparing Paris the entry of the German troops. On the last point his patriotism decided without a moment's hesitation. He bade the Germans enter Paris and saved Belfort for France.

Preliminaries of peace were signed. Thirth thousand German soldiers marched into the Champs Elysées on the 1st of March; but or that same day the treaty was ratified by the Assembly at Bordeaux, and after forty-eight hours Parwas freed from the sight of its conquerors. The Article of Peace provided for the gradual evacuation of Franciby the German army as the instalments of the indemnity which were allowed to extend over a period of three years, should be paid. There remained for settlement only certain matters of detail, chiefly connected with finance; these, however, proved the object of long and bitter continuers), and it was not until the roth of May that the definitive

Trenty of Peace was signed at Frankfort.

France had made war in order to indo the work of partial union effected by Prussia in 1866; it achieved the opposite result, and Germany emerged from the war with the Empire established Immediately after the victory of Worth the Criwn Prince had seen that the time had come for abolishing the line of division which severed Southern Germany from the Federatinn of the North. His own conception of the best form of national union was a German Fupire with its chief at Berlin. That Count Bismarck was without plans for uniting North and South Germany it is impossible in believe; but the Minister and the Crown Prince bad always been at enmity, and when, after the buile of Sedan, they spoke together of the future, it seemed to

the Prince as if Bismarck had scarcely thought of the federation of the Empire or of the re-establishment of the Imperial dignity, and as if he was inclined to it only under certain reserves. It was, however, part of Bismarck's system to exclude the Crown Prince as far as possible from political affairs, under the strange pretext that his relationship to Queen Victoria would be abused by the French proclivities of the English Court; and it is possible that had the Chancellor after the battle of Sedan chosen to admit the Prince to his confidence instead of resenting his interference, the difference between their views as to the future of Germany would have been seen to be one rather of forms and means than of intention. But whatever the share of these two dissimilar spirits in the initiation of the last steps towards German union, the work, as ultimately achieved, was both in form and in substance that which the Crown Prince had conceived. In the course of September negotiations were opened with each of the Southern States for its entry into the Northern Confederation. Bavaria alone raised serious difficulties, and demanded terms to which the Prussian Government could not consent. Bismarck refrained from exercising pressure at Münich, but invited the several Governments to send representatives to Versailles for the purpose of arriving at a settlement. For a moment the Court of Münich drew the sovereign of Würtemberg to its side, and orders were sent to the envoys of Würtemberg at Versailles to act with the Bavarians in refusing to sign the treaty projected by Bismarck. The Würtemberg Ministers hereupon tendered their resignation; Baden and Hesse-Darmstadt signed the treaty, and the two dissentient kings saw themselves on the point of being excluded from United Germany. They withdrew their opposition, and at the end of November the treaties uniting all the Southern States with the existing Confederation were executed, Bavaria retaining larger separate rights than were accorded to any other member of the Union.

In the acts which thus gave to Germany political cohesion there was nothing that altered the title of its chief. Bismarck, however, had in the meantime informed the recalcitrant sovereigns that if they did not themselves offer the Imperial dignity to King William, the North German Parliament would do so. At the end of November a letter

was accordingly sent by the King of Bavaria to all his fellow-sovereigns, proposing that the King of Prussia, as President of the newly-formed Federation, should assume the title of German Emperor. Shortly afterward the same request was made by the same sovereign to King William himself, in a letter dictated by Bismarck. deputation from the North German Reichstag, headed by its President, Dr. Simson, who, as President of the Frankfort National Assembly, had in 1849 offered the Impend Crown to King Frederick William, expressed the concurrence of the nation in the act of the Princes expected that before the end of the year the new political arrangements would have been sanctioned by the Parlitments of all the States concerned, and the 1st of January had been fixed for the assumption of the Imperial title So vigorous, however, was the opposition made in the Bavarian Chamber, that the ceremony was postponed ull Even then the final approving vote had not the 18th. been taken at Municli, but a second adjourn-

tion of the Emptre,

ment would have been fatal to the dignity of the occasion; and on the 18th of January, in the midst of the Princes of Germany and the representatives of its army assembled in the Hall of Mirrors at Versailles, King William assumed the title of German Emperor The first Parliament of the Impire

was opened at Berlin two months later.

The misfortunes of France did not end with the fall of its capital and the loss of its border-provinces; the terrible drama of 1870 closed with civil war. It is part of the normal order of French Instory that when an established Government is overthrown, and another is set in

its place, this second Government is in its turn attacked by insurrection in Paris, and nn effort is made to establish the rule of the democracy of the capital itself, or of those who for the moment pass for its leaders. It was so in 1703, in 1831; in 1848, and it was so again in 187n. Favre, I rochu, and the other members of the Government of Defence had assumed power on the downfall of Napoleon III. because they considered themselves the individuals best able to serve the State. There were hundreds of other persons in Paris who find exactly the same opinion of themselves, and when, with the progress of the siege, the Government

of Defence lost its popularity and credit, it was natural that ambitious and impatient men of a lower political rank should consider it time to try whether Paris could not make a better defence under their own auspices. Attempts were made before the end of October to overthrow the Government. They were repeated at intervals, but without success. The agitation, however, continued within the ranks of the National Guard, which, unlike the National Guard in the time of Louis Philippe, now included the mass of the working class, and was the most dangerous enemy, instead of the support, of Government. The capitulation brought things to a crisis. Favre had declared that it would be impossible to disarm the National Guard without a battle in the streets; at his instance Bismarck allowed the National Guard to retain their weapons, and the fears of the Government itself thus prepared the way for successful insurrection. When the Germans were about to occupy western Paris, the National Guard drew off its artillery to Montmartre and there erected entrenchments. During the next fortnight, while the Germans were withdrawing from the western forts in accordance with the conditions of peace, the Government and the National Guard stood facing one another in inaction; on the 18th of March General Lecomte was ordered to seize the artillery parked at Montmartre. His troops, surrounded and solicited by the National Guard, abandoned their commander. Lecomte was seized, and, with General Clément Thomas, withdrawn to Versailles, March 18 Committee took possession of the Hôtel de Ville; the troops still remaining faithful to the Government were withdrawn to Versailles, where Thiers had assembled the Chamber. Not only Paris itself, but the western forts with the exception of Mont Valérien, fell into the hands of the insurgents. On the 26th of March elections were held for the Commune. The majority of peaceful citizens abstained from voting. 'A council was elected, which by the side of certain harmless and well-meaning men contained a troop of revolutionists by profession; and after the failure of all attempts at conciliation, hostilities began between Paris and Versailles.

There were in the ranks of those who foug

Commune some who fought in the sincere belief that their cause was that of municipal freedom; there were others

Second Siege April 2-May 21

s that of municipal freedom; there were others who believed, and with good reason, that the existence of the Republic was threatened by a reactionary Assembly at Versalles; but the movement was on the what the state of the reaction of the

the movement was on the whole the work of fanatics who sought to subvert every authority but their own; and the unfortunate mob who followed them, in so far as they fought for anything beyond the daily pay which had been their only means of sustenance since the siege began, fought for they knew not what. conflict was prolonged, it took on both sides a character of atrocious violence and cruelty. The murder of Generals Lecomte and Thomas at the outset was avenged by the execution of some of the first prisoners taken by the troops of Versailles. Then hostages were seized by the Com-The slaughter in cold blood of three hundred National Guards surprised at Clamart by the besiegers gave to the Parisians the example of massacre. after a siege of six weeks, in which Paris suffered far more severely than it had suffered from the cannonide of the Germans, the troops of Versailles at length made their way into the capital, humanity, civilisation, scemed to have vanished in the orgies of devils. The defenders, as they fell back, murdered their hostages, and left behind them palaces, museums, the entire public inheritance of the nation in its capital, in flames. The ennquerors during several days shot down all whom they took fighting, and in many cases put to death whole bands of prisonity without distinction. The temper of the arms was such that the Government, even if it had desired, could probably not have mitigated the terrors of this vengeance But there was little sign anywhere of an inclination to nursy. Courts-martial and executions continued long after the heat of combit was over. A year passed, and the tribinals were still busy with their work. Above ten thousand persons were sentenced in transportation or

imprisonment before public justice was satisfied.

The material losses which France sustained at the hinds of the invader and in civil war were soon repaired; but from the buttle of Worth diwn to the ourthrow of the Commune France had been effected as a European Power, and its effacement was turned to good account by

two nations who were not its enemies. Russia, with the sanction of Europe, threw off the trammels which had been imposed upon it in the Black Sea by the Treaty of 1856. Italy gained possession of Rome. Soon after the declaration of war the troops of France, after an occupation of twenty-one years broken only by an interval of some months in 1867, were withdrawn from the Papal territory. Whatever may have been the understanding with Victor Emmanuel on which Napoleon recalled his troops from Civita Vecchia, the battle of Entry of, Italian, Sedan set Italy free; and on the 20th of Troops into September the National Army, after over- Rome, coming a brief show of resistance, entered Sept. 20, 1870 Rome. The unity of Italy was at last completed; Florence ceased to be the national capital. A body of laws passed by the Italian Parliament, and known as the Guarantees, assured to the Pope the honours and immunities of a sovereign, the possession of the Vatican and the Lateran palaces, and a princely income; in the appointment of Bishops and generally in the The Papacy government of the Church a fulness of authority was freely left to him such as he possessed in no other European land. But Pius would accept no compromise for the loss of his temporal power. He spurned the reconciliation with the Italian people, which had now for the first time since 1849 become possible. He declared Rome to be in the possession of brigands; and, with a fine affectation of disdain for Victor Emmanuel and the Italian Government, he invented, and sustained down to the end of his life, before a world too busy to pay much heed to his performance, the reproachful part of the Prisoner of the Vatican.

CHAPTER XXV

France after 1871—Alliance of the Three Emperors—Revolt e
Herzegovina—The Andrassy Note—Murder of the Consuls a
Salomka—The Berlin Memorandum—Rejected by EnglandAbdul Aziz deposed—Massacres in Bulgaria—Seri ia and
Montenegro declare War—Opinion in England—District—
Meeting of Emperots at Reichstadt—Servian Campaign—
Declaration of the Czar—Conference at Constitutionple—Its
I aliure—The London Protocol—Russia declares War—Advance
on the Balkans—Osman at Plevna—Scood Attack on Plevna—
The Shipka Pass—Roumania—Third Attack on Plevna—
Todleben—Fall of Plevna—Passage of the Balkans—Atmistic
—England—The Fleet passes the Dardanelles—Treaty of San
Stefano—England and Russia—Secret Agreement—Consention
with Turkey—Congress of Berlin—Treaty of Berlin—Bulgatia

I HE storm of 1870 was followed by some years of European calm Prance, recovering with wonderful rapidity from the wounds inflicted by the war, raid with

the wounds inflicted by the war, paid with ease the instalments of its debt to Germany, and saw its soil liberated from the foreigner

before the period fixed by the Treaty of Frankfort efforts of a reactionary Assembly were kept in check by M Thiers, the Republic, as the form of government which divided Frenchmen the least, was preferred by him to the monarchical restoration which might have won France allies at some of the European Courts I hiers buffled or controlled the royalist majority at Verstilles which sought to place the Comte de Chambord or the chief of the House of Orleans on the throne, and thus swed his country from the greatest of all perils, the renewal of civil war. In 1873 he fell before a combination of his opponents, and McMahon succeeded to the Prese dency, only to find that the rosalist cause was mad hopcless by the refusal of the Comte de Chambord to adopt the Tricolour flag, and that France, after several vers of trial, definitely preferred the Republic. Meanwhile, Prince Bismarck had known how to frustrate all plans for raising a coalition against victorious German)

510

among the Powers which had been injured by its successes, or whose interests were threatened by its greatness. He saw that a Bourbon or a Napoleon on the throne of France would find far more sympathy and confidence at Vienna and St. Petersburg than the shifting chief of a Republic, Sand ordered Count Arnim, the German Ambassador at Paris, who wished to promote a Napoleonic restoration, to desist from all attempts to weaken the Republican Government. At St. Petersburg, where after the misfortunes of 1815 France had found its best friends, the German states. man had as yet little to fear. Bismarck had supported Russia in undoing the Treaty of Paris; in announcing the conclusion of peace with France, the German Emperor had assured the Czar in the most solemn language that his services in preventing the war of 1870 from becoming general should never be forgotten; and, whatever might be the feeling of his subjects, Alexander II. continued to believe that Russia could find no steadier friend than the Government of Berlin.

With Austria Prince Bismarck had a more difficult part to play. He could hope for no real understanding so long as Beust remained at the head of affairs. But the events if 1870, utterly frustrating Beust's plans for a coalition against Prussia, and definitely clos-

ng for Austria all hope of recovering its position within Germany, had shaken the

Amance of the three Emperors

Minister's position. Bismarck was able to offer to the Emperor Francis Joseph the sincere and cordial friendship of the powerful German Empire, on the condition that Austria should frankly accept the work of 1866 and 1870. He had dissuaded his master after the victory of Königgrätz from annexing any Austrian territory; he had imposed no condition of peace that left behind it a lasting exasperation; and he now reaped the reward of his foresight. Francis Joseph accepted the friendship offered him rom Berlin, and dismissed Count Beust from office, calling to his place the Hungarian Minister Andréwy, who, by conviction as well as profession, welcomed the establishment of a German Empire, and the definite abandonness by Austria of its interference in German affairs. In the summer of 1872 the three Emperors, accompanied by their Ministers, met in Berlin. To formal affairs were made, but a relation was established of sufficient in timesy to

insure Prince Bismarch against any efforts that might be made by France to gain an ally. For five years this so-called League of the three Emperors continued in more or less effective existence, and condemned France to isolition. In the apprehension of the French people, Germany gorged with the five milliards but still lean and ravenous sought only for some new occasion for war. This was not the case. The German nation had entered inwillingly into the war of 1870, that its ruler, when once his grea aim had been achieved, sought peace not only in word bu in deed the history of subsequent years has proved. The alarms which at intervals were raised at Paris and else where had little real foundation, and when next the peace of Europe was broken, it was not by a renewal of the struggle on the Vosges, but by a conflict in the Inst. which, terrible as it was in the sufferings and the destruction of life which it involved, was yet no sensiless duel between two jealous nations, but one of the most fruital in results of all modern wars, resetting whole products from Ottoman dominion, and leaving belund it in place of a claos of outworn barbarism at least the elements for future of national independence among the Balkar

In the summer of 1875 Herzegovina rose against in Turkish masters, and in Bosnia conflicts broke out hetwon Christians and Mohammedans. The insur-

Herzegovina, Aug. 1875

Revolt of rection was yigorously, though privately, supported by Servin and Montenegro, and for some months baffled all the efforts made by

the Porte for its suppression. Many thousands of the Christians, flying from a devastated land and a mercilest enemy, sought refuge beyond the Austrian frontier, and became a burden upon the Austrian Government. The became a burden upon the Austrian Government. agitation among the Slavie neighbours and kinsmen of the insurgents threatened the peace of Austria itself, white Slay and Magyar were almost as ready to fall upon one another as Christian and Turk Andrass entered into communications with the Governments of St. Petershits and Berlin as to the adoption of a cummon line of policy by the three Empires towards the Porte; and a scheme ef reforms, intended to effect the predication of the insurgent provinces, was drawn up by the three Ministers in concert with one another. This project, which was known as the

Andrássy Note, and which received the approval of langland and France, demanded from the Porte the establishment of full and entire religious liberty, the abolition of the farming of taxes, the application of the revenue produced by direct taxation in Bosnia and Herzepaylan to the needs of those provinces themselves, the institution of a Commission composed equally of Christians, and Mohammedans to control the execution of these reforms, and of those promised by the Porte, and finally the improvement of the agrarian condition of the population by the sale to them of waste lands belonging to the State. The Note demanding these to the definition of the population of the State. The Note demanding these to the demanding these testing the sale to the content in Constantinople on the same at the same and t

province waited only for the first sign of an expected insurrection to fall upon their prey and deluge the land with blood.

As soon as it became evident that peace was not to be produced by Count Andrassy's Note, the Ministers of the

three Empires determined to meet one another The Berlin with the view of arranging further diplomited dum, May 13 steps to be taken in common Berlin, which the Czar was about to visit, was chosen as the meeting-place, the date of the meeting was fixed for the second week in May. It was in the interval between the despatch of Prince Bismarch's invitation and the arrival of the Czar, with Prince Gortschakoff and Count Andrass), that intelligence came of the murder of the Prussian and French Consuls at Salonika. This event gave a deeper seriousness to the deliberations now held The Ministers declared that if the representatives of two foreign Powers could be thus murdered in broad daylight in a peaceful town under the eyes of the powerless authorities, the Christians of the insurgent provinces might well decline to entrust themselves to an evasperated enemy, An effective guarantee for the execution of the promise made by the Porte and become absolutely necessary. 111 conclusions of the Ministers were embodied in a Memoraldum, which declared that an armistice of two months must be imposed on the combinants, that the mixed Com-mission mentioned in the Andrassy Note must be at once called into being, with a Christian native of Herzigovina at its head, and that the reforms promised by the Porte must be carried out under the superintendence of the representatives of the Furopean Powers. If before the end of the armistice the Porte should not have given its assent to these terms, the Imperial Courts declared that they must support these diplomatic efforts by measures of a more effective character 1

On the same day that this Memorandum was signed Prince Bismarck invited the British, the Princh, and Italian Ambassadors to meet the Russian and the Austrian Chancellors at his residence. They did so the Memorandium was read, and an urgent request was made that Great British, France, and Italy would combine with the Imperial Courts in support of the Berlin Memorandum.

as they had in support of the Andrássy Note. As Prince Gortschakoff and Andrássy were staying in Berlin only for two days longer, it was hoped that answers might be received by telegraph within forty-eight hours. Within that time answers arrived from the French and Italian Governments accepting the Berlin Memorandum; the reply from London did not arrive alone rejects till five days later; it announced the refusal the Berlin of the Government to join in the course Memoranproposed. Pending further negotiations on this subject, French, German, Austrian, Italian, and Russian ships of war were sent to Salonika to enforce satisfaction for the murder of the Consuls. The Cabinet of London, declining to associate itself with the concert of the Powers, and stating that Great Britain, while intending nothing in the nature of a menace, could not permit territorial changes to be made in the East without its own consent, despatched the fleet to Besika Bay.

Up to this time little attention had been paid in England to the revolt of the Christian subjects of the Porte or its effect on European politics. Now, however, a series of events began which excited the interest and even the passion of the English people in an extraordinary degree. The ferment in Constanti- Abdul Aziz

nople was deepening. On the 29th of May the Sultan Abdul Aziz was deposed by

Abdul Aziz deposed, May 29

Midhat Pasha and Hussein Avni, the former the chief of the party of reform, the latter the representative of the older Turkish military and patriotic spirit which Abdul Aziz had incensed by his subserviency to Russia. A few days later the deposed Sultan was murdered. Hussein Avni and another rival of Midhat were assassinated by a desperado as they sat at the council; Murad V., who had been raised to the throne, proved imbecile; and Midhat, the destined regenerator of the Ottoman Empire as many outside Turkey believed, grasped all but the highest power in the State. Towards the end of June reports reached western Europe of the repression of an insurrection in Bulgaria with measures of Massacres in Bulgaria

long active in support of their kinsmen who were in arms, declared war. The reports from Bulgaria, at first vague, took more definite form; and at length the correspondents

[1876

516

of German as well as English newspapers, making their way to the district south of the Balkans, found in villages Servia and Montenegro declare war, July 2 the statements of Sir H. Elliot, Amba

sador at Constantinople, at first denied the serion ness of the massacres: they directed, however, the investigations should be made on the spot by a memb of the Embassy; and Mr. Baring, Secretary of Legation was sent to Bulgaria with this duty. Baring's repo confirmed the accounts which his chief had refused believe, and placed the number of the victims, rightly of wrongly, at not less than twelve thousand,

The Bulgarian massacres acted on Europe in 1876 the massacre of Chios had acted on Europe in 1822. England especially they excited the deepest horror, an

Opinion i completely changed the tone of public opinio towards the Turk. Hitherto the public min had scarcely been conscious of the question that were nt issue in the East. Herzegovina, Bosnia Bulgaria, were not familiar names like Greece; the Englis people hardly knew where these countries were, or the they were not inhabited by Turks. The Crimean Wa had left behind it the tradition of friendship with the Sultan; it needed some lightning-flash, some shock pene trating all ranks of society, to dispel once and for all the conventional idea of Turkey as a community resembling to European State, and to bring home to the English people the true condition of the Christian races of the Balkan under their Ottoman masters. But this the Bulgarian massacres effectively did; and from this time the great mass of the English people, who had sympathised so strongly with the Italians and the Hungarians in their struggle for national independence, were not disposed to allow the influence of Great Britain to be used for the perpetuation of Turkish ascendency over the Slavic races. There is little doubt that if in the autumn of 1876 the mation had had the opportunity of expressing its views by a Parliamentary election, it would have insisted on the adoption of active measures in concert with the Powers which were prepared in force reform upon the Porte.

But the Parliament of 1876 was but two years old; the majority which supported the Government was still unbroken; and at the head of the Cabinet there was a man gifted with extraordinary tenacity of purpose, with great powers of command over others, and with a clear, cold, untroubled apprehension of the line of conduct which he intended to pursue. It was one of the strangest features of this epoch that a Minister who in a long career had never yet exercised the slightest influence upon foreign affairs, and who was not himself English by birth, should have impressed in such an extreme degree the stamp of his own individuality upon the conduct of our foreign policy; that he should have forced England to the very front in the crisis through which Europe was passing; and that, for good or for evil, he should have reversed the tendency which since the Italian war of 1859 had seemed ever to be drawing England further and further away from Continental affairs.

Disraeli's conception of Parliamentary politics was an ironical one. It had pleased the British nation that the leadership of one of its great political parties should be won by a man of genius only on the condition

of accommodating himself to certain singular fancies of his contemporaries; and for twenty

years, from the time of his attacks upon Sir Robert Peel for the abolition of the corn-laws down to the time when he educated his party into the democratic Reform Bill of 1867, Disraeli with an excellent grace suited himself to the somewhat strange parts which he was required to play. But after 1874, when he was placed in office at the head of a powerful majority in both Houses of Parliament and of a submissive Cabinet, the antics ended; the epoch of statesmanship, and of statesmanship based on the leader's own individual thought, not on the commonplace of public creeds, began. At a time when Cavour was rice-growing and Bismarck unknown outside his own county, Disraeli had given to the world in Tancred his visions of Eastern Empire. Mysterious chieftains planned the regeneration of Asia by a new crusade of Arab and Syrian votaries of the one living faith, and lightly touched on the transfer of Queen Victoria's Court from London to Delhi. Nothing indeed is perfect; and Disraeli's eye was favoured such extraordinary perceptions of the remote that it

a little uncertain in its view of matters not quite without importance nearer home. He thought the attempt to establish Italian independence a misdemeanour; he listened to Bismarck's ideas on the future of Germany, and described them as the vapourings of a German baron. For a quarter of a century Disraeli had dazzled and amused the House of Commons without, as it seemed, drawing inspiration from any one great cause or discerning any one of the political goals towards which the nations of Europe were tending. At length, however, the time came for the realisation of his own imperial policy; and before the Eastern question had risen conspicuously above the horizon in Europe, Disraeli, as Prime Minister of Engalind, had begun to aet in Asia and Africa. He sent the Prince of Wales to hold Durbars and to lunt tigers amongst the Hindoos; he proclaimed the Queen Empress of India, he purchased the Khedive's shares in the Suz Canal. Thus far it had been uncertain whether there was much in the Minister's policy beyond what was theatrical and picturesque, but when a great part of the nation began to ask for intervention on behalf of the Eastern Christians against the Turks, they found out that Disraeli's purpose was solid enough. Animated by a deep distrusand fear of Russia, he returned to what had been the policy of Tory Governments in the day's before Canning, the identification of British interests with the maintenance of Ottoman power If a generation of sentimentalists were willing to sacrifice the grandeur of an Empire to their sympathies with an oppressed people, it was not District who would be their instrument. When the massacre of Batak was mentioned in the House of Commons, he duelt on the honourable qualities of the Circassians; when instances of torture were alleged, he remarked that an oriental people generally terminated us connection with culprits in a more expeditious manner.1 There were indeed Englishmen enough who loved their country as well as Disraeli, and who had proved their love by significawhich District had not had occusion to make, who thought it humiliating that the greatness of England should be purchised by the servitude and oppression of other rices. and that the security of their Empire should be deemed to rest on so miscrable a thing as Turkish rule. These were

1 l'art. Deb fulr 10. 1526, verbaifri



negotiation. A Treaty had in fact been signed providing that if Russia should liberate Bulgaria by arms, Austra should enter into possession of Bosnia and Herzegovina The neutrality of Austria had virtually been purchased at this price, and Russia had thus secured freedom of action in the event of the necessary reforms not being forced upon Turkey by the concert of Europe. Sooner perhaps than Prince Gortschakoff had expected, the religious enthusiasm of the Russian people and their sympathy for their kinsmen and fellow-believers beyond the Danube forced the Czar into vigorous action In spite of the assistance of several thousands of Russian volunteers and of the leadership of the Russian General Tehernaieff, the

The Servian Campaign, July-Oct.

Servians were defeated in their struggle with the Turks. The mediation of England was in vain tendered to the Porte on the only

terms on which even at London peace was seen to be possible, the maintenance of the existing rights of Servin and the establishment of provincial autonomy in Bosnia, Herzegovina, and Bulgaria. After a brief suspension of hostilities in September war was renewed. The Servins were driven from their positions. Alexinatz was captured, the road to Belgrade lay open, and the doom of Bulgaria. seemed likely to deseend upon the conquered Principality. The Turks offered indeed a five months' armistice, which would have saved them the risks of a winter campaign and enabled them to erush their enemy with accumulated

forces in the following spring. This, by the Russia enadvice of Russia, the Servians refused to forces an On the 30th of October a Russian armistice.

ultimatum was handed in at Constantinople by the Ambassador Ignatieff, requiring within forty-eight hours the grant to Servin of an armistice for two months and the cessation of hostilities. The Porte submitted; and wherever Slav and Ottoman stood facing one another in arms, in Herzegnvina and Busnia as well as Servia and

Montenegro, there was a prinse in the stringgle.

The imminence of a war between Russia and Turkey in the last days of October and the close connection between Russia and the Servian cause justified the anxiety of the Ilritish Government. This anxiety the Crit sought to dispel by a frank declaration of his own views. On the and of November he entered into conversation with the

British Ambassador, Lord A. Loftus, and assured him on his word of honour that he had no intention of acquiring Constantinople; that if it should be necessary for him to occupy part of Bulgaria his army would remain there only until peace was Of the Czar, Nov. 2 restored and the security of the Christian

population established; and, generally, that he desired nothing more earnestly than a complete accord between England and Russia in the maintenance of European peace and the improvement of the condition of the Christian population in Turkey. He stated, however, with perfect clearness that if the Porte should continue to refuse the reforms demanded by Europe, and the Powers should put up with its continued refusal, Russia would act alone. Disclaiming in words of great earnestness all desire for territorial aggrandisment, he protested against the suspicion with which his policy was regarded in England, and desired that his words might be made public in England as a message of peace. Lord Derby, then Foreign Secretary, immediately expressed the satisfaction with which the Government had England

received these assurances; and on the following day an invitation was sent from London

proposes a Conference

to all the European Powers proposing a Conference at Constantinople, on the basis of a common recognition of the integrity of the Ottoman Empire, accompanied by a disavowal on the part of each of the Powers of all aims at aggrandisement or separate advantage. In proposing this Conference the Government acted in conformity with the expressed desire of the Czar. But there were two voices within the Cabinet. Lord Beaconsfield, had it been in his power, would have informed Russia categorically that England would support the Sultan if attacked. This the country and the Cabinet forbade: but the Premier had his own opportunities of utterance, and at the Guildhall Banquet on the 9th of November, six days after the Foreign Secretary had acknowledged the Czar's message of friendship, and before this message had been made known to the English people, Lord Beaconsfield uttered words which, if they were not idle bluster, could have been intended only as a menace to the Czar or as an appeal to the war-party at home:—"Though the policy of England

¹ Parl. Pap. 1877, vol. xc., p. 642; 1878, vol. lxxxi., p. 679.

is peace, there is no country so well prepared for war as our own. If England enters into conflict in a righteous cause, her resources are mexhaustible. She is not a country that when she enters into a campaign has to ask herself whether she can support a second or a third campaign. She enters into a campaign which she will not terminate

till right is done." The proposal made by the Earl of Derby for a Conference at Constantinople was accepted by all the Powers and accepted on the bases specified. Lord Salisbury, then Secretary of State for India, was appointed to represent Great Britain in conjunction with Sir II. Elliot, its Ambassador. The Minister made his journey to Constantinople by way of the European capitals, and learnt at Berlin that the good understanding between the German Emperor and the Czar extended to Eastern affairs Whether the British Government had as yet gained any trustworthy information on the Treaty of Reichstadt is doubtful, but so for as the public eye could judge, there was now, in spite of the tone assumed by Lord Beacons field, a fairer prospect of the solution of the l'astern question by the establishment of some form of autinomy in the Christian provinces than there had been it any previous time. The Porte itself recognised the serious intention of the Powers, and, in order to forestall the work of the Conference, prepared a scheme of con-stitutional reform that far surpassed the

Project of

Constitution wildest claims of Herzegovinian or of Serb Nothing less than a complete system of Parhamentary Government, with the very latest ingentities from I rance and Belgium, was to be granted to the entire Ottoman Empire. That Midh it Pasha, who was the author of this scheme, may have had some serious end in view is not impossible; but with the mass of Palace-functionaries at Constantinople it was simply a device for embarrissing the West with its own inventions; and the action of men in power, both great and small, continued after the constitution had come into nominal existence to be exactly what it had been before. The very terms of the constitution must have been unintelligible to all but those who had been employed at foreign courts. The Government might as well have announced its intention of clothing the Balkans with the flora of the deep sea,

In the second week of December the representatives of the six Great Powers assembled at Constantinople. order that the demands of Europe should be presented to the Porte with unanimity, they determined to hold a series of preliminary meetings with one another before the formal opening of the Conference and before communicating with the Turks. At these meetings, after Ignatieff had withdrawn his proposal for a Russian Demands occupation of Bulgaria, complete accord was settled at the attained. It was resolved to demand the cession of certain small districts by the Porte Conference, Dec. 11-21 to Servia and Montenegro; the grant of administrative autonomy to Bosnia, Herzegovina, and Bulgaria; the appointment in each of these provinces of Christian governors, whose terms of office should be for five years, and whose nomination should be subject to the approval of the Powers; the confinement of Turkish troops to the fortresses; the removal of the bands of Circassians to Asia; and finally the execution of these reforms under the superintendence of an International Commission, which should have at its disposal a corps of six thousand gendarmes to be enlisted in Switzerland By these arrangements, while the Sultan or Belgium; retained his sovereignty and the integrity of the Ottoman Empire remained unimpaired, it was conceived that the Christian population would be effectively secured against Turkish violence and caprice.

All differences between the representatives of the European Powers having been removed, the formal Conference was opened on the 23rd of December under the presidency of the Turkish Foreign Minister, Savfet Pasha. The proceedings had not gone far when they were interrupted by the roar of cannon. Savfet explained that the new Ottoman constitution was being promulgated, and that the

salvo which the members of the Conference heard announced the birth of an era of universal happiness and prosperity in the Sultan's dominions. It soon appeared that in the presence of this great panacea there was no place for the reforming efforts of the

The Turks refuse the demands of the Conference, Jan. 20, 1877

Christian Powers. Savfet declared from the first that, whatever concessions might be made on other points, the Sultan's Government would never consent to the estab-

lishment of a Foreign Commission to superintend the execution of its reforms, nor to the joint action of the Powers in the appointment of the governors of its provinces. It was in vain argued that without such foreign control Europe possessed no guarantee that the promises and the good intentions of the Porte, however gratifying and the good intentions of the Fore, however granting these might be, would be carried into effect. Suffit replied that by the I reaty of 1856 the Powers had decluied the Ottoman Empire to stand on exactly the same footing as any other great State in Europe, and had expressly debarred themselves from interfering, under whatever the position of the processing the standard transfer of the position of the processing the standard transfer of the position of the processing the processin cumstances, with its internal administration. The position of the Turkish representative at the Conference was in fact the only logical one In the I reaty of Paris the Powers had elaborately pledged themselves to an absurdity, and this Treaty the Turk was never weary of throwing in their faces. But the situation was not one for lawyers and for the interpretation of documents. The Conference, after hearing the arguments and the counter-projects of the Turkish Ministers, after reconsidering its own deminds and modifying these in many important points in deference to Ottoman wishes, adhered to the demand for a Poreign Commission and for a Diriopeni control out the appointment of governors. Midhat, who was now Grand Vizier, summoned the Great Council of the Empire, and presented to it the demands of the Conference demands the Great Council unanimously rejected Salisbury had already warned the Sultan what would be the results of continued obstime; and after receiving Midhit's final reply the ambassadors of all the Power together with the envoys who had been specially appointed

for the Conference, quitted Constantinople.

Russia, since the beginning of November, and beau actively preparing for war. The Crar had left the world in no doubt as to his own intentions in case of the filler of the Furonean Concert; it only remained for him to

of the Puropean Concert; it only remained for him to
The London
Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 31

The London Protocol.
Mar 32

The London Protocol.
Mar 32

The London Protocol.
Mar 33

The London Protocol.
Mar 34

The London Protocol.
Mar 34

The London Protocol.
Mar 35

The London Protocol.
Mar 36

The London Protocol.
Mar 37

The London Protocol.
Mar 38

The London Protocol.

enforce their conclusion. England suggested that the Sultan should be allowed a year to carry out his food intentions: Gortschakoff inquired whether England would

pledge itself to action if, at the end of the year, reform was not effected; but no such pledge was forthcoming. With the object either of discovering some arrangement in which the Powers would combine, or of delaying the outbreak of war until the Russian preparations were more advanced and the season more favourable, Ignatieff was sent round to all the European Courts. He visited England, and subsequently drew up, with the assistance of Count Schouvaloff, Russian Ambassador at London, a document which gained the approval of the British as well as the Continental Governments. This document, known as the London Protocol, was signed on the 31st of March. After a reference to the promises of reform made by the Porte, it stated that the Powers intended to watch carefully by their representatives over the manner in which these promises were carried into effect; that if their hopes should be once more disappointed they should regard the condition of affairs as incompatible with the interests of Europe; and that in such case they would decide in common upon the means best fitted to secure the well-being of the Christian population and the interests of general speace. Declarations relative to the disarmament of Russia, which it was now the principal object of the British Government to effect, were added. There was indeed so little of a substantial engagement in this Protocol that it would have been surprising had Russia disarmed without obtaining some further guarantee for the execution of reform. But weak as the Protocol was, it The Porte was rejected by the Porte. Once more the rejects the appeal was made to the Treaty of Paris, once more the Sultan protested against the encroachment of the Powers on his own inviolable rights. Lord Beaconsfield's Cabinet even now denied that the last word had been spoken, and professed to Pustia deentertain some hope in the effect of subse- clares wer, quent diplomatic steps; but the rest of Europe asked and expected no further forbestance on the part of Russia. The army of operations are dy lay on the Pruth; the Grand Duke Nicholas. Drotter of the Czar, was appointed to its command: and on the 2013 of April the Russian Government issued its declaration of war.

Between the Russian frontier and the Demobs lay 45%

[1577

Principality of Roumania. A convention signed before the outbreak of hostilities gave to the Russian army a free passage through this territory, and Roumania subsequently entered the war as Russia's ally. It was not however, until the fourth week of June that the invaders were able to cross the Danube. Seven army-corps were assembled in Roumann, of these one crossed the I ower

Passage of the Danube, June 27

Danube into the Dobrudscha, two were retained in Roumania as a reserve, and four crossed the river in the neighbourhood of Sistowa, in order to enter upon the Bulgarian

It was the desire of the Russians to throw campugn forward the central part of their army by the line of the river Jantra upon the Balkans; with their left to move against Rustchuk and the Turkish armies in the eastern fortresses of Bulgaria, with their right to capture Nicopolis, and guard the central column against any flank attack from the west. But both in Europe and in Asia the Russians had underrated the power of their adversary, and entered upon the war with insufficient forces. Advantages won by their generals on the Armenian frontiet while the I propean army was still marching through Roumann were lost in the course of the next few weeks Bay izid and other places that fell into the liands of the Russians at the first onset were recovered by the Turks under Mukhtar Pasha, and within a few days after the opining of the l'uropean campaign the Russian divisions in Asia were everywhere retreating upon their own from tur. The Bulgarian campaign was marked by the same rapid successes of the invader at the outset, to be followed. owing to the same insufficiency of force, by similar dis-I'ncountering no effective opposition on the

Danithe, the Russians pushed forward rapidly towards the Balkans by the line of Advance on the Bathans the Jantes. The Turkish arms by scattered Jats

in the Bulgarian fortresses, from Widdin in the extreme west to Shumh at the foot of the Pastern It was considered by the Russian commanders that two arms-corps would be required to operate against the Turks in Fastern Bulgaria, while one corps would be enough to cover the central line of invasion from the west There remained, excluding the two corps in reserve in Roumann and the rorps holding the Dobrudscha but

one corps for the march on the Balkans and Adrianople. The command of the vanguard of this body was given to General Gourko, who pressed on into the Gourko Balkans, seized the Shipka Pass, and desouth of the scended into Southern Bulgaria (July 15). Balkans, July 15 The Turks were driven from Kesanlik and Eski Sagra, and Gourko's cavalry, a few hundreds in number, advanced to within two days'

Adrianople. The headquarters of the whole Russian army were now at Tirnovo, the ancient Bulgarian capital, about half-way between the Danube and the Balkans. Two army-corps, commanded by the Czarewitch, moved eastwards against Rustchuk and the so-called Turkish army of the Danube, which was gathering behind the lines of the Kara Lom; another division, under General Krudener, turned westward and captured Nicopolis with its garrison. Lovatz and other points lying westward of the Jantra were occupied by weak detachments; but so badly were the reconnaissances of the Russians performed in this direction that they were unaware of the approach of a Turkish army from Widdin, thirty-five thousand strong, till Osman this was close on their flank. Before the Rusoccupies sians could prevent him, Osman Pasha, with Plevna, July 19 the vanguard of this army, had occupied the town and heights of Plevna, between Nicopolis and Lovatz. On the 20th of July, still unaware of their enemy's strength, the Russians attacked him First en-Plevna: they were defeated with considerable gagement loss, and after a few days one of Osman's at Plevna, divisions, pushing forward upon the invader's July 20 central line, drove them out of Lovatz. The Grand Duke now sent reinforcements to Krudener, and ordered him to take Plevna at all costs. Krudener's strength was raised to thirty-five thousand; but in the meantime new Turkish regiments had joined Osman, and his troops, now numbering about fifty thousand, had been working day and night entrenching themselves in the Second heights round Plevna which the Russians battle at had to attack. The assault was made on the Plevna.

30th of July; it was beaten back with terrible slaughter, the Russians leaving a fifth of their number on

July 30

the field. Had Osman taken up the offensive and the

[1877

Turkish commander on the Lom pressed vigorously upon the invader's line, it would probably have gone ill with the Russian army in Bulgaria. Gourko was at once compelled to abandon the country south of the Balkans. His troops, falling back upon the Shipka Pass, were there attacked from the south by far superior forces under Suleman

The Shipka Pasha. The Ottoman commander, produgal of the lives of his men and trusting to mere blindfold violence, hurled his army day after day against the Russian positions (Aug 20-23). There was a moment when all seemed lost, and the Russian soldiers sent to their Czar the last message of devotion from men who were about to die at their post. But in the extremity of peril there arrived a reinforcement, weak, but sufficient to turn the scale against the ill-commanded Turks. Suleman's army withdrew to the village of Shipka at the southern end of the pass. The

pass uself, with the entrance from northern Bulgarn, remained in the hands of the Russians.

528

After the second battle of Plevna it became clear that the Russians could not carry on the campaign with their existing forces. Two army-corps were called up which were guarding the coast of the Black Sent

Roumania several others were mobilised in the interior of Russia, and began their journes towards the Danibrso urgent, however, was the inimediate need, that the Czar was compelled to ask help from Roumania. This help was given Roumanian troops, excellent in quality filled up the gap caused by Krudener's defeats, and the whole army before Plevia was placed under the commind of the Roumanian Prince Charles. At the beginning of September the Russians were again ready for action Lovatz was wrested from the Turks, and the division which had captured it moved on to Plevia to take part in a great combined attack. This attack was made on the

Third battle of Pievna, Sept. 11-12 Correct the first Turkish position, but could make not the Ground man fell on the Russian side before the day was accessing the main defences of the Turkish position, but could make no impression on their second line of defence. Twile thousand man fell on the Russian side before the day was accessing the main defences of the Turkish were still united en

On the morrow the Turks took up the offensive. Skobeleff, exposed to the attack of a far superior foe, prayed in vain for reinforcements. His men, standing in the positions that they had won from the Turks, repelled one onslaught after another, but were ultimately overwhelmed and driven from the field. At the close of the second day's battle the Russians were everywhere beaten back within their own lines, except at the Grivitza redoubt, which was itself but an outwork of the Turkish defences, and faced by more formidable works within. The assailants had sustained a loss approaching that of the Germans at Gravelotte with an army one-third of the Germans' strength. Osman was stronger than at the beginning of the campaign; with what sacrifices Russia would have to purchase its ultimate victory no man could calculate.

The three defeats at Plevna cast a sinister light upon the Russian military administration and the quality of its chiefs. The soldiers had fought heroically; divisional generals like Skobeleff had done all that man could do in such positions; the faults were those of the headquarters and the officers by Pleyna whom the Imperial Family were surrounded. After the third catastrophe, public opinion called for the removal of the authors of these disasters and the employment of abler men. Todleben, the defender of Sebastopol, who for some unknown reason had been left without a command, was now summoned to Bulgaria, and virtually placed at the head of the army before Plevna. He saw that the stronghold of Osman could only be reduced by a regular siege, and prepared to draw his lines right round it. For a time Osman kept open his communications with the south-west, and heavy trains of ammunition and supplies made their way into Plevna from this direction; but the investment was at length completed, and the army of Plevna cut off from the world. In the meantime new regiments were steadily pouring into Bulgaria from the interior of Russia. East of the Jantra, after many alternations of fortune, the Turks were finally driven back behind the river Lom. The last efforts of Suleiman failed to wrest the Shipka Pass from its defenders. From the narrow line which the invaders had with such difficulty held during three anxious months their forces, accumulating day by day, spread out south and west up to the

slopes of the Balkans, ready to burst over the mountuinbarrier and sweep the enemy back to the walls of Constantinopfe when once Pfevna should have fallen and the army which besieged it should be added to the invader's strength. At fength, in the second week of December, Osman's supply of food was exhausted. Victor in three battles, he refused to surrender without one more struggle. On the 10th December, after distributing among his men what there remained of provisions, he made a desperate

effort to break out towards the west. His columns dashed in vain against the besieger's fines; behind fum his enemies pressed forward into the positions which he find abandoned; a ring of fire tike that of Sedan surrounded the Turkish army; and after thousands had fallen in a hopeless conflict, the general and the troops who for five months had held in check the collected forces of the Russian Empire surrendered to their conqueror.

If in the first stages of the war there was little that did credit to Russia's military capacity, the energy that did credit to Kussia's munary capacity, inc. Winter was descending in extreme severity; the Bulkar were a mass of snow and ice, but no obstacle could not bar the invader's march Gourko, in command of an army that had gathered to the south-west of Crossing of Plevna, made his way through the mountains above Etropol in the last days of December. the Batkans, Dec. 25-

and, driving the Turks from Sophia, pressed on towards Philippopolis and Adrianople Parther east two columns crossed the Balkans by by-puths right and left of the Shipka Pass, and then, converging on Shipka uself, fell upon the rear of the Turkish army which still blocked the southern outlet. Simultaneously a third Capitulation corps marched down the pass from the north of Shipka, and assailed the Turks in front. After a

Jan. 9 ficree struggle the caute Turkish arms, thirty-five thousand strong, bud down its arms. Their now remained only one considerable force between the invaders and Constantinople. This body, which was commanded by Suleman, held the road which runs along the valley of the Maritza, at a point somewhat to the cast of Philippopolis. Against it Gontko advinced from the west, while the victors of Shipka, descending due south

through Kesanlik, barred the line of retreat towards Adrianople. The last encounter of the war took place on the 17th of January. Suleiman's army, routed and demoralised, succeeded in making its escape Russians to the Ægean coast. Pursuit was unnecesenter sary, for the war was now practically over. On the 20th of January the Russians made Adrianople, Jan. 20, 1878 their entry into Adrianople; in the next few days their advanced guard touched the Sea of Marmora at Rodosto.

Immediately after the fall of Plevna the Porte had applied to the European Powers for their mediation. Disasters in Asia had already warned it not to delay submission too long; for in the middle of October Mukhtar Pasha had been driven from his positions, and a month later Kars had been taken by storm. The Russians had subsequently penetrated into Armenia and had captured the outworks of Erzeroum. Each day that now passed brought the Ottoman Empire nearer to destruction. Servia again declared war; the Montenegrins made themselves masters of the coast-towns and of border-territory north and south; Greece seemed likely to enter into the struggle. Baffled in his attempt to gain the common mediation of the Powers, the Sultan appealed to the Queen of England personally for her good offices in bringing the conflict to a close. In reply to a telegram from London, the Czar declared himself willing to treat for peace as soon as direct communications should be addressed to his representatives by the Porte. On the 14th of Armistice, January commissioners were sent to the head-Jan. 31 quarters of the Grand Duke Nicholas at Kesanlik to treat for an armistice and for preliminaries of peace. The Russians, now in the full tide of victory, were in no hurry to agree with their adversary. Nicholas bade the Turkish envoys accompany him to Adrianople, and it was not until the 31st of January that the armistice was granted and the preliminaries of peace signed.

While the Turkish envoys were on their journey to the Russian headquarters, the session of Parliament opened at London. The Ministry had declared at the outbreak of war that Great Britain would remain neutral unless its own interests should be imperilled, and it had defined these interests with due

clearness both in its communications with the Russian

Ambassador and in its statements in Parliament. It was laid down that Her Majesty's Government could not permit the blockade of the Suez Canal, or the extension of military operations to Egypt, that it could not witness with indifference the passing of Constantinople into other hands than those of its present possessors; and that it would entertain serious objections to any material alterations in the rules made under European sanction for the navigation of the Bosphorus and Dardanelles.1 In reply to Lord Derby's note which formulated these conditions of neutrality Prince Gortschakoff had repeated the Czur's assurance that the acquisition of Constantinople was evcluded from his views, and had promised to undertake no military operation in Egypt, he had, however, let it be understood that, as an incident of warfare, the reduction of Constantinople might be necessary like that of any other capital. In the Queen's speech at the opening of Parliament, Ministers stated that the conditions on which the neutrality of England was founded had not hitherto been infringed by either belligerent, but that, should hostilities be prolonged, some unexpected occurrence might render it necessary to adopt measures of procaution, measures which could not be adequately prepared without an upperl to the liberality of Parliament. I rom language subsequently used by Lord Beaconsfield's colleagues, it would appear that the Cabinet had some apprehension that the Russian army, escaping from the Crar's control, might seize and attempt permanently to hold Constantinople. On the 23rd of January orders were sent to Admiral Hornby, commander of the fleet at Besika Bay, to pass the Dardanelles, and proceed to Constantinople Lord Derby, who saw no necessity for measureof a warlike character until the result of the negotiations at Adrianople should become known, now resigned office. but on the reversal of the order to Admiral Hornin he rejoined the Cabinet. On the 28th of January, after the

Vote of Credit, Jan 28.

I ch 8

a vote of 6,000,000 for increasing the arminents of the country. This vote was at first vigorousts opposed that the country the country the country the country that the country the country the country the country the country that the country the country the country that the country that the country that the country that the country the country that the country

on the ground that none of the stated conditions of England's neutrality had been infringed, and that in the conditions of peace between Russia and Turkey there was nothing that justified a departure from the policy which England had hitherto pursued. In the course of the de-bates, however, a telegram arrived from Mr. Layard, Elliot's successor at Constantinople, stating that notwithstanding the armistice the Russians were pushing on towards the capital; that the Turks had been compelled to evacuate Silivria on the Sea of Marmora; that the Russian general was about to occupy Tchataldja, an outpost of the last line of defence not thirty miles from Constantinople; and that the Porte was in great alarm, and unable to understand the Russian proceedings. The utmost excitement was caused at Westminster by this telegram The fleet was at once ordered to Constanti-Fleet passes nople. Mr. Forster, who had led the oppothe Dardasition to the vote of credit, sought to withnelles, Feb. 6 draw his amendment; and although on the following day, with the arrival of the articles of the armistice, it appeared that the Russians were simply moving up to the accepted line of demarcation, and that the Porte could hardly have been ignorant of this when Layard's telegram was despatched, the alarm raised in London did not subside, and the vote of credit was carried by a

majority of above two hundred.1

When a victorious army is, without the intervention of some external Power, checked in its work of conquest by the negotiation of an armistice, it is invariably made a condition that positions shall be handed over to it which it does not at the moment occupy, but which it might reasonably expect to have conquered within a certain date, had hostilities not been suspended. The armistice granted to Austria by Napoleon after the battle of Marengo involved the evacuation of the whole of Upper Italy; the armistice which Bismarck offered to the Frenc. ment of Defence at the beginning of the sing aris would have involved the surrender of Strasb of Toul. In demanding that the line of demarcation ald be carried almost up to the walls of Constanti Russians were asking for no more than would have been within their hands had hostilities

¹ Parl. Pap., 1878, vol. lxxxi., pp. 661, 725. Parl. Deb.,

longed for a few weeks, or even days. Deeply as the conditions of the armistice agriated the English people, it was not in these conditions, but in the conditions of the peace which was to follow, that the true cause of contention between England and Russia, if cause there was, had to be found. Nevertheless, the approach of the

Russians to Gallipoli and the lines of Tchat-

aldja, followed, as it was, by the despatch of war with of the British fleet to Constantinople, brought Russia and Great Britain within a hair's breadth of war. It was in vain that Lord Derby described the fleet as sent only for the protection of the lives and property of British subjects. Gortschakoff, who was superior in amenities of this kind, replied that the Russian Government had exactly the same end in view, with the distinction that its protection would be extended to all Christians. Should the British fleet appear at the Bosphorus, Russian troops would, in the fulfilment of a common duty of humanity, enter Constantinople Yielding to this threat, Lord Beaconsfield bade the fleet halt at a convenient point in the Sea of Marmora. On both, sides preparations were made for immediate action. The guns on our slups stood charged for battle: the Russians strewed the shallows with torpedoes. Had a Russian soldier appeared on the heights of Gallipoli, had an Englishman landed on the Asiatic shore of the Bosphorus, war would at once have broken out. But after some weeks of extreme danger the perils of mere contiguity passed away, and the decision between peace and war was transferred from the accidents of tent and quarter-deck to the

deliberations of statesmen assembled in Congress. The bases of Peace which were made the condition of the armistice granted at Adrianople formed with little

Treaty of alteration the substance of the Treaty signed San Stefano, by Russia and Turkey at San Stefana, a March 3 village on the Sea of Marmora, on the 3rd of March. 1By this Treaty the Porte recognised the independence of Servia, Montenegro, and Roumania, and made considerable cessions of territory to the two former States. Bulgaria was constituted an autonomous tributars Principality, with a Christian Government and a natural militing. Its frontier, which was made so extensive as to include the greater part of European Turkey, was defined

as beginning near Midia on the Black Sea, not sixty miles from the Bosphorus; passing thence westwards just to the north of Adrianople; descending to the Aigean Sea, and following the coast as far as the Thracian Chersonese; then passing inland westwards, so as barely to exclude Salonika; running on to the border of Albania within fifty miles of the Adriatic, and from this point following the Albanian border up to the new Servian frontier. The Prince of Bulgaria was to be freely elected by the population, and confirmed by the Porte with the assent of the Powers; a system of administration was to be drawn up by an Assembly of Bulgarian notables; and the introduction of the new system into Bulgaria with the superintendence of its working was to be entrusted for two years to a Russian Commissioner. Until the native militia was organised, Russian troops, not exceeding fifty thousand in number, were to occupy the country; this occupation, however, was to be limited to a term approximating to two years. In Bosnia and Herzegovina the proposals laid before the Porte at the first sitting of the Conference of 1876 were to be immediately introduced, subject to such modifications as might be agreed upon between Turkey, Russia, and Austria. The Porte undertook to apply scrupulously in Crete the Organic Law which had been drawn up in 1868, taking into account the previously expressed wishes of the native population. An analogous law, adapted to local requirements, was, after being communicated to the Czar, to be introduced into Epirus, Thessaly, and the other parts of Turkey in Europe for which a special constitution was not provided by the Treaty. Commissions, in which the native population was to be largely represented, were in each province to be entrusted with the task of elaborating the details of the new organisation. In Armenia the Sultan undertook to carry into effect without further delay the improvements and reforms demanded by local requirements, and to guarantee the security of the Armenians from Kurds and Circassians. As an indemnity for the losses and expenses of the war the Porte admitted itself to be indebted to Russia in the sum of fourteen hundred million roubles; but in accordance with the wishes of the Sultan, and in consideration of the financial embarrassments of Turkey, the Czar consented to accept in substitution for the greater

part of this sum the cession of the Dobrudscha in Europe, and of the districts of Ardahan, Kars, Batoum, and Bayazid in Asia. As to the balance of three hundred million roubles left due to Russia, the mode of payment or guarantee was to be settled by an understanding be-tween the two Governments. The Dobrudscha was to be given by the Czar to Roumania in exchange for Bessarabia, which this State was to transfer to Russia. The complete evacuation of Turkey in Europe was to take place within three months, that of Turkey in Asia within six months, from the conclusion of peace.'

It had from the first been admitted by the Russian Government that questions affecting the interests of

Europe at large could not be settled by a Treaty between Russia and Turkey alone, proposed but must form the subject of European agreement. Carly in February the Emperor of Austria had proposed that a European Conference should assemble at his own capital. It was subsequently agreed that Berlin, instead of Vienna, should be the place of meeting, and instead of a Conference a Congress should be held, that is, an international assembly of the most solemn form, in which each of the Powers is represented not merely by an ambassador or an envoy, but by its leading Ministers But the question at once arose whether there existed in the mind of the Russian Government a distinction between parts of the Treaty of San Stefano bearing on the interests of Europe generally and parts which affected no States but Russia and Turkey, and wbether, in this case, Russia was willing that Europe should be the judge of the distinction, or, on the contrary, claimed for itself the right of withholding portions of the Trenty

Opposite Fngland

from the cognisance of the European Court. purposes of In accepting the principle of a Congress, Russia and Lord Derby on behalf of Great Britain made it a condition that every article of the Trenty

without exception should be laid before the Congress, nor necessarily as requiring the concurrence of the Powers, but in order that the Powers themselves might in each case decide whether their concurrence was necessary or not. To this demand Prince Gortschakoff offered the most strenuous resistance, claiming for Russia the liberts of

The Treaty, with Maps, is in Parl Pap 1878, vol 1xxxiii, p 239

accepting, or not accepting, the discussion of any question that might be raised. It would clearly have been in the power of the Russian Government, had this condition been granted, to exclude from the consideration of Europe precisely those matters which in the opinion of other States were most essentially of European import. Phrases of conciliation were suggested; but no ingenuity of language could shade over the difference of purpose which separated the rival Powers. Every day the chances, of the meeting of the Congress seemed to be diminishing, the approach of war between Russia and Great Britain more unmistakable. Lord Beaconsfield called out the Reserves and summoned troops from India; even the project of seizing a port in Asia Minor in case the Sultan should fall under Russian influence was discussed in the Cabinet. Unable to reconcile himself to these vigorous measures, Lord Derby, who had long been at variance with the Premier, now finally withdrew from the Cabinet (March 28). He was succeeded in his office by the Marquis, of Salisbury, whose comparison of his relative and predecessor to Titus Oates revived the interest of the diplomatic world in a now forgotten period of English history.

The new Foreign Secretary had not been many days in office when a Circular, despatched to all the Foreign Courts, summed up the objections of Great Britain to the Treaty of San Stefano. It was pointed out that a strong Slavic State would be created Circular of April 1

under the control of Russia, possessing in-

April 1

by the prohibitory barriers of the Russian commercial system. Finally, by the stipulation for an indemnity which it was beyond the power of Turkey to discharge, and by the reference of the mode of payment or guarantee to a later settlement, Russia had placed it in its power either to extort yet larger cessions of territory, or to force Turkey into engagements subordinating its policy in all things to that of St. Petersburg.

It was the object of Lord Salisbury to show that the effects of the Treaty of San Stefano, taken in a mass, threatened the peace and the interests of Europe, and therefore, whatever might be advanced for or against individual stipulations of the Treaty, that the Treaty as a whole, and not clauses selected by one Power, must be submitted to the Congress if the examination was not to prove illusory. This was a just line of argument. Nevertheless it was natural to suppose that some parts of the Treaty must be more distasteful than others to Great

Count Schouvaloff to the task of discovering with what concess

to the task of discovering with what concessions Lord Beaconsfield's Cabinet would be satisfied. He found that if Russia would consent to modifications of the Treaty in Congress excluding Bulgaria from the Ægean Sea, reducing its area on the south and west, dividing it into two provinces, and restoring the Balkans to the Sultan as a military frontier, giving back Bayazid to the Turks, and granting to other Powers besides Russin a voice in the organisation of Epirus, Thessaly, and the other Christian provinces of the Porte, England might be induced to accept without essential change the other provisions of San Stefano. On the 7th of May Count Schoulaloff quitted London for St. Petersburg, in order to lay before the Czar the results of his communications with the Cabinet, and to acquaint him with the state of public opinion in England. On his journey hung the issues of peace or war. Backed by the counsels of the German Emperor, Schoulaloff succeeded in his mission.

Secret agreement, results already secured by insisting on the May 30 points contested, and Schonvaloff returned to London authorized to conclude a pact with the British Government on the general basis which had been laid

On the 30th of May a secret agreement, in which the above were the principal points, was signed, and the meeting of the Congress for the examination of the entire Treaty of San Stefano was now assured. But it was not without the deepest anxiety and regret that Lord Beaconsfield consented to the annexation of Batoum and the Armenian fortresses. He obtained indeed an assurance in the secret agreement with Schouvaloff that the Russian frontier should be no more extended on the side of Turkey in Asia; but his policy did not stop short here. By a Convention made with the Sultan on the 4th of June, Great Britain engaged, in the event of any further aggression by Russia Convention with Turkey, June 4 upon the Asiatic territories of the Sultan, to defend these territories by force of arms. The Sultan in return promised to introduce the necessary reforms, to be agreed upon by the two Powers, for the protection of the Christian and other subjects of the Porte in these territories, and further assigned the Island of Cyprus to be occupied and administered by England. It was stipulated by a humorous after-clause that if Russia should restore to Turkey its Armenian conquests, Cyprus would be evacuated by England, and the Convention itself should be at an end.1

The Congress of Berlin, at which the Premier himself and Lord Salisbury represented Great Britain, opened on the 13th of June. Though the compromise between England and Russia had been settled in Congress of general terms, the arrangement of details opened such a series of difficulties that the June 13-Congress seemed more than once on the point of breaking up. It was mainly due to the perseverance and wisdom of Prince Bismarck, who transferred the discussion of the most crucial points from the Congress to private meetings of his guests, and who himself acted as conciliator when Gortschakoff folded up his maps or Lord Beaconsfield ordered a special train, that the work was at length achieved. The Treaty of Treaty of Berlin, signed on the 13th of July, confined Berlin. Bulgaria, as an autonomous Principality, to the country north of the Balkans, and diminished the authority which, pending the establishment of its definitive ¹ Parl. Pap. 1878, vl. lxxxii., p. 3. Globe, May 31, 1878. Hahn, iii. 116.

system of government, would by the Treaty of San Stefano, have belonged to a Russian commissioner. The portion of Bulgaria south of the Balkans, but extending no farther west than the valley of the Maritza, and no farther south than Mount Rhodope, was formed into a Province of East Roumeha, to remain subject to the direct political and military authority of the Sultan, under conditions of administrative autonomy. The Sultan was declared to possess the right of erecting fortifications both on the coast and on the land-frontier of this province, and of maintaining troops there Alike in Bulgaria and in Eastern Roumelia the period of occupation by Russian troops was limited to nine months. Bosnia and Herzegovina were handed over to Austria, to be occupied and administered by that Power. The cessions of territory made to Servia and Montenegro in the Treaty of San Stefano were modified with the object of interposing a broader strip between these two States, Bayazid was omitted from the ceded districts in Asia, and the Czar declared it his intention to erect Batoum into a free port, essentially commercial. At the instance of France the provisions relating to the Greek Provinces of Turkey were superseded by a vote in favour of the cession of part of these Provinces to the Hellenic Kingdom. The Sultan was recommended to cede Thessaly and part of Epirus to Greece, the Powers reserving to themselves the right of offering their mediation to facilitate the negotiations. In other respects the provisions of the Treaty of San Stefano were confirmed without substantial change.

Lord Beaconsfield returned to London, bringing, 75 he said, peace with honour. It was claimed, in the despitch to our Ambassadors which accompanied the publication of the Treaty of Berlin, that in this Treaty the cardinal objections raised by the British Government to the Treaty of San Stefano had found an entire remediation.

Comparison of the two Treatles

"Bulgaria," wrote Lord Salisburt, "is now confined to the river-barrier of the Danube, and consequenth has not only crased to possess any harbour on the Archipelago, but

is removed by more than a hundred miles from the neighbourhood of that sea. On the Euxine the important port of Bourgas has been restored to the Government of

Turkey; and Bulgaria retains less than half the sea-board originally assigned to it, and possesses no other port except the roadstead of Varna, which can hardly be used for any but commercial purposes. The replacement under Turkish rule of Bourgas and the southern half of the seaboard on the Euxine, and the strictly commercial character assigned to Batoum, have largely obviated the menace to the liberty of the Black Sea. The political outposts of Russian power have been pushed back to the region beyond the Balkans; the Sultan's dominions have been provided with a defensible frontier." It was in short the contention of the English Government that while Russia, in the pretended emancipation of a great part of European Turkey by the Treaty of San Stefano, had but acquired a new dependency, England, by insisting on the division of Bulgaria, had baffled this plan and restored to Turkey an effective military dominion over all the country south of the Balkans. That Lord Beaconsfield did well in severing Macedonia from the Slavic State of Bulgaria there is little reason to doubt; that, having so severed it, he did ill in leaving it without a European guarantee for good government, every successive year made more plain; the wisdom of his treatment of Bulgaria itself must, in the light of subsequent events, remain matter for controversy. It may fairly be said that in dealing with Bulgaria English states-men were, on the whole, dealing with the unknown. Nevertheless, had guidance been accepted from the history of the other Balkan States, analogies were not altogether wanting or altogether remote. During the present century three Christian States had been formed out of what had been Ottoman territory: Servia, Greece, and Roumania. Not one of these had become a Russian Province, or had failed to develop and maintain a distinct national existence. In Servia an attempt had been made to retain for the Porte the right of keeping troops in garrison. This attempt had proved a mistake. So long as the right was exercised it had simply been a source of danger and disquiet, and it had finally been abandoned by the Porte itself. In the case of Greece, Russia, with a view to its own interests, had originally proposed that the country should be divided into four autonomous provinces tributary to the Sultan: against this the Greeks had protested, and Canning had successfully supported their protest. Even

the appointment of an ex-Minister of St. Petersburg, Capodistrias, as first President of Greece in 1827 had failed to bring the liberated country under Russian influence, and in the course of the half-century which had since elapsed it had become one of the commonplaces of politics, accepted by every school in every country of Western Europe, that the Powers had committed a great error in 1833 in not extending to far larger dimensions the Greek Kingdom which they then established. In the case of Roumania, the British Government had, out of fear of Russia, insisted in 1856 that the provinces of Moldavia and Wallachia should remain separate result was that the inhabitants in defiance of England effected their union, and that after a few years had passed there was not a single politician in England who regarded their union otherwise than with satisfaction. thught anything in the solution of the Eastern question, ittaught that the effort to reserve for the Sultan a militaryexistence in countries which had passed from under his general control was futile, and that the best barrier against Rissian influence was to be found not in the division but in the strengthening and eonsolidation of the States rescued from Ottoman dominions

It was of course open to English statesmen in 1878 to believe that all that had hitherto passed in the Balkan Peninsula had no bearing upon the problems of the hour, and that, whatever might have been the ease with Greece, Servia, and Roumania, Bulgaria stood on a completely different footing, and called for the application of principles not based on the experience of the past but on the divinations of superior minds (Should the history of succeeding years bear out this view, should the Balkans become a true military frontier for Turkey, should Northern Bulgaria sink to the condition of a Russian dependency, and Eastern Roumelia, in severance from its enslaved kin abandon itself to a thriving ease behind the garrisons of the reforming Ottoman, Lord Berconsfield will have deserved the fame of a statesman whose intuitions, undimmed by the mists of experience, penctrated the secret of the future, and shaped, because they discerned, the destiny of nations 'It will be the task of later historians to measure the Exact period after the Congress of Berlin at which the process indicated by Lord

Beaconsfield came into visible operation; it is the misfortune of those whose view is limited by a single decade to have to record that in every particular, with the single exception of the severance of Macedonia from the Slavonic Principality, Lord Beaconsfield's ideas, purposes and anticipations, in so far as they related to Eastern Europe, have hitherto been contradicted by events. What happened in Greece, Servia, and Roumania has happened in Bulgaria. Experience, thrown to the winds by English Ministers in 1878, has justified those who listened to its voice. There exists no such thing as a Turkish fortress on the Balkans; Bourgas no more belongs to the Sultan than Athens or Belgrade; no Turkish soldier has been able to set foot within the territory whose very name, Eastern Roumelia, was to stamp it as Turkish dominion. National independence, a living force in Greece, in Servia, in Roumania, has proved its power in Bulgaria too. The efforts of Russia to establish its influence over a people liberated by its arms have been repelled with unexpected firmness. Like the divided members of Roumania, the divided members of Bulgaria have effected their union. In this union, in the growing material and moral force of the Bulgarian State, Western Europe sees a power wholly favourable to its own hopes for the future of the East, wholly adverse to the extension of Russian rule: and it has been reserved for Lord Beaconsfield's colleague at the Congress of Berlin, regardless of the fact that Bulgaria north of the Balkans, not the southern Province. created that vigorous military and political organisation which was the precursor of national union, to explain that in dividing Bulgaria into two portions the English Ministers of 1878 intended to promote its ultimate unity, and that in subjecting the southern half to the Sultan's rule they laid the foundation for its ultimate independence.



INDEX

Alexander II of Russia-continued ABDALLAH, Pasha of Acre, quarrels with Viceroy of Egypt, 140 succeeds the Emperor Nicholas, Abdul Aziz, deposition and murder of, 515 Alexinatz, capture of, by the Turks, Abdul Medjid, succeeds Sultan Mahmud II, 55, 148 Aberdeen, Lord, declines to assent Algiers captured by France, 89 Ali Pasha, 19, 34, 40 Alma, battle of the, 331 to the proposed Spanish mar-Alsace, ceded to Germany by the riages, 181 friendship towards the Emperor Treaties of Versailles Nicholas, 312, 319 Frankfort, 504 policy towards Russia and Turkey civil government established by (1853), 319 Germans during Franco-Prusrefuses King Frederick William's sian war, 496 request for guarantee against Altona, discontent with Danish rule, an attack from France, 326 207 resigns premiership, 336 cre, captured by Sir Amiens capitulates to the Prussians, 500 Acre, Charles Napier, 152 Ancona, surrender of, to troops of Victor Emmanuel, 388 siege of, 140 Adana, given to Viceroy of Egypt Andrássy, Count, communicates by Turkey, 142 with St. Petersburg and Ber-Adrianople, Archbishop of, lin on a line of policy towards dered, 27 the Porte, 512 entry of Russians into, 531 negotiates reconciliation between Peace of, 73 Austria and Hungary (1867), Ægean Islands, 8, 35 Agram, 233, 234, 235 Akerman, Treaty of, 67 opinion on projected restoration of German leadership to Aus-(French Republican), tria, 464 "Andrássy Note," the, 513 Anglo-Russian Protocol (1826), 58 cluded from National Assembly, 214 Albrecht, Archduke, 464 Ante-Parliament, German, 208 Alexander I, Emperor of Russia, Anthimos, Patriarch of Jerusalem, 19 (note) death of (1825), 55 his refusal to aid Greeks rouses Antonelli, Cardinal, minister discontent in Russia, 54 Pius IX, 263, 264, 374 intervention in Turkey on behalf Antony, Prince, appointed Prussian prime minister, 397 of the Christians, 28, 29 Alexander II, Emperor of Russia, Antwerp bombarded by French and assurances to Britain respect-English, 104 ing acquisition of Constanti-Apostolicals (see Carlists) nople, 521 Apponyi, Count, 172 liberates the Serfs, 414 Arcis-sur-Aube, battle of (1814), 398 meeting at Reichstadt with Em-Ardahan, 536 Armatoli, the, 7, 8 peror Francis Joseph on the

Armenia, 535

545

Eastern Question, 519

2 1-2

Armistice between Austria and Sardinia at Vigeano, 230 Denmark, Austria and Prussia (1864) 4-7 Trussia (1871) 502 Garibaldi and the Neapolitans

Malnio, Denmark and Prussia (1848) 267, 68 Servia and Turkey (1876) 5 o

(1860) 382

Vrivid St conspires with Louis Impoleon against the government 302

French commander in the Crimea,

\rndt (German poet) member of German National \s embly,

retires from National Assembly

song of the Fatherland," 216 Arnim Count German ambassador at Paris, 511 Asia Minor, conquered by Egyptians

under Ibrahim, 141 Athens fall of the Acropolis 51 Athon Mount monks of 34

lugustenburg, Duke of (elder) re nounces his claims in Schles wig Holstein 422 lugustenburg Duke of (younger)

Bisuarck proposes that crown of Schleswig Holstein should be conferred upon 430 431 Aurelle de Paladines French general advances to relief of Paris 499

Paris 499
Austria abdication of the Emperor, and accession of his nephew

Francis Joseph I *44
recepts Hungarian scheme of
independence 105 196
requires Bosnia and Herzegovina
at Congress of Berlin 540

ngitation in Vienna 222 autonomy promised to Bohemia

lattle of Solferino 367 bids bederal Diet take over con trol of Schleswig Holstein

campaign around Verona 6 change of polics (1856) 358 commencement of war with Prus 513 447 3

concessions to the Papacy 293 condition after 1851 292 el seg Conference at Vienna 338

Austria—continued
conflict with Prussia respecting
affairs in Hesse Cassel 257
et seg

Constitution published, 222 Constitution published by Schwar

zenberg, 248
Count Cayour denounces its
government of Central and
Southern Italy, 358

Southern Italy, 358 death of Emperor Francis Joseph and accession of Ferdinand

167 defeated at battle of Magenta

365-6 defeated by Prussia at Lonig

gratz, 444 defensive alliance with Italy 467 demands are granted by Prussia respecting Hesse 288

diploma published for restoring to Hungary its old Constitution

409
driven from Hungary, 249
Emperor dissolves Hungarian

Parliament, and declares its acts null and vaid 239 Emperor returns to Vienna from

Innsbruck, 230 231 fall of Afetternich 193, 194 flight of the Emperor, 224 240 further riots of students and work

men, 224 general war in Italy against Aus trans rule 199 200 General Windischgratz subdues

revolt at Vienna 2°5
Hungarian deputation received by

the Emperor 195 Hungary resists establishment of

Hungary resists establishment of a Central Council 410 insurrection in Lombards and

Venice 198 et seq insurrection of Poles in Galicia

intervention in Papal States for suppression of revolt 113

League of the Three Emper ors 511 512 mediates between Russ 2 and

Furopean all es after fall of Sebastopol 342 occupation of Peath 347 occupies Perrara 160

opposition of union of Itals under Victor Financial 39t

overthrows Sardinian army at

Austria—continued peace concluded with France and Sardinia at Villafranca, 368 policy towards Russia on outbreak of Crimean War, 323 et seq. policy towards Turkey in 1821, 29 progress of Parliamentary system, proposed connection with many at the Frankfort Parliament, 274 proposes conference at Frankfort to discuss question of union, re-conquest of Venetia, 229 refuses to attend proposed European Congress, 439 refuses to recognise German Federal Union, 288 restores Diet of Frankfort, 286 revolt in Croatia, 231 et seq. revolution at Vienna, 192 riots at Prague, 225 rule in Hungary, 163 et seq. rule in Italy, 156 rupture with Sardinia, 359 Rural Edict (1846), 174 rural system in Hungary, 173 seconil intervention in Papal States, 114 Schleswig-Holstein consecures jointly with the Prussians by Treaty of Vienna, 428 settlement of conflict with Hungary after battle of Königgratz, 451 et seq. state of uffairs after 1859, and creation of Central Council. 407 et seq. subdues Hungary, 252-3 surrender of Venice to, 264 terms of peace with Prussia (1866), 445 ct scg. Reichsrath assembles Vienna (1861), 411 the Unitary Constitutional Edict (March, 1849), 245 treaty with Russia at Reichstadt on the Eastern Question, 519, 520 troops enter Schleswig conjointly with the Prussians, 426 tumult at Vienna, 239 victories of Custozza and Lissa, war declared by France and Sardinia, 364

withdraws from Papal States, 115

Azeglio, Sardinian Minister, 354, Admiral Persano refers his diary to, 387 (note) envoy to Bologna, 366 provides ſor defence of Austria, against Romagna 370 regarding views exclusion Rome from Italian Kingdom, 391 BACH, Alexander (Austrian minister), negotiates Concordat with the Papacy, 293-4 Baden, insurrection in (September, 1848), 269 insurrection quelled by Prussian troops after fall of Rastadt, 281, 282 Liberal sentiments of the sovereign, 119 Republican insurrection, 280, 281 Republican rising (1848), 208 the Government of the Grand Duke accepts the Frankfort Constitution, 280 Balaclava, 332 battle of, 333 charge of the Light Brigade at. 334 Balkans, Russian advance on, 526 Bapaume, 500 Baring, Mr. (Secretary of English Legation at Constantinople), report on Bulgarian sacres, 516 Barras, M., French Director, 89 Basque Provinces, centre of Carlist rebellion (1834), 132 immunity from customs-dues, 133 Batak, massacre of, 518 Batoum, 536 Batthyany, Count, instructed form a National Ministry in Hungary, 195 publishes Emperor of Austria's order suspending Jellacic, 234 resigns office, 238 sentenced to death, 253 Baudin, Deputy, 306 Bavaria, disturbance in the Palatinate, 117 Bayazid, 536 Bazaine, Marshal (French commander of the army of the Rhine), 486, 487

Bazaine Marshal-continued defeated at Gravelotte 489 defeated by Prussians at Mars la

Tour, 487 inaction at Vietz and probable in trigues for personal power

retires with army to Metz 488 surrenders Metz to the Prussians

tried by court martial and sen tenced to death, 497

the Nemesis of the moral indiffer ence and servility of the French Empire 498

stormed by Bavarians Bazeilles (1870) 100

Beaconsfield Lord Eastern policy and distrust of Russia et seq

anticipations relative Eastern Europe so far contra dicted 54 3

policy in severing Macedonia from Bulgaria 541

represents England with Lord Salisbury at Berlin Congress war speech at Guildhall banquet

521 Beleredi Count Austrian minister

withdraws from office 454

Belfort 504 Belgium Duc de Nemours elected hing and shortly retires at the instigation of Louis Philippe 103

independence recognised in Con ference of London, 103

influence of France and Talley rand tot tor

Prince Leopold of Saxe Coburg elected Ling 101 project of France for its acqui

sition 449-450 proposal to cede Belgic territory ın return for to France

Luxemberg 461 revolution of August 1830 100 separated from Holland 100 settlement of the frontier, 103-4 Pellevue chatern of 491

Pem (Hungarian general) -42 251 defeated at Temessar 2-3 defeats the Austrans 240 367.

Benedek (Austrian general)

Benedek General-e ntinued destruction of his army at Lonig gr itz 444

Benedetti Count French ambassa dor at berlin 449 449

interview with hing of Prussia regarding candid candidature for tl e

Spani h throne 471 et seg report on French project for acqui sition of Belgium 450 (note)

Benvenuti Cardinal 111, 114 I eranger, Napoleonic lyrics of 218

Berlin Conference of 1849 " conflict between the people and troops, 203

Congress of (1878) 519 et seg opening of Prusian National Par

firment, 210 opening of the first Parliament of the German Empire (March

1971) 506 revolutionary movement of March

1818 Or et seg riots against the 1s embly (Sept

1611) 560 the king rides through the streets

in the character of German leader 202 Memorandum the rejected

by England 515 Lerlin Freaty (1878) 539 Bernstorff Count Pru sinn envoy at

Conference of London (1804) 4 7, 428

Penka despatch of English bas fleet to 300 532 l' ssarabin 536

Beust arranges defensite Count

all ance with Italy 467 Austrian minister his settlement

unti Hungary 454 455 disnu se I from office at inst ga

tion of Pemarck att Saxon minister at Conference of

London (1864) 427 suggests union of I uxemburg

with Belgium 461 Liarritz meeting of Aspoleon III

and B march at 432 Bilbro 111

besieged In Carlets 137 B smarch, Prince ami assa for to St Petersburg 403

assirances to Russ a and Austrea

511 Denmark on attitude towards death of Frederick VII 411

443 444

Bismarck, Prince -tentinued

demands surrender of Strasburg and Toul, 494

establishes friendly relations with Russia, 412

his demands for acquisition of territory after war with Austrio, 445-6

his demands refused by German States, 440

his life attempted, 441

hostility towards Poland, 421

hostility towards the Crown Prince, 505

measures against the Press, 406.

meet. M. Jules Favre at Ferrières negotiations respecting: P acc. 404

meets Napoleon III at Biarritz,

437 meets Napoleon III at Sedan, 491 meets Thiers at Versailles arrange terms of peace, 501

conditions on which Schleswig-Holstein should be given to Prince of Augustenburg, 431

orders troops to enter Holstein on Austria's refusing to attend proposed European Congress,

430 peace terms of, 504

policy at Congress of Berlin, 539 policy in favouring a Republic for

France, 510 et seg. policy of " blood and iron," 404 popularity after battle of Königgrätz, 450

previous appointments and monarchical tendencies of, 402 et

proposes to summon a German Parliament, 437

reply to Napoleon III on his demand for cession of Rhenish Provinces, 439

requires cession of Alsace and Eastern Lorraine, 504

resolves to levy taxes without a Budget, 405

seeks co-operation of Italy in war against Austria, 435-6

statecraft respecting Schleswig-Holstein, 424, 425-6, 429 (note), 430-432

Prince Hohenlohe succeeds ลร Prime Minister, 401

Bismarck, Prince-continued

treatment of Napoleon's proposal for cession of Luxemburg,

triumph of his statesmanship in the strength and success of the German army, 482

views on project of Napoleon 111 for acquisition of Belgium,

Black Sea, the, Russia regains rights of having war-ships and arsenals in, 350, 500

Blanc, Louis, 212

excluded from National Assembly,

member of French Provisional Government (1848), 212

Blum, Robert, German Liberal, execution of, 242

Bohemia, declines to send representatives to National Assembly at Frankfort, 210

national movements (1830-1843), 169, 170

Prussian-Austrian campaign, 443 rebellion at Prague, 225

the Czechs' movement for independence, 197

Bologna, insurrection of 1831, 111 Bomba, King (see Ferdinand II, King of Naples)

Roncampagni, Sardinian envoy, 366 Bordeaux, French National Assembly opened at (1871), 503

Bonny, 486 Bosnia, handed over to Austria at Congress of Berlin, 540

takes arms against Turkey, 349 Bosphorus, the: rules for passage of war-ships agreed upon by the Powers, 153

Botzares, Albanian chieftain, 41 Bourbaki, General, commands

French army of the East, 501 defeated by Germans at Montbéliard, 502

Bourdonnaye, La, minister under Charles X, 85

Bourmont, General, campaign against Arabs, 88

captures Algiers, 89 French minister, 86

Boyer, General, conveys Prussian terms of pence to Empress Eugénie, 497

Brandenburg, meeting of Prussian Parliament at, 273

Brandenburg, Count (Prussian Capua, 387 minister, 1848), 271 surrender

death of, 287 Brionis, Omer, Turkish commander,

40, 42, 70 Brunswick, insurrection in, 116 Bulgaria, 10

Bulgaria, 10
autonomy constituted by Treaty

of San Stefano, 534 provisions of Treaty of Berlin re

lating to, 540 Turkish massacres in, 516

Bunsen Count, 278 conflict with King Frederick Wil

liam 326, 327 letter from Frederick William on

the Emperor Nicholas 337 (note) letter from King of Prussia on

England's assistance to Tur key, 325 (note)

key, 325 (note) Prussian ambassador at London 326

resignation of, 327

Buol Count Austrian minister 324

Burgoyne, English engineer in the

Crimea, 332
letter to the Times 333 (note)
Burke, Edmund his association
with Berconsfield 519 (note)

Byron Lord 34 52 his writings excluded from Aus

his writings excluded from Aus tria, 176

CAGLIARI, 381
Cajazzo, Neapolitans defeated at 389
Calabria, rising against Austrian

rule in 161 Calatafimi, 382

Canning, George, attitude towards Greece, 52 death and policy, 6. et seq

Metternich's hostility to, and the cause, 59

cause, 59
Canning, Sir Stratford (see Redeliffe)
Canrobert French commander in
the Crimer 332 340
succeeded by Pélissier 340

Capodistrias, assassination of 80 elected President of Greece, 74 his rule in Corfu, 19 love for the Greek cause, 21

policy and administration, 77 et

retires from office 33

surrendered to Sardinian troops

surrendered to the French, 387 Carbonari, Neapolitan secret society, Napoleon's youthful alliance

with, 359 Cardigan, Lord, leader of the Light Brigade 334

Carignano, Prince of, 371 his opinion of Cavour, 354

(see, also, Charles Albert)

Carlists (or Apostolicals), rebellions

arnsts (or Apostolicals), rebell 130 the Regency and the, 131

the Regency and the, 131 victories under leadership of Zumalacarregui, 134

Carlos, Don, claims the crown of Spain, 130

defeated and conducted to London,

reappears in Spain at head of in surgents, 132 surrender of troops to General

Espartero, 139 unites with Don Miguel, 131 victories of, 174

victories of, 134 Carlowitz, Congress of Serbs (1848) at, 232

patriarch of, 249 Casos, taken by Lgyptians, 46 Cassel, conquered by Prussia, 442 insurrection in, 116

Cavagnae, General arrested by
Louis Napoleon 304
candidate for Presidency of Re

public, 220 leads troops against insurgents in Paris (June, 1848) 215 rise and decline of his power, 217

Catour, Count, 339
a secret meeting with Garibaldi,

accepts British proposal for dis

agrees to cession of Nice and Savoy to France 377 at the Conference of Paris 357 8 attitude towards the Catholic

Church, 392 3 character and plans, 354, 355 Crimean policy of, 356 displeasure at terms of Villafranca

-and resignation 369
great work on behalf of Italy,

394 5 his plans for the union of Italy

his plans for the union of Italy,

Case if, Count-continued intrigues on behalf of Italian indeger femer, got fort words on the drafts, the meets Napole of III at Planbares, expensations respecting took with in tra, plac urder. Admiral Per and to excite lemerechon at Nather 325 police with regard to Naples, 384 Prime Minister of Piedmontese trainer treat, 134 ectories to pover, 375 strangth with Gord ille 18800 views regarding transfer of Venite to Italian Rusydom, 202 Chaldber, district in theree, 31 Clambard, Camte de (Das de Bardenux, graph on id Charles N. 44, 700, 510 Changarnier, arrested la Supercon, 1994 commander of National Guard in Pari . 3200 Chapty, General, leads French army of the Loire against Prussians at Vendöme and Le Mans, Allert, King of Sardinia, Charles. aldication of, 157 defeated at Novara, 250 defeated at Sonta Lucia, 226 defeats Austrians at Civito, 226 enters Milan, but retreats on advance of Austrians, 230 Charles N. King of France, to abdicates and retires to England, 95-6 conflict with ministers, 88 death at Goritz, 96 dissolves the Chambers, 84, 85 his government (1824-1827), 83, 84 makes Vicomte de Martignac chief minister, 85 Ordinances of July, 90 Charles, Prince, of Hahenzollern-Sigmaringen, commands at Plevna, 528 elected Hereditary Prince of Roumania, 349 Chatillon, rout of French troops at (1870), 494 Chios, 9 massacre by Turks, 38 Cholera, outbreaks of, 109, 264

Christian VIII, King of Denmark,

206, 207

Christian IX, order his claims in Schlessig-Holstein to Austria and Prussia, 428 on costs Frederick VII as King of Denmark, 423 Christian, Prince (of Glacksburg), declared heir to throne of Schleswig-Holstein, 240 erre, also, Christian IX) Christians, may here of, 27 Chreanowski, commander of Sm. diaian army against Austria, Civita Vecchia, French occupation of, renewed, 403 the French at, 2003 massacte. of National Guards at (1871), 308 Clarendon, Lord, represents Great Britain at Conference of Paris (1836), 144 Clergy (Greek), 5 Clergy (Romish), decline of influence France under Philipps, 68 growth of power in Austria, 293 reformation proposed in Italy, 150rise of power in France under Charles X, 84 Clotilde, Princess, 360 betrothed to Prince Jerome Napo-Iran, 362 Cubden, Richard, 310 Codrington, Admiral, 63 attacks Ibrahim's forces, 64 Collin, Austrian general, occupies free city of Cracow, 173 Commercial Treaty between England and Turkey, 147 Commune of Paris, the (1871), a revolutionary committee formed, 507 attempts made to overthrow Government of National Defence, 507 destruction of public buildings, 508 Generals Lecomte and Clement Thomas seized and put to death, 507 hostilities with the troops of Versailles, 507 slaughter of prisoners and host-ages, 508 the National Guard, 507 Conscription, in Hungary, 254 in Prussia, 399, 400 Constantine, Grand Duke, 56

nf

Constantine, Grand Duke-continued withdraws Russian troops from Poland, 107

Constantine, Grand Duke (younger), appointed Viceroy at Warsaw, attempt on his life at Warsaw.

416

Constantinople, Conference

(1876), 522 execution of Patrfarch and mas sacre of archbishops and Christians, 27

expulsion of Christians by Mah mud II, 68

terrorism at, 26

Corfu, 19

Corinth, Isthmus of, 42 Cortes, Spanish, agrees to modifi cation of Constitution of 1812. 138

frequent succession of new, 137 Coulmiers Tann defeated at, 499 Cowley, Lord, attempts to mediate between Austria, France, and

Sardinia, 363 Cracow, occupied by Austrians, 173 Crémieux, M., 495

Crete, 16

conquered by Egyptians 46 rises against Turkey, 349 Crimean War, barren in positive

results, 311 battle of Balaclava, 333 battle of Inkermann, 335 battle of the Alma 331

battle of the Tchernaya, 341 bombardment of Sebastopol 333 capture of the Malakoff by the

French, 341 commencement of, 323 fall of Kars, 342

fall of Sebastopol, 341 loss of English troops from severe winter, 336

Parliamentary papers etc, res pecting, 312 (note) Croatia, movement in (1848), 231 et

Prince of Prussia, at the battle of Sedan, 491

at the siege of Paris, 493 commands southern army against the French (1870), 481

defents the French at Weissen burg, 483

Mac Mahon defeated at Worth by, 483-4

Crown Prince of Prussia-contd opposes Bismarck's against the Press, 407 part in the campaign against Austria (1866), 443 el

unfriendly refations with

marck, 505

Customs Union (see Zollverein) Custozza, battle of, between Aus trians and Sardinians, 230 second battle of, between Aus

trians and Italians, 444 Cuza, Prince Alexander, elected Hos-

podar of Moldavia and Wal lachia, 348 9 expelled by his subjects, 349

Cyprus, assigned to Lugland by

Turkey, 539 Czartoryski, Polish noble, President of Provisional Government in Poland, 107

Czechs of Bohemia, the, 197 hostifity to Hungary, 239 240 451, 454, 455

their rising at Prague, 225

Dankmann, reports on armistice of Malmo, 268 retires from National Assembly,

Danton, 361 Danube, the, Russian passage of

(1876), 526 Danubian Provinces, Austrian pro-

tection, 329 Charles of Hohenzollern elected Hereditary Prince of Rou-

mania, 349 entered by Russian troops, 318 exacuation by Russia, 329 incorporation with Austria pro

posed by Napoleon III, 348 Prince Alexander Cuza elected Hospodar of Moldavia and

Wallachia, and expelled, 348-9 rights and privileges guaranteed by the Powers at Paris Conference (1856), 345

Dardanelles, the, 144 entry of British and French fleets,

entry of French and English fleets

(1839) 148

rule for passage of war ships agreed upon by the fowers

Disraeli, Benjamin (see Beaconsfield) Deák, Hungarian statesman, 172 leader of Hungarian Assembly, promotes reconciliation with Austria, 453 czin. Hungarian Parliament Debreczin, Dembinski, appointed by Kossuth to at, 247 command of Hungarian army in war against Austria, 248, Denmark, accession of Christian IX, armistice of Malmö with Prussia, conflict with Prussia and Austria respecting Schleswig-Holstein, 421 et seq. death of Christian VIII and accession of Frederick VII, 207 death of Frederick VII, 421, 423 King Christian cedes his rights in Schleswig-Holstein, 428 peace with Prussia, 289 rebellion of Schleswig-Holstein, 205 Treaty of Vienna, 428 respecting Prussia with Schleswig-Holstein, 207 Depretis, Pro-Dictator at Palermo, 384 resigns office, 388 Derby, Lord, English Foreign Secretary (1876), proposes a con-Constantinople, at ference differences with Lord Beaconsfield 521, 522 on Eastern Question, and resignation of office, 537 and resumption of resignation office, 532 De Rigny, Admiral, 65 Diebitsch, commander of Russian forces, 71 crosses the Balkans, 72 defeats Turks at Kulewtscha, 72 invades Poland, 108 Diet of Frankfort, calls out the Federal forces, 440 decrees federal execution in Holstein, 423 enters upon reform, 191 extinct from 1848 to 1850, 285 further repressive measures of Prussian demands (1866), 577 restored by Austria, 285 withdrawal of Prussian Dijon, 501

Dobrudscha, the, advance of 1876, ceded to Russia, 536 given to Roumania in exchange for Bessarabia, 536 Russian advance into, 329 Donchéry, 490 Douay, General, defeat and death of, 483 leads French troops at Weissenburg, 483 Dramali, Turkish commander, 40, 42, 43 death of, 43 Dresden, democratic rising in, 280 occupied by Prussians, 442 Ducrot, General, 500 Düppel, 426 Durando, Papal general, 226, 229 EGYPT, conquest of Crees. 45-6 navy defeated at Navario, 64 et second war with Tarkey (1839), war with Turkey 35. 140 et seq. Elliot, Šir H., Balist unitassador at Constantingois 515, 522 Emigrant Nobles France. granted compensain ಸ್ವಾಪಾ 200,000. 84 Benederi's trumiem with Ems, King of Presser at, 470 d telegram manadis meteoded insuit to Final ambassador, by Kins Victor of Pressia, 273
England, across on October 51
agreement with a Commence of The second secon The state of the s manie : mediate between ear The Table 200 Section rm- f Bleim. mi Irr er len Inigade gra-ner i Ingeneral gra me to the first the The second secon The state of the s The second secon ___

England—continued
Conference of Vienna (May, 1855)
fails to arrange treaty of
peace, 138

Congress of Berlin, 539

declaration of war, in conjunction with France, against Russin,

323 demands on Russia as the basis of peace, 330

despatch of fleet to Besika Bay on entry of Russian troops into Danubian Provinces, 320

despatches fleet to Besika Bay, 515 Disraeli's foreign policy, 517 et

fall of Sebastopol, 341

fleet ordered to Constantinople
and reversal of order, 532, 533
fleet sent to Naples on Austria's

occupation of Ferrara, 161 fleet sent to the Dardanelles, 148 growing friendliness towards

France, 126

imminence of war with Russia 534 inaction in Eastern Policy after

Navarino, 66
inststs on division of Danubian

Provinces, 348
Lord Derby's resignation and re-

sumption of office, 532 loss of troops in the Crimen during winter of 1854, 336

mismanagement of the campaign in the Crimea, 336 objections to Treaty of San Ste

fano summed up in a circular to the Powers, 536-7

opinion on Bulgarian massacres,

Palmerston becomes Premier, 336 passing of Reform Bill (1832), 124 et seg policy of Lord Aberdeen and con

lition ministry (1853), 319 Protocol of London respecting

Protocol of London responses frontier 76 protocol with Russia, 58

rejects Berlin Memorandum, 515 repudiates schemes respecting dis integration of the Sultan's

dominions, 315 resignation of Lord Aberdeen's ministry, 336

ministry, 336
resumption of the siege of Sebas
topol, 339 et seg

England—continued secret agreement with Russia, 538 settlement of Lastern Question

(1841), 152 squadron sent to Portugal to demand indemnity for attack on British subjects, 128

state of, in 1851, 309 sympathy with Italian revolution,

391
Talleyrand, and intervention in

Belgian affairs, 101-2 the Constantinople Congress, 522

el seq the "London Protocol," 524 treaty of peace with Russia signed

at Paris (1856), 344 troops land in the Crimea, 331 Turks defeated at Navarino, 65 6

vacifiation on Schleswig-Holstein question, 428 g Volunteer Forces, 378

vote of credit for £6 000 000 for army purposes, 532

Epirus, 80, 540 Erfurt, meeting place of Tederal Parliament (1849), 283

Erzeroum, 531 Eski Sagra, 527 Espartero, General, appointed Re

gent of Spain, 139 defeats the Carlists (1839), t39

exiled, 139
Etienne, St., revolt of working classes at, 122

Etropol, 530 Eugénie, Empress, declines Prussian

conditions of peace, 497 eagerness for war with Prussia,

flight from Puris after Napoleon's surrender at Sedan, 492 insists on MacMahon marching to

relief of Metz, 489 Eupatoria, Bay of, landing of Affices in, 331

Frans, Colonel de f.acy, fends l'ngfish and French volunteers against the Carlists, 137

against the Carlists, 137 Fxhibition, Great, of 1851, 309

FAIDHFREE, General, leads the French army of the North against the Prussians, 500 Fully, General, defeats Garibaldians

nt Mentana, 465 surprised at Beaumont, 490 Falckenstein, Prussian general, 446 Farini (Sardinian commissioner in Modena), 366, 369 accepts dictatorship of Modena, 370 Favre, Jules, addresses circular to European Courts on overthrow of Napoleonic Empire, 493 Minister of becomes Foreign Affairs, 492 meets Bismarck at Ferrières to negotiate for peace, 494 meets Bismarck at Versailles to discuss terms of armistice. proposes deposition of Napoleon III, 492 Ferdinand, King of Spain, death of (1833), 129 Ferdinand I, Emperor of Austria. abdication of, 244 dissolves Hungarian Parliament, and declares its acts null and void, 239 flight from Vienna, 224 flight to Olmütz, 240 succeeds Francis (1835), 167 yields to demands of students and respecting National Guard, 223 Ferdinand II, King of Naples, conquers Sicily, 265 death of, 379 his violence and oppression, 265 proclaims a Constitution, 161 Fernanda, Infanta, marriage of, 182 Ferretti, Mastai, bishop of Imola, elected Pope, 160 Ferrières, meeting of Favre and Bismarck at, 494 Fewzi, Admiral Achmet, hands over Turkish fleet to Mehemet Ali, 148 Fialin (or Persigny), confidant of Louis Napoleon, 301 Fieschi, attempt on the life of Louis Philippe, 123 Fleet, German, sold by auction, 291 Fleury, French officer, and confidant of Louis Napoleon, 301 Florence (see Tuscany) Forbach, 482 Forey, French general, under Louis Napoleon, 306 Forster, W. E., opposes the vote of credit for £6,000,000 for army purposes, 533

"Four Points," the, rejected by Russia, 330
Fourier, M., Socialistic work of, 185 France, abdication and flight of Louis Philippe, 188 abdication of Charles X, 96 aims of Louis Napoleon, 294-5 ambassador withdraws from Turin Sardinian invasion Papal States, 388 annexation of Savoy and Nice, 376 army of the Loire, 496 at Congress of Berlin, 539 attack on the Malakoff, 339 attempt on life of Louis Philippe by Fieschi, 123 insurrections (1849), attempted 262 attitude towards insurrection in Papal States, 112 Austrians defeated at Magenta, 365 battle of Inkermann, 335 battle of Sedan, and surrender of Napoleon, 490, 491 battle of the Alma, 331 battle of Wörth, 483 campaign against the Arabs, 88 capitulation of Metz, 497 capitulation of Paris, and armistice, 502 capture of Algiers by General Bourmont, 89 Comte de Chambord, 510 condition of army in 1870, 479 coup d'état of Dec. 2, 1851, 304 et seg. declaration of war against Russia, 323 declares war, in conjunction with Sardinia, against Austria, 364 declines to send troops to Spain to quell Carlist rebellion, 136 defeat of Russia at battle of the Tchernaya, 341 defeated at Spicheren, Mars-la-Tour, and Gravelotte, 484 et seq. defeated at Weissenburg, 482 defeats Turks at Navarino, 65 demand for Parliamentary reform. deposition of the Emperor, and proclamation of a Republic, 492

Four, Days of June (1848) in Paris,

215, 216

France-continued

dispute with Russia respecting Holy Places in Palestine, 314 effect of Revolution (February, 1848) on Europe, 189, 190

entry of Germans into

(March 1, 1871), 504 fall of Strasburg, 496

Ferrara occupied by Austria, 160 first acts of National Assembly,

fleet despatched to Besika Bay on entry of Russian troops into Danubian provinces, 320

fleet sent to Naples, 161

formation of a government of national defence, 492

franchise limitation law carried.

Gambetta undertakes formation of

national armies, 495 Government of Charles X (1824

1827), 83 et seq growth of friendliness towards England, 126

incompetence and lethargy ministers in war preparation

indignation against Prussia at candidature of Prince Leopold

for the Spanish throne 460 insurrection (four days of June,

1848), 215 insurrection of the Committee and national guard in Paris 506 insurrections in Paris, Lyons

Grenoble, etc., against Gov ernment 122, 123 isolation in 1870, 467

joins in a treaty with England and Russia for suppressing conflict in the Cast, 60

laws of 1835 to suppress sedition,

Louis Napoleon elected President,

220 Louis Napoleon prepares for a

coup d'état, 301 et seq I ouis Napoleon proclaimed Napo leon III (Dec 2, 1852), 308 Louis Napoleon seeks for pro-

longation of his Presidency, 298 Louis Philippe made King, 96 marriage of the Duke of Mont-

pensier to the infanta of Spain, 182

massacre in Parls 307

France-continued

MoMahon's presidency, 510 meeting of Provisional Govern

ment, 211 ministries of Martignae Polignac, 85

misappropriation of public func 480

Napoleon III mediates between

Prussia and Austria, 445 Napoleon plans establishment an Italian Kingdom, 374

Napoleon 111 proposes to dire operations at siege of Seba topol, 340 Napoleon seeks for cession

Luxemburg, 461

National Assembly meets at Bo deaux, 503

National Assembly seeks abolish national workshops 214

national workshops, 212 nature of Revolution of 1830, 97 obtains Venetia for Italy, 445 opposition to Louis Philippe in th

Chambers, 184 order for enlistment of workmen

Orleans taken by Germans, 496

outery against Prussian aggres sion, 462 peace with Austria concluded 1

Villafranca 368 plibiscite maintains Louis Napo

leon in office, 308 prorogation of Chambers, and General Election, 88 et seq

publication of Ordinances in the Monteur, 90 re-occupation of Civita Vecchia,

reconciliation with Hungary, 453 Republic proclaimed, 185

restores Pontifical Government, 263 revision of Constitution for pro-

longing Napoleon's dency rejected, 301

Revolution of February, 1848, 186 Revolution of July, 1830, 92 et

reot of May 15, 1848, 214 rise of I outs Napoleon 217 el seg September Convention with Itily.

siege and capture of Rome, 261 siege of Paris 494

Index France—continued sixteen only out of eighty-seven departments favour war with Prussia, 475 spread of Socialism, 185 given to Viceroy support Egypt, 145, 148 sympathy with Greece, 60 Syria occupied by troops (1860), the Republic under M. Thiers, 510 Thiers arranges terms of peace with Bismarck, 503-4 Foreign Thouvenel appointed Minister, 375 Treaties of Versailles and Frankfort with Germany, 504 treaty of peace with Russia signed at Paris (1856), 344 troops despatched to occupy Rome and restore Papal power, 260 troops land in the Crimea, 331 universal suffrage refused by As-207 sembly, 304 war victory at Sollerino, 367 Walewski dismissed from office. 375 decided against Prussia

war decided against Prussia (1870), 474 Francis II, King of Austria, death of (1835), 167 Francis II, King of Naples, attempts to negotiate alliance with Piedmont, 385 conducted by the French, on fall

of Gaeta, to Papal States, 391 flight from Naples on the advance of Garibaldi, 386

succeeds his father, Ferdinand II,

Francis Joseph I, Emperor of Austria (1848), 244
commands his army in Italy, 367
conflict with Hungarian Assemblies, 409, 410

crowned King of Hungary, 452,

demands surrender of Kossuth,

dissolves Parliament, 244 excluded from Germany, 445, 447 interview with Napoleon III at Villafranca, 368

private arrangements with Napoleon III for defence against Prussia, 464

promises to restore the old Constitution to Hungary, 409, 410 Frankfort, Diet of (sec Diet of Frankfort)

Frankfort, German National Assembly of, 208-9

debates on Primary Rights, 266

discusses German relations with Austria, 273

elects Frederick William IV Emperor, 277

end of the Parliament, 280

German governments reject the Constitution, 279

outrages on ratification of armistice of Malmö, 269

passes the Constitution, 277 Frankfort, rising at, 119

Fredericia, Danish fortress of, 268 Frederick VII, King of Denmark, accession of, 207

death in 1863, 421, 423 publishes draft of a Constitution,

war with Prussia respecting Schleswig-Holstein, 207-8

Frederick William III (Prussia), attitude towards Greece, 59 death in 1840, 176

Frederick William IV (Prussia), attempts to form a union of German states, 282

convokes united Diet at Berlin,

elected Emperor of Germany by Frankfort Parliament, 277

his character, 176-7

letter to Bunsen on England's assistance to Turkey, 325 (note)

letter to Bunsen on the Emperor Nicholas, 337 (note)

manifesto to German people during disturbances of March, 1848, 203

proposes that rights of Christian subjects of the Sultan should be guaranteed, 325

prorogues, and dissolves, Prussian Assembly, 271-2

refuses Imperial crown, 279 succeeds his father in 1840, 176

total failure of attempt to form a German Federal Union, 292 violent language to the Deputies,

withdraws from public affairs, 396 withdraws to Potsdam during riots at Berlin, 270

Frederick Charles Prince besieges | Garibaldi General-continued Metz 488 492 commands central Prussian army

against the French 483 commands Prussian troops Schleswig against Denmark 426

takes part in campaign against

Austria, 443 et seg Frobel member of German Parha ment of Frankfort 242

Froschwiller 484 Frossard General leads French corps against Saarbrucken 482°

GAETA bombardment of and sur render to Sard nian troops

flight of Pius IX to acc Gagern von (President of German National Assembly in 1848)

266 leads Liberals in Federal Parlia ment at Erfurt 284 proposes a conditional union with

Austria 274 Schmerling as chief succeeds minister in Frankfort Parlia

ment 274 Gai Illyrian leader 231

Galic a insurrection of Poles (1846)

Gallipoli English and French troops landed at for the defence of Constantinople 329 534

Gambetta M leaves Paris during the siege to undertake organi sation of national armes 495

proclaims French Republic after Sed 3n 492 resignation and reasons therefor

503

Garibaldi General asks Victor Em manuel to d smiss Calour.

breach with Count Cavour 377 8 captures Palermo and assumes the dictatorship 382 383 commands a body of auxiliaries

during Franco Prussian war declares for the House of Smoy

358 (note) defents Neapolitans at Cajazzo

180

defeats Neapolitans at Milazzo

expedition to Sicily 381 heads a corps in defence of Rome against the French 260

his troops invade Papal States (1867) 465 hostility to cession of Nice to

France 377

lack of administrative faculty 384 leads volunteers against Austria

(1859) 363 365 leaves Rome and escapes to America 261 2

meets Victor Emmanuel 300 proposes to lead expedition against Rome 373

reduces Capua 390 for request lieutenancy

Southern Italy declined by Victor Emmanuel 300 requests Victor Emmanuel to con

sent to his march on Rome returns home 100

triumphant entry into Naples 386 wounded and made prisoner at Aspromonte 433 Gastein Convention of 432 439

Georgakis Greek insurgent 25 Germany agitation in Western Germany 101

Agreement of Gastein 432 an epoch of reaction in 200 et sea assumpt on of title of Emperor by King William 506

cond ton after French Revolution

of 1830 115 et seg conflict in Hesse-Cassel between

ministry and people 286 Constitutions granted in Hanover and Strony 117

denunciation of Frankfort Assem bly 279 et seg

desire for unity amongst the people 208

despotic reaction (1832) 118 el seg disagreement between

and Prussia 431 end of the Parl ament 280 estallishment of a Customs Par

linment 468 first Parliament of German Fm

pire opened at Berlin 500 formation of Federal Constitution and Federal Parliament at

Erfurt, 281

Germany—continued Goito, 229 formation of National Society, 398 Gorgei, Hungarian commander, 246, formation of the Ante-Parliament, 247, 249, 252 Kossuth and, 248 surrenders to Austrians at Vila-Franco-German War, 481 et seq. Frankfort Assembly discusses regos, 253 lation of Austrian Empire to Gortschakoff, Prince Alexander, 532 Berlin Memorandum, 514 rejects interference of the Powers Germany, 273 Frederick William IV refuses to accept the Imperial crown, with regard to Polish affairs, insurrections in Brunswick seeks to dissuade the Czar from Cassel, 116 making peace with England "League of the perors," 511, 512 Three and Allies, 344 Em-Servian campaign, 520, 524-5, 534 meeting of National Assembly at represents Russia at Conference of Vienna (May, 1855), 338 Frankfort, 210 Milutine's measures military organisation (1867), 463 resists mobilisation of troops (1870), 478 Poland, 419 Russian General, leads national fleet sold by auction, 290, Gourko, corps in Bulgaria, 527, 528, 291 530 outrages at Frankfort on ratification of armistice of Malmö, Govone, General, at Berlin, 435 Gramont, Duke of (French Foreign 269 Minister, 1870), 469 favours war with Prussia, 470 progress of Bismarck's work of consolidation, 468 interviews Baron Werther, 472 repressive measures of Metternich, Gravelotte, battle of, 488 119, 120 revival of idea of German union Greece, assassination of Capodistrias, 80 Regency of under Crown Prince William, 397 Capodistrias elected President, 74 rising at Frankfort, 119 Schleswig-Holstein and German civil war, 43 communal organisation of, 6 condition after battle of Navarino, interests, 424, 425 Southern States: alliance with defeat of the Turks, 43 King of Prussia, 463 sympathy with Schleswig-Holstein defeats in the Morea, 48 et seq. double invasion by Turks, 40 in its rebellion against Denfall of the Acropolis of Athens, mark, 205 the Danish war, 427 foundation of Odessa, 17 the Zollverein, 116 Treaty of Prague, 446 gains Thessaly at Congress of union of Northern and Southern Berlin, 540 Greek Church, 5 States, 504 et seq. growth of commerce, 16, 17 war between Austria and Prussia, in the Napoleonic age, I 440 et seq. work of the Assembly, 266 influence of French Revolution, 18 of influence of the bishops, 6 German Gervinus, member intellectual revival in eighteenth National Assembly, 210 Gioberti, aims in his writings at recentury, 12 formation of Italy through the intervention of Great Britain and Papacy, 159 Russia, 58, 59 Gitschin, headquarters of King of islands of, 8 Prussia in campaign against Koraes, 13 et seq., 17 Austria, 443 language of modern, 14 Giulay, General, commands Auslimits of, settled by the Powers, trians in 1859, 365 76, 77 Goeben, General, 500 massacre at Chios, 38

Frederick Charles Prince besieges | Garibaldi General-continued Metz, 488, 492 commands central Prussian army

against the French 483 commands Prussian troops Schleswig against Denmark,

takes part in campaign against Austria, 443 et seg

Frobel, member of German Parlia ment of Frankfort, 242

Froschwiller, 484 Frossard, General, leads French corps against Saarbrucken, 482

GAETA bombardment of, and sur render to Sardinian troops

flight of Pius IX to 255 Gagern von (President of German National Assembly in 1848)

266 leads Liberals in Federal Parlia ment at Erfurt, 284

proposes a conditional union with Austria 274 succeeds Schmerling as chief

minister in Frankfort Parlia ment 274 Gai Illyrian leader 231

Galicia insurrection of Poles (1846) 174 Gallipoli English and French troops

landed at for the defence of Constantinople 329 534 Gambetta M, lewes Paris during the siege to undertake organi sation of national armies

etc 495 proclaims French Republic after

Sedan, 492 resignation, and reasons therefor,

Garibaldi, General asks Victor Em manuel to dismiss Cavour,

389 breach with Count Creour, 377-8 captures Palermo and assumes the dictatorship 382, 383 commands a body of auxiliarles

during Franco Prussian war, declares for the House of Smoy,

328 (note) defeats Venpolitans at Cajazzo. 380

defeats Neapolitans at Milazzo

expedition to Sicily, 381 heads a corps in defence of Rome

against the French 260 his troops invade Papal States

(1867) 465 hostility to cession of Nice to France, 377

lack of administrative faculty, 384 leads volunteers against Austria

(1859), 363, 365 leaves Rome, and escapes to America, 261-2

meets Victor Emmanuel 390 proposes to lead expedition against

Rome, 373 reduces Capua 390 request lieutenancy for

Southern Italy declined Victor Emmanuel 390 requests Victor Emmanuel to con

sent to his march on Rome returns home 390

triumphant entry into Naples 386 wounded and made prisoner at Aspromonte 433

Gastein, Convention of, 432, 439 Georgakis, Greek insurgent 25 Germany, agitation in Western

Germany 191 Agreement of Gastein, 43° an epoch of reaction in 290 el seg assumption of title of I'mperor by King William 506

condition after French Revolution of 1830 115 et seg conflict in Hesse-Cassel between

memstry and people 286 Constitutions granted in Hanover

and Saxony 117 denunciation of Frankfort Assem

bly 779 et seq for unity amongst the desire

people 208 despotic reaction (1832) 118 et seq

between disagreement and Prussia 431

end of the Parliament 280 establishment of a Customs Par

firment 468 first Parliament of German I'm

pire opened at Berlin 506 formation of Federal Constitution and Federal Parliament at

Triurt, 283

Germany-continued Goito, 229 formation of National Society, 398 Gorgei, Hungarian commander, 246, formation of the Ante-Parliament, 208 Franco-German War, 481 ct seq. Frankfort Assembly discusses relation of Austrian Empire to Germany, 273 Frederick William IV refuses to accept the Imperial crown, 279 insurrections in Brunswick and Cassel, 116 "League of the Three En1perors," 511, 512 meeting of National Assembly at Frankfort, 210 military organisation (1867), 463 mobilisation of troops (1870), 478 national fleet sold by auction, 290, outrages at Frankfort on ratification of armistice of Malmö, progress of Bismarck's work of consolidation, 468 repressive measures of Metternich, 119, 120 revival of idea of German union under Regency of Crown Prince William, 397 rising at Frankfort, 119 Schleswig-Holstein and German interests, 424, 425 Southern States: alliance with King of Prussia, 463 sympathy with Schleswig-Holstein in its rebellion against Denmark, 205 the Danish war, 427 the Zollverein, 116 Treaty of Prague, 446 union of Northern and Southern States, 504 et seq. war between Austria and Prussia, 440 et seq. work of the Assembly, 266 member of German Gervinus, National Assembly, 210 Gioberti, aims in his writings at reformation of Italy through the Papacy, 159 Gitschin, headquarters of King of

Prussia in campaign against

commands Aus-

Austria, 443 Giulay, General,

Goeben, General, 500

trians in 1859, 365

247, 249, 252 Kossuth and, 248 surrenders to Austrians at Vilagos, 253 Gortschakoff, Prince Alexander, 532 Berlin Memorandum, 514 rejects interference of the Powers with regard to Polish affairs, 418 seeks to dissuade the Czar from making peace with England and Allies, 344 Servian campaign, 520, 524-5, 534 represents Russia at Conference of Vienna (May, 1855), 338 Milutine's measures resists Poland, 419 Gourko, General, leads Russian corps in Bulgaria, 527, 528, Govone, General, at Berlin, 435 Gramont, Duke of (French Foreign Minister, 1870), 469 favours war with Prussia, 470 interviews Baron Werther, 472 Gravelotte, battle of, 488 Greece, assassination of Capodistrias, 80 Capodistrias elected President, 74 civil war, 43 communal organisation of, 6 condition after battle of Navarino, defeat of the Turks, 43 defeats in the Morea, 48 et seq. double invasion by Turks, 40 fall of the Acropolis of Athens, foundation of Odessa, 17 gains Thessaly at Congress of Berlin, 540 Greek Church, 5 growth of commerce, 16, 17 in the Napoleonic age, 1 influence of French Revolution, 18 influence of the bishops, 6 intellectual revival in eighteenth century, 12 intervention of Great Britain and Russia, 58, 59 islands of, 8 Koraes, 13 et seq., 17 language of modern, 14 limits of, settled by the Powers, 76, 77 massacre at Chios, 38

Greece—continued
Prince I copoid of Saxe-Coburg
accepts (and renounces) the
Crown, 77, 78
Prince Otho of Bavaria made
King, 80
races and institutions, 1: et seg
revolt of the Morea, 25, 33
Rhegas, and his songs, 18
sympathy among the Laberals and
Ultramontanes of France, 60
the Armatoli and Klephis, 7, 8
the Heterat Philike, 20, 21, 22
the Hospodars, 11:

the Sultan to retain paramount sovereignty, 61

transfer of Ionian Islands (1864) by Great Britain, 81 Turks to be removed from the

country, 58
Gregorius IV Patriarch of Con
stantinople, 26

execution of, 27 Gregory AVI, Pope, 111 appeals to Austria for assistance against insurgents of Bologna,

death in 1846, 160
refuses to accept proposals of re
forms recommended by Con
ference of Rome 114

Grenoble revolt of working classes (1834), 122 Grey, Lord, and the English Relorm

Guerazi imprisonment of, 258 Guerazi imprisonment of, 258 Guizot, M. approves of marriage of Duke of Montpensier to the Infanta of Spain, 182 resignation (1848) 187 succeeds Thiers as French

HAM, place of I outs Napoleon's

Premier, 152

Hama, 140
Hambach Castle, demonstration
against German despotism at
118

Hanover annexed by Prussia 145 attempt to form a union with Prussia 282 conquered by Prussia 442

enquered by Prissin 442 insurrection in, 117 secedes from league with Prussia, 283 Hassenpflug, Chief Minister in Hesse Cassel, 286 Hastings, Captain, commands a Greek detachment, 64 Haynau, General, 252, 253 Herzegovian, headed to Austria at

Herzegovina, handed to Austria at Congress of Berlin, 540 revolts against Turkey, 512

Hesse, annexed to Prussia, 445 appeal to Diet of Frankfort, 286 conflict between Ministry and people, 286

conquered by Prussia, 442 Hassenpflug appointed Chief Minister, 286 renewed struggle between Elector

and people, 398
settlement of affairs referred to

Diet of Frankfort, 288
Heteria Philike, the, mangurates
rebellion of Greece, 20 et seq
Hohenlohe, Prince (Prime Minister

of Prussia, 1862), 401 resignation of, 401 Holland bombardment of Antwerp

conflict with Belgium, 103 4
refuses to accept decision of Con
ference of London with regard
to Belgian frontier, 104

Holstein insurrection in, 207 (see, also, Schleswig Floistein) Homs, Turks attacked by Ibrahim

at, 141
Hornby, Admiral commander of English fleet at Besike Bay,

Hortense, mother of I outs Napoleon, 217

Hospodars Alexander Cuza elected Hospodar of Moldavia and Walfachia 549 Greek, 11 Hotel de Ville n Provisional

Government installed in, 188

Louis Philippe addresses mob from, 95 meeting of I afayette's Municipal

Committee (July, 1830) 95 meeting - place of Provisional Government (1848) 211

Government (1848) 211 seized by insurgents, 92 Henbowsky, Austrian general, at

tempts to occupy Carlowitz, 234, 235 Iugo, Victor, arrested by Louis

Hugo, Victor, arra Napoleon, 307 Hungary, affairs in 1825, 163 Austria's vengeance, 253, 254 Austrians enter Pesth, 247 campaign of 1849, 252 capitulation of Vilagos, 253 constitutional rights extinguished, coronation of Francis Joseph, 452, Count Apponyi appointed Chief Minister, 172 declaration of independence, 250 Diet refuses to elect representatives Austrian to Central Council, 410 diploma for restoring old Constitution published by Austria, dissolution of the Diet, 411 Austrians out country, 249 establishment of military rule in, general progress after 1830, 165 Kossuth addresses Chambers on Austrian despotism, 191-2 Kossuth imprisoned for publishing reports of debates, 165 Kossuth's journal, 167 meeting of Diet at Pesth, 410 Parliament withdraws to Debreczin, 247 peasantry laws, 166 powers of the Magyars, 169 reforms of the Diet of 1843, 168 resists establishment of a Central Council, 410 revolt of the Serbs, 231 et seq. Rusian intervention on the side of Austria, 251 schemes of Count Széchenyi, 166 settlement of conflict with Austria, 451 *et seq*. Slavic national movements, 169 the Magyars and Slavs, 164 war with Austria, 245 et seg. wins independence, 195 Hussein Avni, assassination of, 515 deposes Sultan Abdul Aziz, 515 Hussein Pasha, defeated by Egyptians at Beilan, 141 leads Turkish troops into Syria, Hydra, one of the Ægean Islands, 17, 35 Hypsilanti, Demetrius, leader of Greek revolt in the Morea, 36, 42, 48 2 K-2

flight to Austria, 25 IBRAHIM (commander-in-chief Ottoman forces), 45 at siege of Missolonghi, 50 besieges Acre, 140 character of his rule after Peace of Kutaya, 146 conquers Syria and Asia Minor, declared a rebel by the Sultan, devastates the Morea in opposition to proposal for armistice by the Allies, 63, 64 expelled from Syria by European allies, 152 invades the Morea, 47 et seq: Ibraila, capitulates to Russian army, Ignatieff, General (Russian ambassador at Constantinople), 520, draws up the London Protocol, 525 Inkermann, battle of, 335 Innsbruck, place of retreat of Ferdinand I, Emperor of Austria, Inquisition, the, restoration of, 263 Insurrections in France against the Government, 122-3 Ionian Islands, the, made a Republic, 19 transferred by Great Britain to Greece, 81 Isabella, Queen of Spain, dethroned, 468 placed on the throne (1843), 139 Italy, all Italy, excepting Rome and Venice, united under Victor Emmanuel (1861), 391 . Ancona handed over to the Pope by the French (1838), 115 Austria occupies Ferrara, 160 Austrian rule hostile to reforms, battle of Custozza, 230, 444 battle of Magenta, 365 battle of Mentana between Garibaldians and Papal forces, 465 battle of Novara, 256 battle of Solferino, 367 campaign of 1859, 364 et seq. capture of Ancona, 388

Hypsilanti, Prince Alexander, 22 et

dismissed from Russian service, 24

Italy-continued

Cavour's great work for Italian liberty, and his hopes for the future 391 et seg

France 376

Civita Vecchia reoccupied

France 465 conflict with Justrians in Milan

election of Pius IN 160 enthu inem in Rome 160 fill of Venuce 6.4 French intervent on at Rome 239

Garibalde at Aspromonte 433 (dredere) proposes to attaci Rome 37

general war against Austria 100

Gioberti s writings 159 guarantees to the Pope 309 insurrection and Austrian inter vention in Pipal States (1831)

111 et sea insurrection in I onibards and Venice 198 et see

Mazzmi is

Appoleon III con ents to forma tion of an Italian Lingdom under Victor Emmanuel 374 Apoleon III propo es a Con gress at Paris to discuss

Italian questions 37 occupation of Ancona by French

111 overthrow of Papal authority in the Romagna ,66

Peace of Villafranca 368 Piedmont under rule of Victor Lnumanuel 376

Piedmontese troops enter Umbrin and the Warche 387 160 political amnests

projected alliance with Austria 166

publication of the pamphlet "The Pope and the Congress 374

recapture of Malan 230 reformation of the Papacy proposed 150

relations with Prussia and Austria 355 revolutionary period (August 1848

-March 1849) 254 765 September Convent on 434 Scile and Naples conquered to

Garataldi 383 et seg

Italy-continued takes possession of Rome soo

Treaty of Zurich 369 union of Tuscany, Parma Modena and the Romagna a 6

Venice ceded 445 Victor Emmanuel 257-8 352 3 366 376 388 391 466 509 war of 1866 444

IANINA Siege of 34 Janusaries (Turkish) 68 Jellacic (Governor of Croatia) 213 allowed to resume his government and becomes the champ on of Austrian unity *35 236

appointed commander of all the forces in Hungary 219

summoned to the Imperor of Instrum at Innsbruck 234 John Archduke appointed adminis trator of Lustrian Empire 66

mediates with Croatia 235 refuses to suppre s Baden insur rection 281

Junez (Pres dent of Mexican Re public) driven from power 459

KANARDJI Treats of 16 316 Kaltesti Senate of 36 Kanaris Greek captain hero c ex plost against Turks 39 40

Kapolan battle of 48 baravas Greek conspirator 3 kars captured by Russians 342

531

Kacatch Bar 33* Kesanlik 5** 53 157

Turki h admiral takes Khosren P 313 46

Khurslad Ottoman commander 34

Provisional oi Kiel formation Government 207

harbour of 430 Klapka Hungarian general 248

Mephts the Kolettes Greek min ster 44

Kolokotrones Theodore Greek com mander in 36 38 42 43 44 Imprisonment of 44

reinstated 48 Pre ident of Creek Konduriottr«

Chambers 44 46 honeh Lattle of between FEYP tians and Turks 141

Koniggratz, battle of, between I Prussia and Austria (1866). Koraes, Greek scholar, 13 cl seg. statement respecting Greek navy, Korniloff, Russian general in the Crimea, 332, 333 Rossuth, Hungarian deputy, address to Hungarian Chambers on Austrian despotism, 191-2 appointed governor of Hungary, circulates reports of debates in defiance of Austrian Emperor, edits a Liberal journal at Pesth. flight into Turkey, 313 heads democratic movement at Pesth, 195 his patriotic oratory, 171 hostility to Austria, 236 orders march against Austrians during revolt of Vienna, 241 protected by the Sultan against of Austria demands Russia for his surrender, 313 refuses to acknowledge **50100%** eignty of Francis Joseph in Hungary, 455 Vienna Parliament of Kremsier, meets at, 243, 244 Krudener, Russian general in Bulgaria, 527, 528 defeat of Reschid Kulewtscha, Pasha at, 72 Kutaya, Peace of, between Turkey and Egypt, 142 LAFAYETTE, head of Provisional Government, 93, 112 takes part in the Revolution of July, 1830, 95 Lassitte (French deputy), advances the cause of the Duke of Orlcans, 93, 94 head of Louis Philippe's Government, 112 resigns office, 113 Lamartine, M., member of French 211, 359

Lithuania, 108 dor, 521 Provisional Government (1848), 462 loss of power on election of Louis Lorrainc, ceded to Germany by Treaties of Versailles and Napoleon to Presidency of the Republic, 220 Lamberg, General, murder of, 239 Frankfort, 504

Lamenais, 159 Lamoricière, General, leads Papal troops against the Picdmontese, 388 surrenders fortress of Ancona, 388 Langensalza, battle of, 442 Lanskoi, Russian Minister, prepares, with Milutine, the charta for liberation of serfs, 414 removed from office, 415 Laréveillère, 89 Latour, Austrian minister, 237 Laval, 501 Layard, Mr., succeeds Sir H. Elliot English Ambassador Constantinople, 533 "League of the Three Emperors" (1872), 511, 512 League of the Three Kingdoms (Prussia, Saxony, etc.), 283, 284
1f, French War Hanover. Lebeuf, Minister (1870), 470, 480 Lecounte, General, murdered by the Commune of Paris, 508 Le Mans, 501 Leopold, Prince, of Hohenzollern-Sigmaringen, candidate for throne (1868), 468-9 withdraws his candidature, 472 Leopold, Prince of Save-Coburg, accepts Crown of Greece, 76 elected King of Belgium, 103 renounces the Greek Crown, 78 Lesseps, M., French envoy to Rome, 261 Levant, commerce of the, under Mehemet Ali's rule, 146 Lisbon, English and French subjects attacked in, 128 Lissa, naval battle of, 444 the nobles rebel against Russia, Loftus, Lord A., British Ambassa-Lombardy, insurrection of 1848, 198 united with Piedmont, 368-9 war with Austria, 226 London, Protocol of, 524 London, Treaty of (1827), 60; (1834), 131; (1852), 290, 421; (1867), Lornsen, work on the independence of the German Duchies, 206

Louis VIII, death of (1824) 60 Louis Napoleon aims at a prolonga tion of his Presidency 298 annexes Nice and Savoy, 376 announces his opposition to a Sir dinian invasion of the Papal

States 387 attitude towards Prussia a

1867, 463 commands his army in Italian campaign 167

coup d'état of December 2, 1851

declares peaceful policy of France

decline of fortune after 1863 457 8 demands cession of Bayarian

Palatinate and Western Hesse 447 demands measures from new

ministry limiting the franchise (1850) 296 797 demands re establishment of uni

versal suffrage 304 design to acquire Belgium 449 design to restore the Pope 259 effects restoration of the Pope 263 elected President of the Republic

220
election to National Assembly 217
escapes visits Paris is elected
Deputy but resigns 218 219
fails to secure alliances with the

Powers, 467 failure of Mexican expedition 458

et seq
his proclamations 405
his reception in Paris 406
his views on the interests of
France as affected by Prusso

Austrian war 441
imprisonment at Ham 218
incapacity in command of his
army against Prussia 485
interview with Emperor Francis
Joseph at Villafranca 268

letter to Colonel Ney 263 295
mediates between Prussia and
Austria 445
meets Bismarci at Biarritz 432

message to the Assembly dismissing the ministry 295 negotiates with Count Cavour at

Plombières respecting with Austria 360

negotiates with Ling of Holland for cession of Luxemburg, 460 I outs Napoleon—continued placed in captivity at Wilhelms hohe 49°

prepares for a coup d clat, 301 presents himself to troops at Strasburg as Emperor, 218 private arrangements with Aus

trian Emperor for defence against Prussia 464

proclaimed Emperor (1852) 308 proposes a Congress at Paris for consideration of Italian ours

tions 372 proposes a European Congress

proposes incorporation of Danu bian Principalities with Aus

protests against the Pope's tyran

nous policy 263
re elected Deputy 219
secret design for extending the

French frontier 429 seef s defensive affiance with Italy

against Prussia 466 seeds to win the support of the

sent to America but returns and repeats his attempt at Bou logne 218

supported by Thiers 295 surrenders to Ling William at

Sedan 491
vote of Assembly against revision
of Constitution for prolonging

his Presidency 301

Louis Philippe Diff of Orleans 86

abdicates in favour of his gran!

son the Comte de Paris 188

approves of election of Leopold of Save Coburg as King of Bel gium 103

eritical relations with Austria and

declines to assist Spain in quelling Carlist rebellion 136

flight from Paris 188
growing unpopularity of 125 127

his life attempted by Fieschi 173 intrigues for marriage of his son 181

made King of France 97 made Lieutenant General o

france of marriage of the Duke of Mont

pensier to the Infanta 182 marries daughter of Leri nant of

Sicily, 87

Louis Philippe-continued policy and influence as citizen-King, 97 et seq. struggle with the Reform party in the Chambers, 186 Louvre, the, seized by mob, 93 Lovatz, 527 wrested from the Turks, 528 Lubecki, member of Polish council, Lucan, Earl of, English commander of cavalry, at Balaclava, 334 Luxemburg, 103, 104 declared neutral territory Treaty of London (1867), 462 III negotiates Napoleon with King of Holland for its cession to France, 460 Lyons, revolt of working classes (1834), 122 McMahon, General, army defeated at Wörth, 484 commands French troops against Austrians, 365 marches to the relief of Bazaine at Metz, 489 succeeds Thiers as President of the Republic, 510 wounded at Sedan, 491 Magenta, battle of, 365 assists Louis Magnan, General, Napoleon in his coup d'état of December 2, 1851, 302 Magnates, Chamber of (Hungary), 163, 164 Magyars, 156, 163, 164, 165, 167, 169, 170, 233, 234, 239, 240, 408, 409, 451 *et seq.* Mahmud II, Sultan of Turkey, 38, 40, 44, 45 campaign of Nissib, 147 death of, 147 declares Mehemet Ali and his son, Ibrahim, rebels, 140 defeated at Beilan and Konieh, 141 manifesto after battle of Navarino, Peace of Kutaya, 142 Malakoff, the, assault on, 341 capture of, 341 Malmö, Armistice of, between Denmark and Prussia, 268 Manara, leader of Lombard volunteers, 260 Manin, Daniel, as political prisoner,

Manin, Daniel-continued chief of Provisional Government, declares for the House of Savoy, 358 (note) released from prison, 199 resumes office, 230 (note), 264 retirement on union with Piedmont, 229 Manteuffel (Prussian Minister of the Interior), 287-8 appointed Chief Minister, 288 dismissed by Crown Prince Regent, 397 on the weakness of the Prussian army, 399 unpatriotic policy of, 292 Manteuffel, General (son of above), conquers Amiens and Rouen, 500 defeats Bavarians, 446 leads troops into Holstein, 439 mission to St. Petersburg, 449 Marches, the, 380 entry of Piedmontese troops, 387 Maria Christina of Naples, carries out intrigue for the "Spanish Marriages," 182 compelled to restore Constitution of 1812, 138 declared regent on death of Ferdia nand, 131 marries King Ferdinand of Spain, 130 resigns the Regency and quits Spain, 139 returns to Madrid, 139 Maria, Donna, daughter of Emperor of Brazil, 128 Maria Theresa, liberates serfs, 414 Marmont, French general, 91, 92 Marmora, La, Italian Prime Minister, 434 attitude towards Prussia France, 465 commands army against Austria at Custozza, 444 declines to accept Venetia from Austria, 438 Mars-la-Tour, Prussian attack at, Marsala, landing Garibaldi's of troops at, 381 Martial law proclaimed in Vienna, Martignac, Vicomte de (chief French minister), 85 dismissal of, 85

Maupas, M, appointed by Louis | Metternich-continued Napoleon to management of French police, 302, 303 Maurokordatos, Alexander, founder

of a line of Hospodars, 11 Maurokordatos, Greek leader (1821).

36, 39, 41, 42 Maximilian, Emperor of Mexico.

fall and death, 459, 460 Mazas, Prison of, 305

Mazzini, at Rome in 1849, 258 exalted patriotism of, 157, 158, 395 leads incursion into Savoy (1834), 120, 121

letter to Bismarck on Napoleon's resolve to make war on Prus s12, 467 (note)

offers to assist Victor Emmanuel in the establishment of ftaban

union, 372 projects for capture of Rome and Venice, 383

Viehemet Ali (Pasha ond Viceroy of Egypt), 44, 45 character of his rule, 145 conflict with Turkey, 140, 141, 142

Egypt conferred on him, 152 Peace of Kutnya gives Syria and Adana to him, 142

relinquishes conquered proxinces, 152

second war, 147 sends army into Palestine, 140 victories over Turks, 141 2

Mendizabal, succeeds Toreno Spanish War Minister, 137 Menotti, leads insurrection at Mo

dena (1831), 111 Menschikoff, Prince (Russian emoy to Constantinople), 315 commands Russians in the Cri

mea 331 Mentana, battle of between Gara baldians and Papal troops,

Messenhauser, commander of volun teers during revolt of Vienna

(1848), 242 Messina bombarded by Ferdinand

of Naples, 265 rising against Neapolitan rule, 161 surrendered to Sardinian troops 391

Metternich, condemnation of the Greek revolt, 32 Eastern policy, 29 efforts to form a coalition against

Russia, 71

flight to England, 194 intervention in Papal States, 113 policy in Austrian Italy, 162 resignation during Revolution of

1848, 193 returns to Vienna, 29r rigorous measures repress

Liberal movements in Ger many, 119, 120 statement respecting insurrection

ın Galıcıa, 174 views with disgust Anglo Russian

protocol for intervention in Greece, 59 Metz, 477, 479

capitufates to the Prussians, 497 Mexico, Expedition of France to, 458 et sea

fall and death of Maximilian, 460 recall of French troops, 459 Miaoulis, Hydriote admiral, 80

Midhat Pasha, deposes Sultan Abdul Azız, 515 proposes a Constitution, 522

rejects proposals of Powers for an international Commission, 524

Miguel, Don, son of King John of Portugal causes himself to be proclaimed King of Portugal (1828), 128

defeated and removed from Portu

gal, 132 his fleet destroyed off St Vincent by volunteer forces under Captain Charles Napier, 129 his violence, 128

unites with Don Carlos, 131 Milan, entry of Austrians, 230 evacuation by Austrians, 365 6 insurrection of 1848, 162, 198

Vilazzo battle of 383 General, mortally Visioradovitsch, nounded, 57

Visutine, Nicholas, carries out in Poland the Russian measures

for division of land among the peasantry, 418, 419 prepares the charter for liberation

of Russian serfs, 414 removed from office, 415 Mina, Spanish general leads troops

against the Carlisis, 114, 135 Minghettl, Italian Prime Minister,

414 " Ministry of the Last flour," the, Missolonghi, retaken by Greeks, 76 sieges of, 42, 49 Missunde, Prussians defeated at, 426 incorporated with Pied-Modena, montese monarchy, 228, 376 Modena, Duke of, flight from his dominions, 366 his tyranny, 156 Mohammedans, attacked in Central

Greece, 34 in Greece, 5, 7 massacre of, in the Morea, 26

Moharem Bey, 65

Moldavia, entry of Russian troops (1853), 318proposed (and the actual) union

with Wallachia, 348, 349 rising of the Greeks, 23

Moltke, General, arranges terms of capitulation of Sedan, 491 movements of Prussian troops against Austria (1866),

in the campaign of 1839, 147 organises Turkish army, 146 plans for war with France, 477-8 Montalembert, M., spokesman in French National Assembly on behalf of Catholicism, 314

Montbéliard, 502

Montenegro, declares war against Turkey, 515

independence recognised by Treaty of San Stefano, 534 supports revolt of Herzegovina,

takes arms against Turkey, 349

Montmartre, 507 Montpensier, Duke of, marries the Infanta Fernanda, 182

Morea, the, 15, 16 Greek rising, 25, 26 Mohammedans massacred in, 26

second revolt, 36 Morny, half-brother of Louis Napo-

leon, 301 Mortemart, Duc de, French Ambassador at St. Petersburg, 92,

93, 94 Motu Proprio (Pontifical edict), 263

Lebanon, civil war and Mount massacres in, 349

Mukhtar Pasha, 526, 531 Münchengrätz, meeting-place Emperors of Russia and Austria in 1833 to consort for suppression of revolutionary movements, 121

Murad V, accession of, 515 Murayieff, General, crushes Polish rebellion (1864), 418. Russian envoy to Constantinople,

NAPIER. SIR CHARLES, captures Acre, 152 destroys navy of Don Miguel off St. Vincent, 129 Naples, accession of Francis II, 379 advance of Garibaldi's troops and Sardinian fleet upon, 385-6 Cavour's double policy with re-

gard to, 384

Constitution granted, 161-2 death of Ferdinand II, 379 Ferdinand II, 161, 264, 265 flight of King Francis, 386 insurrection of May, 1848, 227 rejects the constitutional system proposed by Cavour, 380

triumphant entry of Garibaldi, 386 Napoleon III (see Louis Napoleon)

Napoleon, Prince Jerome, 360 betrothed to Princess Clotilde, 362 Narvaez, head of Spanish Government (1843), 139

Nassau, annexed to Prussia, 445 National Liberal party in Prussia,

National Society, a, formed in Germany, 398

Nauplia, siege of, 48 Nautical Islands, the, 35 Navarino, battle of (1827), 64-66 capitulates to the Egyptians, 48 surrender of, to Greek insurgents,

Navarre, headquarters of Carlist rebellion (1834), 132

Nemours, Duc de, elected King of the Belgians, 103

his election annulled by Louis Philippe, 103

Netherlands (see Belgium, Holland) Ney, Colonel (son of Marshal Ney), 263

Louis Napoleon's letter to, 263, 295

Nice, annexed to France, 376 effect of the annexation on Europe, 378

Nicholas, Emperor of Russia, 56 affronted at Turkey's concessions to France, 315, 316

Nicholas, Emperor-continued attempts to mediate between Prus sia and Austria respecting affairs in Hesse Cassel, 287

death (1855), 337 demands surrender of Hungarians

from Turkey, 313 invasion of Poland, 109 lack of sympathy with the Greeks,

occupies the Principalities 318 nolicy in 1848 312 policy towards Poland during in

surrection of 1830, 108 principle of autocratic rule, 57 rejects the " Four Points," 330 seeks to negotiate with respect to

Turkey, 311
speaks of Turkey as the "sick or
dying man" 312

visits England in 1844, 311 war with England and France

war with Turkey 321 Nicholas Grand Duke, 531 Nicolsburg preliminaries of peace signed at, 446

Niropolis, 526, 527 Niel French general in the Crimea.

Nightingale, Florence 336 Nigra, Italian ambassador at Paris. report on ideas of Napoleon III respecting a Congress, 442 (note)

battle of, between Turks and Nissib Egyptians, 147 Novara, battle of, between Austrians

and Sardinians 256

ODESSA foundation of (1792), 17 O'Donell Vice Governor of Milan captured by insurgents, 198 Ollivier, M (President of French

Cabinet 1870) 470 averse to war with Prussia 470

ignorance of condition of army. 480

resignation of, 485

Olmütz 210 Convention of, respecting dissolution of Prussian Union, etc.

Oltenitza battle of 322 Omar Pasha, defeats Russians at Olienitza, 322

Oporto, besieged by Don Miguel, 120 taken by Don Pedro (1832), 129 Orleans, re-occupied by Prussians,

retaken by the French, 400 taken by General von der Tann,

taken by the Prussians, 496 Tann driven from, 499 Orsini, conspiracy of, 378

Osman Pasha, 528, 529 occupies Plevna, 527 surrenders to Russians at Plevna,

Otho, King of Greece, 80 Oudinot, French general, enters Rome, 262 sent to Italy, 260, 261

PADUA, revolt in, 162 Palatinate, Bavarian, 117 reactionary measures against

Liberalism, 118 Palermo, captured by Garibaldi, who Dictatorship assumes Sicily, 382 3

Depretis appointed Pro Dictator, revolution of 1848, 161

surrendered to Ferdinand Naples, 265

Palestine, dispute between France and Russia respecting Holy Places in, 314 et seg

Palestro, battle of between Aus trians and Piedmontese, 365 Palikao Count of, succeeds Ollivier as head of French Ministry,

Palmerston, Lord, 104 149 accepts arrangements settling Egypt upon Mehemet Ah, 153 advice to Austria respecting Lom

bardy, 228 as Foreign Secretary secures indemnity from Portuguese Government for attack on

British subjects, 128 attitude during Danish War, 429 declines to share with France the consequences of Intervention

In Spain for quelling Carlist rebellion, 136 favours war with Russia 321

obstituacy on the Fastern Question (1840), 150, 152

Paris-continued Palmerston, Lord-continued revolution of February, 1848, 186 on the dissolution of the Turkish Empire, 317 (note) et seq. policy during Crimean War, 350 riot of May, 1848, 214 second siege of, 507-8 proposes a marriage between a Prince of Saxe-Coburg sorties, 499, 500, 501 Treaty of (1856), between Russia, the Queen of Spain, 182 Great Britain, and allies, 344 succeeds Lord Aberdeen as Prime withdrawal of Government troops Minister, 336 view of growth of Russian power, to Versailles, 507 of, Paris, Archbishop mortally wounded in insurrection of Papal Allocution of April 29, 1848, June, 1848, 216 the, 226 Papal States, Ancona captured by Paris, Count of, 188 Sardinian troops, 388 Parma, incorporated with Piedmontese Monarchy, 228 events of 1848-1849, 254 unites with Modena under dictainsurrection of 1831, 111 torship of Farini, 369, 370 intervention of Austria, and suppression of revolt, 113 Parma, Duchess of, 366 Sardinian troops occupy Umbria Paskiewitsch (Russian commander), and the Marches, 387 conqueror of Kars (1829), 109, 252 second insurrection, and second consulted by Emperor Nicholas, Austrian intervention, 114 (and see Rome) 328 siege of Silistria, 329 Paris, abdication and flight of Louis Philippe, 188 Patriarch of Constantinople, 5, 6 Archbishop of Paris killed, 216 execution of, 26, 27 Peasantry, converted into indepencapitulation of, 502-3 civil war in, 506 dent proprietors in Austria, consternation after Wörth, 485 emancipation in Hungary, 195. in Greece, 2, 3, 7, 54 destruction wrought by the Comin Hungary (1832), 166 mune, 508 entry of the Germans (March 1, in Poland, 106 made landowners in Poland by 1871), 504 Russia, 418, 419 Fieschi's attempt on the life of Louis Philippe, 123 rising in Roumania, 246 Pedro, Don, Emperor of Brazil. forty thousand inhabitants perish enters Lisbon on destruction during the siege, 502 of Constitution, 129 Hôtel de Ville seized by invades Portugal, 129 surgents, 92 insurgents seize the Tuileries and Sir Robert, English the Louvre, 92, 93 insurrection of July, 1830, 91 Minister, 312 Pélissier, General, French commander in the Crimea, 340 insurrection of the Commune and Pepe, Neapolitan general, 227, 228 National Guard (1871), 506, Perier, Casimir, death of, 115 507 pacific policy misunderstood, 122 insurrection of workmen, June, sends troops to occupy Ancona, 1848, 215 insurrections 1832-1834, 122, 123 114 succeeds Laffitte as Premier, 113 investment by the Germans, 494 Louis Napoleon's coup d'état of Persano, Sardinian admiral, 381 incites insurrection at Naples by December, 2, 1851, 306 of, order of Count Cavour, 385 in reign of reconstruction Napoleon III, 457 refers his diary to Azeglio, 387 (note) troops re-entry of Government into, 508 Persigny (or Fialin), confident of Louis Napoleon, 301 Republic proclaimed, 188

2 K*-2

570 Peschiera, 229 Pesth, bridge uniting the double capital of Hungary, 166 democratic movement headed by Kossuth, 195 evacuated by the Austrians, 249, Jellacic marches against, 237 martial law proclaimed, 239 meeting of Parliament at, 236 murder of Lamberg at, 230 occupied by Austrians, 247, 252 Petrobei, leader of Greek revolt in the Morea, 36 Phanariots, the Greek, 11 Philhellenes, corps of, 41 Philippopolis, 530 Piedmont, after 1849, 352 et seq allied with France in war against Austria, 362 Cayour, Prime Minister, 354 et ecclesiastical reform under Victor Emmanuel and Massimo d'Azeglio, 353, 354

events of 1848-9 199 et seq , 226 et seg , 254 et seg movement in Central Italy for

union with, 366 seizure of Ancona, 388 troops enter Umbria and Marches, 387

union of Naples and Sicily with, 379 et seq union with Tuscany, Parma, Mo

dena, and the Romagna, 376 Pius VIII, Pope, death of, 111 Pius IX, disavows sympathy with war of Lombards against Austria, 226

elected Pope (1846) 160 flight from Rome after murder of Rossi, 255

guaranteed various rights by Italian Parliament, 509

his indignation at the pamphlet "The Pope and the Con gress," 374 loses temporal power by Italian

occupation of Rome, 500 " Prisoner of the Vatican," 500 publishes amnesty for political offences, 160

refuses to consider any proposals for Italian reform, 373 4 restored by the French 263 seeks to restore the Inquisition, 263

Plevna, battles of, 527 el seq fall of, 530 Plombières, Napoleon's with Cavour at, 360

Podolia, insurrection in, 417 Poland, a secret National Govern ment in, 417

becomes a province of Russia, 110 condition of (1861), 415 General Muravieff crushes rebel

lion in Lithuania, 418 Grand Duke Constantine pointed Viceroy at Warsan,

insurrection at Warsaw (1830), 106 invaded by Russia, 109 levy, and insurrection in 1863,

416 ownership of land given to the peasantry, 419 Russians capture Warsaw, 110

revolt an Prussia and Austrian Poland (1846), 173 the Czar's endeavours to Russian

ise social and national life, 420 tumults at Warsaw, 415

Polignac Jules (chief French Minis ter), 85 project to suspend the Constitu

tion, 88 " Pope and the Congress, The,"

publication of, 374 Porte, the, officials of, 10

(see, also, Turkey) Portugal, attacks by Miguel on English and French subjects, 228

Constitution destroyed, 128 Don Miguel causes himself to be Proclaimed King, 128 Don Pedro enters Lisbon, 129 English and French squadrons ap-

pear in the Tagus 128 indemnity procured by England, 128

invasion by the Emperor Pedro, 129

Miguel defeated and expelled from the Peninsula, 131, 132

reign of terror in, 128 Potsdam withdrawal of Frederick William IV to, 270

Pragmatic Sanction, edict of, 130. 131 Prague, riots In (1848) 225

Treaty of, 446 Presburg, 195, 196 Press, 'freedom of the, Bismarck's suppressive measures in Prussia, 406, 407 extended in Germany, 117 France under restrictions in Charles X, 89, 90

suppression of journals in Germany during reaction of 1832,

General. chief mover Prim, Spanish Revolution (1868), 468 Prussia, a National Assembly pro-

mised by the King, 204 accession of Frederick William

IV (1840), 176

accession of the Prince Regent to the throne, 400 approves the "Four Points," 337

armistice of Malmö with Denmark, 268

attempt to form a Federation of German States, 282, 283 Bismarck becomes Prime Minis-

ter, 401

Bismarck's measures against the Press, 406-7

capitulation of Metz, 497

capitulation of Paris, and armis-

tice, 502-3 causes of success in war against

France, 480 et seg.

commencement of war with Austria, Hanover, Saxony, and Hesse-Cassel, 440

condition after French Revolution

of 1830, 115 conflict between King and Parliament on the Army Bill, 401

Austria conflict in respecting affairs in Hesse-Cassel, 286 et seq.

continuation of struggle between Bismarck and the Liberals (1863), 405-6

Convention of Gastein, 432

Brandenburg's appoint-Count ment, 271

Crown Prince William appointed Regent, 396

Danish War, 426

death of Frederick William III,

defeats Austria at Königgrätz, 444 dissolution of Parliament, 401 dissolution of the Assembly, 272 events in Berlin, March, 1848, 201 fidelity of the army to the throne, 271

Prussia-continued

formation of Federal Council and Federal Parliament at Erfurt,

Frederick William IV withdraws from public affairs, 396

Italian alliance with, 436

King Frederick William IV still withholds Constitution. а 115

Moltke's plans for war, 477 opening of first Parliament of the Empire at Berlin, 506

Paris entered by Prussian troops,

parliamentary measures of 1862,

peace with Denmark, 289 policy at opening of Crimean

War, 325 et seq. prepares for war, 477 et seq.

Prince Hohenlohe appointed Prime Minister, 401

progress of commerce in, 116 prorogation of the Assembly, 272 question of Prince Leopold's election to Spanish throne, 471 et

rejection of army-clause in the Budget, 404

relieving armies overthrown, 498 et seq.

reorganisation of army by Crown Prince Regent, 399

resignation of Hohenlohe, 401 against riots at Berlin the

National Assembly, 269, 270 Schleswig - Holstein: Bismarck's plans regarding, 424

secret treaties with South German States, 447

secures Schleswig-Holstein jointly

with Austria, 428 seeks the Czar's mediation respecting affairs in Hesse, 287

sends plenipotentiaries to Paris Conference (1856), 344

struggle Upper between and Lower Houses on the Budget, 404

submits to Austria's demands for dissolution of Prussian union and withdrawal of troops from Hesse, 288

surrender of Emperor Napoleon at Sedan, 491

terms of peace with Austria, 445 et seq.

Prussia-continued

the Assembly, refusing to disperse, are driven from their halt, 272 the King publishes a Constitu

tion, 273

the Zollverein, 116

title of Emperor assumed by King William 506 Treaties of Versailles and Frank

fort, 504 Treaty of Vienna, 428

Treaty of Vienna, 428 troops invest Paris 494 understanding with Allies

Turkish affairs, 152 United Diet convoked at Berlin, 177 8

union of Northern and Southern States of Germany, 504 victories of Spicheren, Mars la

Tour, and Gravelotte 484 487, 488 victories over French at Weissen

burg and Worth, 483 view of Anglo Russian Protocol for intervention in Greece 59

war with Denmark respecting Schleswig Holstein, 207 withdrawal from Luxemburg, 462

Psara (Ægean Islands), 17, 35 destroyed by I gyptians 46 Puchner Austrian commander leads troops against Hungary, 246

QUADRUPLE Treaty Russin and the 149 et seq Quentin St 500

Quentin St 500 Queretiro Maximilian sentenced to death and shot at, 460

RAAB, surrendered to Austrans (1849) 252 Radetzky (Austrian commander in chief), at Novara, 256 258 campaign in Northern Italy, 226

carries out fortifications in Italy

fails to suppress insurrection at Milan 198, 199 reconquers Venetia 229

retirement of, 158
Ridowitz General projector of Ger
man Federal Union 287
resigns office of Chief Prussian

Minister, 288
Raglan Lord (British commander
fn the Crimea) besieges the
Redan, 339, 340, 341

Raglan, Lord-continued death of, 341

defeats Russians at the Alma, 331 thwarted by the French, 340 Ramorino, leads part of Sardinian

army against Austria, 256
Rastadt, fall of, and insurrection of

July, 1849, 282 Rattazzi (Piedmontese Minister), 354

Ratiazzi (Piedmontese Minister), 354 comments on Garibaldi's at tempted march on Rome, 434 resigns office, 375

succeeds Carour as Prime Minis ter, 369

Rechberg, Count, Foreign Minister at Vienna (1864), 426

Redan the, assault on, 340
Redchiffe, Lord Stratford de British
Ambassador at Constanti

nople, 317 negotiates with Menschikoff re specting Russian rights in the

Holy Places, etc., 318
opposes King of Prussia's propo
sition respecting rights of
Sultan's Christian subjects

325
" Reflections on the French Revolu

tion" Burke's 519 (note)
Reform Bill, Finglish, passing of
(1832), 124, 125

Regnier his secret negotiations he tween the Prussians and the Empress Eug(nie, 497

Reichsrath, the Austrian, assembles at Vienna (1861) 411

Reichstadt, Duke of, son of Napo leon Bonaparte, 112, 217 Reichstadt, Treats of (1876), be

tween Russin and Austria, 519 520 Reschid (Turkish commander at

Missolonghi) 49
defeated at Konieh by Fgyptians,

defeated by Russians at Kulewi

sch1, 72
takes the Aeropolis of Athens, 51
Reschid Pasha (Turkish minister),

full of 154
his reforms in Turkey 154
Resolutionary enoch of 1848 character

Revolutionary epoch of 1848, charac teristics of 2057

Rhegas, Greek poet '18 Rigny, Admiral de, French admiral,

Rodil Spanish general, defeats

forces of Miguel, 131

Em-

Rodosto, 531 Roussin, Admiral, French ambassa-Rollin, Ledru, member of French dor at Constantinople, 142 Provisional Russell, Earl, 319 Government attitude during Danish War, 430 (1848), 212 demands impeachment of proposal respecting Schleswig-Ministry, 262 Holstein at Conference of London (1864), 427-8 National Assembly condones his views on Italian affairs, 373 Russia, advances on the Balkans, offences, 214 Republican circular, 213 Romagna, united to Piedmont, 526 et seq. armistice with Turkey, 531 under Victor Emmanuel, 376 battle of Balaclava, 333 Rome, becomes the national capital, capitulation of Shipka, 530 509 capture of Kars, 342, 531 besieged and captured by French, 258 et seq. capture of Warsaw, 110 claims in Turkey, 316 conference after insurrection in Papal States, 114 concessions of Czar in Poland, insufficient to prevent national enthusiasm on publication of amnesty for political offences by Pius IX, 160 insurrection, 416 condition of, under Alexander II, entry of Italian troops, 509 excluded from the new Italian Conference of Constantinople, 523 Kingdom (1861), 391 Congress of Berlin, 539 failure of Garibaldi's attempted Danubian Provinces evacuated. march on, 433 death of Alexander I, 55 flight of the Pope, 255 declares war against Turkey, 525 French garrison withdrawn, 465 defeat at Inkermann, 335 Mazzini's project for capture of, defeated at battle of the Alma, 331 383 murder of Rossi, 255 discontent and conspiracies, 53, 54 republic proclaimed by Constituent dispute with France respecting Assembly, 255 restoration of Pius IX, 263 Holy Places in Palestine, 314 enforces Servian armistice, 520 entry of troops into Adrianople, the Motu Proprio, 263 the "Prisoner of the Vatican," 531 fall of Plevna, 530 (and see Italy, Papal States) fall of Sebastopol, 341 freed from obligations of Black Roon, General, Prussian Minister of War, 401 supports the "blood and iron" Sea treaty of 1856, 509 imminence of war with England, policy of Bismarck, 403, 404 534 Rosa, Martinez de la, gives a Conintervention against Hungary stitution to Spain, 131 (1849), 251 Pellegrino, ambassador of intervention in Turkey, 28, 143 Rossi, invasion of Poland, 109 Louis Philippe at Rome, 254 joins in Quadruple Treaty, and murder of, 255 Rouen, occupied by Prussians, 500 declaration as to Dardanelles, Rouher, M., French minister, 465 149 et seg. " League the Three of Roumania, 10 perors," 511, 512 liberation of serfs in, 414 allied with Russia in war against Turkey, 526 Charles of Hohenzollern elected losses in the Crimea, 342 hereditary Prince, 349 military insurrection at St. Petersburg, 56 Nicholas Emperor, 56 Hypsilanti in, 23 recognised by independence Treaties of San Stefano and opposes Victor Emmanuel's assumption of title of King of Berlin, 534 rising in Transylvania, 246 Italy, 391

Russia-continued with outbreak of hostilities Turkey, 322 Peace of Adrianople, 73 peasants in Poland made land proprietors 419 project of joint intervention in Greece, 52 proposes a Congress to discuss Italian affairs, 363 proposes Conference of London on Luxemburg question, 461 protocol with England 5% recall of ambassadors from I on don and Paris, 323 regains right of having warships and arsenals in the Black Sea (1871) 350 rejects amended Vienna Note, 320 secret agreement with Lugiand 538 ö takes part at Navarino 63 the Grand Dukes Constantine and Nicholas, 56 the "London Protocol" 524 the three battles of Pleyna 527 528 et seq treaty of peace with Great Britain and Allies signed at Paris (1856), 344 Trenty of San Stefano 534 Treaty of Unkiar Skelessi with Turkey, 143 treaty with Austria on Eastern Question, 520 troops enter Moldavia and Wal

war with Turkey, 67 et seg Rustchuk, 526, 527 SAARBRÜCKEN brittle of, 482 et seg St Arnaud (see Arnaud) St Etienne, a popular rising at St Petersburg, military insurrec tion at, 56 et seg

war declared by England and France, 323

Saint Simon. Socialistic teachings of, 185 Saferno, 386 Salic Law repeated in Spain, 130 Salisbury Lord circular to the Powers on the Treaty of San Stefano, 537

on the relations of Russia and Tutles, 540 548

lachia 318

Salisbury, Lord-continued represents England at Constanti nople Conference, 522 succeeds Lord Derby as Foreign

Minister, 537 with Lord Beaconsfield, repre sents England at Congress of

Berlin, 539 Salonica, Archbishop of, murdered

murder of Prussian and French

consuls at, 513 Samos, 36 San Stefano, Treaty of, 534

Sardinia, abdication of the King, accession of Victor Emmanuel

battle of Magenta, 365

battle of Solferino, 367 Cavaur becomes Prime Count Minister, 354

death of the Ling, 257 declares war, in conjunction with

France, against Austria defeats Russians at Tchernaya, Garibaldi conquers Sicily and

Naples in the name of Victor Emmanuel, 382 et seg peace with Austria cancluded at

Villafranca 368 rupture with Austria, 359 the King retires to Oporta 257 total defeat at Navara, 256 troops sent to the Crimea, 339 union with Central Italy, 376 war with Austria 226

(and see Italy, Piedmont) Sayfet Pasha, Turkish Minister, presides at the Con ference of Constantinople

" Saviour of Society " (Louis Napo leon), 299, 308

Savoy, annexed to I rance 376 Charles Albert of Carignano

ascends the throne 158

effect of annexation of Savoy and

Nice on Europe, 378 Mazzini leads incursion into, 120 power of the Papacy in, 370

revolutionary movement (1834) 120 Suxony, attempt to form a union with Prussia, 282, 283

Constitution of 139 Dresden occupied by Prusians

Saxony—continued included in a federation under Prussian leadership, 445 insurrection in, 281 secedes from League with Prussia, 283 Scarlett, General, commands in the Heavy Brigade at Balaclava, 333, 334 Scheldt, River, blockaded by English fleet, 104 Schleswig-Holstein, annexed to Prussia, 446 Conference armistice and of London, 427 Prussian and troops enter Schleswig, 426 Bismarck proposes conditionally that crown be conferred on the Prince of Augustenburg, Bismarck's plans, 424 Christian IX supports his predecessor's policy, 423 continuation of war, 428 Duke of Augustenburg renounces his pretensions to the throne, end of rebellion, and union with Denmark, 289, 290 recommends England separate legislature, 422 failure of Denmark to enforce its demands, 428 King Frederick excludes Holstein from the new Constitution, King Frederick's manifesto declares Schleswig incorporated with Denmark, 423 Prince Christian declared heir to the throne, 290 Prussia supports Schleswig against Denmark, 422 rebels against Denmark, 205, 267 Saxon and Hanoverian troops enter Holstein, 424 surrender of the Duchies to Austria and Prussia by Treaty of Vienna, 428 Schlick, Prussian general, defeated by Hungarians, 248 Schmerling (leader of German Assembly), 268 called to office by Francis Joseph I, 409, 410 resignation of, 274, 452 resumes office, 268

Schneckenburger, author of "The Watch on the Rhine," 151 (note) Schouvaloff, Count, Russian ambassador at London, 532 draws up the London Protocol, $5^{2}5$ Schwarzenberg, Prince Felix, chief Austrian minister (1848), 243 deposes the Emperor, 243 design regarding Lombardy, 254 despotic policy of, 292 his death, 293 plan for centralisation of Government in Austria, 274 Prussia submits to his demands. 288 publishes a Constitution, 248 seeks to provoke a quarrel with Prussia, 286 Schwechat, battle of, 242 Schweidnitz, tumult at, 270 Sebastopol, 330 bombardment of, 333 fall of, 341 fortifications of, 330 progress of siege of, 339 restoration of Russian power by revision of Treaty of Paris (1871), 351 Sebastiani, French Foreign Minister (1831), 113 Sedan, battle and capitulation of, 490 et seg. Selim III, Sultan of Turkey, innovations of, 45 Serbs, a Congress of, summoned at Carlowitz, 232 defeat Hungarians at Carlowitz, 235 revolt of, in South Hungary (1848), 231, 232 Serfage abolished in Russia, 414 Servia, declares war against Turkey, 515 defeated by Turks, 520 expels Turkish garrisons, 349 independence recognised Treaties of San Stefano and Berlin, 534 supports revolt of Herzegovina, Seymour, Sir Hamilton, British ambassador at St. Petersburg, Shipka Pass, battle of, 528 capitulation of, 530 Shumla, fortress of, 526

activity of insurrectionary [committees in, 380 Ferdinand conquered by Naples, 26% desires annexation to Kingdom of Victor Emmanuel, 388 Garibaldi captures Palermo, and assumes dictatorship of the island, 382, 383 Garibaldi's difficulties in carrying

on the government, 384 revolution at Palermo, 161 Silistria siege of, 328

Simon, St Socialistic writings of, 185

Simpson, General, succeeds Lord Ragian as English comman der in the Crimea, 341 Simson, Dr , President of Frankfort

National Assembly, spokes man at Versailles 506

Smope, Turkish squadron destroyed by Russia at, 322 Skobeleff, General, at battles of

Plevna, 528 et seg Slavonic and Albanian population of

Greece, 4 Slavs of Hungary, 164 national movements (1830 1843) 169 170, 239, 456

Socialism in France under Louis Philippe 185

Solferino, battle of, 367

Sophia, 530 Spain, appeals to France for assist ance, and 1s_refused, 135, 136 death of King Ferdinand, 129 et

defeat of General Valdes, 135 Don Carlos claims the crown and heads a rebellion, 130

Don Carlos defeated and removed to London, 131, 132 end of Carlist war (1839), 139 exile of Espartero, 139

General Espartero appointed Re gent 139 Isabella (daughter of Ferdinand) succeed him

appointed to under a Regency, 130 marriage of the Queen to Don Francisco 182

Marinez de la Rosa gives 1 Con stitution, 131

Prince Leopold's candidature for the throne opposed by France, 468 et seg

Spain-continued Princess Isabella becomes Queen,

Queen Christina resigns the Re gency, 139 reappearance of Don Carlos at

head of insurgents (1834).

repeals Salic Law, 130 revolution of 1868, 468

the Infanta's marriage to the Duke of Montpensier, 182 total defeat of Carlists by General

Espartero, 138, 139 victories of Carlists, 134 volunteers in England and France

enrolled to quell Carlist rebel lion, 137 Spanish marriages, the, 181, 182 Spetza (Ægean Islands), 17, 35

Sphakteria, island of, 48 Spicheren, battle of, between French and Prussians, 484 Spoleto, 388

Steinmetz. General. commands. Northern Prussian army against the French, 483

Strangford Lord, English ambassa dor at Constantinople, 27 Strasburg, capitulates to the Prus stans 496

concentration of troops at (1870), 479

Sulieman Pasha 528 et seg Stratimirovic leader of the Serbs, 235, 249

Strogonoff, Russian กเกษารราชดา and the massacre of Chris tians (1821) 27

leaves Constantinople, 29 Stuttgart, remnant of German National Assembly meets at, but expelled in a few days ly

the Government, 281 Suez Canal, England acquires blie dive's shares in the, 518

Suhotes, the 20, 41 Sutsos, Hospodar of Moldavia, 22

Switzerland, dispersal of revolution ary leaders by order of Furo

pean Powers 121 Syria, conquered by 1 gyptinns

under Ibrahim, 140, 141 expulsion of Ibrahim by Furopean allies, 152

given to Viceroy of Fgvpt 142 occupied by the French, 349

Széchenyi, Count, alarmed at Kossuth's Liberalism, 168 his reforms in Hungary, 166 Szeklers of Transylvania, the, 246

TALLEYRAND, ambassador to London, 102

intrigues on behalf of Louis Philippe, 86

persuades William IV and Wellington to abstain from intervention in Belgian affairs,

(Prussian generai), driven Tann from Orleans, 499

takes Orleans, 496

Tarnow, 174

Tchataldja, 533 Tchernaieff, General, leads Russian troops in Servia, 520

Tchernaya, battle of the, 332, 334, 335

Valley of the, 332

Teano, meeting of Victor Emmanuel and Garibaldi at, 390

Temesvar, battle of, 253

Thessaly, 80, 540

Thiers, M., advances the cause of the Duke of Orleans, 93

war with arguments against Prussia, 474

arranges terms of peace with Bis-

marcic, 504 arrested by Louis Napoleon, 305 Bismarck's denounces aggressions, 463

editor of the National, 90

efforts to save Metz, 504 elected President by National Assembly, 503

his history of Napoleon, 218

moves formation of a Committee of Government on surrender of Napoleon, 492

opposes insurrection in Paris, 91 publishes a protest against edicts

of Charles X, 90, 91 resignation of, 151

French Republic under his

Presidency, 510 warlike policy on the Eastern Question, 150, 151

Thomas, General Clément, murdered by National Guards of Paris, 507

M., French Foreign Thouvenal,

Minister, 375

Tirnovo, 527

murder of Archbishop of, 27 Todleben (Russian general), in the

Crimea, 332, 333

placed at head of army before Pievna, 529

Toreno, succeeds Valdes as Spanish War Minister, 137

Tours, second seat French of Government at commencement of the siege of Paris,

Tractarian movement in England,

Transylvania, agitation for Constitutional rights in, 167

Russians enter, 249

the Roumanian Movement in, 246 TREATIES:

Adrianople (1829), Russia and Turkey, 73

Akerman (1826), Russia and Turkey, 67

Berlin (1878), general, 539

Commercial, England and Turkey (1838), 147

London (1827), England, Russia, and France, 60

London (1834), Spain, Portugal, England, and France, for expulsion of Don Carlos and Don Miguel, 131

London (1867), on the Luxemburg Question, 462

on Eastern Question (1840), England, Russia, Austria, and Prussia, 149, 150

Russia, Paris (1856), Great Britain, and Allies, 344

Prague (1866), Prussia and Austria, 446

Reichstadt (1876), Russia and Austria, 519, 520

San Stefano (1878), Russia and Turkey, 534

(secret) (1859), France and Sardinia, 362

Unkiar Skelessi (1833), and Turkey, 143 Versailles and Frankfort (1871),

Prussia and France, 504

Vienna (1864), Denmark, Austria, and Prussia, 428

Zürich (1859), Austria, France,

and Sardinia, 369 Tripolitza (centre of Turkish Government in the Morea), 36 burned by Ibrahim, 75

Tripolitza-continued capture of by Greek insurgents

inhabitants massacred 37 Primates summoned to 25 Trochu General head of Govern ment of National Defence in

Paris 492 506 Tuileries devastated by the mob

(1848) 188 Louis Napoleon takes possession

8or to Turin Government commences ecclesiastical reform 353 meeting of first North Italian Par

liament at 377 riots in 434 withdrawal of French ambassador

on invasion of Papal States 388

Turker accession of Abdul Medud 148 accession of Murad V 515

Admiral Achmet Fewzi hands over Turkish fleet to Mehemet Ali

Adrianople entered by Russian troops 531

assassinat on of Hussein Avni 515 attitude of England 30 Austrian policy 29 campa gn in Bulgaria 526 et seg expitulation of Shipka 530 cedes Cyprus to England 539 Constantinople Conference 523 Crimean War 330 et seq

death of Mahmud II 147 defeated by Allies at Navarino 61 et seq defeated by Greeks 42 43

deposition and murder of Abduf Azız 515

described as a sick and dying man ' 312

discord throughout the Empire dispute with Russin respecting

Holy Places in Palestine etc 314 et seg

double invasion of Greece 49 et driven out of the Moren 26

engagements made with regard to protection of Christians 345 (and note)

fall of Kars 34" fall of Plevna 530 fall of Tripolitza 37 Turkey-continued

hereditary Government of Egypt conferred on Mehemet Ah and family 152

hollow and fictitious character of Treaty of Paris, 346

joint action with Allies against Mehemet Alı and Ibrahim

151 152 massacre of Chios 38 massacre of Christians at Con

stantinople 27 modifications of Treaty of San Stefano at Congress of Ber

fin 539 et seq outbreak lo hostilities with

Russia 321 322 Peace of Adrianople 73 Peace of Kutaya 142 presentation of Andrássy Note at

Constantinople demand ng certa n reforms 513

project of Ottoman Constitution

protects Lossuth and other Ifun garian leaders 313

Prussian and French consuls mur dered at Salonica 513

reforms of Reschid Pasha (the younger) 154

refuses armistice proposed by Alles 63

rejects proposals for an Inter national Commission rejects the London Protocol 525 Reschid Pasha's scheme of re form and his fall 154

reverses in Greece 17 18 revolt of Herzegovina 512 Russin declares war 525 second war with Mehemet Ali 147 Servian defeats and armistice 5 o siege of Missolonghi 49 et seq squadron destroyed at Sinope 322

supremacy in certain districts of Greece 8 o ti e Berlin Memorandum 514

the Czar visits England respect

ing Turkish affairs 311 Sultan's appeal to Queen Lictoria 531

the Sultan's manifesto 67 Frenty of Paris 344 Treats of San Stefano 514 Trenty of Unklar Skelessi 143 undertakes to protect Christian

subjects in Asia 539 victory nt Oltenitza 322

Turkey—continued Vienna note rejected by, 320 war declared by Servia and Montenegro, 515 war with Mehemet Ali, 140 et seq. war with Russia, 67 et seq. Tuscany, dictatorship offered Victor Emmanuel, 366 events in 1848, 200, 254 final flight of the Grand Duke, 366 flight and restoration of the Grand Duke, 255, 258 united to Piedmont under Victor Emmanuel, 376

Victor Emmanuel's reforms, 354 spreads in Austria, 294 Umbria, 380 entry of Piedmontese troops, 387 Unitary Constitutional Edict (March, 1849), 245 Universities, influence and agitation of students of Vienna (1848),

ULTRAMONTISM, opposition of, to

placed under police supervision in Germany (1832), 119
Unkiar Skelessi, Treaty of, 143

VALDES (Spanish War Minister), retirement of, 137 takes the field against Carlists, 135 Varna, surrendered to Russians, 70

Venetia, ceded to France for Italy,

offered to Italy by Austria, 438
Venice, excluded from the new
Italian Kingdom, 391
insurrection (1848) in, 199
united with Italy (1866), 450

Versailles, 494 Favre meets Bismarck at, 502,

headquarters of French Government troops during insurrection of Paris Commune, 507 King William takes title of German Emperor at, 506

Treaty of, 504
Vicenza, capitulates to Austria, 229
Victor Emmanuel, accepts sovereignty of Tuscany, Parma,
Modena, and the Romagna,
376

Victor Emmanuel-continued all Italy (excepting Rome and Venetia) united under sovereignty (1861), 391 allied with Prussia in war against Austria (1866), 445 appoints commissioners to enrol troops in Italy against Austria, 366 character, and work on behalf of Italian freedom, 352 courage at battle of Solferino, 367 gains Rome, 509 gains Venetia, 450 Napoleon's proposed defensive alliance against Prussia, 466 offered dictatorship of Tuscany, 366 succeeds his father, Charles Albert, as King of Sardinia, 257, 258 threatened breach with Garibaldi regarding proposed invasion of Rome, 388 Victoria, Queen, proclaimed Enipress of India, 518 the Sultan's appeal to, 531 visit of French Emperor to, 348 Vienna, assembly of the Reichsrath (1861), 411 Conference of May, 1855, 338 conquered by General Windischgratz, 241, 242 flight of the Emperor Ferdinand, murder of Latour, 240 popular discontent in (1846), 176 riots of 1848, 223 Treaty of (1864), 428 tumult (October, 1848), 239 Vigevano, armistice of, 230 Vilagos, capitulation of, to Austrians, 253 Villafranca, 368 Villamarina, Sardinian ambassador at Naples, 386, 389 Villèle, De, under Charles X, 83 Villersexel, 501 Vimercati, Count, negotiates with Napoleon, 477 Vincent, St., Don Miguel's fleet destroyed by Captain Charles Napier, 129

WALEWSKI, French Foreign Minister, 375

Volunteer forces in England, 378

Vionville, 488

Wallachia, entry of Russian troops 1 (1853), 318 union with Moldavia, 348 Warsaw, capture of, 110 insurrection (1830), 106 levy and insurrection (1863), 416 tumults, and appointment

Grand Duke Constantine as Viceroy, 415, 416 Welcker, Deputy, 276 Wellesley, Sir Arthur (see Welling

ton, Duke of) Wellington, Duke of, insists on limitation of Greece, 74

mission to St Petersburg, 58 policy with regard to Belgium.

Prime Minister, 63 the Emperor Nicholas consults with him respecting impend ing fall of Ottoman Empire

Werder. Prussian general defeats Bourbaki 501, 502

takes Strasburg 406 Werther, Baron, Prussian anibassa dor at Paris (1870), 472

Wesselényt, Count Fransylvanian deputy, exiled for laberal principles, 167

Wessenberg, Count, Austrian minis ter, 237

Widdin, fortress of, 526 Wiclopolski, 416

Wilhelmshohe, palace of, place of captivity of Napoleon III, 492 William I (of Prussia) accepts sur render of Napoleon III at Sedan, 491 alliance with Italy against Aus

tria. 436 and the election of Prince Leopold to the Spanish throne, 471

appoints Prince Antohy to office approves of Bismarck's measures against the Press, 406, 407

as Crown Prince suppresses Baden insurrection, 282

assumes title of German Emperor,

506 at Gravelotte, 488 at Koniggratz, 444

chief of North German Federa-

tion, 447

William I (of Prussia)-continued Danish war, 425 et seg dismisses the Ministry, 397 differences with Bismarck. 432, 435

interviews Count Benedetti at Ems, 471 Regency of, during Frederick

William IV's withdrawal from public affairs, 396 reorganises the army, 399 secret treaties with South German

States, 447 succeeds to the throne (1861), 400 supports autocratic policy of Bis

marck, 405, 406 William IV, King of England,

policy of non intervention with regard to Belgium, 102 Wilna, under Muraviell, 418

Wimpffen General, 491 Windischgratz, Count, acts as Dic tator, 225

marches on Vienna and conquers it 241 et seg occupies Pesth, 247

removed from his command, 249 subdues rebellion at Prague, 225 Wattgenstein, Russian commander,

60 70 removed from his command, 71 Wladimiresco, Theodor (Roumanian

insurgent), 23 death, 25 Workshops (national), in France,

212 abolition aimed at by National Assembly, 214

entirely abolished 217 Worth, battle of 483-4

Wrangel, Prussian general, drives the Assembly from their hall, 272

Wurtemberg, Prince Fugene of, attacks the Turks, "o

Zicin, Count Eugène, execution of, 216

Zollverein, the, 116 beneficial results on German com-

merce, 116 Zumalachrregul, Carlist lender, 134

denth of, 137 sectorious over Rovalists, 134 Zürich, Treaty of, 369

